

Mouton Grammar Library

*Frajzyngier*  
A Grammar of Hdi



# Mouton Grammar Library 21

Editors  
Georg Bossong  
Bernard Comrie

Mouton de Gruyter  
Berlin · New York



Zygmunt Frajzyngier

with Erin Shay

# A Grammar of Hdi

2002

Mouton de Gruyter  
Berlin · New York

Mouton de Gruyter (formerly Mouton, The Hague)  
is a Division of Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. BNS-8317837 and BCS-9910654. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

© Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt, 1938–  
A grammar of Hdi / Zygmunt Frajzyngier, with Erin Shay.  
p. cm. – (Mouton grammar library ; 21)  
Includes bibliographical references and index.  
ISBN 3-11-017071-X (alk. paper)  
1. Hedi language – Grammar. I. Shay, Erin. II. Title.  
III. Series.  
PL8239.1 F73 2001  
493'.7–dc21 2001037017

*Die Deutsche Bibliothek – Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt:  
A grammar of Hdi / Zygmunt Frajzyngier. With Erin Shay. –  
Berlin ; New York : Mouton de Gruyter, 2002  
(Mouton grammar library ; 21)  
ISBN 3-11-017071-X

© Copyright 2001 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, D-10785 Berlin.  
All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printing: Werner Hildebrand, Berlin. – Binding: Lüderitz & Bauer, Berlin. – Printed in Germany.

## Acknowledgments

Work on this grammar was started in connection with the project "The Complex Sentence in Chadic," supported by a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities. The work was also supported by grants to Frajzyngier from the Graduate Committee on the Arts and Humanities, University of Colorado, and from the American Philosophical Society. Subsequent work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant No. 9514401. The ORSTOM (now IRD) station in Maroua served as the base for the project. Henry Tourneux provided invaluable logistic and intellectual support during Frajzyngier's stays in Maroua. For their hospitality in Maroua, thanks also go to the Institute de la Recherche Agricole and its directors, Seiny Boukar Lamide and Dr. Abba Dalil. Thanks are also due to friends from IRAD Maroua for providing e-mail connections.

We would like to thank language assistants Romain Siloa Mbaka, Patrice Douka Prafé, Roger Prafé, Abel Ndjidda Kassie, Francis Barasoua Baigoua, Sikoa Sinowa, and Benjamin Ngasnou. Without their help, we would not have been able to complete the grammar.

We would like to thank Lee Bramlett of the SIL, Cameroon, for checking various utterances with speakers of Hdi when we were not able to do so. We are also grateful to Bernard Comrie for reading a previous version of this grammar and for providing extremely valuable comments and criticism.

Simone Ashby has been most attentive and skillful in correcting all kinds of mistakes in the text. We are very grateful to Marian Safran for her editorial work, without which this grammar would have been even more difficult to read. To Jeanne Zerner, CNRS, Paris, we are grateful for important technical advice. Without the help of the institutions and persons mentioned above, this grammar would not have been possible.



# Table of contents

List of abbreviations .....	xxi
-----------------------------	-----

## Chapter 1: Introduction

1. The name and the classification of the language.....	1
2. Typological characteristics of Hdi .....	4
2.1. Phonology .....	4
2.2. Morphology .....	4
2.3. Syntax.....	5
2.4. Discourse structure.....	7

## Chapter 2: Phonology

1. Introduction.....	9
2. Consonantal system .....	9
2.1. Phonetic consonants.....	9
2.2. Underlying consonants.....	10
2.2.1. Bilabial versus labial consonants.....	11
2.2.2. Alveolar consonants and palatal variants.....	12
2.2.3. Velar consonants.....	13
2.2.4. Prenasalized and glottalized stops and affricates.....	15
2.2.5. Nasals .....	16
2.2.6. Lateral continuants versus stops .....	17
2.2.7. Glides .....	17
2.2.8. Glottal stop and glide insertion.....	18
3. Phonotactics of consonants.....	20
3.1. The distribution of single consonants.....	20
3.2. Consonantal clusters.....	21
3.3. Constraints imposed by place of articulation .....	22
3.4. Constraints imposed by the manner of articulation .....	24
4. Consonant devoicing .....	25
5. Vowel system.....	26
5.1. Vowel raising.....	27
5.2. Vowel lowering .....	28
5.3. Vowel rounding.....	28
5.4. Vowel fronting .....	29
5.5. Vowel epenthesis .....	29

5.5. Vowel epenthesis .....	29
5.6. Vowel replacement.....	31
5.7. Vowel deletion .....	34
5.8. Glide formation .....	34
5.9. Glide metathesis.....	36
6. Syllable structure.....	36
6.1. Allowed and disallowed syllabic structures.....	36
6.2. Preferred syllable structure .....	37
7. Tone .....	39
7.1. The tonal system .....	39
7.2. Tone and vowel deletion .....	40
7.3. Tone and vowel replacement .....	40
7.4. Tone and vowel epenthesis .....	41
7.5. Tone and phrasal structure.....	42
8. Conclusions .....	43

### Chapter 3: The structure of the noun phrase

1. Introduction.....	45
2. Nouns .....	45
3. Morphological coding of number .....	46
4. Associative plural .....	48
5. Modifying constructions of the type noun--verbal noun .....	49
6. Modifying constructions marked by demonstratives .....	50
6.1. Phonetic realization and categorial status of the genitive á .....	50
6.2. Functions of modifying constructions head-á modifier .....	52
7. The order modifier head.....	53
8. Possessive constructions.....	53
8.1. Possessive pronouns .....	54
8.2. Honorific possessives.....	56
8.3. Unspecified possessor .....	57
9. Topicalizing modification.....	58
10. The collective .....	59
11. Double modifying constructions.....	60
12. Noun nà Noun.....	61
13. Modification through the preposition ngá 'for'.....	62
14. Modification through the comment marker tá .....	63
15. Coding the notion of belonging.....	67
16. Coding the absence of specific attributes .....	70
17. Modification of nouns by adjectives .....	71
18. The comparative form of the modifying construction.....	73
19. Modification through color terms.....	74

20. Co-reference and disjoint reference in possessive constructions .....	76
21. Modification through numerals .....	76
22. Noun modified by a quantifier .....	77
23. Summary of modifying constructions .....	79
24. The associative phrase .....	79
24.1. Nouns in associative phrases .....	79
24.2. Pronouns in associative phrases .....	80
25. Disjoined noun phrase .....	81
26. Conclusions .....	81

#### Chapter 4: Deixis and anaphora

1. Introduction .....	83
2. Independent pronouns .....	83
3. Deixis .....	84
3.1. Proximate deictic ná .....	85
3.2. Middle distance deictic yá .....	86
3.3. Remote demonstrative á and its connection with third-person singular .....	87
4. Anaphora and definiteness .....	88
4.1. An anaphor as an argument .....	89
4.2. An anaphor as a modifier .....	89
5. Specific and non-specific “child” .....	94
5.1. The coding of locative anaphora .....	95
5.2. The propositional anaphor .....	96
6. Conclusions .....	97

#### Chapter 5: Verbal root and stem

1. Introduction .....	99
2. The verbal root and thematic vowels .....	99
3. The underlying tone of the verb .....	101
4. Number coding in verbs .....	104
5. Plural marking through the infix -a- .....	104
6. Verbal plural through reduplication .....	105
7. Suppletive plural .....	107
8. Functions of verbal plurality .....	108
9. Functions of thematic vowels .....	110
9.1. The problem .....	110
9.2. A hypothesis concerning verb-final vowels .....	111
10. The structure of polysyllabic verbs .....	115

11. Verbal nouns.....	116
12. Conclusions.....	118

### Chapter 6: Argument coding

1. Introduction.....	119
2. Types of arguments.....	120
3. Defining the terms .....	121
4. Coding of the subject.....	121
4.1. A full noun phrase as subject.....	121
4.2. Independent subject pronouns.....	123
4.3. Pronominal subject clitics.....	123
4.3.1. The first-person singular subject pronoun .....	126
4.3.2. The third-person singular subject pronoun .....	127
4.3.3. The unspecified human subject pronoun.....	128
5. Coding of the object.....	130
5.1. The absence of an overt object .....	130
5.2. Object coding through the preposition tá.....	131
5.3. Object coding in clauses with the referential marker.....	132
5.4. Object coding through position after the verb .....	134
6. Pronominal object affixes.....	135
6.1. The coding means.....	135
6.2. First-person singular object affixes.....	137
6.3. Pronouns and the order of extensions.....	141
6.4. The third-person plural object.....	142
6.5. Unspecified human object .....	143
7. The specific object in the perfective aspect.....	143
8. Inherent properties of verbs and object coding.....	147
8.1. Intransitive verbs that do not allow an object.....	147
8.2. Object coding with verbs of perception .....	149
9. Object coding in the independent imperfective aspect .....	150
10. Coding the addressee of verbs of saying .....	153
11. Additional argument coding.....	153
11.1. The additional argument marker and verbs of perception.....	158
11.2. The addition of an argument to a transitive verb.....	160
12. Cognate objects.....	161
13. Arguments of verbs <i>nzà</i> and <i>tsá</i> ‘become’.....	163
14. Independent object pronouns .....	164
14.1. Pragmatically dependent clauses.....	165
14.2. Independent object pronouns in the stative.....	166
15. Conclusions.....	167



## Chapter 7: Coding the semantic roles of arguments

1. Introduction.....	169
2. Point of view of source .....	169
3. Point of view of source in the imperfective.....	172
3.1. The form of the absolutive marker.....	172
3.2. The functions of the absolutive marker.....	173
4. Point of view of goal .....	176
5. Movement-away extension í.....	178
5.1. The form of the movement-away extension .....	178
5.2. The functions of the movement-away extension .....	179
5.3. Transitivity functions of the movement-away extension ....	181
6. Dative and benefactive argument coding .....	182
6.1. Coding the dative on the verb .....	183
6.2. Pronominal dative arguments.....	187
6.3. Verbs whose direct object is recipient.....	187
6.4. The two forms of the third-person singular dative pronoun .....	187
6.5. The functions of the first-person singular dative pronouns.....	189
6.6. Dative pronouns with simple transitive verbs .....	191
6.7. Dative coding indirect affectedness .....	193
6.8. Dative pronoun and extensions.....	193
6.9. Other functions of the dative form of the verb.....	194
6.10. Coding of dative and benefactive through prepositions.....	194
7. Co-referentiality of arguments.....	195
7.1. Co-referentiality of the subject and the direct object.....	195
7.2. Co-referentiality of the subject and the dative .....	196
7.3. Co-referentiality of the subject and the locative .....	197
8. Coding of the reciprocal function .....	197
9. The applicative extension vá.....	198
9.1. Partial affectedness of the subject.....	200
9.2. The applicative extension and the negative.....	202
10. The inverse extension s.....	204
10.1. The form of the inverse extension.....	204
10.2. The functions of the inverse extension.....	206
11. The system of partitive extensions .....	210
12. The partitive extension á.....	211
13. Locative arguments.....	212
13.1. Prepositions dá and dà.....	212
13.2. Spatial specifiers ðistá 'inside' and mìstá 'under, behind'.....	214

14. Conclusions..... 215

Chapter 8: Extensions coding the manner of an event

1. Introduction..... 217  
 2. “Do again” extension gl..... 217  
 3. Tentative extension n, η..... 219  
 4. Associative extension ndá..... 221  
 5. “Also” extension xà..... 222  
 6. Conclusions ..... 223

Chapter 9: Adjuncts

1. Introduction..... 225  
 2. The oblique argument ..... 225  
 3. The instrumental adjunct ..... 226  
 4. The locative adjunct..... 227  
     4.1. Inherently locative adverbs ..... 227  
     4.2. The preposition tà..... 229  
     4.3. The preposition gà ‘inner space’..... 229  
     4.4. The preposition mà ‘in’..... 230  
     4.5. The associative preposition ndá as spatial specifier..... 231  
     4.6. The benefactive adjunct ..... 232  
 5. Adverbs of manner ..... 232  
 6. Adverbs of time ..... 235  
 7. Interjections..... 236  
 8. Conclusions ..... 238

Chapter 10: Locative extensions

1. Introduction..... 239  
 2. Coding of the point of view ..... 239  
 3. Goal-oriented marker and the morphology of extensions..... 239  
 4. The system of locative extensions ..... 241  
 5. The distal extension gh..... 243  
     5.1. The form of the distal extension..... 243  
     5.2. The role of tone with the distal extension ..... 244  
     5.3. The distal extension with verbs of movement ..... 245  
     5.4. The object in the scope of the distal extension..... 248  
     5.5. The distal extension with non-directional  
         verbs of movement ..... 250  
     5.6. The distal extension with non-movement verbs..... 251

6. “Downward movement” extension xà.....	252
7. “Inner space orientation” extension g.....	253
7.1. Forms of the inner space extension.....	253
7.2. Functions of the inner space extension.....	253
7.3. The inner space extension with verbs of movement.....	254
7.4. The inner space extension with non-movement verbs.....	255
7.5. Arguments within the scope of the inner space extension .....	256
7.6. Deictic center with the inner space extension.....	257
8. The allative extension dá .....	258
9. “Upward movement” extension f(à).....	261
9.1. The form of the upward movement extension.....	261
9.2. The functions of the upward movement extension.....	262
10. The “movement into” extension m.....	264
11. “Movement out” extension p.....	265
11.1. The forms of the movement-out extension .....	265
11.2. The functions of the movement-out extension .....	267
12. The extension rə .....	268
13. Conclusions.....	269

### Chapter 11: Modalities

1. Introduction.....	271
2. Epistemic modality.....	271
3. Hypothetical mood.....	271
4. Epistemic adverbs.....	273
5. Imperative modality.....	274
5.1. The imperative stem .....	274
5.2. The perfective in the imperative .....	275
5.3. Object coding in the imperative.....	276
5.4. Number distinction in the imperative .....	277
5.5. Politeness and the imperative modality .....	279
6. Subjunctive modality .....	279
6.1. The form of the subjunctive construction .....	279
6.2. The subjunctive mood in the independent imperfective aspect.....	280
6.3. The perfective aspect and subjunctive modality .....	284
6.4. The subjunctive in equational clauses .....	285
7. Normative modality.....	286
8. The prohibitive mood.....	287
8.1. The prohibitive through the preposition mà.....	287
8.2. The prohibitive and the -a form of the verb.....	290
8.3. The prohibitive through an auxiliary verb.....	291

9. Emotive modality, or warning.....	292
10. Conclusions.....	293

### Chapter 12: Aspect

1. Two aspectual systems.....	295
2. The perfective aspect in pragmatically independent clauses.....	296
2.1. The morphology of reduplication.....	296
2.2. The functions of the perfective through reduplication.....	298
3. The perfective aspect in pragmatically dependent clauses.....	300
3.1. The forms of the perfective in pragmatically dependent clauses.....	300
3.2. Types of clauses that require dependent clause perfective coding.....	302
3.2.1. Clauses following presentative constructions.....	302
3.2.2. Comment on a focused element.....	302
3.2.3. Relative clauses.....	303
3.2.4. The dependent perfective in specific questions.....	303
3.2.5. The perfective in negative clauses.....	304
4. The imperfective aspect.....	305
4.1. The form of the independent imperfective.....	305
4.2. The functions of the independent imperfective.....	307
4.3. The imperfective and the point of view of source.....	310
5. The dependent imperfective aspect.....	311
5.1. The form of the dependent imperfective aspect.....	311
5.2. The imperfective aspect in sequential clauses.....	313
5.3. Argument coding in pragmatically dependent clauses.....	314
5.4. The functions of the dependent imperfective.....	315
6. The progressive aspect.....	317
6.1. The form of the progressive aspect.....	317
6.2. The functions of the progressive aspect.....	318
7. The stative aspect.....	320
7.1. The form of the stative.....	320
7.2. Object coding in the stative aspect.....	321
7.3. The functions of the stative aspect.....	321
7.4. The grammaticalization of the stative aspect.....	324
8. Conclusions.....	325

### Chapter 13: Coding the domain of referentiality of an event

1. Introduction.....	327
2. Referentiality of object.....	327

3. Referentiality and the perfective.....	328
4. Referentiality of the event and adjuncts .....	329
5. Aspect coding in sequential clauses and in the normative mood ....	330
6. Conclusions .....	334

### Chapter 14: Tense

1. Introduction.....	335
2. Referential past tense .....	335
3. Future tenses .....	337
3.1. Future tense with the perfective aspect:	
Pragmatically independent clauses .....	338
3.2. Future tense with the perfective aspect:	
Pragmatically dependent clauses .....	338
3.3. Future tense with the imperfective aspect.....	339
3.4. The future tense in the negative clause .....	340
4. Conclusions .....	341

### Chapter 15: Verbless clauses

1. Introduction.....	343
2. Coding the predicate and the subject through word order.....	343
3. Identificational clauses .....	344
4. Property concept predicates.....	347
5. Property concept predicates through a copula .....	349
6. Possessive clauses.....	349
7. Existential propositions .....	350
8. The possessive through existential constructions .....	351
9. Locative sentences: X is located at Y .....	352
10. Clause-initial deictic particles .....	353
11. Conclusions.....	354

### Chapter 16: Interrogative clauses

1. Introduction.....	355
2. Questions about the truth.....	355
2.1. The interrogative through tonal changes .....	355
2.2. Clause-final interrogative particles.....	356
3. Rhetorical interrogatives .....	357
4. Specific questions.....	357
4.1. Introduction .....	357
4.2. Questions about participants in equational clauses.....	358

4.3. De dicto and de re domains in specific interrogatives .....	359
4.4. The copula in specific interrogatives .....	360
4.5. Aspect coding in specific questions .....	360
4.6. Questions about the subject in verbal clauses .....	361
4.7. Questions about the object.....	362
4.8. The role of referential marker tá.....	364
4.9. Use of the copula in specific interrogatives.....	366
4.10. Questions about the dative/benefactive .....	368
4.11. Questions about the genitive modifier .....	369
4.12. Questions about the locative .....	370
4.13. Questions about the time of the event.....	371
4.14. Questions about manner.....	371
4.15. Questions about the reason.....	373
4.16. The coding of the perfective in questions about the reason .....	375
4.17. Questions about the kind .....	377
4.18. Questions about instrumental and associative arguments .....	377
4.19. Questions about purpose .....	377
4.20. Questions about the predicate.....	378
5. Conclusions .....	378

### Chapter 17: Negation

1. Introduction.....	379
2. Negation of pragmatically independent clauses .....	379
2.1. Negation of identificational and equational clauses .....	379
2.2. Negation of verbal clauses.....	380
2.3. Negation and referentiality.....	382
3. The dependent negative clause: The auxiliary xàđú.....	384
4. Negation of possessive clauses .....	386
5. Negation through the auxiliary kwálá ‘lack, fail’.....	387
6. Conclusions .....	388

### Chapter 18: Topicalization

1. Introduction.....	389
2. Topicalization of the pronominal subject.....	389
3. Topicalization of the nominal subject.....	391
4. Topicalization of the subject in equational clauses .....	393
5. Topicalization of the object .....	394
6. Topicalization of the dative .....	395

7. Topicalization of the adjunct.....	396
8. The functions of topicalization .....	397
9. Conclusions .....	399

**Chapter 19: Focus and relative clauses**

1. Introduction.....	401
2. Focus on the subject in verbless clauses .....	402
3. The copula in focus and relative clause constructions .....	403
4. Focus on and relativization of the subject in verbal clauses.....	403
5. Subject focus in the imperfective.....	407
6. Focus on and relativization of the object .....	408
7. The topicalized subject and focused object.....	413
8. The topicalized adverb and focused object.....	414
9. Focus on the dative .....	414
10. Dative as the head of the relative clause.....	416
11. Focus on and relativization of adjuncts .....	417
11.1. Focus and relativization of locatives .....	417
11.2. Focus and relativization of time adjuncts.....	419
11.3. The associative as head of the relative clause .....	420
11.4. Focus on the adverb of manner.....	421
11.5. The possessor as head of the relative clause .....	422
12. Focus on the predicate .....	422
13. Negation, focus, and relativization.....	424
13.1. Negation of focused arguments .....	424
13.2. Negation of relative clauses.....	425
14. Conclusions.....	426

**Chapter 20: Paratactic, conjoined, sequential, and counterexpectation clauses**

1. Introduction.....	427
2. Paratactic asyndetic constructions.....	427
3. Clauses conjoined by the verb <i>lá</i> ‘go’.....	428
4. Disjoined clauses.....	431
5. Sequential clauses.....	432
5.1. Forms of sequential clauses .....	432
5.2. Functions of sequential clauses .....	434
5.3. Clauses corresponding to “instead of proposition 1, proposition 2”.....	436
6. Negative sequential clauses.....	437
7. Counterexpectation clauses .....	437

8. Discourse conjunctions.....	438
9. Conclusions .....	439

### Chapter 21: Clausal complements of verbs of saying

1. Introduction.....	441
2. The complementizer <i>ká</i> .....	441
2.1. The form and syntactic position of the complementizer .....	441
2.2. Subject pronouns and the complementizer.....	443
3. The coding of the addressee .....	444
4. The order of clauses in complementation .....	445
4.1. The problem.....	445
4.2. An explanation of clausal order .....	446
5. Complements of cognitive verbs.....	449
6. The imperative mood in complements of verbs of saying.....	451
7. Backgrounding and complementation .....	452
8. Prohibition in the complement clause.....	453
9. Cross-reference and disjoint-reference coding .....	454
10. Conclusions.....	456

### Chapter 22: Interrogative complements

1. Introduction.....	459
2. Yes/no interrogative complements .....	460
3. Specific interrogative complements (wh-questions) .....	461
3.1. Interrogative complements about human participants.....	461
3.2. Interrogative complements about non-human participants .....	463
3.3. Interrogative complements about the place.....	463
3.4. Interrogative complements about the time.....	464
3.5. Interrogative complements about the possessor.....	465
3.6. Interrogative complements about the reason.....	465
3.7. Interrogative complements about the manner.....	465
4. Non-propositional addressees.....	467
5. Conclusions .....	467

### Chapter 23: Complements of verbs of perception

1. Introduction.....	469
2. Direct perception .....	469
2.1. Complementizer <i>kàwák</i> .....	469
2.2. Nominalization .....	470
2.3. Matrix coding.....	471



3. Coding indirect perception .....	473
4. Conclusions .....	475

#### Chapter 24: Complements of volitional verbs

1. Introduction.....	477
2. Same-subject complements .....	477
3. Subject lowering .....	478
4. Different subjects .....	479
5. Complements of the verb kwálá 'refuse'.....	481
6. Conclusions .....	482

#### Chapter 25: Adverbial and adjunct clauses

1. Introduction.....	483
2. Temporal sentences.....	483
2.1. The protasis clause.....	483
2.2. Overt coding of temporal priority and posteriority .....	487
2.3. The temporal apodosis clause .....	489
2.4. Subject coding in protasis and apodosis clauses .....	490
3. Purpose clauses .....	491
4. Manner clauses.....	493
5. Reason clauses .....	493
6. The auxiliary verb klá 'take' and reason clauses.....	494
7. Conditional clauses .....	495
7.1. Realis conditionals.....	495
7.2. Irrealis conditionals.....	497
8. The negative conditional mood.....	498
9. Conclusions .....	499

#### Chapter 26: Comparative constructions

1. Equal predicates.....	501
2. Unequal predicates.....	501
3. Conclusions .....	503

#### Chapter 27: Texts

1. Proverbs and sayings.....	505
2. Dog and Hyena.....	505
3. ghùz-á dùxwál 'Beer of Adulthood'.....	512
4. skál-á hlà 'Festivity of the Bull'.....	515

5. Work for Squirrel's In-laws.....	516
6. Conversation between two speakers.....	531
7. Wives of a Chief.....	536
8. How a Bat Wooed a Girl.....	539
Notes .....	541
References .....	543
Index.....	547

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations used in morpheme-by-morpheme glosses and in formulas:

1	First person
2	Second person
3	Third person
ABS	Absolutive extension
ADJ	Adjective
ADV	Adverb
AGAIN	Verbal extension coding repetition of the action
ALL	Allative
ALSO	Verbal extension coding the truth of the proposition compared to a previous propositions
APPL	Applicative
ASSC	Associative
AWAY	Extension coding movement away
BEN	Benefactive/dative
COLL	Collective
COM	Comment on the focus marker, particle <i>tá</i>
COMP	Complementizer
COMPL	Completive
COND	Conditional
CONJ	Conjunction
COP	Copula
DEF	Definite
DEM	Demonstrative
DOWN	Movement down extension
D:PVG	Distal extension: Point of view of goal
D:SO	Distal extension: Point of view of source
DU	Dual
Eng.	English
EP	Epenthetic
EXCL	Exclusive
FOR	Preposition coding benefactive/dative
Fr.	French
Ful.	Fula (Fulfulde)
FUT	Future
GEN	Genitive

GO	Goal orientation
Hau.	Hausa
HON	Honorific
HYP	Hypothetical
IMP	Imperative
IMPF	Imperfective
IN	Verbal extension indicating movement inside
INN	Extension coding movement to or from an inner space
INCEPT	Inceptive
INCL	Inclusive
INTERJ	Interjection
INV	Inverse
LOC	Locative
N	Noun
NEG	Negation
NOM	Nominalized
NORM	Normative
OBJ	Object marker
OUT	Verbal extension indicating movement from inside out
PART	Partitive
PAST	Past (Referential past tense)
PL	Plural
PO	Potential object extension -ay
POL	Polite form
PREP	Preposition
PROH	Prohibitive
PURP	Purpose
PVG	Point of view of goal
Q	Interrogative
QUANT	Quantifier
REF	Referential
REL	Relative
RESP	Respect
RHET	Rhetorical
S	Sentence
SEQ	Sequential marker
SG	Singular
SO	Point of view of source
STAT	Stative
SUBJ	Subjunctive

TENT	Tentative
UH	Unspecified human subject
UO	Unspecified object
UP	Verbal extension indicating movement upward
V	Verb
VN	Verbal noun

The following typographical conventions have been adopted: The Hdi and other foreign language material in the text is italic. Translations are in single quotation marks. Quoted speech within examples is in double quotation marks. The rare instances of emphasis in the text are marked by italics. Abbreviations in examples are in small capitals.



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1. The name and the classification of the language

The language described in the present grammar is called by its speakers *gwád-á xdí* 'language of Hdi' or simply *xdí*. The language is variously reported in the literature as *Hide*, *hidé* (Eguchi 1971), *Xedi* (Newman 1990a), and *xədi* (Dieu and Renaud 1983). Hoffmann 1971 has it as *Tur* (Turu), i.e. under the English spelling of the name of the village where the language is spoken.

The language is spoken in Tourou and surrounding settlements in the Far North Province of Cameroon, on the border with Nigeria. Some speakers of Hdi have emigrated to Nigeria, specifically to Mubi and Yola, where the Hdi communities may number several thousand speakers. Our cursory observation of the speech of speakers of Hdi who have spent a long time outside of their community, whether in Nigeria or in Cameroon, indicates considerable changes in syntax. Simple clauses shift toward subject-verb-object order; complex sentences with verbs of saying very often have the clausal order matrix-embedded. Neither of these orders is characteristic of the Hdi spoken in Tourou, but they are found in many languages with which Hdi speakers come in contact.

The noun *xdí* is a Hdi place name for the administrative term *Tourou*. It is also the self-name of the language and the ethnonym. The evidence that it is a toponym is provided by the high tone on the locative preposition *dá* preceding it. Before nouns other than toponyms the preposition has low tone:

- (1)     *dzà'á dá xdí*  
          go     PREP xdi:1SG  
          'I am going to Hdi'

Evidence that *xdí* is an ethnonym is provided by genitive constructions where it is a modifier:

- (2)     *xídák-w-á xdí*  
          culture-GEN Hdi  
          'The culture of Hdi'

The evidence that *xdí* is also the name of a language is provided by its usage without the expression *gwáɗ-á* ‘language of’:

- (3)    *tà*     *ghwá*     *tà*     *xgù-lú*     *kà* *Kwindzílá gà*  
 PREP   mountain   IMPF   call-UH     as   Kwindzila in  
*xdí*     *yá*  
 Hdi     DEM  
 ‘On the mountain called Kwindzila in Hdi’

Other Chadic languages have words that may be cognates of the word *xdí*, e.g. *hìdé* ‘man’ in Mina, Central Chadic. Given the fact that so many self-names around the world are based on the lexemes ‘man, people’, it is likely that a word meaning “man” was the source from which contemporary [xdi] derives.

The name *hidé* has been used in administrative documents in reference to the people who speak the language. We represent the language in the present work as Hdi, with the understanding that the initial *h* represents a velar voiceless fricative. This is the closest transcription of the pronunciation of the word and also matches its phonemic structure, consisting of an initial consonantal cluster followed by a high front vowel.

Eguchi 1971 gives the number of speakers, as per the 1962 census, as 5,963. Newman 1990a gives the number of speakers as 10,000 as of 1982. Hdi is used in local elementary schools only in the first grade, as is the norm with most indigenous languages in Cameroonian public schools.

Hdi belongs to the Mandara group of the Central (Biu-Mandara) Branch of Chadic (Newman 1990a, Dieu and Renaud 1983). Wolff (1981 and 1983) considers it to be a dialect of Lamang but does not support this claim with any argumentation. Dieu and Renaud (1983: 357) list it as a separate language belonging to the Western Branch of the Wandala (Mandara) subgroup. Eguchi (1971: 195) also considers Hdi to be an independent language, stating the lack of mutual intelligibility between Hdi and the surrounding languages, including the language of Ngosi (Lamang according to Wolff 1983) in Nigeria. Tests of mutual intelligibility between Hdi and Lamang conducted by SIL teams have demonstrated that there is no intelligibility between these languages (Stadler 1993).

According to oral history, the population of Hdi was established by Matsa and his son Gulu. Matsa was one of the twelve sons of Kderi. The other children of Kderi are said to have founded Kapsiki, Margi, Mabas, Gdalu or Gdolu, Xduvun, and other places. This oral history is interesting because the first three of these groups speak languages belonging to the same branch as Hdi, Central Chadic.



Fula (Fulfulde) and, to a lesser extent, Hausa are the second and third languages for many speakers of Hdi. Hdi maintain trade relations also with speakers of Kanuri (Nilo-Saharan), trading guinea corn for beans. Fula, Hausa, as well as Mafa, another Central Chadic language, are the principal sources of lexical borrowings into Hdi.

Data for the present grammar were gathered and rechecked in the field by Frajzyngier during the summer of 1991, spring of 1993, and summers of 1994, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999. The language assistants for this study were Romain Siloa Mbaka (born in 1970, completed first year of lycée in Mokolo); the late Patrice Douka Prafé (born in 1972, some education at the lycée at Mokolo); Roger Prafé (no relation to Patrice Douka Prafé; born in 1975, educated at the lycées in Mokolo and Maroua, speaking Hdi at home); Abel Ndjidda Kassie (born in 1970); Francis Barassoua Baigoua (born in 1971); Sikoa Sinowa (about 30 years old in 1996); and Benjamin Ngasnou (born in 1959). Benjamin Ngasnou spent six years teaching elementary school in Mokolo, four years teaching in Yagua, two years teaching in Tourou (Hdi), and twelve years teaching in Maroua. His wife is from Tourou, and at home they speak Fula and Hdi.

Erin Shay participated in the work on this grammar from 1992 to 1995. She then had to devote herself to another project, and Frajzyngier alone gathered additional texts, revised the grammar, and brought it to its present shape; he takes responsibility for all mistakes and errors.

We have been using two types of data: natural discourse data as occurring in narratives, conversations, and folktales; and elicited data. Examples from natural discourse data begin with a capital letter, regardless of whether they represent sentence initial fragment. The elicited data are used in as few structures as possible to illustrate complex morphological and morpho-phonological facts of the language and also to provide ungrammatical examples when necessary. Such examples begin with lower case letters.

Although the number of speakers of Hdi is small and the language is spoken in a relatively constrained area, there are nevertheless some dialectal differences. We did not have the opportunity to study the dialect situation in detail, but we would like to note the following observations. In the dialect closer to the center of the village the future marker is *dzà'á*, while in the slightly more remote area called *ndrúk*, it is *dà*. The first-person singular subject pronoun is *í* in the center, but *íyù* in the dialect of the more remote area.

The aim of this work is descriptive and explanatory. The description consists of hypotheses concerning the form of linguistic structures and hypotheses concerning the functions of linguistic structures. For both types of hypotheses we provide supporting argumentation and often evi-

dence. On the sound advice of Bernard Comrie, we refrained from drawing implications for past and current theoretical controversies in linguistics. We tried, however, space permitting, to explain various components of grammar through their relationship to other constructions or subsystems in the language.

The present study aims to be a reference grammar. Its scope includes phonetics, phonology, morphology, and syntax and the semantic functions coded by these means. The complex verb morphology and the rich system of syntactic construction constitute the bulk of the present work. The grammar is the first account of the grammatical system of this language. A number of phenomena it describes have not yet been described for any of the Chadic languages of the Mandara mountains, such as Lamang (Wolff 1983), Mandara (Mirt 1969/1970, Frajzyngier 1984), Mafa (Barreteau and le Bleis 1990), Mofu-Gudur (Barreteau 1988), and Ouldeme (de Colombel 1996). The grammar thus provides valuable material for a future comparative work in Chadic and Afroasiatic linguistics. For a general linguist it provides a source of information on unusual formal structures and the semantic functions they encode.

## **2. Typological characteristics of Hdi**

### *2.1. Phonology*

An interesting aspect of Hdi phonology is its syllable-structure conditions. The syllabic onset allows for much more variation than the syllabic coda. Clusters of two and three consonants are allowed in the syllabic onset, while no clusters of consonants (with one possible exception: see Chapter 2) are allowed in the syllabic coda. Moreover, in the syllabic coda (as opposed to simply the word-final position), all obstruents become voiceless, regardless of the voice characteristics of the consonants that follow them. Clusters of up to three rearticulated vowels are allowed. In phrase-internal position, vowels that do not have a grammatical function are deleted. When a vowel is deleted, its tone is also deleted. A vowel that replaces another in a sequence assumes the tone of the vowel it replaces; its own tone is deleted.

### *2.2. Morphology*

The lexical categories of Hdi are nouns (including pronouns), verbs, a few inherent adjectives and adverbs, and interjections. The class of nouns

includes a set of independent pronouns that behave like full nouns. Most property concept words that have not been lexicalized as adjectives require genitive markers in modifying constructions. Most adverbs, and a few property concept words, are formed through reduplication of nouns or verbs.

The verbal predicate can include inflectional morphemes coding: the number of arguments; the semantic roles of the arguments; the spatial relations between the event and the place of speech; the direction of movement; the nature of the event (singular or plural); the plurality of the object; the point of view; and the referentiality of the event. Tonal changes code the distinction between dative and direct object pronouns.

A very salient feature of Hdi morphology, one that it shares with other languages of the Mandara group, is the existence of a rich system of verbal extensions that interact with inherent properties of verbs, with syntactic coding means, with arguments present in the clause, and with each other. Some verbal extensions have segmental structures identical with locative prepositions; others have non-locative sources.

The reduplication of lexical items is a morphological means whose functions differ depending on the lexical category being reduplicated. Reduplicated property concept words may form adverbs. Reduplication of numerals has a distributive function indicating that each of the individual members of the numeral is a participant in the event. The reduplication of verbs, alone or combined with other grammatical markers, is involved in the formation of aspects. Indirect object pronouns and verbal extensions are infixes between the reduplicated parts of the verb.

Nominal inflection is quite limited. The only inflectional markers we found on nouns are the sporadically used plural marker, a genitive marker that originated as a demonstrative and that is phonologically connected to a preceding noun, and certain tonal changes in genitive constructions.

### 2.3. *Syntax*

In pragmatically and semantically unmarked constructions, i.e. constructions that do not involve topicalization, focus, or role-changing markers, the language is head first and nominative-accusative. In many such constructions the predicate, whether nominal or verbal, precedes the subject and object, if any. When the predicate is verbal, the word order is verb-subject-preposition-object, i.e., the subject follows the verb and the object is marked by the preposition *tá*.

The following are some of the most salient characteristics of Hdi syntax: (1) There are two types of clauses, pragmatically independent and

pragmatically dependent, each having different formal properties. Pragmatically independent clauses are those that can be interpreted on their own, without any presuppositions. Pragmatically dependent clauses require specific presuppositions for their interpretation. The two types of clauses are distinguished by verbal forms, aspectual markers, and subject pronouns. Typically, pragmatically independent clauses are simple affirmative indicative clauses, and about truth (yes/no questions). Pragmatically dependent clauses are negative clauses, specific interrogative clauses ('wh'-questions), comments on focused elements, relative clauses, and a host of syntactically dependent clauses. (2) Hdi has two ways of coding the third-person singular subject, depending on the type of clause and on the aspect of the clause. (3) Subject pronouns are clitics that can be added to verbs or to complements. (4) There exist several means of coding pronominal objects, depending on the class of verbs involved and the aspect of the clause. (5) There is tight interdependency between morphological means of argument coding and configuration. (6) Clausal order is used for the coding of modality.

Three means are involved in the coding of grammatical relations: position with respect to the verb, extensions to the verb, and prepositions. The use of these means interplays with the coding of aspect and the pragmatic type of the clause. There is no one-to-one relationship between a given coding means and the grammatical role it codes. The grammatical role of a noun phrase is the result of interplay among the various coding means used in a given structure. Thus, the position after the verb—the most neutral coding device—may be occupied by the subject of a transitive or an intransitive verb. In focus constructions, the position before the verb may be occupied by either the subject or the object in focus. Which argument occupies which position is determined in part by the way the other arguments are coded and in part by the way in which aspect is coded. These facts argue for assuming the existence of several phrase-structure rules for various functional domains, such as the indicative clause, focus, and others.

Semantic relations between verbs and arguments are coded by a system of verbal extensions. Instead of postulating the existence of unitary semantic roles such as "agent" or "patient", our analysis points to a coding of a semantic relationship consisting of a cluster of features. There are two fundamental categories coded in the grammatical system of Hdi: source-oriented and goal-oriented. Various combinations of the two categories may result in the representation of an event as being [+source oriented, -goal oriented], [+goal oriented, +source oriented], or [+goal oriented, -source oriented]. Depending on the inherent meaning of the verb, these various representations of the event allow for the interpretation of various

arguments as [+affected, +control], [+control, -affected]. Subject control is coded by the point-of-view-of-goal marker *a*, subject affectedness is coded by the point-of-view-of-source marker *u*. Goal orientation is coded through tonal means whether the event is represented from the point of view of goal or not.

Negative clauses can be either pragmatically independent or pragmatically dependent. The two types of clauses have different formal characteristics.

Topicalization differs from focus constructions in that the comment clause on a topicalized element is pragmatically independent, and the comment clause on an element in focus is pragmatically dependent. Relative clause constructions are in many respects identical with focus constructions in that the relative clause is identical with the comment-on-focus clause. Relative clauses encode the existential status of the head noun.

The verb “to say” is omitted from most complex sentences in natural discourse, leaving only the complementizer.

Complex sentence structure employs clausal order to code a difference between *de dicto* and *de re* complements. *De dicto* complement clauses precede the matrix clause, while *de re* complements follow the matrix clause. Subject-to-object raising, or encoding the semantic subject of the complement clause as the object of the matrix clause, is a coding means whose specific function depends on the inherent properties of the verb. Complement clauses also differ from matrix clauses in the system of aspect coding.

We have tried, as much as possible, to provide sources of grammaticalization for each grammatical category. We do this not only because of historical interest but also because an understanding of the sources of grammatical constructions may explain their contemporary form and some of their properties.

#### 2.4 . Discourse structure

It has been claimed (DuBois 1985 and his other writings) that clauses with two full noun phrases are extremely rare in natural discourse. Although we did not conduct a systematic study of discourse, the sample of texts included in the present grammar does not bear out this generalization. Another generalization about discourse is that when a verb appears with a single noun phrase, that noun phrase usually represents the object rather than the agent. This generalization is also not supported by Hdi discourse. When a new noun has been introduced by an intransitive verb, the full noun may occur in the next clause of the same sentence with another in-

transitive verb or with a transitive verb, regardless of the grammatical role of the noun.

# Chapter 2

## Phonology

### 1. Introduction

Our aim in the description of the phonology of Hdi is to provide the main principles of the formation of lexical and grammatical morphemes and the rules for the phonetic realization of these morphemes. Accordingly, we provide an inventory of underlying segments and rules about their phonetic realizations. Two factors affect the phonotactics of consonants and vowels in Hdi: syllable structure constraints, and conditions on sequences of consonants and vowels independent of the syllable structure. Certain phonological rules whose scope is limited only to specific morphemes are discussed when the phonetic realization of these morphemes is described. One of these rules is the insertion of a nasal before pronouns beginning with a vowel.

We also describe the function of phonology in the coding of the syntactic organization of language.

### 2. Consonantal system

#### 2.1. *Phonetic consonants*

The consonantal inventory includes: glottalized consonants, lateral fricatives, prenasalized stops, simple stops, affricates, and continuants. All series except for glottalized consonants have voiced and voiceless contrasts.

We use the following graphic conventions. In the examples used throughout this sketch, we represent the voiced lateral continuant  $\text{ɮ}$  as *zl*, in agreement with orthographies used for Cameroonian languages. However, we represent the voiceless counterpart  $\text{ɮ̥}$  as *hl* rather than *sl*, as usually represented in Cameroonian language orthographies, because the sequence consisting of the alveolar voiceless continuant and the lateral

liquid *sl* actually occurs in Hdi. Both voiced and voiceless lateral continuants impressionistically end with a stop, voiced and voiceless respectively. Hdi shares this characteristic, not otherwise noticed in the study of lateral continuants, with a number of other Chadic languages spoken in northern Cameroon.

The digraphs *ts* and *dz* represent voiceless and voiced affricates. For purely orthographic reasons we have chosen to represent the voiced velar continuant  $\gamma$  as *gh*.

The full phonetic inventory, which does not include sounds found in borrowed words, is represented in Table 1.

*Table 1. Inventory of phonetic consonants*

	Bilabial	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
<b>Stops</b>					
Voiced	<i>b</i>		<i>d</i>		<i>g</i>
Voiceless	<i>p</i>		<i>t</i>		<i>k, kw</i>
Prenasalized	<i>mb</i>		<i>md, nd,</i> <i>ndz</i>		<i>ng</i>
Glottalized	<i>ɓ</i>	'w	<i>ɗ</i>	'y	
<b>Affricates</b>					
Voiced			<i>dz</i>	<i>dʒ</i>	
Voiceless			<i>ts</i>	<i>ç</i>	
<b>Continuants</b>					
Voiced	<i>β</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>ʒ</i>	<i>gh</i>
Voiceless	<i>f</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>š</i>	<i>x</i>
<b>Lateral Cont.</b>					
Voiced			<i>ɮ</i>		
Voiceless			<i>ɬ</i>		
Nasals	<i>m</i>		<i>n</i>		<i>ŋ</i>
Liquid <sup>s</sup>			<i>r</i>		
			<i>l</i>		
Glides	<i>w</i>			<i>y</i>	

## 2.2. Underlying consonants

Table 2 represents a hypothesis with respect to the inventory of underlying consonants. In the discussion that follows the Table 2 we provide evidence for the phonological status of segments.



Table 2. Inventory of underlying consonants

	Bilabial	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Stops					
Voiced	<i>b</i>		<i>d</i>		<i>g</i>
Voiceless	<i>p</i>		<i>t</i>		<i>k</i>
Prenasalized	<i>mb</i>		<i>md, nd</i>		<i>ng</i>
Glottalized	<i>ɸ</i>		<i>ɗ</i>		
Affricates					
Voiced			<i>dz, ndz</i>		
Voiceless			<i>ts</i>		
Continuants					
Voiced		<i>v</i>	<i>z</i>		<i>gh</i>
Voiceless		<i>f</i>	<i>s</i>		<i>x</i>
Lateral Cont.					
Voiced			<i>ɓ</i>		
Voiceless			<i>ɸ</i>		
Nasals	<i>m</i>		<i>n</i>		<i>ŋ</i>
Liquids			<i>r</i>		
			<i>l</i>		
Glides	<i>w</i>			<i>y</i>	

### 2.2.1. Bilabial versus labial consonants

Contrast among labial and labiodental underlying segments is provided by the following items: *bízi* ‘pubic apron worn by young women’, *pítsákw* ‘hoe’, *fitík* ‘sun’, *tiví* ‘road’, *vixà* ‘sift’, *púrkútú ndzúm* ‘papaya’, *hlárpú* ‘side’, *tf-ú-ú-ghá-tfâ-lú* ‘one has spit’.

A number of segments from the phonetic inventory appear to be in complementary distribution. The bilabial voiceless fricative has been recorded only in word-initial position when followed by a bilabial glide and vowel *a*: [*fwád*] ‘four’. The labiodental [*f*] occurs in many environments, including the word-initial and word-final position: *fá-m-tà* ‘put inside’, *fitík* ‘sun, time, day’. We postulate that the bilabial voiceless fricative is a variant of the labiodental fricative, derived by the rule:

Rule 1:  $f \rightarrow f / \_\_ [+round]$ .

The rule states that the labiodental continuant becomes bilabial when followed by either a round vowel or a labial glide. There are no instances of [*f*] followed by a round vowel in the data. The bilabial voiced continuant has been recorded only in some pronunciations of *v* when surrounded by the high round vowel [*u*]. Thus there is a variant of the word *džvú*: [*jùβú*]

## 12 2 Phonology

'hand'. We postulate that [β] is a variant of *v* whose occurrence can be accounted for by the rule:

Rule 2:  $v \rightarrow \beta/u\_\_\_u$ .

All bilabial fricatives will henceforth be represented as *f* or *v*.

### 2.2.2. Alveolar consonants and palatal variants

There is a contrast between alveolar voiced and voiceless stops before all vowels:

(1)	<i>tà</i>	'locative adjunct'	<i>dà</i>	'preposition'
	<i>tùm</i>	'big drum'	<i>xdí</i>	'self-name'
	<i>tùrtúk<sup>w</sup></i>	'one'	<i>dùssúm</i>	'root'

Similarly, there is a contrast between alveolar voiced and voiceless affricates:

(2)	<i>tsá</i>	'definite marker'	<i>dzà'á</i>	'go'
	<i>tsí</i>	'third singular subject'	<i>vdzí</i>	'monkey'
	<i>tsúdáy</i>	'dangerous animals'	<i>dzúmá</i>	'hay'

There is also a contrast between voiced and voiceless alveolar continuants:

(3)	<i>sá</i>	'come'	<i>zá</i>	'eat'
	<i>sí</i>	'referential past marker'	<i>zídik<sup>w</sup></i>	'fly'
	<i>sù</i>	'drink:SO'	<i>zù</i>	'eat:SO'

The palatal continuants *š* and *ž* occur only when followed by front vowels: [gážéngèl] and [géžéngèl] 'a piece of metal, many of which form the pubic apron of a pregnant woman'. We have in our data a few instances of the prepalatal continuants *ç*: [xəç] 'two', in lento speech [xiis]. We therefore take the palatalization of the final consonant to be caused by the preceding long high vowel, which is subsequently shortened to *ə* in a closed syllable. The palatalization of alveolar fricatives may be caused therefore be the a preceding or a following front vowel:

Rule 3: [+alveolar] [+cont]  $\rightarrow$  [+pal]/V[+front].

The rule of palatalization operates only when both the front vowel and the alveolar consonant belong to the same morpheme. The rule does not operate across morpheme boundaries. Thus when the verb *sà* ‘drink’ is followed by the object *imí* ‘water’, the final vowel of the verb is replaced by the first vowel of the noun, resulting in the phonetic structure [sìmí], not [šimí] ‘drink water’. Since palatal continuants can be derived by rules, we postulate them to be variants of the underlying alveolar continuants [s] and [z].

Alveolar affricates become palatalized when followed by a high vowel. The rule is obligatory for the high front vowel and optional for the high round vowel. Thus [ts] becomes [c] and [dz] becomes [j] before [u] or [i]:

- (4) *dz-ù-dú-s-dzà* → [j-ù-dú-s-dzà], [dz-ù-d-ús-dzà]  
 hit-SO-1SG-INV-hit  
 ‘he slapped me’ (cf. *dzà* ‘hit’)

*tsí* → [cɪ] ‘third person singular subject’  
*jíbil* ‘outdoors’ may well be underlyingly *dzíbi*  
*džvú* after optional vowel insertion → [jùβú] ‘hand’

Again, *s* does not palatalize even though it is preceded by a high front vowel. The absence of palatalization is explained by the morpheme boundary’s occurring between the two segments. Eguchi 1971 does not make a distinction between palatal and non-palatal voiced and voiceless affricates, and represents them all as *j* and *c* respectively.

### 2.2.3. Velar consonants

There is a contrast between velar voiced and voiceless stops:

- (5) *kà* ‘sequential marker’      *gà* ‘preposition’  
*kí’yá* ‘small’                      *gìgdá* ‘mix’  
*kúm* ‘want’                           *gù* ‘goat’

The labialized velar [kw] occurs in both word-initial and word-final position. In the latter position it is in contrast with the velar stop [k]:

Word initial:

(6)	<i>k</i>		<i>kw</i>	
	<i>ká</i>	'complementizer'	<i>kwá</i>	'calabash'
	<i>kí</i>	'how'	<i>kwítìkw</i>	'small'
			<i>kwálá</i>	'lack, fail'

Word final

(7)	<i>m̀ták</i>	'bush'	<i>ghàtálàkw</i>	'chicken'
	<i>gúdíkw</i>	'together'	<i>téekw</i>	'one'
	<i>vàzák</i>	'rooster'	<i>pìtsákw</i>	'hoe'
	<i>tákázák</i>	'man's leather garment'	<i>tùrtúkw</i>	'alone'
			<i>kítìkw</i>	'small'

We analyze the labialized velar as the result of high back vowel reduction in word-final position. This reduction applies only to low tone syllables. The rule of labialization could be formulated as follows:

Rule 4:  $\dot{u} \rightarrow w/C[+velar] \_\_\_ \#$ .

The instances of *kú* in word-final position have high tone: *m̀ùkú* 'six', *rákú* 'proper name, feminine'. A cluster analysis of *kw* cannot be maintained, as there are no other word-final clusters in Hdi. Therefore, we postulate *kw* as resulting from labialization of the high back vowel following a velar consonant. Some words in the right-hand (*kw*) column above are actually pronounced with either a high back vowel or the labial glide: *kítìkw* and *kítìkù* 'small'.

Despite the analysis that derives *kw* from *kù*, we represent the segments as *kw* so as to reflect the phonetic realization and phonetic changes that have taken place. Further support for the proposed hypothesis about the origin of *kw* comes from a more general rule of labialization, as discussed below.

The contrast between the voiceless and voiced velar fricatives *x* and *gh* has been recorded in word-initial and intervocalic position. Both consonants can also occur in clusters with other consonants, stops and continuants alike, but not with each other, thus *\*xgh* and *\*ghx*. Members of these clusters have different values for the feature voice. As is the case with other obstruents, there is no voicing contrast in the word-final position. We postulate both *x* and *gh* as underlying segments:

(8)		Voiceless		Voiced	
	Initial	<i>xkán</i>	'three'	<i>ghán</i>	'head'
		<i>xdí</i>	'Hdi'	<i>ghùáj</i>	'ten'
	Medial	<i>m̀ndú-xà</i>	'men'	<i>tghás</i>	'eight'

A velar continuant may be palatalized when followed by the palatal glide:

- (9) *yá*      *vwàx*    *yá* → [yávwàxyá] and [yávwàx'yá]  
 DEM    field    DEM  
 'that field'
- ɓábáx*    *yá*      →      [ɓábáxyá] and [ɓábàx'yá]  
 shoe    DEM  
 'that shoe'

#### 2.2.4. Prenasalized and glottalized stops and affricates

In word-initial position there is a contrast between voiced, voiceless, prenasalized, and glottalized series. Contrast alone, however, is not a sufficient criterion to postulate prenasalized stops as underlying segments. In view of the rich variation in consonant clusters in word-initial and medial positions, one has to decide whether what appears as a prenasalized stop [nd] (or prenasalized affricate [ndz]) is a single underlying segment or a cluster of two segments. One criterion could be the role of the segment as a tone-bearing unit. If a nasal is a tone-bearing unit, we postulate it to be a separate segment. Another criterion is the length of the consonants in lento speech. If, in lento speech, the speaker separates the nasal from the following stop, we consider the nasal to be a separate segment. If the speaker does not separate the nasal from the following stop, we consider the two to be components of one underlying segment, a prenasalized stop. These two criteria have proven to be quite useful. For example, there exist the words *m̀ndú* 'man' (which was recorded with low-high tone sequence as represented) and *mbítsá* 'proper name, masculine'. Either word could begin with a prenasalized stop or a consonant cluster. Speakers of the language have no difficulty in lengthening the first segment of the word *m̀ndú*, in effect producing two syllables. However, they cannot lengthen the first segment of the word *mbítsá* to produce three syllables. Therefore, the status of the initial nasal component in *mbítsá* seems to differ from that in *m̀ndú*. Other examples containing prenasalized stops are *mná* 'say' and *ngá* 'for (preposition)'. The third criterion for deciding whether a given sequence of sounds is a consonant cluster or a complex segment is vowel insertion. In the plural form of the verb the vowel *a* is inserted after the first consonant. Thus the sequence *ngl'* 'mount' consists of two segments, as evidenced by the vowel insertion:

(10) *tà ng-á-l-áy tá plis-xà*  
 IMPF mount-PL-PO OBJ horse-PL  
 'he mounts horses' (singular *nglá*)

(11) *tà ngl-áy tá plis*  
 IMPF mount-PO OBJ horse  
 'he mounts a horse'

Compare the plural form *xáná* 'sleep' of the verb *xná* 'lie down'. According to the criteria listed above, the prenasalized stops should be included in the underlying inventory.

Glottalized consonants are in contrast with non-glottalized consonants. There are, however, instances in which the same word may be produced with either a glottalized or a non-glottalized consonant: *bádzá* or *bádzá* 'spoil'. Glottalized glides 'w and 'y are not, however, underlying.

There is one prenasalized affricate, *ndz*, recorded in the verb *ndzvá* 'connect, tie'. Although we do not have the plural form of this verb that would have demonstrated affirmatively that *ndz* is one segment, the general phonotactics of consonants argues for its monosegmental structure. There are no three-consonant clusters in word-initial position.

### 2.2.5. Nasals

There are three phonetic nasals: labial, alveolar, and velar. In syllable-final but not word-final position all three nasals can occur. The alveolar nasal becomes velar in syllable-final position as per the rule:

Rule 5:  $n \rightarrow \eta / \_ \#$ .

The evidence for velarization is provided by the behavior of the same consonants in intervocalic and in word-final positions. Thus the nasal is velar in word-final position in the isolation form for "child" [zwán]. The plural form is [zwánì], i.e., it has an alveolar nasal. When a word is used in a syntactic construction, its phonetic form in isolation rather than its underlying form is used. Thus when the word *zwán* is used in a genitive construction, the form [zwán] rather than [zwán] is used. The velar nasal is realized as a cluster of a velar nasal and the voiced velar stop [g]. In lento speech the following syllabic division obtains:

- (12) *zwáŋ á kri* → [zwáŋ.gá.kri]  
 child GEN dog  
 ‘puppy’

The rule finds additional support in the behavior of foreign words ending in a nasal consonant:

- (13) *pàtrón á dá* → [pàtrón.gá.dá]  
 boss GEN 1SG  
 ‘my boss’

Not all velar nasals can be accounted for by the rule deriving the velar nasal from the alveolar nasal:

- (14) *ŋúhlín* ‘white’  
*kdfiŋà-* ‘spot, espy’

Because of these and other cases where the two segments are in contrast, we postulate the velar nasal as an underlying segment. It is useful to represent all velar nasals as *ŋ*, whether underlying or derived, as a reminder of how a consonant is pronounced in a given position. Thus the first-person plural exclusive pronoun is represented as *íŋní*, the word for ‘child’ as *zwáŋ* or *zóŋ*, depending on the actual variant recorded. The contrast between the velar nasal *ŋ* and the prenasalized velar stop *ng* is more difficult to assess. In the prenasalized stop the velar stop is impressionistically much more audible.

### 2.2.6. Lateral continuants versus stops

The two lateral continuants *hl* and *zl* are in contrast with each other as well as with other consonantal segments. There are no lateral continuants in word-final position, but they do occur in the word-initial and intervocalic position as well as in consonantal clusters, where they are the second component of the cluster. As noted above, the lateral continuants end as stops, voiceless and voiced as appropriate.

### 2.2.7. Glides

The velar and palatal glides are in contrast in word-initial, intervocalic, and word-final position:

- (15) *pákáw* 'leopard'  
 -áy 'object marker in imperfective'  
*táw* 'cry'

Both glides can occur before low vowel *a*:

- (16) *wà* 'negative marker'                      *yá* 'demonstrative'

Before high vowel *i* the palatal glide occurs only as a member of a consonant cluster, and even then, the number of attested cases is very small:

- (17) *myí-xà* 'wives'

There are no cases of a palatal glide followed by the high back vowel *u* other than as a variant of the first-person singular subject pronoun *-íyù*. The presence of the glide in this form may be accounted for by an epenthesis rule inserting a palatal glide between a front and a back vowel.

The labial glide occurs before both front and back high vowels, whether in word-initial position or as a part of consonant cluster:

- (18) *wì* 'mouth'  
*wíg* 'take out'  
*gwì'yán* 'elephant'  
*skwì* 'thing'  
*wù* 'interrogative particle'  
*wúyá* 'festival'  
*wùd* 'fight'

Thus, there is a significant asymmetry in the distribution of labial and palatal glides. The glottalized glides 'w and 'y have been recorded only when in intervocalic position, preceded by a high front or back vowel and followed by low vowel, described below in the rules of glottal stop insertion.

### 2.2.8. Glottal stop and glide insertion

The glottal stop occurs in some intervocalic positions and preceding a palatal or a labial glide. It would appear to be in contrast with other consonants. The distribution of the glottal stop is, however, significantly different from that of other consonants in that it occurs only between identi-



cal vowels or between a vowel and a glide that shares with the vowel the features for height or roundness.

- (19) *zì'yá* 'smell'  
*hlí'yá* 'leave, move one's household'  
*kì'yá* 'a little'  
*mì'í* 'wives'  
*ù'wà* 'milk'  
*dzà'á* 'go', 'future tense marker'

The glottal stop is an whose function is to preserve the second underlying vowel of the word. Given the rules of vowel replacement, the second vowel would have merged with the preceding vowel if there had been no glottal stop. This rule accounts for the forms *mì'í* 'wives' and *dzà'á* 'go', 'future tense marker'.

If the second vowel is lower than the first vowel, the glottal stop is inserted together with a glide whose features round and palatal are determined by the same features in the preceding vowel. This rule accounts for the forms:

- (20) *zì'yá* 'smell'  
*hlí'yá* 'leave, move one's household'  
*kì'yá* 'a little'

The glottal stop insertion also accounts for the phonetic changes that take place at morpheme boundaries:

- (21) *mì'í-á*            *mìghám*    → [mì'yá m̀ghám]  
wives-GEN    chief  
'wives of the chief'

The second vowel of the sequence separated by the glottal stop may be replaced by a vowel from the following morpheme, as per vowel replacement rules described in 5.6 below:

- (22) *nù'wà-áy*            → [nù'wàý]  
fatten-PO  
'fatten'
- (23) *ù'wà-á*    *úú*        → [ù'wà'ú] and not [ùùú]  
milk-GEN    1DU  
'our milk'

Two rules operate with respect to labial glides: The underlying labial glide is deleted between a high round and a low back vowel, and a labial glide is inserted between an underlying high round vowel and a low back vowel.

The presence of an underlying palatal glide is also a barrier to vowel replacement.

The word *ùvá* has a variant *wùvá*. The two variants may be instances of either glide insertion or glide deletion. Given the presence of other words beginning with *u*, the variant with the initial glide is probably the result of glide insertion.

The glide formation and the glide deletion rules are independently supported by other data in the language. Rules of glide insertion and glide deletion operate in tandem in other Chadic languages as well (cf. Frajzyngier 1989).

### 3. Phonotactics of consonants

#### 3.1. The distribution of single consonants

Four positions are relevant for the distribution of consonants: word-initial, intervocalic, word-final, and phrase-final. All types of stops have been recorded in word-initial and intervocalic position. In word-final, phrase-internal position only some obstruents occur. In phrase-final position fewer obstruents can occur than in word-final, phrase-internal position. The distribution of stops is represented in Table 3.

*Table 3. Distribution of stops in phonetic forms*

	Word initial	Intervocalic	Word final	Phrase final
Voiced	<i>b, d, g</i>	<i>b, d, g</i>	<i>b, d, g</i>	
Voiceless	<i>p, t, k</i>	<i>p, t, k</i>	<i>p, k, kw</i>	<i>p, k, kw</i>
Prenasalized	<i>mb, nd, ŋg</i>	<i>mb, ng</i>		
Nasal	<i>n, m</i>	<i>n, m, ŋ</i>	<i>m, ŋ</i>	<i>m, ŋ</i>
Glottalized	<i>ɓ, ɗ</i>	<i>ɓ, ɗ</i>	<i>ɓ, ɗ</i>	

The word-final, phrase-internal position may have consonants whose presence results from the deletion of the final vowel. The word-final, phrase-final position is not the one where the final vowels are deleted; any consonants that occur there represent underlying word-final consonants. The glottalized stops *ɓ* and *ɗ* have not been recorded in phrase-final position, e.g. in the phonetic form of words in isolation. But they are postulated to be in word-final position in the underlying form of words: *pɗ*

'leave an object', *ghúb* 'wash an object'. In addition, when the final vowel of a word is reduced in the phrase-internal position, both glottalized consonants can occur in word-final position:

- (24) *xàd kób dà tsí wà*  
 lack money PREP 3SG NEG  
 'he/she does not have any money'

Similarly the voiced stops have not been recorded in phrase-final position, but they do occur in word-final, phrase-internal position:

- (25) *xàd ná*  
 place DEM  
 'this place'

Affricates and continuants have a distribution similar to that of stops (see Table 4).

*Table 4. Distribution of affricates and continuants*

	Word initial	Intervocalic	Word final	Phrase final
Voiced	<i>z, gh, zl, dz</i>	<i>z, gh, zl, dz</i>	<i>z</i>	
Voiceless	<i>s, x, hl, ts</i>	<i>s, x, hl, ts</i>	<i>s, x, hl</i>	<i>s, x</i>

### 3.2. Consonantal clusters

Consonant clusters in Hdi are constrained by the following elements: the syllabic position of the cluster, the place of articulation, the manner of articulation, and the syllabicity of the segment.

There are many more clusters allowed in the syllabic onset than in the syllabic coda. Two-consonant clusters are common in both word-initial and intervocalic position, but there are no clusters in word-final and phrase-final position. The general principle for consonant cluster formation is that the consonants in a cluster should differ maximally, i.e., they should differ in place of articulation, manner of articulation, and syllabicity properties. Manner of articulation alone does not constrain consonant clusters, since all possible combinations of consonants except for liquid-liquid are allowed. Table 5 illustrates clusters allowed as syllabic onsets, as evidenced by their appearance in word-initial position and clusters in intervocalic position. Rows represent the first element in the cluster and columns the second element. The position in parenthesis is the only position allowed for the cluster:

*Table 5. Consonantal clusters and manner of articulation*

	Stops	Continuants	Nasals	Liquids
Stops	+	+	+	+
Continuants	+	+	+	+
Nasals	+	+	+	+
Liquids	+	+	+(V V)	-

In word-initial position there may occur two stops: *bgíni* 'bad spirit', *ǫgá* 'thresh', *tdá* 'pull'; a stop and a continuant: *txá* (Eguchi 1971 *tghá*) 'expel from body', *tghà* 'door'; or a continuant and a stop: *xgá* 'house, compound', *zdá* 'good'. Clusters with liquids in first or second position are allowed in word-initial and intervocalic position: *lgùt* 'cloth, shirt', *xlá* 'gather', *krì* 'dog'. Affricates followed by continuants are allowed in word-initial position: *tsgh-áy* 'send a thing'. There are very few cases of a continuant followed by another continuant. The cases that have been recorded occur in word-initial position: *ghzú* 'beer made from guinea corn', *ghzl* 'chase'. There are no word-initial voiceless clusters: \**xs*, \**sx*, \**fx*. There are instances of *fx* in intervocalic position as a result of the combination of two morphemes: *xlá-f-xl-í* 'I gathered up'. The absence of word-initial voiceless clusters is explained by the principle of the maximalization of differentiation within a cluster. The word-initial position represents the underlying form of the morpheme, where the maximalization of differentiation operates, while word-medial clusters may represent different morphemes and are not subject to the maximalization of differentiation principle.

### 3.3. Constraints imposed by place of articulation

Clusters of consonants produced in the same or an adjacent place of articulation are allowed only if the manner of articulation is different. Thus if the same place of articulation is involved and both consonants are stops, they will differ in voicing: *tdá* 'dig', *dfà* 'fall'. If both consonants are produced in the same place of articulation and have the same value for the feature voice, they will differ in the manner of articulation, e.g., one will be a stop and the other will be a continuant: *kxú* 'smallpox vaccination, vaccination scar' (Eguchi 1971, *kxá* 'smallpox scar'). Clusters formed by consonants produced in non-adjacent places are allowed regardless of the manner of articulation, with an interesting constraint to be described shortly. Table 6 represents allowed and disallowed clusters from the point of view of the place of articulation.

Table 6. Consonantal clusters and place of articulation

	Bilabial	Labial	Alveolar	Velar
Bilabial	-	-	+	+
Labial	-	-	-	+
Alveolar	-	stop-cont.	+	+
Velar	-	-	+	-

Two consonants in a cluster may have different values for the feature voice: *sná* ‘know, hear’, *xná* ‘slaughter’, *dzáwá-p-dzáwá* ‘he sold it’.

Three-consonant clusters are allowed in the following configurations: continuant-stop-stop, stop-continuant-stop, stop-stop-stop. All three consonant clusters are allowed only in word-medial position, always representing a combination of different morphemes:

Nasal-stop-stop:

- (26) *kɔ́-í-n-kɔ́*  
 ‘finish-AWAY-3-finish’  
 ‘he finished it’

Continuant-stop-stop:

- (27) *kɔ́á-f-kɔ́á*      *sárák . . .*  
 finish-UP-finish    whip  
 ‘the whip finished . . .’

Nasal-continuant-nasal:

- (28) *snà-n-sn-íyù*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG  
 ‘I heard’

Continuant-continuant-sonorant:

- (29) *xlá-f-xl-í*  
 gather-UP-gather-1SG  
 ‘I gathered up . . .’

Nasal-stop-continuant:

- (30) *pgh-ì-n-pghà*      *tá*      *hlú’wí*  
 put-AWAY-3-put    OBJ    meat  
 ‘she threw away meat’

With respect to stops, the following constraint obtains: Within the same morpheme the first consonant has a place of articulation in front of the place of articulation of the following consonant counting from the labial region. Thus, *pd*, *bg*, and *dg* are allowed, but *\*db*, *\*dp*, *\*gb*, and *\*gd* are not. Examples follow:

- (31) *dgá* 'divide'  
*kà bgà* 'because'

When two consonants belong to different morphemes, back consonants may occur before front consonants. Thus the inner space extension *g* [k] may be followed by the referential suffix *-tá*:

- (32) *klà-k-tá* . . .  
 bring-INN-REF  
 'he/she brought it . . .'

The order of continuants and stops is not determined by the place of articulation of segments:

- (33) *txá* 'expel'  
*ksá* 'take'  
*d'vá* 'like, want'

With respect to continuants and affricates both orders are possible:

- (34) *màxtsím* 'tomorrow'

Geminate clusters are allowed:

- (35) *nghà-n-ngh-í* → [nghà-nngh-í]  
 see-3-see-1SG  
 'I saw him'

### 3.4. Constraints imposed by the manner of articulation

In addition to the place of articulation, there are also constraints with respect to the secondary characteristics of consonants that prevent certain clusters from occurring. Clusters consisting of glottalized consonants

followed by lateral continuant are disallowed: \**dhl*, \**dzl*. If such a cluster were about to occur, an epenthetic vowel would be inserted.

Three-consonant clusters consisting of a stop-stop-stop or stop-liquid-stop are disallowed. Hence there are no clusters of the form: \**ptk*, \**bdg*, \**bgd*, etc., or \**kld*, \**klg*, \**klm*, etc.

#### 4. Consonant devoicing

A voiced consonant becomes voiceless when not followed by a sonorant in a syllabic coda:

Rule 6: C [+voice] → [-voice]/\_\_\_[-son].

Evidence that this rule is conditioned by the syllabic position rather than by the consonantal environment is provided by the existence in syllabic onsets of clusters whose consonants have different values for voice. Compare the behavior of the inner and down extension *gá*:

- (36) *vrà-gá-vr-í*                      *dzághà*  
 return-INN-return-1SG home  
 ‘I returned home’ (from a higher elevation)

When the extension is not followed by a vowel, the velar consonant is voiceless even when following a vowel and preceding a voiced consonant:

- (37) *vrà-k-vr-í*                      *dzághà*  
 return-INN-return-1SG home  
 ‘I returned home’ (from an equal elevation, said at the place to which the subject has returned)

Consider also the “movement out” extension *b* as it occurs with the verbs *sá* ‘come’ and *lá* ‘go’. The evidence for the underlying voiced quality of the consonant of the extension is provided by morphemes when the extension is followed by a vowel:

- (38) *sá-bà*      *á*      *wà*  
 arrive-OUT NEG      NEG  
 ‘he did not come out’

The marker of “movement out” extension has two forms, The voiced *b* with the verbs of movement *sá* ‘arrive’ *lá* ‘depart’ and voiceless *p* with all

other verbs. The voiced variant of the extension with the verbs *sá* and *lá* becomes voiceless before a voiceless obstruent:

- (39) [sá-p-sà]  
arrive-OUT-arrive  
'he came out'

Before a sonorant extension, *b* stays voiced:

- (40) *lá-b-là*  
go-OUT-go  
'he went out'

## 5. Vowel system

The phonetic vowels are *a*, *ə*, *i*, *u*, *e*, and *o*. The two mid vowels are rare. The only words containing *o* in our data are borrowings: Thus for *gwár* 'cola nut' a variant [gór] has been recorded. Both forms are borrowings either from Fula *gooro* or Hausa *goro* 'cola nut'; *kóbù* 'money', a Hausa borrowing; and the occasional variant *ghòzú* for *ghzú* 'beer' (*bilbil* in northern Cameroonian French).

Only a few words in our data contain the underlying front mid vowel *e* (which is lower-mid rather than higher-mid): *dèrí* 'hat', *bèkúlà* 'bulbul'. Some of these words may be borrowings. The noun *bèkúlà* must be related to *bokulay Pycnonotus barbatus* (Pycnontides), *bulbul commun'* in Mafa (cf. Barreteau and le Bleis 1990: 87). The word *dèrí* 'hat' is certainly related to the word *dara* 'hat, fez' commonly found in the area (Margi, Hoffmann 1963; Hausa, Abraham 1962). Many instances of phonetic *e* appear to be the product of lowering of the high front vowel *i* in closed syllables: *dímdím* or *démdém* 'all'. Since we cannot account for all instances of *e* as a borrowing or a product of the lowering rule, we postulate *e* as an underlying vowel.

The high central vowel *ə* is fully predictable in the verbal system, where it is inserted by the requirements of tone realization and syllable structure constraints. In the nominal system schwa is in contrast with other vowels: *ghán* 'head', *lghún* 'a type of yellow cake'. Thus although with respect to verbs, we do not postulate schwa to be part of the underlying structure, with respect to nouns there is no choice, unless one would postulate vowelless underlying structures with schwa inserted by epenthesis rules. We postulate therefore that some schwas are inserted and that others are part of the underlying structure (see Table 7).



Table 7. Inventory of underlying vowels

Front	Central	Back
<i>i</i>	<i>ə</i>	<i>u</i>
<i>e</i>		<i>a</i>

Evidence of vowel contrasts is provided by the following pairs of lexical items representing open and closed syllable environments:

- (41) *tà* 'preposition'  
*kwítíkw* 'small'  
*kri* 'dog'  
*-kú* 'absolutive marker'
- (42) *mǎhám* 'chief'  
*túm* 'big drum'  
*təm* 'onion'  
*ngúdùf* 'heart'  
*txùrúm* 'rat'

### 5.1. Vowel raising

The low vowel *a* may be raised to *e* when followed by a palatal glide, as evidenced occasionally in pronunciation of the imperfective object marker *-áy*. The raising has been observed in speech of some speakers:

- (43) *gùy-áy-mú* *tá* *vghá* → [gùy-éy-mú]  
meet-PO-1PL.INCL OBJ body  
'let us meet'
- (44) [xn-áy] or [xn-éy]  
cut-PO  
'slaughter'

The sequence *ay* may be reduced to [e] in fast speech:

- (45) *tà* *z-áy-z-áy-lú* → [zé-zé]  
IMPF eat-PO-eat-PO-UH  
'they are eating'

The form [zé] coexists with [z-áy]. The raising rule is optional. Most forms do not raise *a* when it is followed by *y*: *zb-áy* 'choose', *kúm-ày* 'like, want', *tsúdáy* 'animals dangerous to man'.

### 5.2. Vowel lowering

Sporadic vowel lowering takes place when a high vowel is followed by a low vowel. The high vowel may be lowered one step:

Rule 7:  $V[+high] \rightarrow [-high] / \_\_\_ CV[+low]$ .

- (46) *ghùzú-á kwálábá* → [ghòzá kwálábá]  
 beer-GEN bottle  
 ‘bottled beer’

Vowel lowering may also be caused by low consonants, viz. velar continuants and the glottal stop; thus, *i* becomes *e* when followed by a low consonant:

- (47) *stìxá* → [stìxá] and [stèxá]  
 ‘kidneys’

### 5.3. Vowel rounding

The high vowel becomes round when followed by the round glide within the same morpheme or across a morpheme boundary within the same phrase. The following rule accounts for this process:

Rule 8:  $V[+high] \rightarrow [+round] / \_\_\_ w$ .

It is not possible to determine whether the vowel that becomes round is underlyingly front or schwa. Given the fact that the final non-grammatical vowels are deleted in phrase-internal position (cf. 5.7 below), and that schwa is inserted for syllabification, the examples below may represent equally well the rounding of the front high or central high vowel:

- (48) *xàdú imí wà* → [xàdí múwà]  
 lack water NEG  
 ‘there is no water’

- (49) *xàní wà* → [xànúwà]  
 sleep NEG  
 ‘he does not sleep’

- (50) *xdí á ká tsì wà* → [xdá-á-ká tsù-wà]  
 Hdi NEG COMP 3SG NEG  
 ‘she should not be a Hdi’

The vowel rounding rule does not apply when *i* is the only component of a morpheme, as in the case of the first-person singular marker:

- (51) *xàdú xìyá ñní dà í wà* [íwà]  
 lack guinea corn 1PL.EXCL PREP 1SG NEG  
 ‘we have no guinea corn at my place’

#### 5.4. Vowel fronting

The high central schwa is fronted when followed by the palatal glide *y*. The rule applies to schwas that have been inserted after word-final vowels were deleted, as per rule described in 5.7. below:

- (52) *m̀ndú yà* → *m̀ndá yà* → [m̀ndíyà]  
 man COP  
 ‘it is a man’

- (53) *d̀gú yà* → *d̀gə yà* → [d̀gíyà]  
 threshing COP  
 ‘it is threshing’

#### 5.5. Vowel epenthesis

Three factors motivate vowel epenthesis: syllable structure conditions, consonant clusters constraints, and the realization of grammatical tone.

The syllable structure condition requires a vowel insertion if a disallowed syllable structure were going to occur as a result of the affixation process. Thus if a disallowed syllabic onset or coda were going to emerge, an epenthetic vowel must be inserted. The tone realization conditions require a vowel insertion, if the absence of the tone were about to affect the grammatical coding that is realized by the tone. The consonant cluster constraint requires epenthesis if a disallowed cluster were about to emerge.

The syllabification process takes place from left to right. The vowel is inserted in the first place where the violation of the syllabic structure oc-

curs. The vowel from the next syllable is copied into the disallowed position or into the first position that requires a tone realization:

(54) *kl'-g-í-d-á-ghà*                      *ìmí*    → [klígídághà]  
 take-INN-AWAY-1SG-PVG-D:PVG    water  
 'bring me some water!'

(55) *kl'-g-ì-xà*                      *ìmí*                      → [klígìxà]  
 take-INN-1SG-DOWN    water  
 'bring me down some water!'

If the syllable does not have its own tone, the tone of the epenthetic vowel the same as the tone of the source from which the vowel is copied (cf. 7.4 below):

(56) *b-d-í-d-f-bà*                                      → [bídídífjà]  
 build-ALL-AWAY-1SG-UP-build  
 'he built me [a wall]'

The epenthetic vowel is *a* if the vowel in the next syllable is *a*:

(57) *mà kl-à-d-á-f-ká*                                      → [mà klàdáfká]  
 PROH    take-EP-ALL-PVG-UP-2SG  
 'do not take it up there'

If the tone position is the last position in the word, that is, if there is no following syllable in the same word, the epenthetic vowel is schwa. Thus when the negative auxiliary *xàdú* is followed by a subject enclitic, the final vowel *u* is deleted because it is in phrase-internal position, but it is replaced by schwa because of the need to code high tone before the following subject:

(58) *xàd' ñní . . .*    → [xàd'ə-ñní]  
 lack    1PL.EXCL  
 'we do not . . .'

Whether a vowel in a given structure is epenthetic or underlying can be determined only from the morphological and syntactic structure of the verb.

Syllable onsets with a consonant followed by a sonorant are disallowed. To prevent such clusters an epenthetic vowel is inserted. For the sonorant *y* the *i* is inserted according to the rule:

Rule 9:  $\emptyset \rightarrow i/C\_ \#y$ .

For example, the interrogative marker for non-human participants is *ń*. When the marker is followed by the copula *yà*, the vowel *i* is inserted:

(59) *ń yà* → [níyà]  
 what COP

Sonorant *l* is transparent with respect to vowel insertion. The epenthetic vowel is identical with the vowel following the sonorant:

(60) *vrà-k-vr'-lú* → [vrà-k-vr-ú-lú]  
 return-INN-return-UH  
 'they returned' (high tone on the epenthetic vowel because it precedes a subject pronoun)

When the [-human] interrogative *ń* is followed by a word beginning with a consonant, an epenthetic vowel is inserted. The epenthetic vowel is identical with the first vowel present to the right of the interrogative marker:

(61) *ń z-ú-ká nà* → [n-ú-z-ú-ká nà]  
 what eat-SO-2SG Q  
 'what did you eat?'

### 5.6. Vowel replacement

The morpheme-final vowel is replaced by the initial vowel of the following morpheme if the two belong to the same phrase:

Rule 10:  $V_1 \rightarrow V_2/ \_ \#V_2$ .

The rule always operates in fast speech. When the associative plural marker *ì* in subject position follows complementizer *ká*, the result in normal speech is the sequence [kî]. In slow speech it is [ká ì]. The tone of the morpheme whose final vowel is replaced remains and is realized on the new syllable. The final vowel replacement may result in one vowel or

two vowels, viz., the sequence  $V_1 + V_2$  may result in  $V_2$  or in  $V_2V_2$ . In normal speech only one vowel is produced after replacement. In *lento* speech two vowels are rearticulated. Both of these cases are illustrated by the following examples:

(62) *tá*    *ìmí*    → [tímí]  
OBJ    water

(63) *ndá*    *íí*    → [ndíí]  
ASSC    1SG  
'with me'

(64) *tá*    *ù'wà*    → [túù'à]  
OBJ    milk

(65) *sà*    *ìmí*    → [sìimí]  
drink    water  
'to drink water'

The first-person singular object marker *-íxà* and the subject clitic *í* replace the final vowel of the verb. Thus, when the first-person benefactive pronoun is added to the verb *pdá* 'to leave some' with the goal-oriented marker *á*, the vowel of the verb is replaced by the vowel of the pronoun:

(66) *pd-íxà-pdá*  
leave-1SG-leave  
'he left it for me'

Subject clitics:

(67) *nghà-n-ngh-í*    *tà*    *lú má*  
see-3-see-1SG    PREP    market  
'I saw him at the market'

(68) *ndùs-íyù*  
close-1SG  
'I am close' (cf. *ndùsá* 'close')

Vowel replacement affects not only lexical vowels but also grammatical morphemes. The following example has two replacements: the lexical vowel *i* by the genitive morpheme *á* and the genitive marker *á* by the

vowel of the first-person dual. The lexical low tone of the word *krì* ‘dog’ remains stable throughout these replacements:

- (69) *krì-á-ú* → [kràú] and [krùú]  
 dog-GEN-1DU  
 ‘our dog’

The liquid *l* is transparent with respect to vowel replacement, i.e., the vowel following the liquid replaces the final vowel of the preceding morpheme. The vowel replacement is, however, not obligatory:

- (70) *tà lúmá* → [tùlúmá] and [tà lúmá]  
 PREP market  
 ‘at the market’

- (71) *tf-ú-’ú-ághá-tfá-lú* → [tf-ú-’wá-ghá-tfú-lú]  
 spit-1DU-D:PVG-spit-UH  
 ‘we (1DU:INCL) were blessed’<sup>1</sup>

The interrogative ‘where’ is *gá*, as attested by examples in which this word is followed by a consonant-initial morpheme. But when *gá* is followed by a vowel-initial morpheme, the vowel *a* is replaced by the vowel of the following morpheme:

- (72) *gá í nà* → [gíínà]  
 where 1SG Q  
 ‘where am I?’

Another vowel-initial morpheme is the first-person dual marker *úú*. When it is added to a verb, the vowel of the verb is replaced by *u*:

- (73) *zú-úú-zá* → [zú-ú-zá]  
 eat-1DU-eat  
 ‘let us eat!’
- (74) *sù-úú-sà* → [sùúsà]  
 drink-1DU-drink  
 ‘let us drink’

## 5.7. Vowel deletion

In phrase-internal position the morpheme-final vowel is reduced. Thus the interrogative marker *wà* 'who' is realized as [w] in phrase-internal position:

- (75) *slá-á wà nà* → [sláwnà]  
 leg-GEN who Q  
 'whose leg?'

When the vowel reduction would result in a disallowed consonant cluster, a schwa is inserted. Consider the word *m̀ndú* 'man'. In phrase-internal position the final vowel is deleted. But if the following word begins with a consonant cluster, a schwa is inserted. The schwa assumes the tone of the syllable to which it is added:

- (76) *m̀ndó rxá*  
 man wise  
 'wise man'

The situation is similar for monosyllabic words that begin with a consonant cluster. When such words are followed by a word beginning with a consonant, vowel deletion would result in a three-consonant cluster in word-initial position. An epenthetic schwa is therefore inserted to satisfy syllabification rules:

- (77) *xdí xən* → [xdəxəŋ]  
 Hdi 3PL  
 'these are Hdi people'

## 5.8. Glide formation

A high back vowel becomes a glide after a velar, labial, or liquid consonant and before *a*, according to the rule:

Rule 11: u → w/C[+velar, labial, liquid] \_\_\_\_ (C)a.

- (78) *gù á wà* → [gwà á wà]  
 goat NEG NEG  
 'it is not a goat'



- (79) *kɔ̀d̥à-kú-á-ní* → [kɔ̀d̥àkwáni]  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 'its having finished'

A glide may emerge as a result of vowel spread. The vowel *a* originating as a genitive marker may spread to the left. If it encounters a velar consonant followed by a high round vowel, the high vowel becomes a labial glide:

- (80) *zɡún-à* → [zɡwánà]  
 man (*vir*)-GEN  
 'man's'
- (81) *kóbò-á-ú* → [kwábà-ú]  
 money-GEN-1DU  
 'our money'

An example of labialization following a labial consonant is provided by the behavior of the root *fú* 'heat' when followed by *a*:

- (82) *fú-fwá*            *ìmí*  
 heat up-heat up    water  
 'water heated up'
- (83) *fw-á-p-fw-á*            *ìmí*  
 heat up-PVG-UP-heat up    water  
 'water boiled'

It is very likely that morphemes that have the sequence [C[velar] wa] represent a sequence /C[velar] ua/. Thus *ghwán* 'ten' may be underlyingly *ghúán*.

The sequence *ua*, even after a velar consonant, may result in round low mid vowel [o]. Thus the word *zwán* 'child', probably derived from *zùán*, is sometimes pronounced *zón*.

- (84) *màrkw*    *á*        *tàn*    → [màrkwátàn] and [màrkótàn]  
 wife            GEN    3PL  
 'his wife' (The third-person plural rather than singular pronoun is a polite form. In contrast to the plural, the possessor pronoun has low tone.)

### 5.9. Glide metathesis

Two types of metathesis have been observed. In one the labial glide moves from word-final position to penultimate position. The following variants of the word for ‘chicken, hen, rooster’ have all been obtained in isolation: *ghàtálàkw*, *ghàtálàuk*, *ghàtálòk*. We take the first form to be the underlying one, since there are other words ending in *kw*. The second form is an instance of segment metathesis, whereby the labial glide is moved to the position before the last consonant. The third variant represents the fusion of the vowel *a* with the labial glide or the high back vowel after metathesis.

## 6. Syllable structure

### 6.1. Allowed and disallowed syllabic structures

A syllable in Hdi has the following properties: The onset and the coda may be absent and the syllable may consist only of the nucleus, which may be a vowel or a sonorant: *á* ‘negative marker’, *mì.dá.rá.yá* ‘hunter’, *mì.ták* ‘bush’. The onset may consist of a consonant: *tà* ‘preposition’, or a cluster of consonants, CC. The cluster may consist of a stop and a glide: *kwá* ‘calabash’; a liquid followed by a stop: *lgùt* ‘dress’, *rvú* ‘cow’; a continuant followed by a stop or a sonorant: *xdí* ‘self-name’, *vwàx* ‘field’; a stop followed by a continuant: *ksá* ‘touch’, *nghá* ‘see’, *ská.là* ‘dance’; a stop followed by a continuant: *txùrúm* ‘rat’, *pghìntà* ‘proper name feminine’. The onset may consist of three consonants, provided that the first is a continuant or a liquid, the second is a stop, and the third is a sonorant: *skwá* ‘buy’, *ldrá* ‘start’.

The coda may consist of one consonant, which may be a sonorant (including liquids and nasals), a stop, or a fricative: *zà.vád* ‘a season’, *màx.tsím* ‘tomorrow’, *ngúdùf* ‘heart’. The alveolar nasal becomes velar in word-final position: *xəŋ* ‘third-person plural subject marker’. Apart from sonorants, no voiced consonants may appear in the coda position. Glottalized consonants are voiceless, as in other Chadic languages. The only phonetic consonant cluster in coda position consists of a stop followed by a glide, a result of the labialization of the final *u* following a velar consonant: *kwítìkw* ‘small’, *tùrtúk* ‘one’.

In addition to consonants and vowels, tone is an important element that enters into the syllabification process. Tone is an independent component of the structure of a morpheme.

Syllabification proceeds from left to right after morphemes have been put together and word-final vowels have been deleted when appropriate (i.e. when not in prepausal position and when not constituting grammatical morphemes). Syllabification proceeds as follows: The first nasal consonant is associated with the first tone if a morpheme has more than two tones: *m̀ndú* ‘man’.

If the word has only one tone, the consonants, up to the allowed number and in the allowed sequence, constitute the onset of the syllable, and the first vowel constitutes its peak, as in *ndrá* ‘drive’:

### 6.2. Preferred syllable structure

Although there exist many different types of syllabic onsets, there is evidence that, all other conditions being equal, some onsets are preferred over others, resulting in some types of syllables being preferred over others. Specifically the type with one consonant in the onset is preferred over the type with two consonants in the onset. Thus if a sequence CCT is to be syllabified, the syllable structure CVC is preferred over the type CCV, although both types are allowed in the language. The syllabic structure VCC is disallowed. Here are a few examples of how the preferred syllable structure affects the syllabification of morphemes without underlying vowels.

If the morpheme does not have an underlying vowel, a schwa is inserted as a tone-bearing unit and as a syllabic peak. The schwa is inserted after the preferred consonantal onset has been built. Consider two cases: the addition of pronominal object markers in prohibitive constructions; and the form of the verb before the allative extension *dá*.

If a morpheme has a consonant and a tone followed by a vowel in the subsequent morpheme, the two consonants together with the following vowel form one syllable:

- (85) *m̀*     *ví-í-ká*             *tá*     *ghzú*     →     [m̀ *ví-ká*]  
 PROH   give-1SG-2SG   OBJ   beer  
 ‘do not give me beer’

If there is a sequence of three consonants but two consonants form a morpheme with their own tone, a schwa is inserted as a tone-bearing unit. The schwa could be inserted either after the first consonant to form CVC or after the second consonant to form the CCV structure:

- (86) *mà vɪ-ká* → [vól-ká] or [vló-ká]  
 PROH give-2SG  
 'do not give . . .'

Before the allative *dá* which indicates movement to a specific place, the verb may have the vowel *a*, indicating the participation of the subject together with the object in the movement, or no vowel, if no movement of the subject is implied. For the latter case, a schwa is inserted as a tone-bearing unit. If the verb is monoconsonantal, the schwa is inserted after the consonants:

- (87) *dž-dá-ná-dzà* → [dzə-dá-ná-dzà]  
 hit-ALL-DEM-hit  
 'he hit [a ball] from above'

Consider now the verb *ngl* 'mount' in a similar situation, i.e. when the subject is not the one who mounts. Since there is no underlying vowel before the extension *dá*, and since the verb has a tone as part of its structure, a schwa must be inserted. The schwa could be inserted after the first, the second, or the third consonant. As it turns out, the schwa is inserted after the second consonant, the syllabic structure CVC being preferred over the structure CCV:

- (88) *ngól-dá-ná-f-nglà*                      *tá*      *plìs*  
 mount-ALL-DEM-UP-mount      OBJ      horse  
 'she made him mount the horse' (but she herself did not mount)

If there is a disallowed sequence of three consonants, an epenthetic schwa is inserted for syllabification. Consider the verb 'divide' *dgá*. When followed by the "away" marker, the form becomes *dgí*. The first-person object is *d*. The verb has also the "out" extension *p*. The sequence of the pronoun, the extension *p*, and the first consonants of the verb would produce a disallowed four-consonant cluster *\*dpg*. The first disallowed sequence *\*dpg* is syllabified by the insertion of the epenthetic *i* after the first consonant, and the second disallowed sequence *\*pdg* is syllabified by the insertion of schwa, *p-dəg*:

- (89) *dg-í-dí-p-dəgá*  
 divide-AWAY-1SG-OUT-divide  
 'divide [it] for me'

## 7. Tone

### 7.1. The tonal system

The language has two tones, high and low. Tone plays an important role in both the lexicon and the syntax of the language. In the lexicon tone distinguishes lexical items whose segmental structure is identical: *xná* 'slaughter' and *xnà* 'lie down'; *sá* 'come', *sà* 'drink'; *zá* 'eat' and *zà* 'forget'; *tá* 'object marker' and *tà* 'locative preposition'. Tone also plays an important role in the coding of the semantic role of arguments, in the reference system and nominal system, in modality coding, in virtually all constructions in the language. All high tones in phrase-final position are lowered, but they do not become as low as low tone. Compare the behavior of verbs in the reduplicated form where the reduplicated, second, part of the verb retains the tone of the first part. The low tone remains low:

- (90) *s-ù-sà*  
 drink-SO-drink  
 'he drank up'

The high tone becomes lower than the preceding high, but it is still higher than the low tone:

- (91) *sá-sā*  
 arrive-arrive  
 'he came down'
- (92) *z-ú-zā*  
 eat-SO-eat  
 'he ate up'

Subsequently in this work we do not mark this slight lowering of the underlying high tone in phrase-final position.

The underlying tone of a morpheme may change when it occurs in a specific construction that has its own tone rule. Thus the formation of questions about the truth (yes/no questions) is marked by the clause-final marker *rà* and by high tone on the penultimate syllable of the clause. As a result of this rule, all penultimate syllables, whether underlyingly low or high, have high tone in the interrogative clause. Since tone is a coding means for various syntactic and semantic functions, the functions of tone are described when a given functional domain is discussed. In the present section we deal with two questions: What happens with tone when two

tone-bearing units fuse? and What tone is assigned to an epenthetic vowel?

### 7.2. Tone and vowel deletion

If a vowel is deleted, as is often the case with word-final vowels in phrase-internal position, and if a new syllable is not formed, the tone is deleted as well. Consider the word for ‘time’, *bàdú*, which can be combined with the word *lúmá* ‘market’, to give ‘market day’. The final vowel is most often deleted in normal speech, giving *bàd lúmá*. Consider the noun *rvérí* ‘lion’. When this word occurs in phrase-internal position, both the final vowel and the last high tone are deleted:

(93) *sá-ghà*            *rvér*    *ná*  
 arrive-D:PVG    lion    COMP  
 ‘While the lion was coming . . . ’

(94) *lúm-á*            *gòzú*    *yá*    *á*    *w*    → [gòz-yá-w]  
 market-GEN    Gozu    DEM    NEG    NEG  
 ‘not on Wednesday’

Cf.:

(95) *bàd*    *lúmá*            *gòzú*  
 day    market    Gozu  
 ‘the day of the market in Gozu’ (Wednesday)

### 7.3. Tone and vowel replacement

If a vowel is replaced by the next vowel, the tone of the first syllable becomes the tone of the new syllable as per the following rule:

Rule 12:  $CV_1T_1 + V_2T_2 \rightarrow CV_2T_1$ .

In such a case the tone  $T_1$  is preserved and the tone  $T_2$  is lost. The retention of the tone  $T_1$  when  $V_1$  is replaced by  $V_2$  has an important effect on the phonetic realization of morphemes beginning with a vowel. The underlying tone on this vowel may change if the tone on the preceding morpheme ending in a vowel is different. Here are some illustrations of the operation of the rule.

The negative existential verb is *xàdú* ‘lack, not to be’. When it is followed by its subject beginning with a vowel, the verb loses its final vowel. That vowel is replaced by the first vowel of the following word.

Thus if the subject is *ìmí* ‘water’, the result of the vowel replacement is [xàǎíímí], i.e., the last tone of the verb is retained, but the first tone of the noun *ìmí* is lost:

- (96) Underlying                      Vowel replacement and tone retention  
*xàǎú ìmí wà* → [xàǎ-ím-ú wà]  
 lack water NEG  
 ‘There are no rains.’

The genitive marker *á* is realized with low tone as *à* if the preceding word ends in a vowel and has low tone:

- (97) *zwán-ì-á kɾì* → [zwánà kɾì]  
 child-PL-GEN dog  
 ‘children of dog’

If a high vowel is not deleted, as is the case with grammatical morphemes, the high vowel becomes a glide, and its tone is also deleted. We have examples only of grammatical morphemes with high tone vowel:

- (98) *vú á dǎ* → [vwáǎǎ]  
 fire GEN 1SG  
 ‘my fire’

#### 7.4. Tone and vowel epenthesis

When a morpheme has an inherent tone, the epenthetic vowel acquires the tone of the morpheme. Consider the tone on the epenthetic vowel in the reduplicated form of the verb with the definite object marker *n* and with the inverse extension *-s*. The presence of these consonants combined with the initial consonant of the verb creates a disallowed consonantal sequence *nsC*, and therefore requires insertion of the vowel glossed as “EP”. If the pronominal object is dative, the verb has high tone and the epenthetic vowel has low tone:

- (99) *ghùn-í-n-ì-s-ghùná*  
 send-AWAY-3-EP-INV-send  
 ‘he sent him somebody for good’

If the pronoun is a direct object, the verb has low tone and the epenthetic vowel has high tone, all in accordance with the coding of the semantic roles of objects:

- (100) *ghùn-ì-n-í-s-ghùnà*  
 send-AWAY-3-EP-INV-send  
 'he sent someone away with him for good'

The verb *ndrá* in the imperfective aspect of a pragmatically dependent clause does not have a morphemic vowel. When it is followed by a glide, an epenthetic high front vowel must be inserted and that vowel has high tone:

- (101) *tsá dīyàk tà ndrǎ yá* → [ndrí yá]  
 DEF bird IMPF fly DEM  
 'the bird that is flying away'

If a vowel is inserted for syllabification purposes into a position that does not carry a tone of its own, the inserted vowel acquires the tone of the preceding syllable. Consider the word *màràkw* 'woman'. The second vowel of this word is epenthetic because the consonantal onset with three consonants is disallowed. An argument in support of this hypothesis is the form of this word in the genitive construction, where the word occurs without the second vowel:

- (102) *màrkw-á krì* → [màrkwá krì]  
 female-GEN dog  
 'bitch'

### 7.5. Tone and phrasal structure

Tone has become a means to code a connection between syntactic elements. The general principle is as follows: For certain morphemes there is an option of having the last tone high or low. The low tone on those morphemes codes the end of a phrase, and the high tone codes the phrase-internal position. This means, in turn, allows the determination of what constitutes a phrasal unit and what types of phrases there are.

Consider the referential marker *-ta* suffixed to the verb. In indicative clauses (in subjunctive clauses it behaves differently) this morpheme has either high or low tone. It has a high tone if the element that follows it belongs to the same syntactic phrase as the verb. It has low tone if the element following it belongs to a different syntactic phrase. Consider the following example where in the first clause, the referential marker is followed by the object of the verb and has high tone. In the second clause, the verb is followed by an adverb, and the referential marker has low tone:



- (103) *mbàd' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá zwàn-à kri*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF child:PL-GEN dog  
*kà pgh-í-n-tà gúdíúk distá-ní*  
 SEQ put-AWAY-3-REF together in-3SG  
 'And then he gathered the children of Dog and put them all inside  
 it [the beans].'

## 8. Conclusions

The phonological system of Hdi is characterized by a rich consonantal system and a relatively limited vowel system. Syllable structure rules allow for two- and three-consonant sequences in the syllabic onset and no consonantal clusters in the syllabic coda. If a disallowed consonant cluster is going to emerge, epenthetic vowels are inserted.

Voiced consonants become voiceless in the syllabic coda. The alveolar nasal becomes a velar nasal in word-final position.

Tone plays a role in both lexical and grammatical distinctions. Every syllable has a tonal characteristic.

If the vowel of the syllable is deleted (not replaced), then the tone of that syllable is deleted as well. When two vowels belonging to different morphemes are in sequence, the first vowel is replaced by the second. The tone of the replaced vowel is preserved.

The rule of vowel replacement and tone retention often results in phonetic realizations of morphemes different from their underlying forms. Since the phonetic realizations are in fact the means of coding semantic and syntactic functions, in the present grammar it is the phonetic rather than the underlying form that is represented. Doing otherwise would have obliterated the functions of the coding means that Hdi has developed.



# Chapter 3

## The structure of the noun phrase

### 1. Introduction

We consider as a noun phrase any endocentric construction whose head is a noun (cf. Bloomfield 1933). In this chapter we describe all noun phrase constructions we have found, except for nominalized clauses, described in Chapters 19 and 23.

We begin the present chapter with a description of the lexical category *noun*, followed by modifying constructions (including possessive constructions) in which the modifier is nominal and pronominal. When the modifier is nominal, there are several types of modifying constructions that differ in their markers of modification and in their function. Two of the markers of modification are derived from and identical with demonstratives. Grammaticalization from demonstrative to genitive marker is a widely attested phenomenon in Chadic and non-Chadic languages. We then discuss constructions with demonstratives, property concept words, quantifiers, and conjoined noun phrases. The modification of a noun by deictic and anaphoric markers is discussed in Chapter 4.

### 2. Nouns

We take the inherent property of serving as an argument of a clause to be the defining and sufficient characteristic of the nominal class. Some lexical items are inherent nouns; others are derived from verbs. The inherent nouns can serve as heads of noun phrases, which in turn can serve as arguments of a verb.

There is no distinction for gender or other nominal classes coded within the nominal system, the verbal system, or the reference system of the language. Only proper names have lexicalized the gender distinction. Some female names are derived through the addition of the suffix *wà* to the masculine name. The name *mbítsá*, derived from the verb *mbítsá* 'remain', is given to a boy born after the death of all preceding siblings. A girl born after the death of all preceding siblings is given the name

*mbítsáwà*. Nouns can take possessive suffixes, definite suffixes, and number suffixes.

Some nouns, such as proper names, have a complex structure consisting of preposition and noun or even verb and noun. Proper names are frequently chosen for their presumed power to prevent certain types of calamities: *má xàdék* 'into the ground' (*mà* 'in, from'). In proper names the preposition has high tone). Another example is *ngá rgwá* 'for fertilizer'. More neutral (but less common) names include *mó-ghzú* 'in [the time of] beer' and *ndrá-ghzú* 'breaking the grain for beer'.

Some nouns are compounds consisting of noun and verb: *m̀nd-á ráyá* 'hunter', which derives from *m̀ndú* 'man' plus *ráyá* 'hunt'. The evidence of compounding is provided by the replacement of the final vowel of the noun by the genitive marker *á*.

### 3. Morphological coding of number

Singular is the unmarked category. Plural is marked by several means, whose use is lexicalized, i.e. it cannot be predicted from any of the semantic or phonological characteristics of the noun. The most productive is the suffix *xà*, segmentally identical with the first part of the third-person plural independent pronoun *xáxən*. Since *xən* serves by itself as the third-person plural subject, it is clear that the independent pronoun consists of two parts. The old third-person plural pronoun was probably *xa*, as evidenced by comparative data from closely related languages such as Glavda, where the third-person plural anaphor is *ha*, and Mofu-Gudur, where the third-person plural pronoun is *ha*. The plural marker *xa* thus derives from the third-person plural pronoun:

(1)	Singular	Plural	Gloss
	<i>m̀ndú</i>	<i>m̀ndú-xà</i>	'man'
		<i>m̀iá-xà</i>	'woman'
	<i>sígà</i>	<i>sígà-xà</i>	'pot'
	<i>t̀im</i>	<i>t̀im-xà</i>	'big drum'
	<i>t̀əm</i>	<i>t̀əm-xà</i>	'onion'
	<i>kàlími</i>	<i>kàlími-xà</i>	'a variety of kingfisher with bright blue feathers'

A less frequent, unproductive plural marker is the suffix *ì*, which is identical with the associative plural marker:

(2)	<i>zwán</i>	'child'	<i>zwán-ì</i>	'children'
-----	-------------	---------	---------------	------------

This suffix has cognates in other Chadic languages, where it is often the third-person plural pronoun (Frajzyngier 1997 and references there).

Another device is suffixation of the vowel *à* accompanied by infixation of the same vowel:

- (3)    *zgún*    'man'                    *zgwánà*            'men'

This device is also used in the verbal system to mark plurality of the object, as described in the Chapter 5 section 5. (for both of these devices in other Chadic languages, cf. Frajzyngier 1997).

If plurality is marked by some other means within the clause, the noun does not have to be marked for plural:

- (4)    *tághá tá*    *skwì*    *dágálá*  
           learn OBJ    thing    more  
           'learn more things'

The overt marking of plurality on nouns is not very common, suggesting that the nominal plural markers are not inflectional morphemes (Frajzyngier 1997). Thus with the quantifier *índà* 'all', some nouns have a plural marker and others do not, as in the following phrases taken from the same sentence. As in many other languages, human nouns are more likely to be marked for plural than non-human nouns:

- (5)    *índà*    *míndú-xà*  
           all        man-PL  
           'all men'
- índà*    *tsá*        *púrkútú* *ndzúm*    *yá*  
           all        DEF        story                    DEM  
           'all the stories'

Similarly with the quantifier *dágálá* 'many', the noun does not have to be marked for plurality:

- (6)    *skwì*    *dágálá*  
           thing    many  
           'many things'

#### 4. Associative plural

The term *associative plural* is used for forms that code a group functioning as one argument. The associative plural marker is the vowel *ì*, preceding a noun or a conjoined noun phrase:

(7) *ì krì ndá pákáv-á ghúvì*  
 ASSC.PL dog ASSC leopard-GEN feces  
 ‘Dog and Hyena.’<sup>2</sup>

(8) *kà yáyà-tá ì gùlú ndá zírí*  
 SEQ give birth-REF ASSC.PL Gulu ASSC Ziri  
 ‘and he begot Gulu and Ziri’

(9) *kà zó ì kđerí ndá zwàn-à-ní tá*  
 SEQ live ASSC.PL Kderi ASSC children-GEN-3SG PREP  
*mghám*  
 well  
 ‘and Kderi and his children lived happily’

When the marker *ì* precedes a single noun, the meaning of the construction is “noun and company”:

(10) *kà vrà-gá-tá ì ngax ngax*  
 SEQ return-INN-REF ASSC.PL Ngah Ngah  
 ‘and Ngah Ngah and his people returned’

The associative plural may be used together with the collective marker:

(11) *ì lá dəbləm lá dzátà*  
 ASSC.PL COLL Dəbləm COLL Dzata  
 ‘Diblim people and Dzata people’

The use of the associative plural *ì* before each noun has a distributive function, indicating that each of the participants (with his/her people) is a separate group, i.e. separate from other groups:

- (12) *tsá yá gúlí ná zàmàná ì kàftàlà*  
 DEF DEM also DEM time ASSC:PL Kaftala  
*vàláŋ, ì mbúlgòy ì ngàx ngàx tá*  
 Valan ASSC:PL Mbulgoy ASSC:PL Ngah Ngah COM  
*ghúyá m̀ndú mà tsá fitík yá*  
 make suffer people PREP DEF time DEM  
 'It was also at that time that Kaftala Valan with his people, Mbulgoy with his people, and Ngah Ngah with his people made people suffer'

When the marker *ì* precedes a conjoined noun phrase, the two components of the noun phrase function as one argument:

- (13) *ì pákává ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá kri*  
 ASSC.PL hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 'Hyena and Dog [once] lived together.'

With the associative plural *ì* the semantic roles of both nouns must be the same. Arguments that have different semantic roles cannot be used in the associative plural construction:

- (14) *\*lá-ghú-lá ì mbítsá ndá kóbù tà*  
 go-SO-go ASSC.PL Mbitsa ASSC money PREP  
*lúmá*  
 market  
 for 'Mbitsa went to the market with money'

## 5. Modifying constructions of the type noun—verbal noun

These are constructions where the modifier follows the head without any overt marker of connection. The modifier in such a construction must be a verbal noun, i.e. a noun derived from a verb:

- (15) *bàdú pghù*  
 day initiation  
 'day of initiation'
- (16) *bàdú skál-á hlà*  
 day dance-GEN cow  
 'day of the holiday of bull'<sup>3</sup> (*skálú* 'dance', verbal noun)

Cf.:

- (17) \**bàdú hlà*  
 day cow  
 for 'day of the bull'

The only exception seems to be:

- (18) *bàdú lúmá*  
 day market  
 'day of the market'

But market may be considered to be a noun describing an activity as well as a place.

## 6. Modifying constructions marked by demonstratives

Modifying constructions with demonstratives have the form Head Demonstrative Modifier. The demonstrative involved most often is *á*, but other demonstratives, usually *nà*, may also be used. Since the function of the demonstrative *á* corresponds to the function of genitive markers in other languages, it is glossed here as "GEN" in order to facilitate the recognition of the construction involved.

### 6.1. *Phonetic realization and categorial status of the genitive á*

If the noun ends in a vowel, that vowel is replaced by the vowel of the genitive marker. In such cases the vowel assumes the last tone of the noun:

- (19) *hlú'wí-á krì* → [hlú'wá krì]  
 meat-GEN dog  
 'dog meat'

- (20) *wì-á tghà* → [wà tghà]  
 mouth-GEN expel?  
 'door'

Nouns come into the genitive construction in their surface phonetic realization rather than in their underlying form:



- (21) *zwáŋ-á-ní* [zwáŋání]  
 child-GEN-3SG  
 'his child'

Compare the behavior of the genitive marker with that of plural marker *ì*. The plural marker is added to the underlying form of the word rather than to its phonetic form:

- (22) *zwán-ì* → [zwáni]  
 child-PL  
 'children'

We conclude that the genitive construction is not a morphological but rather a syntactic means of coding. This is additional evidence that the genitive marker *á* is the same as the remote demonstrative *á*, and that it still retains its independent syntactic status. However, if a preceding noun ends in a vowel, the genitive marker replaces the last vowel of the noun, and the genitive behaves just like a suffix. Consequently, we represent the genitive marker as *-á*, because there is never a pause between the preceding noun and the genitive marker, and the genitive marker forms a peak of the last syllable of the noun.

When the head ends in vowel *a*, the presence of the genitive marker cannot be detected, because even if it is there, it would assume the tone of the vowel *a* ending the head:

- (23) *krè-xà mbítsá*  
 dog-PL Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa's dogs' (*kri* 'dog')

- (24) *hlà-xà pǎúmndú*  
 cow-PL Pdumndu  
 'cows of Pdumndu' (from *pǎú* 'lack' and *mndú* 'man')

If the consonant preceding the genitive marker is labial or velar, the high rounded vowel is labialized rather than replaced, as per labialization rules:

- (25) *vú-á míták* → [vwámíták]  
 fire-GEN bush  
 'bush fire'

If the modified noun ends in a consonant, the genitive marker *-á* forms a syllable with the last consonant of the noun:

- (26) *gwàd'-á xdí*                      *màrkw-á xdí*  
 language-GEN Hdi                      woman-GEN Hdi  
 'language of Hdi'                      'Xdi woman'
- (27) *mghám-á xdí* → [mghámáxdí]  
 chief-GEN Hdi  
 'chief of Hdi'

### 6.2. Functions of modifying constructions head-á modifier

The function of *á* and other demonstratives is to code the noun preceding the demonstrative as the head and the noun following the demonstrative as the modifier. Thus the construction narrows the range of the head. The types of modifiers can be quite diverse:

- (28) *zívr-á xdí*  
 origin-GEN Hdi  
 'the origin of Hdi'
- (29) *m̀ndú-á xdí* → [m̀ndáxdí]  
 man-GEN Hdi  
 'a Hdi man'

The construction may involve a container-unit relationship:

- (30) *ìm-á tsá ghwání yá*  
 water-GEN DEF medicine DEM  
 'water of this remedy' (in which the remedy was dissolved)

The construction codes purpose when the modifier is a verbal noun:

- (31) *xgá xàní*  
 house sleep  
 'the house for sleeping'

The genitive construction is also used for the expression of quantification when the unit is the head (we do not know what the underlying vowel for *br-* 'flock' is):

- (32) *br-á twàk* 'a herd of sheep'  
*br-á gù(-xà)* 'a herd of goats'  
*br-á ghàtálàkw* 'a brood of chickens'

## 7. The order modifier head

The order modifier head has been recorded with two types of morphological marking, the genitive marker *-á* and the comment marker *tá*. This order seems to defy the transparency of the coding means, for if a given means has function A, it should not have function B, which is the opposite of A. The contradiction in the coding means is, however, only apparent. The modifier head order is applied only when the first component inherently codes property concepts, such as “new”, “main”, “bad”, “another”:

- (33) *lfíd-á lgùt*  
 new-GEN cloth, shirt  
 'new clothes'
- (34) *m̀ghám-á wúyá*  
 chief-GEN festival  
 'main festival'
- (35) *índà ghwádfàk-á skwì mà xgá yà*  
 all bad-GEN thing PREP home COP  
 'that is all bad things at home'

Here are examples with the word *sáni* 'other, another':

- (36) *sán-à skwì* 'another thing, something'  
*sán-à m̀ndú* 'somebody', 'some man'  
*sán-à màràkw* 'a certain woman'

## 8. Possessive constructions

The genitive marker *-á* is the main means of coding possessive constructions, although the demonstrative *ná* is also used. The marker *-á* is used with nominal and pronominal possessors. The general structure of the possessive construction is Possessum -á Possessor. As in other genitive constructions, the genitive marker replaces the last vowel of the head and assumes its tone:

- (37) *kr-à mbítsá*  
 dog-GEN Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa's dog' (*krì* 'dog')

### 8.1. Possessive pronouns

The possessive pronouns code person (first, second, and third) and number (singular, dual, and plural). Dual is a category of the first person only. The paradigm of the first person also has a distinction between inclusive and exclusive. The construction in which the possessor is pronominal has the form Possesum Demonstrative Possessor. When the possessor is pronominal, only the demonstrative *á* can be used as a genitive marker. Tone on possessive pronouns is high (see Table 8).

Table 8. Possessive pronouns

Person	Singular	Dual	plural
First	<i>ǎá</i>	<i>úú</i>	<i>mú</i> (INCL) <i>ɲní</i> (EXCL)
Second	<i>ghá</i>		<i>ghúní</i>
Third	<i>ní</i>		<i>tán/tàn</i>

In contrast to many Chadic languages, there is no distinction between alienable and inalienable possession:

- (38) *pìtsákw-á-ǎá/ɲní/tán*  
 hoe-GEN-1SG/1PL.EXCL/3PL  
 'my hoe/our hoe/their hoe'
- (39) *z-ú-zà tá hlú'w-á-ní*  
 eat-SO-eat OBJ meat-GEN-3SG  
 'he ate all of his meat'
- (40) *dzv-á-ǎá* *dèr-á-ǎá*  
 hand-GEN-1SG hat-GEN-1SG  
 'my hand' 'my hat'

If the possessive pronoun begins with a vowel, this vowel replaces the genitive marker and assumes its tone. The tone on the original pronoun remains high. The resulting vowels are rearticulated:

- (41) *pìtsákw-á-úú* → [pìtsákw-ú-ú]  
 ho-GEN-1DU  
 'our (DU) hoe'
- (42) *pìtsákw-á-ghúní*  
 hoe-GEN-2PL  
 'your (PL) hoe'

If the noun ends in a vowel, the final vowel of the noun is deleted and the only vowel between the noun and the possessive pronoun is the genitive marker *á*. The genitive marker has, however, the tone of the syllable whose vowel it replaces. Here is a paradigm of possessive pronouns:

- (43) *krì-á-ηní* → [kr-à-ηní]  
 dog-GEN-1PL.EXCL  
 'our dog'
- krì-á-ďá* → [krà-ďá]  
 dog-GEN-1SG  
 'my dog'
- krì-á-ghá* → [kràghá]  
 dog-GEN-2SG  
 'your dog'
- krì-á-ghúní* → [krà-ghúní]  
 dog-GEN-2PL  
 'your (PL) dog'
- (44) *kóbù-à-ηní* → [kóbà-ηní]  
 money-GEN-1PL  
 'our money'

If the genitive marker *á* is added to a morpheme ending in *a*, the genitive marker replaces the last vowel of the possessum and assumes its tone:

- (45) *hlà-á-ďá* → [hlà-ďá]  
 cow-GEN-1SG  
 'my cow'

- (46) *xìyá-dǎ*                      *xìyá-ŋní*                      *hlná-ní*  
 guinea corn-1SG                      guinea corn-1PL                      work-3SG  
 ‘my guinea corn’                      ‘our guinea corn’                      ‘his work’
- (47) *dá-mú*  
 father-1PL.INCL  
 ‘our father’

If the possessive pronoun begins with a vowel, the genitive marker, which has fused with the vowel of the preceding noun, is replaced by the vowel of the possessive pronoun. The only possessive pronoun with an initial vowel is the first-person dual inclusive *uu*. The pronoun is composed of two vowels, and in a possessive construction the first vowel replaces the genitive marker and a glottal stop is inserted between the two instantiations of *u*:

- (48) *dá-úú* → [dú’ú] (rearticulated vowels)  
 father-1DU  
 ‘our father’

The possessive construction with the element *tùrtúk* ‘one’ forms the adverb “alone”:

- (49) *lá-ghú-lá*      *tà*      *lúmá*      *tùrtúk-á-ní*  
 go-D:SO-go      PREP      market      alone-GEN-3SG  
 ‘he went to the market alone’

## 8.2. Honorific possessives

Some kinship terms, e.g. “mother”, “wife”, and “husband”, require the use of plural rather than singular possessive pronouns, even if the intended possessor is singular. For the third-person singular possessor the possessive pronoun is *tàn*, derived from the plural form *tán*, and glossed here as 3HON for ‘third honorific’:

- (50) *lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàṅgwáḍák*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance  
*ngá lá-m-à-ní hlà-ná-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-IN-GEN-3SG find-DEM-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
*zè'ál-á-tàn*  
 husband-GEN-3HON  
 'Having entered through the back of the compound, she should  
 find her husband.'

The plural form *tàn* is used when a husband of other women is referred to:

- (51) *lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàṅgwáḍák*  
 enter-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance  
*ngá lá-m-à-ní hlà-ná-ghá-tá*  
 NORM go-IN-GEN-3SG search-DEM-D:PVG-REF  
*zè'ál-á-tàn*  
 husband-GEN-3PL  
 'Having entered through the back entrance, she<sub>1</sub> should find their<sub>2</sub>  
 husband.' (Note the third- person plural possessive *tàn* rather than  
 third singular *nì*.)

The evidence that it is a matter of politeness is provided by the fact that no such distinctions are made with respect to non-kinship terms:

- (52) *ngá hlà-ná-ghá-tà-ní tá kwà*  
 NORM search-DEM-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ-3SG OBJ calabash  
*tá gháṅ-á-ní*  
 PREP head-GEN-3SG  
 'she<sub>1</sub> should find her<sub>1/2</sub> calabash'

### 8.3. Unspecified possessor

The unspecified human subject does not have the corresponding possessor form. Instead, the is coded by the word *mìndú* 'man'. The evidence for this interpretation is provided by the fact that when the unspecified possessor is involved, the word *mìndú* is not accompanied by any determiners. Moreover, the use of the unspecified possessor causes further complications in the system of reference. Consider the following sentences, where the possessum is the father, and the unspecified possessor is coded by *mìndú*:

- (53) *ghùz-á dùxwál tà fúḍàkú mándá*  
 beer-GEN adult IMPF begin (Ful.) when  
*mtá tá dá-ní mà m̀ndú*  
 death PREP father-3SG PREP man  
 ‘The beer of adulthood begins after the death of one’s father.’

The speaker now wants to draw the implications of this fact for the surviving son. Not having appropriate pronouns to choose from, the speaker settles on the first-person singular pronoun, which in this case does not refer to the speaker:

- (54) *yáwà mtá tá dá-ní mà m̀ndú tà kúm-ày*  
 well death PREP father-3SG PREP man IMPF want-PO  
*tá màrà-n-tà kà z̀lày ná ndá gl-íyù*  
 OBJ show-3-REF SEQ COMP COMP STAT grow-1SG  
*támá*  
 already  
 ‘The death of the man’s father would mean that I am already an adult.’

## 9. Topicalizing modification

The word *gháŋ* ‘head’ preceded by the locative preposition *tà* has been grammaticalized to mark the topic of conversation, partially overlapping with the meaning of English “about, on the subject of, etc.” In this function, the forms are also followed by the genitive marker and by the modifier noun. But unlike in possessive constructions, in the prepositional function the word *gháŋ* does not constitute one phrasal element with the following noun, and it is so marked by the low tone on the genitive marker:

- (55) *púrkútú ndzúm tà gháŋ-à hlà*  
 story PREP head-GEN cow  
 ‘the story about the cow’
- (56) *púrkútú ndzúm tà gháŋ-à mbítsá*  
 story PREP head-GEN Mbitsa  
 ‘the story about Mbitsa’



- (57) *nà ná gwàd'á dzà'á gwàd'-í ná ná*  
 DEM DEM word FUT tell-1SG DEM DEM  
*tà ghóη-à ndzà-kú-á-d'á k'dá*  
 PREP head-GEN happen-ABS-GEN-1SG last year  
 'The story that I am going to tell is about what happened to me last year.'

The interest of this construction lies in the fact that the genitive marker has low tone. The word *ghóη* followed by the genitive marker *á* with high tone codes the possessum:

- (58) *ghóη-á hlà ghóη-á mbítsá*  
 head-GEN cow head-GEN mbitsa  
 'head of a cow' 'head of Mbitsa'

## 10. The collective

The category *collective* is coded by the form *lá* preceding a noun. The form *lá* has not been attested other than in the collective construction. A noun whose collective form is marked by *lá* may be animate or inanimate. A possible analysis of the form *lá* is that it represents the form *lú* followed by the genitive marker *á*. The form *lú* occurs in the language as coding an unspecified human subject. The phonological product of *lú* followed by *á* could well be *lá*.

The form is used to designate members of the same clan:

- (59) *lá d'áblám*  
 COLL proper name  
 'the Diblim people'
- lá xùtsà*  
 COLL proper name  
 'the Hutsa people'

The evidence that *la* has grammaticalized as the marker of the collective is provided by the fact that it can occur with nouns meaning "man" and "woman":

- (60) *lá m'ndó rxá*  
 COLL man wise  
 'wise people'

The collective form is different from the plural, as shown by the fact that it can be used together with the plural form:

- (61) *lá mǐ-xà*  
 COLL woman-PL  
 'womenfolk'
- (62) *lá dghá mblám*  
 COLL blacksmith pure  
 'blacksmiths who make objects of religious cult'<sup>4</sup>
- (63) *lá dghá mbúldá*  
 COLL blacksmith impure  
 'blacksmiths'
- (64) *lá rvèrì*  
 COLL king  
 'kings' (the term *rvèrì* also means 'lion')
- (65) *lá rvèrì-xà*  
 COLL king-PL  
 'kings'

The collective marker may also be used with names of animals. Such names do not take plural marking:

- (66) *lá zídikw*  
 COLL fly  
 'flies'
- (67) *lá krì*  
 COLL dog  
 'dogs!' (an insult)

## 11. Double modifying constructions

A modification that involves a hierarchy where the modified noun phrase is itself a modifying element may be expressed in at least two ways. In one, the possessive construction is marked by the genitive *-á*. Several nouns may be linked by the genitive construction:

- (68) *mákwà mǐdz-á-ní*  
 girl:GEN mother-in-law-GEN-3SG  
 ‘his fiancée’ (lit. ‘daughter of his mother-in-law’)
- (69) *zwáŋ-á mǐdz-á-ní*  
 son-GEN mother-in-law-GEN-3SG  
 ‘her fiancé’
- (70) *ghàl-á twàk-á-ǎá*  
 thief-GEN sheep-GEN-1SG  
 ‘thief of my sheep’

Several nouns may be joined by the comment marker *tá* (cf. Chapter 19, section 4) and the genitive marker *á*:

- (71) *kwá tá pàx-á xiyá*  
 calabash COM search-GEN guinea corn  
 ‘calabash for taking guinea corn out of a granary’

## 12. Noun *nà* Noun

The construction Noun *nà* Noun is used only in a few fixed expressions related to domestic animals. Since this morpheme is identical with the proximate demonstrative, we gloss it as “DEM”. The marker *nà* has low tone, whereas the demonstrative has high tone in most of its usages. The tone used on demonstratives is a coding means for the existential status of the head noun. Low tone on demonstratives codes the *de dicto* domain of the head noun, viz. reference to a type rather than to a token:

- (72) *má ná gù*  
 mother DEM goat  
 ‘a female adult goat’ (not ‘a mother of a goat’)

Consider the word *hlà*, which designates a single bovine animal without any specification of its sex. We gloss it as “cow” because this is the closest lexical item available in English. The modifying construction with *má* ‘mother’ and *nà* codes a female bovine:

- (73) *má ná hlà*  
 mother DEM cow  
 ‘cow’ (there is also a separate lexical item for ‘cow’ *rvú*)

(74) *skwá-skwá-xèn tá má nà hlà tùrtúkw*  
 buy-buy-3PL OBJ mother DEM cow one  
 'they bought one cow'

(75) *má-nà twàk*                      *má-nà*                      *ghàtákwàl* (metathesis  
 mother-DEM sheep                      mother-DEM                      of *ghàtálàkw?*)  
 'ewe'    'hen'    chicken  
 (Eguchi 1971, our morpheme separation and glosses)

The marker *nà* cannot be used in the possessive function to express kinship terms:

(76) \**má nà mbítsá*  
 mother DEM Mbitsa  
 for 'mother of Mbitsa'

### 13. Modification through the preposition *ngá* 'for'

The modification relationship between two nominal expressions may also be coded through the preposition *ngá* 'for' (glossed as "FOR") in the following construction: Noun *ngá* Noun. In most cases, this construction is used where the modifier is the intended owner or destination of the head:

(77) *ùvá ngá-dá*  
 cat FOR-1SG  
 'the cat for me'

Nouns followed by the preposition *ngá* must have high tone, regardless of their underlying tones. Thus *hlà* 'cow', *gù* 'goat', and *kri* 'dog' all have high tone before *ngá*:

(78) *hlá ngá-ní*  
 cow FOR-3SG  
 'the cow is for him'

(79) *kri ngá-ghá*  
 dog FOR-2SG  
 'the dog is for you'

(80) *gú ngá pǔm̀ndú*  
 goat FOR Pdumndu  
 'the goat is for Pdumndu'

(81) *mnák yá ngá ní*  
 liver COP FOR 3SG  
 'this liver is for him'

Cf.:

(82) *mnák-á-ní*  
 liver-GEN-3SG  
 'his liver' (part of the body, or food)

The construction with *ngá* is also used for the coding of expressions designating old females of a species:

(83) *má ngá hlà*  
 mother FOR cow  
 'an old cow'

The construction with *ngá* is also used when the modifier is a verb:

(84) *skwì ngá z-áy*  
 thing FOR eat-PO  
 'food'

#### 14. Modification through the comment marker *tá*

The modifying construction described in the present section has the form Noun *tá* Noun. The form *tá* is identical with object marker and also with the marker of the comment clause when the subject is in focus. This functional syncretism may not be accidental. We gloss *tá* as "COM" for "comment marker" because we claim that the modifier is conceived as a comment on the head. The function of the construction is to code an attribute of the head.

Two types of nouns can serve as heads in the construction Noun *tá* Noun: verbal nouns and ordinary nouns.

(85) *mtá tá dá-ní mà m̀ndú*  
 death COM father-3SG PREP man  
 'death of the man's father'

Either intransitive or transitive verbs can be used in such constructions:

- (86) *glá tá zwán tà kúm-ày tá màrà-n-tà . . .*  
 grow COM child IMPF want-PO OBJ show-3-REF  
 'the child's growth will show . . .'
- (87) *tà xúl-á skál-á tá hlà mànú tàlá*  
 PREP back-GEN dance-GEN COM bull exist exorcise  
*zàŋwá*  
 demon  
 'In addition to the festivity of the bull there is the exorcising of  
 demons.'

The construction Noun<sub>1</sub> *tá* Noun<sub>2</sub> can be used for ethnicity names. Interestingly, it is used when the head of a construction refers to a female. Forms with *tá* can be replaced in each case by forms with the genitive *-á*:

- (88) *mákwà tá xdí*  
 girl PREP Hdi  
 'a Hdi girl'
- (89) *mákw-á xdí*  
 girl-GEN Hdi  
 'a Hdi girl'
- (90) *màrkwá tá xdí*  
 woman PREP Hdi  
 'a Hdi woman'
- (91) *màrkwá xdí*  
 woman:GEN Hdi  
 'a Hdi woman'
- (92) *mákwà tá mátákám*  
 girl PREP Mafa  
 'a Mafa girl'
- (93) *mákwà mátákám*  
 girl Mafa  
 'a Mafa girl'

For males, the ethnicity name alone can be used:

- (94) *sá-ghà-sá*                      *xdí*      *d'áxàwú*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive      Hdi      yesterday'  
 'a Hdi man came yesterday'

One can also use the word *m̀ndú* 'man' in the genitive construction with *-á*:

- (95) *m̀nd-á*      *xdí*  
 man-GEN      Hdi  
 'a Hdi man'

- (96) *m̀nd-á*      *màrwà*  
 man-GEN      Maroua  
 'a man from Maroua'

An explanation for the different behavior of heads whose referents are female probably reflects the general social status of women at the time the construction with *tá* grammaticalized.

Constructions with *tá* can also be used with inanimate nouns. The construction with inanimates codes the purpose served by the head noun:

- (97) *kwà*              *tá*              *ghón*  
 calabash      PREP      head  
 'head calabash' (red painted calabash worn as headgear)

- (98) *lèghúà*                      *tá*              *ghzú*  
 calabash (small)      PREP      beer  
 'calabash for *bilbil*'

- (99) *sígà*                      *tá*              *d'álí*  
 clay pot (small)      PREP      sauce  
 'a clay pot for sauce'

- (100) *lúá*      *tá*      *bìdá*  
 sky      PREP      small red millet (fonio)  
 'the year of the small millet' (Refers to the year when millet, as opposed to guinea corn, is planted. Millet is planted in the same year as beans.)

These forms cannot be replaced by any other construction involving two nouns. The following forms are all ungrammatical:

- (101) \*kwà                      ghón  
 calabash:GEN head  
 for 'head calabash'
- (102) \*léghúà                      gà              ghzú  
 calabash (small)      PREP      beer  
 for 'calabash in which *bilbil* beer is served'
- (103) \*síg-á                              d'álí  
 clay pot (small)-GEN      sauce  
 for 'a clay pot for sauce'

The forms with *tá* cannot be used for possessive constructions:

- (104) \*kwà              tá              sílóà  
 calabash      PREP      Siloa  
 for 'Siloa's calabash'

But there are other nouns that can be used with either the marker *tá* or the marker -á:

- (105) dzúmá tá              xgá  
 hay      PREP      house  
 'hay for the house' (for the roof)
- (106) dzúm-á              xgá  
 hay-GEN      house  
 'hay for the house'

There are also nouns that cannot be used with the marker *tá* but that can be used with the genitive marker -á:

- (107) \*rbìhl tá              xgá  
 soil      COM      house  
 for 'earth for the house'
- (108) rbìhl-á              xgá  
 soil-GEN      house  
 'earth for the house' (clay from which the house is built)



- (109) *dzáw'-á*      *xgá*  
 wood-GEN      house  
 'wood for the house' (wood used for building the roof of the house)

The order of the head and modifier is reversed if the modifier is inherently a property concept, such as a color term:

- (110) *índà*    *ngrá*    *tá*      *skwì*    *mà*      *xgá*    *yà*  
 all      black    COM    thing    PREP    home    COP  
 'that is all black things at home'

## 15. Coding the notion of belonging

There exists a construction having the form Possessum-Genitive-Pronoun *mà* Possessor, where the pronoun codes the features person and number of the possessor. The form *mà* is identical with the preposition meaning 'within'. The construction is used only when both components, the possessor and the possessum, are nominal. Hence the possessive pronouns added to the possessum may only be third-person singular or plural. The function of the construction is to code the notion of belonging, i.e. a situation where the head, or possessum, is not under the physical control of the modifier:

- (111) *kr-à-ní*      *mà*      *mìndú/mbítsá*  
 dog-GEN-3SG    PREP    man/Mbitsa  
 'a dog belonging to a man/Mbitsa'
- (112) *kr-à-ní*      *mà*      *màràkw*  
 dog-GEN-3SG    PREP    woman  
 'a dog belonging to a woman'
- (113) *kr-à-tán*      *mà*      *mìndú-xà*  
 dog-GEN-3SG    PREP    man-PL  
 'a dog belonging to men'

The use of the possessive pronoun with *mà* alone is ungrammatical:

- (114) \**krì*    *mà*    *mìndú*  
 dog    PREP    man  
 for 'dog of man'

The construction with preposition *mà* can be used with kinship terms. The types of kinship terms with which it can (and must) be used and those terms with which it cannot be used provide a clue to its distinct function. The construction is used for coding the parent-child relationship, but not the child-parent relationship or a spousal relationship.

- (115) *dá-ní*      *mà*      *mbítsá*  
 father-3SG PREP man  
 'Mbitsa's father'
- (116) *dá-tán*      *mà*      *zwán-ì*  
 father-3PL PREP child-PL  
 'children's father'
- (117) *dá-ní*      *mà*      *màràkw*  
 father-3SG PREP woman  
 'woman's father'
- (118) *má-ní*      *mà*      *mìndú*  
 mother-3SG PREP man  
 'man's mother'

As these examples illustrate, the construction is used only when the modifier cannot be higher than the head in the kinship hierarchy.

- (119) *mtá*    *tá*      *d-á-ní*      *mà*      *mìndú*  
 death PREP father-GEN-3SG PREP man  
 'the death of the man's father'

For the child-parent relationship or spousal relationship the genitive marker *-á* is used:

- (120) *zwán-á*      *mìndú*  
 child-GEN man  
 'man's child'
- (121) *màrkw-á*      *mìndú*  
 woman-GEN man  
 'man's wife'

- (122) *zə'ál-á*            *má-dà*  
 husband-GEN    mother-1SG  
 'my mother's husband' (talking about somebody who is not one's father)

For the parent-child relationship, the genitive construction with the marker *á* is not allowed:

- (123) \**dá-á*            *m̀ndú*  
 father-GEN        man  
 for 'man's father'

Non-human possessors are also allowed:

- (124) *m̀ndú-xà*    *mà*    *tsá*    *lùwá*    *yá*  
 man-PL    PREP    DEF    place    DEM  
 'people of that place'

The evidence that the construction codes belonging rather than physical possession or control is provided by those usages where the possessum is present on the scene but the possessor is not. Thus the following saying is used when one finds something, even a trifle, and one is reminded that even that little object has an owner and may be important for its owner:

- (125) *kwá d̀er*    *zwáŋ-á-ní*            *tsí*    *ná*    *plìs-á-ní*            *mà*  
 even so    child-GEN-3SG        3SG    COMP    horse-GEN-3SG    PREP  
*m̀ndú*  
 man  
 'Even if it is small, it is somebody's horse' (i.e., even though it is small, it still belongs to somebody)

The use of the genitive construction is not allowed in such a situation:

- (126) \**kwá d̀er*    *zwáŋ-á-ní*            *tsí*    *ná*    *plìs-á*            *m̀ndú*  
 even so    child-GEN-3SG        3SG    COMP    horse-GEN        man  
 for 'even if it is small, it is somebody's horse'

The construction is also used when the head and modifier are not specific:

- (127) *mà kl-í-dî-k-kúní kwá dèr w-à-ní*  
 PROH take-AWAY-1SG-EP-2PL any  
*mà kwà tá ghón*  
 PREP calabash PREP head  
 ‘do not bring me any head calabashes’

The construction with the genitive *á* can be used in the predicative function only if it is followed by the copula *yà*:

- (128) *kwá dèr zwáŋ-á-ní tsí ná plis-á m̀ndú*  
 even so child-GEN-3SG 3SG COMP horse-GEN man  
*yà*  
 COP  
 ‘Even if it is small, it is a man’s horse’

The construction with *mà* may be preceded by a question word coding the features human or non-human of the noun following *mà*:

- (129) *wà-ní mà m̀ndú tá sá-ghà*  
 who-3SG PREP man COM arrive-D:PVG  
 ‘what kind of man came?’

## 16. Coding the absence of specific attributes

The construction coding the absence of an attribute has the form Head *k̀l* Attribute. The form *k̀l* is glossed as “without”. The marker *k̀l* may occur with the negative *xàd* ‘lack’ if the complement is an inherent noun:

- (130) *tà zá d̀afá k̀l xàd(ú) d̀alí*  
 IMPF eat food without lack sauce  
 ‘he is eating mush without sauce’
- (131) *tà sà ghèrdí k̀l xàd(ú) s̀ukúr*  
 IMPF drink gruel without lack sugar  
 ‘he is drinking gruel without sugar’

If the attribute is derived from a verb, it has the absolutive marker *kú*:

- (132) *hlà-xà kùl dùghwáná-kú tà xánú-lú*  
 cow-PL without healthy-ABS IMPF slaughter-UH  
*tántán*  
 first  
 'sick cows are slaughtered first'
- (133) *mídá-xà kùl dùghwáná-kú*  
 man-PL without healthy-ABS  
 'sick people'

### 17. Modification of nouns by adjectives

The defining characteristic of adjectives adopted in the present work is that of modifying a noun. A lexical item must be considered an adjective if (1) it modifies nouns and (2) it cannot be used as an argument. Terms for size, shape, and quality are adjectives in Hdi; color terms are not.

The group of adjectives includes the following (the exhaustive list of items found in our data): *dágálá* 'large', *kítìkw* 'small', *kì'yá* 'small', *xbùzá* 'big-bellied', *slùxá* 'oval', *tùmbùzlá* 'round', *tàntàngá* 'hard', *iná* 'good, beautiful, pretty'. The modifying construction with adjectives has the form Noun Adjective, without any intervening marker. This fact is the evidence for the categorization of these terms as adjectives.

Inherent adjectives in attributive function occur after the noun they modify:

- (134) *vú kì'yá xvá kwítìk*  
 fire small work little  
 'small fire' 'small work'
- (135) *mìndú-xà dùghwáná gù dágálá*  
 man-PL healthy goat large  
 'healthy people' 'large goat'
- (136) *sígà tàntàngá*  
 pot hard  
 'a hard pot'
- (137) *índà skwì iná mà xgá yà*  
 all thing good PREP home COP  
 'that is all good things at home'

Below are examples illustrating the modifying function of adjectives and the inability of adjectives to function as arguments:

- (138) *ndá ñgh-í tá gù dágálá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ goat large  
 'I saw a large goat'

Cf.:

- (139) \**ndá ñgh-í tá dágálá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ all  
 for 'I saw a large one'

- (140) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá gù kítìkw/kì'yá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ goat small  
 'I saw a small goat'

Cf.:

- (141) \**ndá ñgh-íyù tá kítìkw*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ small  
 for 'I saw a small one'

*xbùzá* 'big-bellied':

- (142) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá gù xbùzá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ goat big-bellied  
 'I saw a big-bellied goat'

Cf.:

- (143) \**ndá ñgh-íyù tá xbùzá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ big-bellied  
 for 'I saw a big-bellied one'

*slùxá* 'oval', *tùmbùzlá* 'round':

- (144) *màl ghón tùmbùzlá kà (ghón) slùxá*  
 surpass head round as head oval  
*ìnà-kwá-ní*  
 good-ABS:GEN-3SG  
 'a round head is nicer than an oval one'

*ìná* 'good', 'beautiful', 'pretty':

- (145) *ndá ngh-íyù tá gù iná*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ goat good  
 'I saw a nice goat'

Unlike other modifying constructions, inherent adjectives do not allow the genitive marker between the head and the modifier:

- (146) \**gù-á dágálá*  
 goat-GEN large  
 for 'large goat'

All adjectives listed above may be used as predicates in verbless clauses and also as adverbs.

## 18. The comparative form of the modifying construction

There are only two degrees with respect to modifying constructions with adjectives: the unmarked and the comparative, the latter corresponding in its scope to the English comparative and superlative. A comparative modifying construction is formed by the use of the copula *yà* following the head noun and preceding the adjective:

- (147) *m̀ndú yà dágálá*  
 man COP important  
 'the most important man'

Cf.:

- (148) *m̀ndú dágálá*  
 man important  
 'an important man'

- (149) *m̀ndú yà kítikw*  
 man COP small  
 'the smallest man'

- (150) *m̀ndú yà ndáxídá*  
 man COP wisdom  
 'the wisest man'

### 19. Modification through color terms

Color terms are not adjectives, because in a modifying function they must either be part of a genitive construction marked by *-á* or be preceded by the form *kà* ‘like’. Terms for “black” and “white” are formed using the preposition *kà*, which Eguchi defines as ‘like’, and the word *ngrá* ‘black’ (‘areole’, in Eguchi 1971) or *ngúhlín* ‘white’ (‘tooth’ in Eguchi 1971). In predicative constructions the color terms precede the subject (Chapter 15, section 5):

(151) *gù-á ngrá*  
goat-GEN black  
‘a black goat’

*plis-á ngrá*  
horse-GEN black  
‘a black horse’

Cf.:

(152) *kà ngúhlín yà lgùt yá*  
PREP white COP cloth DEM  
‘that cloth is white’

Here are other examples of modifying constructions:

(153) *ndá lgùt-á ngrá tà pàdú-lù tá m̀ndú*  
ASSC cloth-GEN black IMPF cover-UH OBJ man  
‘it is with black cloth that the man is covered’

(154) *nàsàr-á ngrá*  
white-GEN black  
‘an African boss’ (*nàsàr* ‘white man’)

(155) *ndá ngh-íyù tá vdzí-á dvá [vdzá dvá]*  
STAT see-1SG OBJ monkey-GEN red  
‘I saw a red monkey’

Given the fact that with other modifiers the preposition *kà* codes a lesser degree of a given property or a lack of certainty with respect to a given property, it is possible that the preposition *kà* with color terms may also mean ‘having a color like’:



(156) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá vdzí kà dvá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ monkey like red  
 'I saw a red monkey'

(157) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá gù kà ngrá*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ goat as black  
 'I saw a black goat'

Color terms may be used as arguments, which indicates that they are inherently nouns:

(158) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá ngrá mà lgùt*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ black in dress  
 'I saw black [color] in a dress'

(159) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá dvá mà lgùt*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ red in dress  
 'I saw red [color] in a dress'

(160) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá ñúhlín mà lgùt*  
 ASSC see-1SG OBJ white in dress  
 'I saw white [color] in a dress'

Some modifiers, including color terms, are derived from other lexical categories through reduplication:

(161) *kùzún-kùzún* 'green' cf. *kùzún* 'fresh leaves'  
*rbìhl-rbìhl* 'gray, clay color' cf. *rbìhl* 'clay'

In a modifying construction the head must be followed by the genitive marker -á:

(162) *tà kúmà-yù tá lgùt-a kùzún-kùzún*  
 IMPF want-1SG OBJ cloth-GEN green  
 'I want a green cloth' (*kùzún* 'fresh leaves')

If a noun is modified by a possessor, by a color term, and a definite or a demonstrative form, the sequencing of these components is as follows: Definite Noun Possessor *ká* Color term Demonstrative.

- (163) *nghà-n-ngh-íyù tá tsá hlà mbítsá kà ngrá*  
 see-3-see-1SG OBJ DEM cow Mbitsa like black  
*yá*  
 DEM  
 'I saw Mbitsa's black cow'

We recorded no comparative forms in which the modifier was a color term.

## 20. Co-reference and disjoint reference in possessive constructions

The word *sáni* 'another' is exploited in a periphrastic construction to code disjoint reference between the possessor and the subject.

- (164) *lá-ghú-lá dà grá sán-à mndú*  
 go-D:SO-go PREP friend other-GEN man  
 'he<sub>1</sub> went to the house of his<sub>2</sub> friend' (lit. 'friend of another man')

- (165) *lá-ghú-lá dà grá sán-à màràkw*  
 go-D:SO-go PREP friend other-GEN woman  
 'he went to the house of her friend'

Compare the coding of co-reference through the simple possessive pronoun. The possessive pronoun in such a construction unambiguously refers to the subject of the clause:

- (166) *lá-ghú-lá dà grá-ní*  
 go-D:SO-go PREP friend-3SG  
 'he/she went to his/her friend's'

## 21. Modification through numerals

Modification through cardinal numerals has the order Noun Numeral:

- (167) *skwì turtúkw*  
 thing one  
 'one thing'

Modification through ordinal numerals has the form *má* Numeral-Genitive Noun:

- (168) *má xìs-á màrkwá-tán*  
 PREP second-GEN wife-3PL  
 'their second wife'

## 22. Noun modified by a quantifier

Quantifiers include the same lexical items that function as adjectives: *tùrtúkw* 'one, alone', *dágálá* 'many', *kítikw* 'a little', *kí'yá* 'some, little', *dímdím* or *démdém* 'a lot', and possibly several others. Constructions with quantifiers have the form Noun Quantifier. Other material, such as a possessive pronoun, may intervene between the noun and the quantifier. Quantifiers do not occur with deictics.

- (169) *skw-í-p-skwà tá ù'wà kí'yá*  
 buy-1SG-OUT-buy OBJ milk little  
 'she sold me some milk'
- (170) *tághá tá skwì dágálá*  
 learn OBJ thing many  
 'learn many things'
- (171) *s-ù-sà tá ghzú démdém*  
 drink-SO-drink OBJ beer all  
 'he drank all of the beer'

Quantifiers may follow a verb with its subject, but unlike nouns they are not preceded by the object-marking preposition *tá*:

- (172) *tsà-n-ts-í kítikw*  
 cut-3-cut-1SG little  
 'I tried to cut a little'
- (173) *tsá-ts-í démdém*  
 cut-cut-1SG all  
 'I cut everything'

Quantifiers from this group cannot occur in clause-initial position, which provides an argument that they are not adverbs:

(174) \**kítùkw sná-ná-n-sní-í*  
 a little hear-DEM-3-hear-1SG  
 for 'I heard him a little'

(175) \**démdém sná-ná-sn-í*  
 all hear-DEM-hear-1SG  
 for 'I heard everything'

Some quantifiers precede the noun:

(176)	<i>índà</i>	<i>xìyá-ní</i>		<i>índà</i>	<i>fitík</i>
	all	guinea corn-3SG		all	day
	'all his guinea corn'			'every day'	

The quantifier *índà* 'all' may occur with the quantifier *démdém* 'all', which follows the noun:

(177) *yàghá tá índà xùzlà-xà-dá démdém ká-á*  
 give me OBJ all good-PL-1SG all COMP-3SG  
 "give me back all my things," he said

There is a set of quantifier-like expressions consisting of the form *kwá* or *kó* 'every' and a form *dér*. These forms are combined with forms that otherwise function as question words, *wà* 'who', *gà* 'where', and pronouns, resulting in *kwá dér w-à-ní* 'anything', *kwá dér-wá-tsí* 'anybody', *kwá dér-gà-tsí* 'anywhere'. Such forms function as independent arguments:

(178) *kwá dér-wá-tsí xgà-gá-xgà*  
 anybody call-INN-call  
 'call anybody!'

(179) *kwá dér w-à-ní lgùt tsí dzà'á dîná*  
 anything cloth 3SG FUT well  
 'any cloth will be good'

The form *kwá* is a cognate of and possibly a borrowing from Hausa quantifier *ko*, which also functions as a marker in expressions meaning "everything" and "everybody".

### 23. Summary of modifying constructions

The potential structures of noun phrases with demonstratives, possessors, quantifiers, adjectival and nominal modifiers are as follows (the term head refers to the head noun):

DEF/DEM Head GEN Possessor *ká* Color term DEM

DEF/DEM Head PREP Modifier *ká* Color term DEM

The genitive marker is identical with the remote demonstrative. Nominal modifiers are marked by different prepositions.

Two quantifiers precede the head:

sáni-GEN Head  
another

kwá Head  
all

Quantifiers *démdém* 'all' and *kítìkw* 'little' follow the head.

Numerals as quantifiers follow the noun. Numerals as modifiers (ordinal numerals) form a genitive construction with a noun.

### 24. The associative phrase

The term *associative phrase* refers to structures Noun Phrase *ndá* Noun Phrase. These structures encompass the functions of associative and coordinated conjunctions corresponding to "Noun and Noun" and "Noun with Noun" in English.

#### 24.1. Nouns in associative phrases

Nouns are conjoined in associative phrases by the associative preposition *ndá*. The conjoined structure may occur as either the subject or the object of the clause. If the components of an associative phrase are human, such a phrase must be preceded by the associative plural *ì*:

- (180) *kà zà ì kǎ̀rì ndá zwà̀nà̀nì tá*  
 SEQ live ASSC:PL Kderi ASSC child:PL-GEN-3SG COM  
*m̀ghám*  
 chief  
 'and Kderi and his children lived happily' (lit 'royally')
- (181) *áyà tá ì gùlú ndá zírí ndá . . .*  
 give birth OBJ ASSC:PL Gulu ASSC Ziri ASSC  
 'and he begot Gulu and Ziri and . . .'

If the structure consists of several noun phrases, the associative marker occurs before the last noun only:

- (182) *zívr-á xdí zàmàn-à gà xdí*  
 origin-GEN Hdi civilization-GEN PREP Hdi  
*ndá m̀ghám-á wúyá skwì gà xdí*  
 ASSC chief-GEN festival thing PREP Hdi  
 'origin of Hdi, civilization of Hdi, and the main festival of Hdi'

#### 24.2. Pronouns in associative phrases

The use of pronouns in associative phrases, whether in the subject or object function, obeys the following rule: If the singular participant is the first member of the associative phrase, it is represented by a plural rather than a singular pronoun. Thus instead of the third-person singular pronoun, the third plural must be used, and instead of the first-person singular, the first plural must be used:

- (183) *mbà̀d' ká máyá kà rwá-xà̀n ndá*  
 then COMP hunger SEQ threaten-3PL ASSC  
*zwà̀nà̀-ní*  
 child:PL:GEN-3SG  
 'then hunger threatened him and his children'
- (184) *á̀ṅnì ndá zwà̀n-à-dá tà rwá-kú dà*  
 1PL.INCL ASSC child:PL-GEN-1SG IMPF threat-ABS PREP  
*máyá*  
 hunger  
 'I and my children are suffering from hunger'

## 25. Disjoined noun phrase

For nominal disjunction, contemporary Hdi uses the form *kó* 'or', which is present in two vehicular languages of the area, Hausa and Fula. This form could be a retention from Proto-Chadic or it could be a loan from either Fula or Hausa:

- (185) *kl-í-g-ídá-ghà*                      *dàfá kó ghrdí*  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG      mush or gruel  
 'bring me mush or gruel'

## 26. Conclusions

If the modifier inherently refers to an entity, the head precedes the modifier. If the modifier is inherently a property concept, the modifier precedes the head. The type of modification is determined by the morphological marker occurring between the head and the modifier. The markers of the relationship between the head and modifier are the genitive marker *á*, derived from the remote demonstrative; the marker *nà*, derived from the proximate demonstrative; the marker *mà*, identical with the preposition "in"; the marker *ngá*, identical with the preposition "for"; the marker *tá*, identical with the comment marker.

The associative noun phrase marked by the form *ndá* includes the functions of associative and conjoined noun phrases.





# Chapter 4

## Deixis and anaphora

### 1. Introduction

The present chapter focuses on two issues: deixis and anaphora. The scope of anaphoric coding includes previous mention in discourse. Sentence-internal anaphoras, such as those in complex sentences or in topicalized or focused arguments, are discussed together with constructions where they may occur.

### 2. Independent pronouns

Syntactically, independent pronouns behave like nouns; they are not affixes or clitics. These pronouns are used as subjects and objects in pragmatically dependent clauses, as predicates of equational clauses, and as objects of prepositions.

There is no gender distinction in the pronominal system or anywhere else in the grammatical system. In the first-person plural there is a distinction between the inclusive and the exclusive categories. There is also a first-person dual inclusive category. There is no dual for any other person, nor is there a separate first-person dual exclusive. Such a notion is coded by the first person plural exclusive:

*Table 9. Independent pronouns*

Person	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>íí</i>	<i>úú</i> (INCL)	<i>ámú</i> (INCL) <i>áñní</i> (EXCL)
Second	<i>kághá</i>		<i>kághúní</i>
Third	<i>tsátsí</i>		<i>xáxən</i>

The third-person pronoun *tsátsí* is a compound consisting of the previous-mention marker *tsá* and the third-person singular pronoun *tsí*. Support for this hypothesis is provided by the fact that in focus constructions involving pronouns, every pronoun may be preceded by the form *tsá*. The third-person singular pronoun in focus constructions is, however, *tsátsí*

rather than \**tsátsátsí*. The variant *tsítsí*, recorded in fast speech, is a result of progressive vowel assimilation.

There exist forms *íhní* for the third-person singular and *ghúní* for the second-person plural which occur after certain prepositions.

The first-person dual codes "thee and me"; the first-person plural inclusive *ámú* codes "thee, me, and other people with us"; and the first-person plural exclusive *áhní* codes "me and other people (excluding thee)". The same distinctions between first-person dual, first-person plural inclusive, and first-person plural exclusive are coded by pronominal affixes to the verb and nouns.

There is no independent pronoun for the unspecified human participant, a category coded in subject and possessive paradigms (cf. Chapter 3, section 8.1. and Chapter 6, section 4.3.).

Object pronouns, described in argument coding, serve also as objects of prepositions.

The first- and second-person pronouns are by definition deictic. But the third-person independent pronoun *tsátsí*, possibly composed of *tsá-tsí*, may be used only anaphorically:

- (1)     *wá*     *tsátsí*   *nà*  
           who     3SG     Q  
           'who is that person?' (referring to a person mentioned in discourse)

This expression cannot be used deictically, viz. pointing to somebody. (The tone on *tsá* is high, but it is lower than the tone on *tsí*, which is extra-high by virtue of its being a penultimate tone in the interrogative clause. Cf. Chapter 16, section 2.1. )

### 3. Deixis

There is a three-way distinction within the deictic system, coding three degrees of distance with respect to the speaker: *á* 'remote', *yá* 'middle distance', and *ná* 'proximate'. The deictic forms can be reduplicated to be used in a clause like independent nouns:

- (2)     *bà-f-b-í*                    *tá*     *yá-yá*  
           build-UP-build-1SG    OBJ     DEM-DEM  
           'I built that'

- (3) *bà-f-b-í*                      *tá*      *ná-ná*  
 build-UP-build-1SG      OBJ      DEM-DEM  
 'I built this'
- (4) *bà-f-b-í*                      *tá*      *á-á*  
 build-UP-build-1SG      OBJ      DEM-DEM  
 'I built that thing over there'

Demonstratives do not code the number of the objects they point to or of the nouns they modify. The deictic modifying construction has the form (Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>) (Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>) Noun (Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>) Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>, i.e., the demonstrative may occur once after the noun, may be repeated twice before and twice after the noun, twice before and once after noun, once before and twice after the noun. The demonstratives immediately preceding and following the noun have high tone. The first demonstrative in the construction (Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>) (Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>) preceding the noun has low tone:

- (5) *tà*      *kúmá-í*      *tá*      *ná*      *skálú*      *ná*  
 IMPF   like-1SG      OBJ      DEM      dance      DEM  
 'I like this dance' (the one I am observing)

### 3.1. Proximate deictic *ná*

The construction with two demonstratives preceding the noun and one following it is restricted to deictic environments where there is the least distance between the speaker and the referent:

- (6) *nà*      *ná*      *mákwà*      *ná*  
 DEM      DEM      girl              DEM  
 'this girl here' (immediate proximity; within hand's reach)

With the demonstrative *ná*, there is no difference in meaning between Demonstrative<sub>1</sub> Demonstrative<sub>1</sub> Noun Demonstrative<sub>1</sub> and Demonstrative<sub>1</sub> Noun Demonstrative<sub>1</sub>:

- (7) *ná*      *mákwà*      *ná*  
 DEM      girl              DEM  
 'this girl here' (same distance as in the preceding example)

Evidence for the proximate deictic function of the form *ná* is provided by those clauses in natural discourse where the speaker and the object modified by the deictic forms are at the same location, in proximity of each other:

- (8) *ná tá klà-gá-ghá-f-tà tà*  
 what COM bring-INN-2SG-UP-REF PREP  
*ná fú ná nà ká xəŋ*  
 DEM tree DEM DEM COMP 3PL  
 ‘“What brought you here to this tree?” they said.’ (The addressee and the speaker sit on the same tree.)
- (9) *xìyá-xìyá skwì txà-f-í tà ná*  
 guinea corn-guinea corn thing expel-UP-1SG PREP DEM  
*déléwér ná ná . . .*  
 book (Ful.) DEM DEM  
 ‘The kinds of things that I write in this notebook . . .’
- (10) *ká xəŋ mántsá nà ná kdíx*  
 COMP 3PL thus DEM DEM donkey  
*ná ná, kdíx-á xìyá yà*  
 DEM DEM donkey-GEN guinea corn COP  
 (Children of the God, having given a donkey to a man) ‘Said, “this donkey here is the donkey of guinea corn”’

The demonstrative *ná* may also be used to narrow the meaning of a temporal expression, indicating that the time of the event is the same as the time of speech. In such expressions the demonstrative is not reduplicated. This usage constitutes an argument in support of the hypothesis that reduplication has a deictic function. Time in general or a period of time is not compatible with visual deixis:

- (11) *xvá-à-xən tá vərə bìt ná wà*  
 plant-NEG-3PL OBJ beans year DEM NEG  
 ‘they did not plant beans this year’

### 3.2. Middle distance deictic *yá*

The syntactic and tonal properties of the demonstrative *yá* are the same as those of the demonstrative *ná*. The demonstrative *yá* points to a referent in the environment of speech, but at a distance at which the referent cannot

be touched. The construction is felicitous only if accompanied by gestures. Otherwise, it is opaque and uninterpretable.

(12) *yà yá m̀ndú yá*  
 DEM DEM man DEM  
 ‘that man’

(13) *yà yá mákwà yá*  
 DEM DEM girl DEM  
 ‘this girl’ (the girl must be visible)

If there is only one demonstrative before the noun, the distance is closer than if there are two demonstratives before the noun:

(14) *yá mákwà yá*  
 DEM girl DEM  
 ‘this girl’ (closer distance; must be visible)

A noun that is present in the environment of reported speech may be followed by the deictic *yá*. In the following two sentences, the word *sígà* ‘pot’ is marked by the demonstrative *yá*:

(15) *lá-ghà pákáw ghúvì kà mná-n-tá k̀rì*  
 go-D:PVG hyena SEQ tell-3-REF dog  
*yàgh-ká dá ñgh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-’á mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 ‘and Hyena said to Dog, “Do not look inside this pot,” he told him.’

### 3.3. Remote demonstrative *á* and its connection with third-person singular

The remote demonstrative marker *á*, which occurs rarely in our data in that function, marks objects far away from the speaker:

(16) *nó xg-à-n ’á* (*á* is rearticulated, separate from *n*)  
 what name-GEN-3SG DEM  
 ‘what is the name of that thing over there?’

- (17) à á mákwà á  
 DEM DEM girl DEM  
 'that girl' (She is visible but far away. The middle distance demonstrative *yá* is used for previous mention in discourse)

There is an interesting connection between the emote demonstrative *á* and the third-person singular suffix *-á*, which occurs after complementizers and auxiliary verbs. Not only do both markers have the same phonological form, but they also have identical phonological properties. When either *á* is added to another form, usually it is word-final, and it does not constitute a syllable with a preceding segment but is rearticulated. We conclude that the remote demonstrative *á* and the third-person singular *á* are the same morpheme.

### 3.4. Deixis and the presentative function

The form *wúyà* or *wíyà* (a result of vowel fronting) has a presentative function. Its function is to introduce a new speech fragment:

- (18) *wúyá ká-ɲni ndá-xəŋ*  
 here COM-1PL.EXCL ASSC-3PL  
 'here is what we told them'
- (19) *wúyá ká ɲni zlrá-f-tà ndá fálák yà*  
 here COMP 1SG.EXCL start-UP-REF ASSC wind COP  
 'here is how we have started: . . .'

The form *wúyà* may well consist of the form *wú* 'which' followed by the demonstrative *yá*.

## 4. Anaphora and definiteness

An *anaphor* is used as a reference to an element previously mentioned in discourse. The term *anaphor* refers to a morpheme that is bound by an element previously mentioned in discourse. An anaphoric expression may include a noun accompanied by markers indicating that the noun has been previously mentioned in discourse. The term *definite* refers to a specific coding means rather than to a specific function.

#### 4.1. An anaphor as an argument

There are several types of anaphora. Most anaphora are built with the previous-mention marker *tsá*, glossed “DEF” for “definite”. The form *tsá* alone, i.e. without any noun, may function as an anaphor for an argument:

- (20) *xàd-ká kà ñghá tsá wà*  
 lack-2SG SEQ look DEF NEG  
 ‘you should not look at it’

- (21) *zá á zwáŋ tá tsá wà ká-’á*  
 eat NEG child OBJ DEF NEG COMP-SG  
*kà klá-úgh-tà kà f-ù-d-ú-tà*  
 SEQ take-D:PVG-REF SEQ put-SO-ALL-EP-REF  
 ‘“Children do not eat it,” he [Hyena] said, [and he] took it and ate it up.’

The anaphor *tsá* can be used as a modifier of a noun. Its antecedent could be an event previously mentioned in discourse:

- (22) *tà xúlá tsá ngá xgà-f-tá xgà ghúní . . .*  
 PREP back DEF NORM call-UP-REF call 2PL  
 ‘Afterwards, they would call you up . . .’

The marker *tsá* can also be modified by a demonstrative:

- (23) *bà-f-b-í tá tsá yá*  
 build-UP-build-1SG OBJ DEF DEM  
 ‘I built that [thing mentioned in discourse]’

#### 4.2. An anaphor as a modifier

There are different means for the coding of for an argument of the verb, for a locative adjunct, for a proposition. Moreover, there are different means of coding anaphora, depending on the type of argument. The coding of previous mention for the subject is different from the coding of previous mention for other arguments. The general rule is the use of the definite frame *tsá . . . yá*, which surrounds a noun, or follows a noun. If the object has been previously mentioned in discourse, it is marked by the definite frame. The subject is marked by the definite frame if (1) it has been previously mentioned in discourse and (2) it is a new topic. The

frame *tsá* Noun Phrase *yá* is used only when the noun has been mentioned in discourse. If the noun has been mentioned in discourse and is the focus of a clause, the frame *tsá yá* follows the noun:

- (24) *dàgà* *bàd'* *tsá* *yá* *dzà'á* *ghlra'-f-tà*  
 from day DEF DEM FUT perform-UP-REF  
*tsá* *mìndú* *yá* *tá* *wúyá*  
 DEF man DEM OBJ rites  
 'From that day on the man will perform the rites'

Evidence for the proposed function of the definite marker with the subject is provided by the fact that a sentence with a nominal subject accompanied by the definite marker cannot be the first sentence of a discourse, narrative, and so on. Once a noun phrase has been introduced, its subsequent mention may be accompanied by the definite marker *tsá yá*:

- (25) *tà* *xúl-á* *vàkú* *xìs* *ngá* *pgh-ày-ní* *tá*  
 PREP back-GEN year two NORM pour-PO-3SG OBJ  
*pghù*  
 libation  
 'After two years he should pour a libation' (i.e., proceed with the initiation)

- (26) *pghù* *tsá* *yá* *dzà'á* *màrà-n-tá*  
 libation DEF DEM FUT show-3-REF  
*snà-n-tà-ní* *tá* *dàdá-xà-ní* *ndá* *mtá*  
 know-3-REF-3SG OBJ father-PL-3SG STAT dead  
*dàgà* *dá-ní* *dá-ní* *mà* *dá-ní* *dá-ní*  
 PREP father-3SG father-3SG PREP father-3SG father-3SG  
*mà* *dá-ní* *xá* *gùlú*  
 PREP father-3SG until Gulu  
 'It is the libation that will make him know his dead parents, back to Gulu.' (Gulu is a forefather whom the *xùtsá*, *kdáy*, *lúwá*, and *ldáblám* clans claim as their ancestor. There are several dozen clans in Hdi.)

In the following sentence from a narrative describing a festivity, the word *mìndú* 'man' is enclosed in the definite frame because it refers to somebody previously mentioned in discourse who is also the new topic:



- (27) *ngá sá-bà tsá mndú yá jìbìl*  
 NORM go-OUT DEF man DEM outdoors  
*ndá lgùt-á ngrá tà vghá-ní*  
 ASSC cloth-GEN black PREP body-3SG  
 ‘The man should come out wearing black clothes.’

Evidence that the frame *tsá . . . yá* codes previous mention in discourse is provided by the fact that it can be used with possessive pronouns:

- (28) *kà dzà’á gálá tsá zwàn-à-ghá yá*  
 SEQ FUT raise:PL DEF child:PL-GEN -2SG DEM  
 ‘and you will raise your children’ (children have been previously mentioned in discourse)

- (29) *kà lá-ghá-xèŋ ghálá-ghá tá tsá klùgà yá*  
 SEQ go-D:PVG-3PL steal-D:PVG OBJ DEF dish DEM  
 ‘and they went and stole the dish’

The following fragment illustrates the use of the definite frame after a focused element that has been mentioned previously in discourse:

- (30) *tà xúl-á skálá tá hlà mà mú tàlá*  
 PREP back-GEN dance-GEN COM bull exist exorcise  
*zàŋwá*  
 demon  
 ‘In addition to the festivity of the bull there is the exorcising of demons.’

- (31) *tàlá zàŋwá tsá yá tá màrà-n-tá*  
 exorcise demon DEF DEM COM show-3-REF  
*xlá-g-í-n-tá índà ghwáđàk-á skwì mà*  
 gather-INN-AWAY-3-REF all bad-GEN thing PREP  
*xgá yá*  
 home DEM  
 ‘It is *tàlá zàŋwá* that shows that one has chased away all the bad things from the compound.’

To find evidence for the proposed hypothesis regarding the function of the frame *tsá . . . yá*, consider the use of this marker in the following fragment (tonal notation as in the text written by Roger Prafé). Background: enemies persuaded Kderi to spend the night on the road rather than to go home, saying that there was a lion in the neighborhood.

The fragment begins with a new topic, Kderi. The new topic is coded by a full noun. Although Kderi was mentioned before in discourse, and he is in fact the main protagonist of the story, there are several other characters mentioned in the preceding paragraphs:

- (32) *kà ghləŋ-gá-f-tá kdéri tá ghləŋ, kà*  
 SEQ fear-INN-UP-REF Kderi OBJ fear SEQ  
*xàn-tsí xàdà*  
 sleep-3SG there  
 ‘Kderi was afraid and spent the night there’

In the next sentence the subject is unspecified human. The object is a donkey, which was also mentioned in the previous discourse. The word *kdix* ‘donkey’ is surrounded by the definite frame.

The “previous mention” that qualifies a noun for definite marking does not have to consist of the same lexical item. It may refer to an object that changes its form and name over time. The man at whose house Kderi spent the night has not been mentioned in discourse, but the place was, having been mentioned as “there”. Therefore, *mìndú* ‘man’, part of prepositional phrase, is surrounded by the definite marker:

- (33) *kà xəba-ná-tú-lù tá tsá kdix yá*  
 SEQ tie-DEM-REF-UH OBJ DEF donkey DEM  
*gà tsá m̀ndù tà xàn tsí gà taŋ yá.*  
 PREP DEF man IMPF sleep 3SG PREP 3PL DEM  
 ‘And the donkey was tied up at [the house of] the man where he was to spend the night.’

In the next sentence the topic switches to enemies, which is marked by the frame *tsá . . . yá*. The object has also been mentioned before in the discourse. It is the same as in the previous sentence and is repeated again, surrounded by the frame *tsá . . . yá*:

- (34) *kà lá-ghá tsá tsəmák-xà-ní yá*  
 SEQ go-D:PVG DEF enemy-PL-3SG DEM  
*mbďá-p-tá tsá kdix-á-nì yá*  
 replace-OUT-REF DEF donkey-GEN-3M DEM  
*ndá vùvù’úkwá kdix*  
 ASSC small donkey  
 ‘And his enemies replaced his donkey with a smaller one.’

In the next sentence the new topic is *Kderi*. It is referred to by the word *mndù* ‘man’. But since it is a new topic, it is surrounded by the frame *tsá* . . . *yá*:

- (35) *hli'îâ-f-tà tsá mndù yá tà xáni,*  
 wake-UP-REF DEF man DEM PREP sleep  
*kà'á ká-'á ná kdîx-á -ní á wà*  
 COMP-3SG COMP-3SG COMP donkey-GEN-SG NEG NEG  
 ‘When the man woke up, he realized that it was not his donkey.’

The following two sentences illustrate the fact that what determines the use of the definite marker with an object is not the mention of the object itself but rather of the referent. The word *vàrà* ‘beans’ serves as the antecedent for the phonologically unrelated *mbízà* ‘bean dish’, which is enclosed in the frame *tsá* . . . *yá*:

- (36) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà klà-'á-tá v àrà*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ take-PART-REF beans  
 ‘and Hyena took some beans’

- (37) *lá-ghà pákáv ghúvì kày*  
 go-D:PVG hyena INTERJ  
*kà lá-b dínghà tsá mbízà yá*  
 SEQ go-OUT put on the fire DEF bean dish DEM  
 ‘Then, Hyena put the bean dish on the fire.’

The fact that a noun has been mentioned before does not automatically mean that its subsequent mention, even under the condition of co-reference, will be marked by a demonstrative or the definite frame. In the same folktale from which the preceding two examples were taken, the subsequent mention of *mbízà* ‘bean dish’ several sentences after its previous mention is not accompanied by any deictic or previous-mention marker. The noun does not play an important enough role in the clause; it is just the object of a preposition:

- (38) *kà l-íyù ngás-í-n-tá vú mìstá*  
 SEQ go-1SG push in-AWAY-3-REF fire under  
*mbízà ká pákává ghúvì*  
 bean dish COMP hyena  
 ‘“I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,” said Hyena.’

Similarly in the following fragment:

- (39) *lá-bà*      *kri*      *yá*      *mbàd'*      *ká-'á*      *kà*  
 go-OUT      dog      DEM      then      COMP-3SG      SEQ  
*gùnà-ná-f-tá*                      *sígà*  
 open-DEM-UP-REF      pot  
 'When Dog<sub>1</sub> went, he<sub>1</sub> opened the pot.'
- (40) *kà á*      *ká-'á*                      *nghà-dá-tà*      *ná*  
 SEQ 3SG      COMP-3SG      look-ALL-REF      COMP  
*zwàn-à-ní*                      *mà*      *sígà*  
 child:PL-GEN-3SG      PREP      pot  
 'And he saw that his children were in the pot.'

A noun followed by a possessive pronoun may be marked for previous mention in discourse:

- (41) *tsá*      *kdíx-á-ní*                      *yá*  
 DEF      donkey-GEN-3SG      DEM  
 'the donkey of his'

The definite marker *tsá* may be the only modifier of a noun, i.e. occurring without the demonstrative *yá*. When this is the case, the definite marker *tsá* follows rather than precedes the noun:

- (42) *bxà-dá-gh-í*                      *tví*      *tsá*      *wà*  
 pass-ALL-D:GO-1SG      place      DEF      NEG  
 'I did not pass by there' (referring to a place mentioned before in discourse)

## 5. Specific and non-specific "child"

One noun, *zwáj* 'child', in its singular and plural forms, serving as direct object or as possessum, distinguishes the specific, definite, known noun in the domain, as opposed to general, non-specific noun in the domain *de dicto*. The distinction is coded through tonal changes on the noun.

- (43) *mbàd'*      *ká-'á*                      *kà*      *xlá-f-tá*                      *zwàn-à*                      *kri*  
 then      COMP-3SG      SEQ      gather-UP-REF      child:PL-GEN      dog  
 'And then he gathered the children of Dog'

Retaining the high tone on the noun gives a quite different meaning, where the possessor is general, in the domain *de dicto*:

- (44) *mbàd' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá zwán-à kri*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF child:PL-GEN dog  
 ‘And then he gathered the puppies’

The use of low tone alone, without any other determiners, codes the specificity of the noun:

- (45) *ndá bágá zwàŋ*  
 STAT satisfied child  
 ‘the baby/child is satisfied’

No other nouns have been recorded marking this distinction:

- (46) *ndá bágá mndú*  
 STAT satisfied man  
 ‘the man is satisfied’

- (47) \**ndá bágá mndù*  
 STAT satisfied man  
 for ‘the man is satisfied’

### 5.1. The coding of locative anaphora

Locative anaphora can be coded by several means. One is through the use of the form *tví* ‘place’ followed, not preceded, by previous-reference marker *tsá*:

- (48) *bxà-dá-gh-íyù tví tsá wà*  
 arrive-ALL-D:PVG-1SG place DEF NEG  
 ‘I did not arrive there’ (to a place mentioned earlier in discourse)

Compare the deictic:

- (49) *bxà-dá-gh-í tví á wà*  
 arrive-ALL-D:PVG-1SG place DEM NEG  
 ‘I did not arrive there’ (deictic)

Another means is through the use of the previous-mention marker *tsá* preceded by locative preposition(s). Thus the preceding example (48) is followed in the conversation by a sentence where the locative anaphora is coded by the locative preposition *tà* preceding the anaphora-marking *tsá*:

- (50) *lá à ká ndá tà tsá wú*  
 go NEG 2SG toward PREP DEF NEG  
 'You did not go there?'

An anaphor for time expression is also enclosed in the definite frame.

- (51) *wùyà ká xəŋ tà mág-áy mà tsá fitík*  
 here is COMP 3PL IMPF do-PO PREP DEF time  
*yá tà má*  
 DEM now  
 'that is how they made it during that time'

### 5.2. *The propositional anaphor*

The anaphor *tsá* also has the function of propositional anaphora, referring to previous statements:

- (52) *tà xúl-á tsá mántsá kà lá-f-í dá*  
 PREP back-GEN DEF then SEQ go-UP-1SG PREP  
*mókólò*  
 Mokolo  
 'Afterwards I went to Mokolo.'

The anaphor referring to a premise mentioned before in discourse, corresponding to English "therefore", is the particle *kày*, often realized as *kè*, which occurs at the end of the clause. The previous-reference marker *tsà* has low tone when it follows the complementizer *ká*. The previous-reference marker thus behaves in the same way as subject pronouns, which also have low tone when following the complementizer *ká*:

- (53) *ká tsà m̀ghám yá kày ná . . .*  
 COMP DEF chief DEM therefore COMP  
 'The chief said that therefore . . .'

- (54) *bà-f-b-í tá tsá xgá yá kày*  
 build-UP-build-1SG OBJ DEF house DEM therefore  
 'Therefore I built the house.'

## 6. Conclusions

A noun may be modified by one of the following deictic demonstratives: proximate *ná*, middle distance *yá*, or remote *á*. The demonstrative typically precedes and follows the noun. If a noun is modified by another noun and the phrase is marked by a demonstrative, the phrase has the structure Noun Modifier Demonstrative.

There is a construction specifically encoding previous mention in discourse. This construction consists of the frame *tsá . . . yá* surrounding the noun phrase or *tsá yá* following the noun phrase in focus. Although we gloss the construction as “definite”, it is used only for previously mentioned nouns that are also sentence topics.





# Chapter 5

## Verbal root and stem

### 1. Introduction

There are three basic verbal categories in Hdi: root, simple stem, and reduplicated stem. There is a considerable distinction between the role of consonants on the one hand and the role of vowels and tone on the other. This distinction is only partially similar to the one encountered in Semitic languages. The root is the verbal form from which through regular morphological processes, mainly the addition of vowels and tonal changes, various verbal stems are derived. Complete or partial reduplication is a coding means for a variety of functions.

The present chapter describes the properties of roots and the mechanisms of inflectional and derivational morphology applying to verbal roots and stems. The function of each form is described in subsequent chapters.

### 2. The verbal root and thematic vowels

Unlike nouns, verbs cannot begin with a vowel. This constitutes a fundamental phonological difference between the two categories. The onset of the verb may consist of a single consonant or a cluster consisting of two consonants: *z* 'eat', *s* 'drink', *kl* 'take', *mí* 'have sexual intercourse', *ndzǎ* 'attach, connect'. Whether a verb begins with a single consonant or with a cluster of consonants has implications for the formation of plural forms of verbs.

The individual, unpredictable components of the verb are the tone, the consonantal structure, and, in case of polysyllabic verbs, the first vowel. These elements constitute the underlying form of the verb, the root. The existence of the category *root* is provided by two arguments. The first is the existence of alternations of verbal forms whereby some forms end in vowels *a* or schwa:

- (1) *mbàd' ká-xən kà d'g-á xiyá*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ thresh guinea corn  
 'and they thresh guinea corn'
- (2) *mbàd' ká-xən kà d'g-á-tá xiyá*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ thresh-REF guinea corn  
 'and they threshed guinea corn' (finished threshing)
- (3) *mbàd' ká-xən kà d'gə*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ thresh  
 'and they started/got into threshing'

The second argument for the existence of the category *root* is that the vowels code specific syntactic or semantic functions of the subject, the point of view from which the event is presented, the type of clause, and the aspect.

Schwa in verbal forms is an epenthetic vowel, whose presence is motivated by syllable structure constraints and by the need to realize the tone of the verb, since the tone carries both lexical and grammatical functions:

- (4) *kà zá-tsí tá skwì*  
 SEQ eat-3SG OBJ food  
 'let him eat!'

Cf.:

- (5) *kà zə-tsí tá skwì*  
 SEQ eat-3SG OBJ food  
 'and he is/was eating'

- (6) *kà sə-tsí*  
 SEQ drink-3SG  
 'and he is/was drinking'

- (7) *kà sà-tsí*  
 SEQ drink-3SG  
 'he should drink'

- (8a) *kà lə-tsí*  
 SEQ go-3SG  
 'and he was going'

- (8b) *kà lá-tsí*  
 SEQ go-3SG  
 'and he should go'

### 3. The underlying tone of the verb

Monosyllabic verbs can have either high or low tone. Bisyllabic verbs display all possible combinations of high and low tones. The underlying tone of a verb can be discovered by examining different verbs in the same syntactic and morphological environments. One of such environments is the imperfective form of the verb in pragmatically dependent clauses. This form has no vowel other than the epenthetic schwa. Unlike the tone of other aspectual forms, the tone of such a form is not affected by the subject pronoun that follows it:

- |     |                             |           |               |                           |           |               |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| (9) | <i>ghzú</i>                 | <i>tà</i> | <i>sə-ká</i>  | <i>xìyá</i>               | <i>tà</i> | <i>zə-ká</i>  |
|     | beer                        | IMPF      | drink-2SG     | corn                      | IMPF      | eat-2SG       |
|     | 'it is beer that you drink' |           |               | 'it is corn that you eat' |           |               |
|     |                             |           |               |                           |           |               |
|     | <i>ghzú</i>                 | <i>tà</i> | <i>sə-tsí</i> | <i>xìyá</i>               | <i>tà</i> | <i>zə-tsí</i> |
|     | beer                        | IMPF      | drink-3SG     | corn                      | IMPF      | eat-3SG       |
|     | 'it is beer that he drinks' |           |               | 'it is corn that he eats' |           |               |
|     |                             |           |               |                           |           |               |
|     | <i>ghzú</i>                 | <i>tà</i> | <i>sì-í</i>   | <i>xìyá</i>               | <i>tà</i> | <i>zí-í</i>   |
|     | beer                        | IMPF      | drink-1SG     | corn                      | IMPF      | eat-1SG       |
|     | 'it is beer that I drink'   |           |               | 'it is corn that I eat'   |           |               |

In order to determine the underlying tone of the verb, we examine these forms when the verbs differ, e.g. before a vowel-initial suffix such as source-oriented marker *ú* or goal-oriented marker *a*, before the potential object marker *-ay*, and the verb in the independent imperfective aspect. The following examples illustrate the use in this environment of the verbs *zà* 'live' and *zá* 'eat':

- (10) *kà zə ì kđerí ndá zwàn-à-ní tá*  
 SEQ live ASSC:PL Kderi ASSC child:PL-GEN-3SG PREP  
*mghám*  
 chief  
 'and Kderi and his children lived happily'

- (11) *kà zé ì kǎ́rí ndá zwàn-à-ní tá*  
 SEQ eat ASSC:PL Kderi ASSC child:PL-GEN-3SG PREP  
*m̀ghám*  
 chief  
 'and Kderi and his children ate royally' (elicited)

Verbs retain their underlying tone in the imperative form:

- |      |             |                  |             |           |
|------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|
| (12) | <i>zá</i>   | 'eat!'           | <i>zà</i>   | 'forget!' |
|      | <i>pghà</i> | 'accompany him!' | <i>pghá</i> | 'pour!'   |
|      | <i>sà</i>   | 'drink!'         | <i>sá</i>   | 'come!'   |

Tonal differences between verbs can also be observed in the perfective form, which is coded by reduplication. The examples below are organized in pairs representing such contrasts:

- (13) *zà-p-zà*  
 disappear-OUT-disappear  
 'it has been forgotten'
- (14) *zá-p-zá*  
 eat-OUT-eat  
 'he ate up something'
- (15) *sà-p-sà*  
 drink-OUT-drink  
 'he drank up'
- (16) *sá-p-sá*  
 arrive-OUT-arrive  
 'he came out'
- (17) *sá-ghà-sá*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive  
 'he came'
- (18) *sà-ghá-sà*  
 drink-D:PVG-drink  
 'he drank and went away'

- (19) *zá-ghá-zá*  
eat-D:PVG -eat  
'he ate and went away'
- (20) *pghà-dá-p-pghà*  
accompany-ALL -OUT -accompany  
'he accompanied him going out'
- (21) *pghá-dá-ná-p-pghá*  
pour-ALL -DEM-OUT -pour  
'he poured out something for him'

Another environment where the tonal difference between verbs manifests itself is in the citation form of the verbs readily given by the speaker. For many verbs the citation form includes the suffix *-ay*. The tone on this suffix for monosyllabic verbs varies, which can be explained by the fact that the suffix assumes the underlying tone of the verb:

- |      |                |                |              |                           |
|------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| (22) | <i>z-áy</i>    | 'eat'          | <i>z-ày</i>  | 'be forgotten, disappear' |
|      | <i>bl-áy</i>   | 'break'        | <i>s-ày</i>  | 'drink'                   |
|      | <i>ts-áy</i>   | 'cut'          | <i>d-ày</i>  | 'cook'                    |
|      | <i>pgh-áy</i>  | 'pour'         | <i>dz-ày</i> | 'kill, hit'               |
|      | <i>kl-áy</i>   | 'take'         | <i>b-ày</i>  | 'build'                   |
|      | <i>tsgh-áy</i> | 'send a thing' |              |                           |

With bisyllabic verbs the suffix *-ay* also has different tones, which clearly indicates that both syllables of the verb have an inherent tone:

- |      |                   |        |                |                 |
|------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| (23) | <i>d'áw-áy</i>    | 'ask'  | <i>ghùn-ày</i> | 'send a person' |
|      | <i>xwáy-áy</i>    | 'run'  | <i>tsúx-ày</i> | 'cough'         |
|      | <i>d'íf-áy</i>    | 'hide' | <i>dál-ày</i>  | 'nail down'     |
|      | <i>d'ghàd'-áy</i> | 'chew' |                |                 |
|      | <i>ngàts-áy</i>   | 'have' |                |                 |

Thus the single tone of monosyllabic verbs and the two or more tones of polysyllabic verbs constitute part of the underlying structure of the verb. The underlying tone of the verb (as of many other morphemes) may change, because tone is a coding means and various functions are coded by different tones. In particular, the high tone of the verb is a coding means that indicates direction toward a goal, including the dative, rather than a direct function for pronominal objects.

#### 4. Number coding in verbs

There are three means to code the plurality of the verb: suffix *á*, reduplication, and lexical suppletion. The coding of plurality through suppletion is in the domain of lexicon, and therefore its form is not predictable. The two other means, however, correlate with the segmental structure of the verb, more specifically with the number of consonants.

#### 5. Plural marking through the infix *-a-*

The plural marker *á* is used only with polyconsonantal verbs. The marker *á* is inserted after the first consonant of the verb: Thus the plural form of the verb *xná* 'slaughter' is *x-á-ná*:

(24) *kà*     *x-á-ná-tá*     *gù-xà*  
 SEQ    slaughter-PL-REF    goat-PL  
 'he slaughtered goats'

(25) *pákáw*    *tá*    *kásá-tá*    *xèn*  
 leopard    COM    catch-PL-REF    3PL  
 'a leopard caught them'

Cf.:

(26) *ksá-f-ksá*            *tá*    *gù*  
 catch-UP-catch    OBJ    goat  
 'he caught a goat'

The plural form of the verb, unlike other inflectional forms of the verb, is included in both parts of the reduplicated verb:

(27) *x-á-ná-x-á-ná*            *tá*    *hlá*  
 slaughter-PL-slaughter-PL    OBJ    cow  
 'he slaughtered cattle'

Cf.:

(28) *xná-xnà*            *tá*    *hlá*  
 slaughter-slaughter    OBJ    cow  
 'he slaughtered a cow'

The formation of plural stems through *á* insertion allows us to determine whether the initial sound of a verb is a consonant cluster or a single segment. The prenasalized stop *ng* turns out to be a single segment:

(29) *tà ng-á-l-áy tá plis-xà*  
 IMPF mount-PL-PO OBJ horse-PL  
 'he mounts horses' (singular *nglá*)

(30) *tà ngl-áy tá plis*  
 IMPF mount-PO OBJ horse  
 'he mounts a horse'

The plural form of monoconsonantal verbs, such as *yà* 'to give birth', *dà* 'cook', *tsà* 'cut', is not derived through suffix *á*. These verbs form their plurals through reduplication instead.

## 6. Verbal plural through reduplication

Monoconsonantal verbs and several biconsonantal verbs form their plural through reduplication. There are different rules of reduplication for the non-consonantal verbs and for polyconsonantal verbs. For monoconsonantal verbs the formation of the plural through reduplication also involves the use of the plural infix *á*.

The process of plural formation involves the following steps: The first consonant of the verb is reduplicated leftward. For the verb *yà* 'to give birth', the reduplication yields the form \**y-yà*. The next step is the insertion of the plural-forming vowel *á* (with high tone) after the first consonant, yielding the form *yáyà*. If such a form is used in the perfective of pragmatically independent clauses, the whole verb is reduplicated:

(31) *yá-yà-yá-yà tá xèn*  
 give birth:PL-give birth OBJ 3PL  
 'she gave birth to them'

Compare the singular form of the verb:

(32) *yà-yà tá zwáj*  
 give birth-give birth OBJ child  
 'she gave birth to a child'

Consider now the derivation of the plural form of the verb *sà* 'drink' with the source-oriented marker *u*. First the consonant (without the tone) of the verbal root is reduplicated in front, giving the form \**s-sà*. Then the plural infix *á* is added after the first consonant, yielding the form *sásà*. Then the source-oriented marker *u* is added to the verbal root, yielding the

form *sásù*. The reduplication of the verb in the perfective aspect does not involve the reduplication of the source-oriented marker *u*, yielding the form:

- (33) *s-á-s-ù-s-á-sá-lú*  
 drink-PL-drink-SO-drink-PL-drink-UH  
 ‘one drank them, one after another’

By a similar process the plural form of the verb *zá* ‘eat’ is derived:

- (34) *z-á-z-ú-z-á-zá*                      *tá*              *xèn*  
 eat-PL-eat-SO-eat-PL-eat    OBJ              3PL  
 ‘he ate them, one after another’

The source-oriented marker *u* may also be added to the first reduplicated part of the verb, after the plural marker *á* has been inserted, resulting in the pattern C-*á-ù-C-CaCa*. The fusion of *a* and *u* results in the vowel *o*. The tone on the resulting vowel *o* is high, representing the tone of the plural marker *á*.

- (35) *só-s-sá-sà*  
 drink:PL:SO-drink-drink-drink  
 ‘he drank them all, one after another’

- (36) *zó-z-zá-zá*  
 eat:PL:SO-eat-eat-eat  
 ‘he ate them all, one after another’

For bisyllabic verbs, the plural is formed by repeating leftward the first syllable. In the perfective aspect the reduplicate theme of the verb is repeated twice:

- (37) *dá-dáxá-ná-f-dá-dáxá*              *tá*              *lgùt-á*              *zwán-ì*  
 PL-sew-DEM-UP-PL-sew    OBJ              dress-GEN              child-PL  
 ‘he sewed the children’s clothing’

That it is the first syllable that is reduplicated rather than the first consonant is shown by the fact that the vowel of the first syllable of the verb is also the vowel of the reduplicated syllable:



- (38) *dí-dífà-ná-dí-dífà tá sígà*  
 PL-hide-DEM-PL-hide OBJ pot  
 'he hid one pot after another'
- (39) *bú-búkwá-ná-ghá-bú-búkwá tá búkà tá zwán-ì*  
 PL-cover-DEM-D:PVG-PL-cover OBJ cover OBJ child-PL  
 'he covered one child after another'

## 7. Suppletive plural

Many plural verbs are not lexically or morphologically related to their singular counterparts. The verb *skwá* 'to buy' with extensions *p* 'OUT' or *í-n* 'AWAY-3' means 'to sell one thing'. The verb *dzáwá* means 'to trade a plural object'. Consequently, the object of *dzáwá* does not have to be marked for plural. The object of *skwá* has to be marked for plural if plural is the intended meaning:

- (40) *tà dzáw-áy-dzáw-áy tá hlà-(xà)*  
 IMPF buy.PL-PO-buy.PL-PO OBJ cow-PL  
 'he is buying/selling cows'
- (41) *skwá-p-skwá tá hlà*  
 buy-OUT-buy OBJ cow  
 'he sold a cow'
- (42) *tà skw-áy-skw-áy tá hlà-xà*  
 IMPF buy-PO-buy-PO OBJ cow-PL  
 'he buys cows'

Lexically plural verbs may not be used with objects overtly marked for singulative, but they may be used with singular objects, i.e. objects not marked for plurality:

- (43) *\*tà dzáw-áy-dzáw-áy tá hlà tùrtúkw*  
 IMPF buy.PL-PO-buy.PL-PO OBJ cow one  
 for 'he is buys/sells one cow'

Singular verbs that have lexical plural counterparts cannot be reduplicated to show plurality (*ksà* 'take one thing' vs. *mbà* 'take many things'):

- (44) *ksà-dá-f-ksá*            *mbítsá*        *tà*        *lúmá*  
 take.SG-ALL-UP-take    Mbitsa        PREP    market  
 ‘Mbitsa took it to the market’ (market at higher elevation)
- (45) *mbà-dá-f-mbá*            *mbítsá*        *tà*        *lúmá*  
 take.PL-ALL-UP-take    Mbitsa        PREP    market  
 ‘Mbitsa took them to the market’ (market at higher elevation)
- (46) \**ksà-ksà-dá-f-ksá-ksá*    *mbítsá*        *tà*        *lúmá*  
 take.PL-ALL-UP-take        Mbitsa        PREP    market  
 for ‘Mbitsa took them to the market’

Plural verbs cannot be reduplicated in the same manner as singular verbs that have no lexical plural counterparts:

- (47) \**mbà-mbà-dá-f-mbá-mbá*    *mbítsá*        *tà*        *lúmá*  
 take-PL-ALL-UP-take            Mbitsa        PREP    market  
 for ‘Mbitsa took them to the market’

## 8. Functions of verbal plurality

The plural form of the verb, regardless of how it is coded, indicates plurality either of the subject of an intransitive verb or of the object of a transitive verb. The plural coding of the verb therefore has ergative characteristics, a phenomenon attested in other Chadic and non-Chadic languages (Frajzyngier 1985c, 1997). Here is an example of plural coding with an intransitive verb:

- (48) *d-á-dà-gá-d-á-dà*  
 fall-PL-INN-fall  
 ‘they fell down’

Cf.:

- (49) *ddà-gá-ddà*  
 fall-INN-fall  
 ‘he fell down’

When the verb is transitive, the plural marker on it codes plurality of object or action. The object does not have to be marked for plural:

- (50) *snà-n-sn-íyù tá x-á-n-áy-tán tá hlà*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG OBJ cut-PL-PO-3PL OBJ cow  
 'I heard them slaughtering cows'

Cf.:

- (51) *snà-n-sn-íyù tá xn-áy-tán tá hlà*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG OBJ cut-PO-3PL OBJ cow  
 'I heard them slaughter a cow'

The plural verb has ergative characteristics in that it codes only the plural subject of the intransitive verb or the plural object of a transitive verb. Consider the verb *blá* 'break'. When the subject of this verb is affected and there is no controller, the verb has the vowel *ú*:

- (52) *bl-ú-blà zligàmà yá fú yá*  
 break-SO-break branch DEM tree DEM  
 'a branch of that tree has broken'

The plural form of the verb indicates that many branches broke:

- (53) *bal-ú-balá zligàmà-xà yá fú yá*  
 break-PL-SO-break branch-PL DEM tree DEM  
 'branches of that tree have broken'

The plural reduplicated form cannot be used with an object explicitly marked as single:

- (54) *\*tsá-tsá-tsá-tsà tá fú turtúk*  
 cut-cut-cut-cut OBJ tree one  
 for 'he cut one tree' or \*'they cut one tree'

Cf.:

- (55) *tsá-tsà tá fú turtúk*  
 cut-cut OBJ tree one  
 'he cut one tree'

If the object is not marked as single, i.e., if the form of the noun unmarked for number is used, the plural form of the verb indicates plurality of the object:

- (56) *tsá-tsá-tsá-tsá-tsà tá fú*  
 cut-cut-cut-cut-cut OBJ tree  
 'he cut many trees'

There is an interrelationship between nominal and verbal marking of plurality, whereby if number is marked on the verb, either lexically or grammatically, it does not have to be marked on the noun, and if it is marked on the noun, it does not have to be marked on the verb. The noun does not have to be marked for plurality when it is followed by a numeral larger than one.

## 9. Functions of thematic vowels

The vowels occurring within the verbal root are called thematic because they derive various stems of the verb. A given root may be lexicalized with a thematic vowel, and such a form may serve as a source for further inflectional and derivational changes.

### 9.1. *The problem*

In the reduplicated form of the verb, the second reduplicated part ends in the vowel *a* unless the following subject pronoun begins with a vowel. The non-reduplicated verb or the first part of the reduplicated verb may end in the vowels *a*, *u*, *i*, and schwa. The schwa represents an epenthetic vowel; hence, it should be treated as the absence of a vowel, still a fourth possibility with respect to vowel alternations in the verb. One needs to describe the functions of the three vowels and of the form with schwa. The functions of the vowels *u* and *i* are relatively transparent, but the function of the vowel *a* is not. Eguchi 1971 represents all verbs with the final vowel *a*. And indeed verbs end in this vowel in the great majority of syntactic constructions. Yet, assuming that *a* is an underlying part of every verb would be to accept a very strange view whereby the underlying segments do not carry the function of distinguishing one morpheme from another. One cannot postulate that *a* is a derivational morpheme deriving verbs, because one cannot derive a verb from any other lexical item through the addition of the vowel *a*, or indeed through any other means. Therefore, we describe the functions of vowels by delineating first those whose functions are relatively narrow, and the function of *a* will emerge as an "everything else" function, which can nevertheless be formulated in a more narrow sense.

In our presentation of vocalic functions we start with the marked cases of the vowel *u*, the vowel *i*, and the zero vowel, and we contrast them with the vowel *a* and with each other when appropriate.

## 9.2. A hypothesis concerning verb-final vowels

The two vowels *u* and *a* are involved in the coding of the distinctions that we shall call "source-oriented" and "goal-oriented". Speakers choose to represent the event from one or the other point of view in a way similar to the way they choose to represent participants, aspect, or any other grammatical category coded in the language. The terms *source* and *goal* designate clusters of functions. The *source* includes the subject of the clause and for verbs of movement the place from which the movement originates. The *goal* includes direct and objects and the place or spatial configuration toward which movement is directed. Here is a simple illustration. The verb *gún* 'open' with the source-oriented marker indicates that the subject is affected:

- (57) *gún-ú-gúná sígà*  
 open-SO-open pot  
 'the pot opened'

Inserting the goal-oriented marker rather than source-oriented marker into the verbal form results in the nonsensical (58):

- (58) *gúná-gúná sígà*  
 open-open pot  
 'the pot opened something'

- (59) *bl-á-blà tá xàsú'ù*  
 break-PVG-break OBJ branch  
 'he broke off a branch'

- (60) *bl-ú-blá xàsú'ù*  
 break-SO-break branch  
 'the branch broke off'

Inherently transitive verbs can have the point of view markers added directly to the root. When the source-oriented marker *u* is added to these verbs, they assume the tone of the root. The verbs *z'* 'eat' and *s̀* 'drink' are realized as [zú] and [s̀], and these forms mean "to eat one's fill" and "to drink to one's satisfaction":

- (61) *z-ú-zà*  
 eat-SO-eat  
 'he ate his fill'

- (62) *s-ù-sà*  
 drink-SO-drink  
 'he drank his measure'

These constructions have direct correspondence to the so-called reflexive glossed as "REFL" forms of many Indo European languages:

- (63) *El se comió. . .*  
 3SG:M REFL eat  
 'He had his fill of . . .'
- (64) *El se tomó. . .*  
 3SG:M REFL drink  
 'He gorged himself with . . .' (Spanish, cf. Maldonado 1999)

With other transitive verbs the source-oriented marker indicates that the event occurred for the benefit of the subject. Consider the verb *hlí* 'to forge'. The evidence that the marker *u* means that the forging is done for the benefit of subject is provided by the fact that one cannot add a benefactive phrase marked by *ngá* to a phrase whose verb has the vowel *u*:

- (65) *hlr-ú-hlrà tá pìtsákw \*ngá-dà*  
 forge-SO-forge OBJ hoe FOR-1SG  
 'he forged himself a hoe'

Cf.:

- (66) *hlrá-f-hlrà tá pìtsákw ngá-dà*  
 forge-UP-forge OBJ hoe FOR-1SG  
 'forge a hoe for me!'

- (67) *hlr'í-dí-f-hlrà tá pìtsákw*  
 forge-AWAY-1SG-UP-forge OBJ hoe  
 'he forged a hoe for me'

The point of view markers may be added after the extension *gh* coding movement on a level. The tone on the marker is high if the movement does not involve arrival, and it is low if the movement does involve arrival. Since the source-oriented marker always codes departure and not arrival, it always has high tone. The goal-oriented marker *a* has high tone after the movement extension *gh* when arrival is not involved and low tone when arrival at the goal is involved:

- (68) *lá-là* 'he descended'  
*lá-ghú-lá* 'he left [the place where the speaker is]'  
*lá-ghà là* 'he arrived [at a place other than the one where the speaker is]'

The verb *sá* 'come' may occur with the goal-oriented marker, but it cannot occur with the source-oriented marker. This constraint is explained by the semantic contradiction such a combination would produce, something to the effect of "\*come away from here". Use of the goal-oriented marker with the movement extension does not result in a difference in meaning between the verb *sá* followed by the goal-oriented marker and the verb alone:

- (69) *sá-sà* 'he descended to find me' (he came)  
*sá-ghà-sá* 'he came'

A schwa occurs as the verb-final vowel in certain environments. There is a schwa before the allative extension *dá* when there is a pronominal object in the verb:

- (70) *zà-dá-ná-ghá-zá* *tá* *dàfá*  
 eat-ALL-DEM-PVG-eat OBJ food  
 'in addition [to the food known or mentioned previously in discourse], he ate mush'
- (71) \**zà-dá-ná-ghá-zà* *tá* *dàfá*  
 eat-ALL-DEM-PVG-eat OBJ food  
 for 'in addition, he ate mush'

When the object in the clause is the primary object, the verb must end in the vowel *a*:

- (72) *zà-dá-zà* *tá* *vàzák*  
 eat-ALL-eat OBJ rooster  
 'he ate too much rooster'
- (73) \**zà-dá-zà* *tá* *vàzák*  
 eat-ALL-eat OBJ rooster  
 for 'he ate too much rooster'

The verb has the root form (with the schwa inserted) in the imperfective aspect in pragmatically dependent clauses, e.g. in the dependent apodosis clause *nghó* 'see':

- (74) *kà lá-ghá vázák ndzdà-vá-tà*  
 SEQ go-D:GO rooster spend time-APPL-REF:SUBJ  
*vázák tà xvá tà nghó-tsó ná kà*  
 rooster PREP work PREP see-3SG COMP SEQ  
*sá-ghá ùvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG cat  
 'Rooster came. After having done some work, he sees Cat coming.'

Sequential clauses:

- (75) *kà wùdó-xèn tá wùdá*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight  
 'and they were fighting' (a lion and an elephant previously mentioned in discourse)

Imperfective in relative clauses:

- (76) *xiyá kùl xvé-lú gà xdí*  
 guinea corn NEG grow-UH in Hdi  
 'guinea corn that is not grown in Hdi' (refers to corn grown in the dry season)

In the present work we cite verbs in their goal-oriented form, i.e. with the vowel *a*, for two reasons: (1) in comparison with other forms this form occurs in a larger number of slots in the verbal paradigm; and (2) choosing to represent a thematic vowel allows us to represent the underlying tone of the verb in a readable way.

The verbal root with a point of view marker constitutes a verbal stem. Such stems have lexicalized to the point that other markers, including point of view markers, are added to them. A lexicalized stem built on the goal-oriented marker may have the source-oriented marker added, and conversely, a lexicalized stem built on the source-oriented marker can have the goal-oriented marker added.



## 10. The structure of polysyllabic verbs

A polyconsonantal, polysyllabic verbal root contains a vowel. Given the fact that verb-final vowels carry specific semantic functions, one should examine whether the underlying vowels are also associated with semantic functions. Indeed, the nature of the vowels in polyconsonantal roots and the meanings associated with them indicate that they might have originated as roots followed by thematic vowels that have subsequently become part of the lexical form of the verb.

Polysyllabic verbs may have the same consonantal onsets as monosyllabic verbs, but their first vowel may only be *a*, *i*, *u*, or *ə*. We quote the form with the second vowel because it is a tone-bearing unit and the tone may be either high or low: *bádzà* 'spoil', *búkwà* 'to cover, intr.', *dífà* 'hide', *ghbàsá* 'laugh', *mbédà* 'count, change the crop on a field every year or every two years'.

The vowel *u* occurs in intransitive or transitive verbs. Some of these verbs code the event from the source orientation, as described above, i.e. the subject: *tsúxà* 'cough' (movement from body), *súďà* 'take clothes off', *ghwálà* 'dry' /*ghúálá*/, *zlómbà* 'fall' (about non-human objects) (*ə* is variant of *u* before a consonantal cluster), *gùyá* 'meet'. The syntactic evidence for the lexicalized meaning of these verbs as encoding source orientation is provided by the fact that their subjects are the affected arguments without any additional marking:

- (77) *ghwálá-p-ghwálá*      *lgùt*  
 dry-OUT-dry              cloth  
 'the cloth dried'
- (78) *ghwálá-p-ghwálá tá*      *lgùt*  
 dry-OUT-dry      OBJ      cloth  
 'he dried the cloth'

Many verbs with the vowel *i* code movement away or separation: *dífà* 'hide', *tsíhlà* 'husk', *xídà* 'bite', *gìgdá* 'sift', *fídá* 'plane [wood]'. Some verbs with the vowel *i* that do not share the semantic feature of separation are borrowed: e.g., *vìndá* 'write' is a borrowing from Fula *winda*. The verb *díngà* 'put on the fire' is probably also a borrowing from Fula *ju ingo*. There are, however, verbs with the vowel *i* that do not imply separation and are not borrowings from other languages, e.g. *xídá* 'play'.

Even if the initial vowel of polysyllabic verbs might once have been a grammatical morpheme with a specific semantic function, in the contemporary language that does not affect the syntactic properties of these

verbs. For this reason we consider the first vowel of polysyllabic verbs to be underlying.

## 11. Verbal nouns

The categoriality of a form as nominal or verbal is determined on the basis of a syntactic test, specifically, the ability of the form to function as an argument of a clause, and on the basis of a morphological test, whether the form can take possessive suffixes.

There are two types of verbal nouns. One type ends in vowel *u* or *i*. The conditions determining whether the high vowel is front rather than back are not phonological, because some verbs can have both types of nouns, one with a front and the other with a back vowel. For most verbal nouns, substituting the front vowel for the back, or the back vowel for the front, results in nonsense words. The tonal pattern of the verbal noun is the same as that of the verb.

(79)	Verb		Verbal noun	
	<i>kátá</i>	'to help'	<i>kátú</i>	'help'
	<i>và</i>	'light a fire'	<i>vú</i>	'fire'
	<i>skálá</i>	'dance'	<i>skálú</i>	'dance'
	<i>ghálá</i>	'steal'	<i>ghálú</i>	'thief, 'theft'
	<i>vàghá</i>	'spend time'	<i>vàghú</i>	'time spent'
	<i>pghá</i>	'spread'	<i>pghú</i>	'libation'
	<i>wàxá</i>	'cry'	<i>wàxú</i>	'cry'
	<i>d'gá</i>	'thresh'	<i>d'gú</i>	'threshing'

Polyconsonantal verbs that have initial vowel *i* have the nominal form ending in *i*:

(80)	<i>vníxá</i>	'vomit'	<i>vníxí</i>	'vomit'
	<i>xí'ídá</i>	'bite'	<i>xí'ídí</i>	'bite'
	<i>fídá</i>	'plane [wood]'	<i>fídí</i>	'planing'

But the verb *xàná* 'sleep' has the verbal noun *xàní*.

Verbal nouns take possessive pronouns with the genitive marker *-á*, which replaces the last vowel of the noun and assumes its tone. The possessors in such constructions are the controlling rather than the affected arguments of the verb:

(81)	<i>xí'ídí-á-ní</i>	→	[ <i>xí'íd-á-ní</i> ]
------	--------------------	---	-----------------------

bite-GEN-3SG  
'his biting'

(82) *xí'ídí-á kɾì* → [xíd-á kɾì]

bite-GEN dog  
'a dog's bite'

(83) *fí'ídí-á-ní* → [fídání]

plan-GEN-3SG  
'his planing (wood)'

(84) *wàxú-á-dá* → [wàx-á-dá]

scream-GEN-1SG  
'my scream'

(85) *wàxú-á kɾì* → [wàx-á kɾì]

scream-GEN dog  
'yelp of the dog'

(86) *skálú-á-ní* → [skálání]

dance-GEN-3SG  
'his/her dance'

In a verbal noun if the consonant preceding the final vowel *u* is labial, the vowel *u* is labialized when followed by the suffix *-a*:

(87) *vú-á-ní* → [vwání]

fire-GEN-3SG  
'his fire'

Some derived nouns have suffix *-à*. This type has quite different syntactic properties from nominal actions. Intransitive verbal nouns may have possessive pronouns added directly to the verb:

(88) *xwáyá* 'to run'                      *xwáyá-dá* 'my run, my race'

Transitive verbs in this class cannot have possessive pronouns added directly to the verb. Instead, nominalization is possible only if the object is included:

With a possessive pronoun:

- (89) *bà*                      \**bá*    *dá*  
       'to build'                build 1SG

With an object and a pronoun:

- (90) *bá*    *xgá*    *dá*  
       build house 1SG  
       'my building of a house'

The object of a transitive verb must be followed by the genitive marker, as shown by the form of objects. Thus, the noun *vlì* 'place' has the final vowel *a* in nominalized form. The explanation is that it is the genitive marker that replaced the final vowel of the noun:

- (91) *nghá*    *vlà*    *dá*  
       look place 1SG  
       'my looking at'

The possessive pronoun cannot be added to a transitive verb:

- (92) \**nghá*    *dá*  
       look 1SG  
       for 'my looking'

## 12. Conclusions

The verbal root of monosyllabic verbs in Hdi consists of all the consonants and the tone. The verbal stem is formed through the addition of vowel *a*, *u*, or *i*. These vowels carry specific semantic functions. The first vowel in polysyllabic verbs is underlying, although historically it may well have been a grammatical morpheme.

The verbal stem may be simple or reduplicated. The second part of the reduplicated verb has the vowel *a*, unless it is replaced by the onset of the following morpheme beginning with a vowel.

# Chapter 6

## Argument coding

### 1. Introduction

The bulk of this chapter deals with the formal means of coding the first and the second arguments of various verbs. We refer to them as the *subject* and the *object* with the understanding that these terms do not imply specific semantic relationships. We also describe how other noun phrases representing adjuncts are added. Only marginally do we touch on the issue of the coding of the semantic roles of arguments. That issue is discussed in Chapter 8.

In Hdi there are three means of differentiation of arguments in a clause: (1) position with respect to the verb; (2) prepositions; and (3) verbal inflection, which includes extensions added to the verb. The latter two means also code semantic relations between arguments and verbs. The actual means employed for coding a specific argument depend on the pragmatic function of the argument (such as topic or focus), the type of clause it occurs in (the basic distinction here is between pragmatically independent and pragmatically dependent, and between matrix and complement clauses). In addition, the coding means employed depend on whether the argument is nominal or pronominal and on the deictic relationship between the place of speech and the place of the event. The interplay of these factors produces a rather complex morphosyntactic system.

In pragmatically neutral clauses, i.e. non-topicalized, non-focus, non-specific interrogative clauses, Hdi is a predicate-initial and verb-initial language. Consequently, only one argument may be marked by position, viz. the one right after the predicate. The remaining arguments must be marked by other means available, viz. prepositions and verbal extensions. If several arguments are present in the clause, there is a hierarchy with respect to which argument may occupy the position after the verb. The unmarked order in a clause is Predicate Subject. The unmarked order of constituents in a simple sentence with a verbal predicate is Verb Subject (*tá* Object) (*tá* Object) (Adjunct).

Although direct and indirect objects as well as adjuncts are marked by prepositions, there is a difference between the two types of categories in

that if the verb is transitive, in the imperfective aspect it must have the object marked by a nominal, pronominal, or potential object marker. The adjuncts are defined as non-obligatory components of a clause. The categorial status of the receiver of an object depends very much on the type of verb. With some verbs it is an obligatory constituent, an argument, and with others it is an adjunct.

## 2. Types of arguments

Arguments of verbal or non-verbal predicates may be nominal or pronominal. Contrary to some theoretical claims (cf. DuBois 1985), clauses with two nominal arguments do occur in natural discourse in Hdi, as in the following examples:

- (1) *kà ks-ú-tá ùvá tá vázák*  
 SEQ touch-SO-REF cat OBJ rooster  
 ‘And Cat devoured Rooster.’ (from “Work for Squirrel’s In-laws”)

- (2) *kà zl-í-n-tá tsá m̀ghám yá*  
 SEQ chase-AWAY-3-REF DEF chief DEM  
 [tá zón á-ní] tá tsá m̀arkw-á-tàn yá  
 OBJ son-GEN 3SG [error] OBJ DEF wife-GEN-3PL DEM  
 ‘The chief chased away his wife.’

Even when an intransitive verb serves to introduce a nominal argument, the next clause may contain the same argument represented by the same noun. The following example, from a running text, contains several clauses. The first clause uses an intransitive verb to introduce the nominal argument, its subject. The second clause contains the same nominal argument, also as subject, in addition to another argument, which is locative. Only in the third clause is the nominal argument *vázák* ‘rooster’ replaced by a pronominal marker:

- (3) *kà lá-ghá vázák ndzdã-vá-tà vázák*  
 SEQ go-D:GO rooster remain-APPL-REF:SUBJ rooster  
*tà xvá tà ñghé-tsí ná kà sá-ghá*  
 PREP work IMPF see-3SG COMP SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*ùvá*  
 cat  
 ‘Rooster came. Having done some work, he sees Cat coming.’

Oral texts, a sample of which is given in Chapter 27, amply illustrate the occurrence in narratives of two full nouns in a single clause. More specifically, see sentences 7, 10, 11, 17, 27, 29, and 33 in the “Story of Dog and Hyena”, sentences 3, 7, and 14 in the “Beer of Adulthood”, and sentences 1, 23, 30, 36, 44, 51, 67, and 70 in the text “Work for Squirrel’s In-laws”.

### 3. Defining the terms

We define “subject” as the unmarked argument of the verb. This argument directly follows the verb. The existence of the category *subject*, as opposed to some other category, is argued on the basis of the following characteristics: Subjects are never marked by the preposition *tá*. There exists a separate morphological category of subject pronouns that do not code any other function. Subjects in the perfective aspect are relativized in a manner different from the relativization of all other arguments. Only subjects may and must occur after the complementizer *ká* and after some verbs. Subjects may occur in clause-final position, after other arguments, without any preposition. No other argument has this property.

“Object” is the second argument of the verb. If there is a subject between the verb and the object, the object is marked by the preposition *tá*.

### 4. Coding of the subject

The unmarked argument of a transitive verb has the same formal properties as the single argument of an intransitive verb. The subject occurs after the verb, unless it is fronted for the coding of various information-related functions, such as topic or focus. In pragmatically independent clauses, the word preceding the subject has high tone, regardless of the category of the word and regardless of its inherent tone. The subject can be coded by three types of morphemes: a full noun phrase, an independent pronoun, and a pronominal clitic.

#### 4.1. A full noun phrase as subject

The nominal subject follows the verb in all tenses and aspects except in the imperfective in pragmatically marked clauses. The nominal subject is part of the same syntactic phrase as the verb, as evidenced by the high tone on the last syllable of the verb, regardless of the inherent tone of the

verb. The verbs *sá* ‘come’ and *zá* ‘eat’ are inherently high and keep the high tone before the subject:

(4) *sá-sá mbítsá*  
arrive-arrive Mbitsa  
‘Mbitsa came down’

(5) *z-ú-zá mbítsá*  
eat-SO-eat Mbitsa  
‘Mbitsa ate up’

The verb *sà* ‘drink’ is inherently low, but it also has high tone before the subject:

(6) *s-ù-sá mbítsá*  
drink-SO-drink Mbitsa  
‘Mbitsa drank up’

Consider the verb *xàn-* ‘sleep’ with the suffix *-ay*. When the verb precedes the subject, it has high tone:

(7) *tà xàn-áy tsá m̀ndú yá tá xàní dágálá*  
IMPF sleep-PO DEF man DEM OBJ sleep large  
‘that man sleeps a lot’

When the verb is followed by an adjunct belonging to a different phrase, the suffix has low tone:

(8) *tsá m̀ndú yá tá xàn-ày xàd ná*  
DEF man DEM COM sleep-PO here DEM  
‘it is that man that spent the night here’

If the verb ends in a referential marker, the referential marker has high tone before the subject:

(9) *kà ksá-f-tá d̀ángwà t-î*  
SEQ catch-UP-REF illness OBJ-1SG  
‘and an illness caught me’

The referential marker *ta* has low tone in phrase-final position. Compare the following sentences: In the first the verb is followed by the subject and consequently the referential marker ends in high tone. In the sec-



ond, the verb is followed by a prepositional phrase, with which it does not form a phrase, and consequently the referential marker has low tone:

- (10) *kà nzà-tá ì pákává ghúvì ndá krì*  
 SEQ stay-REF ASSC.PL hyena ASSC dog  
 ‘[At one time] Hyena and Dog lived together.’

Cf.:

- (11) . . . *ì pákává ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá krì*  
 . . . ASSC.PL hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 ‘. . . Hyena and Dog lived together.’

#### 4.2. Independent subject pronouns

The independent pronouns listed in Table 9 (Chapter 4) can serve as the argument of a clause or as the object of a preposition. Independent subject pronouns are used in pragmatically dependent clauses, i.e. clauses that require some other clause for proper interpretation, e.g. negative clauses. Independent pronouns as subjects have the same syntactic properties as nominal subjects, viz., they follow the predicate, and the verb ends in a high tone before the subject pronoun:

- (12) *vàghà-vàghá kághá rà*  
 pass well day-pass well day 2SG Q  
 ‘did you have a nice day?’ (an afternoon or evening greeting)

- (13) . . . *xwáyá-ghú xáxàη tà ghùrúm má*  
 . . . run-D:SO 3PL PREP hole COND  
 . . . *nglá-ghú xáxàη t-ùbú má,*  
 . . . climb-D:SO 3PL PREP-granary COND  
 ‘. . . if they ran into a hole, . . . if they climbed into a granary’

#### 4.3. Pronominal subject clitics

The pronominal subject system distinguishes four persons: first, second, third, and the unspecified human subject *lú*, glossed as “UH”. Within the first person there is a distinction between the first-person dual inclusive (you and I), first-person plural inclusive (you, I, and other people with us), and first-person plural exclusive (I and other people, excluding you). In the second and third persons there is a distinction only between singular and plural. There are different subject markers for the third-

person singular depending on the pragmatic type of clause and aspect. Table 10 presents subject markers in both pragmatically dependent and pragmatically independent clauses. It does not include, however, subjects coded by possessive pronouns.

*Table 10. Subject pronoun clitics*

Person	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>í, íyù,</i>	<i>úí (INCL)</i>	<i>mú (INCL)</i> <i>ɲní (EXCL)</i>
Second	<i>ká</i>		<i>kúní</i>
Third	<i>Ø, a, tsí</i>		<i>xən</i>
Unspecified human			<i>lí</i>

The clitics can follow the verb stem, the verbal extensions, or the reduplicated form of the verb. They can also follow verbal complements or even adjuncts. Phonologically the clitic is connected with the preceding word in the following way: The vocalic clitics, such as first-person singular and first-person dual, replace the vowel *a* of the verb and form syllables with the last segment of the verb. Consonant-initial pronouns follow the verb without any pause, but the verb ends in a high tone preceding the pronoun. The high tone on the verb is the indicator that the element that follows it belongs to the same phrase. Because of these factors, subject clitics are represented as suffixes when they occur after verbs; however, they belong to the syntactic category of clitics rather than the morphological category of verbal suffixes.

The evidence for pronominal subjects being clitics is provided by the fact that they follow any predicate, be it a verb, a noun, or a locative noun phrase:

(14) *sí      tà      lúm-íyù*  
 PAST    PREP    market-1SG  
 'I was at the market recently'

(15) *sí      tà      lúmá-ká      rà*  
 PAST    PREP    market-2SG    Q  
 'were you at the market recently?'

The third-person singular subject is unmarked in pragmatically independent clauses:

(16) *sí      tà      lúmá*  
 PAST    PREP    market  
 'he was at the market'

- (17) *sí*    *tà*    *kdíx*  
 PAST    PREP    donkey  
 'he was on a donkey'

Cf. the third person plural subject:

- (18) *sí*    *tà*    *kdíx-xèn*  
 PAST    PREP    donkey-3PL  
 'they were on a donkey'

Any grammatical morpheme that precedes the subject clitic must end in a high tone. Consider the locative adverb *mìdà* 'inside', whose tonal structure is shown in its use with the third-person singular subject in a pragmatically independent clause, i.e. with an unmarked subject:

- (19) *sí*    *mìdà*  
 PAST    inside  
 'he was inside'

The last tone of the noun becomes high before a subject pronoun:

- (20) *sí*    *mìdà-ká*  
 PAST    inside-2SG  
 'you were inside'

If the subject pronoun is vocalic, as is the case with the first-person singular marker *i*, the vowel of the subject assumes the last tone of the noun, which has become high:

- (21) *sí*    *mìd-í*  
 PAST    inside-1SG  
 'I was inside'

- (22) *sí*    *mìdú-ú*  
 PAST    inside-1DU  
 'the two of us were inside'

When subject pronouns are added directly to the verb in the perfective aspect, the verb ends in the vowel *a* and a high tone regardless of the underlying tone of the verb. Compare the tonal pattern of pronouns following the verbs *sà* 'drink' and *zá* 'eat'. The tone of the first singular pronoun

is identical with the tone of the preceding syllable because *i* replaces the preceding vowel and assumes its tone:

(23)	1SG	<i>sù-s-í</i>	<i>zú-z-í</i>
	2SG	<i>sùsá-ká</i>	<i>zú-zá-ká</i>
	3SG	<i>sùsà</i>	<i>zúzà</i>
	1DU.INCL	<i>sùsú-ú</i>	<i>zú-zú-ú</i>
	1PL.INCL	<i>sùsá-mú</i>	<i>zú-zá-mú</i>
	1PL.EXCL	<i>sù-sáŋní</i>	<i>zá-zá-ŋní</i>
	2PL	<i>sùsá-kúní</i>	<i>zú-zá-kúní</i>
	3PL	<i>sùsá-xèn</i>	<i>zú-zá-xèn</i>

The subject clitic may be added to the object of the clause, as is optionally the case in the imperfective future:

(24) *dzà'á mná púrkútú ndzúm-í*  
 FUT tell story-1SG  
 'I am going to tell a story . . .'

(25) *dzà'á mná púrkútú ndzúm-ká*  
 FUT tell story-2SG  
 'you are going to tell a story . . .'

The subject clitic, however, does not behave like a morphological suffix, as shown by the fact that it is added, not to the underlying form of the lexical item, but rather to its phonetic realization. Thus when the first-person singular pronoun *í* follows a word ending in a nasal consonant, it does not form a syllable with the nasal consonant but is a separate syllable instead:

(26) *dzà'á d'áwá ntfánj í* → [dzà.á.d'á.wá ntfánj.í]  
 FUT ask glue 1SG  
 'I am going to ask for glue'

#### 4.3.1. The first-person singular subject pronoun

The two forms of the first-person singular subject pronoun *í* and *íyù* are dialectal variants. The form *íyù* is used in the area called Ndrùk, and the form *í* is used in other areas. Speakers from the two areas are very consistent with respect to this usage. In the present work we represent the first-person singular subject as it was recorded from various speakers.

- (27) *vrà-k-vr-í dzághà kà mbàz-í*  
 return-INN-return-1SG home SEQ wash-1SG  
*tá mbàzá*  
 OBJ wash  
 ‘I returned home and washed’
- (28) *vrà-k-vr-íyù dzághà kà mbàz-íyù tá mbàzá*  
 return-INN-return-1SG home SEQ wash-1SG OBJ wash  
 ‘I returned home and washed’ (home is the place of speech)

#### 4.3.2. The third-person singular subject pronoun

The third-person singular marker *á* occurs only following the complementizer *ká*:

- (29) *gá kdîx-á-dá nà ká-’á ndá tsá*  
 where donkey-GEN-1SG Q COMP-3SG ASSC DEF  
*mndù-xà yá*  
 man-PL DEM  
 ‘“Where is my donkey?” he asked those people.’

The third-person subject pronoun is unmarked in all aspects in pragmatically independent clauses:

- (30) *ndàná-p-xà gúlí tà ghə̀ngà ghə̀ng-à*  
 think-OUT-DOWN also PREP about head-GEN  
*xàdík kùl xàdí kďà-và-k-tà-ní*  
 world without lack finish-APPL-INN-REF-3SG  
 ‘He also thought about the infinite world’ (written source)
- (31) *kà vrá-ghù tà dà tsá mták yá*  
 SEQ return-D:SO PREP PREP DEF bush DEM  
 ‘and he returned to the bush’ (written source)

The pronoun *tsí*, realized with an alveolar or a palatal affricate [cɪ], occurs in several types of pragmatically dependent clauses. Here is an example from a conditional protasis clause:

- (32) *kà má tà vrá-kù-tsí ká-’á wà,*  
 SEQ COND IMPF return-ABS-3SG COMP-SG NEG  
 ‘“if it were to be able to return,” he said’ (written source)

Here is an example from a sequential clause:

- (33) *kà zl-í-n-tá-tsí kà xwáyá-úgh-tà*  
 SEQ leave-AWAY-3-REF-3SG SEQ run-SO-REF  
 'he left it and escaped'

#### 4.3.3. The unspecified human subject pronoun

The unspecified human subject pronoun is *lú*. Since *l* is transparent with respect to vowel assimilation, the preceding vowels are most often replaced by *u*:

- (34) *z-ú-zá/ú-lú skwá-skú-lú*  
 eat-SO-eat-UH buy-buy-UH  
 'one ate already' 'one bought'

The function of *lú* is to code an unknown human plural subject. The evidence for this function is provided by the fact that verbs denoting animal but not human activities are ungrammatical with the subject *lú*:

- (35) *\*tà xùfá-lú tá xàsú'ù rà*  
 IMPF eat through-UH OBJ wood Q  
 for 'can one eat through the wood?'

- (36) *\*ghwághwà-ghwághwá-lú*  
 bark-bark-UH  
 for 'they/one barked'

Cf.:

- (37) *ghwághwà-ghwághwá kɾì*  
 bark-bark dog  
 'a dog barked'

With verbs that can have human and non-human subjects, the form with *lú* codes only human subjects:

- (38) *xúxr-áp-xúxrá mbgà*  
 gnaw-OUT-gnaw mouse  
 'a mouse gnawed a hole in the wood'

- (39) *xúxrá-p-xúxr-ú-lú*  
gnaw-OUT-gnaw-EP-UH  
'people drilled a hole in the wood'
- (40) *xúrndz-áp-xúrndzá-lú*  
scrape from inside-OUT-scraper from inside-UH  
'they scraped the [soft] inside of something'

Elicited data built on sentences actually recorded in discourse show that the unspecified human subject may include the speaker:

- (41) *kà xlyá-f-tú-lú kà lá-ghú-lú*  
SEQ leave-UP-REF-UH SEQ go-SO-UH  
'and then one got up and went' (natural discourse fragment)
- kà bùgà tsá yá klí-í kà sá-ghà*  
SEQ reason DEF DEM take-1SG SEQ arrive-D:PVG  
'and that is why I came here' (elicited follow-up on the previous example)

But natural texts do not support inclusion of the first person within the group represented by *lú*. Every use of *lú* in natural texts involves unspecified humans, with the speaker not being part of the group. We translate such subjects by the the third person plural 'they' rather than by the form 'one' which in English may include the speaker:

- (42) *tà skálú-lú tá skálú gírvídik*  
IMPF dance-UH OBJ dance night  
'they danced all night'

The unspecified human form differs from the third-person plural subject in that the latter is used when the identity of the referents is known:

- (43) *kà wùdá-xèn tá wùdá*  
SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight  
'and they were fighting' (a lion and an elephant previously mentioned)

One cannot replace *xèn* with *lú* in the above clause.

## 5. Coding of the object

The term *object* in the present work refers to the second argument of the verb. This term does not designate any specific semantic relationship with the verb. The semantic relationship between the verb and arguments is marked by inflectional changes on the verb.

There are several types of objects that differ in their syntactic coding: full noun phrases; independent and affixed pronominal objects; reflexive objects; and cognate objects. The pragmatic status of the clause, the aspect, the inherent properties of verbs, and the person and number of the object are the determining factors with respect to whether and how an object is marked. With some verbs the addition of an object requires inflectional changes in the verb. In what follows we describe formal means for the coding of objects, how those means are used with different types of verbs and in different aspects, and the coding of the semantic functions of objects.

### 5.1. The absence of an overt object

The coding of the third-person singular object depends on the following factors: the information status of the object; the aspect of the clause; whether the clause is pragmatically dependent or independent; and the inherent characteristics of the verb.

The third-person singular object pronoun is unmarked in the perfective aspect, whether coded by reduplication or by the referential marker *ta* (cf. Chapter 12, sections 2 and 3):

(44) *ngá lá-bà m̀ndú-xà ksà-gá-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-OUT man-PL touch-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
 ‘People should go out, catch him, and bring him back’.

(45) *kà ghùnà-dá-m-tá-tsí*  
 SEQ send-ALL-IN-REF-3SG  
 ‘and he sent him inside’



- (46) *lá-mà zíngá dà tùghwázàk kà*  
 go-IN Zinga PREP hibiscus SEQ  
*hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí tá pákává ghúvì kà*  
 find-DEM-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ hyena SEQ  
*ks-ú-tá-tsí*  
 touch-SO-REF-3SG  
 ‘When Zinga entered the hibiscus, he found Hyena and devoured him.’

The third person pronominal object does not have to be marked in the stative aspect:

- (47) *ndá sná fálák*  
 STAT know Falak  
 ‘Falak knows’

### 5.2. Object coding through the preposition *tá*

The independent object, whether nominal or pronominal, may be coded by one of several means, depending on whether there is a subject following the verb. If there is subject after the verb, the object is coded by the preposition *tá* glossed as “OBJ”:

- (48) *ngàtsá-f-ngàts-í tá lfíd-á lgùt*  
 have-UP-have-1SG OBJ new-GEN cloth  
 ‘I have new clothes’
- (49) *tsghà-dá-f xáxèn tá sání*  
 put up-ALL-UP 3PL OBJ one  
 ‘They sent up one [bag]’

If the object consists of a conjoined noun phrase, there is only one object marker, and it precedes the first component of the noun phrase:

- (50) *skwá-skw-í tá plìs ndá má nà hlà*  
 buy-buy-1SG OBJ horse ASSC female DEM cow  
 ‘I bought a horse and a cow’
- (51) *dzáwá-dzáwá tá plìs-xà ndá hlà-xà*  
 buy.PL-buy.PL OBJ horse-PL ASSC cow-PL  
 ‘he bought horses and cattle’

The object-marking preposition *tá* differs from the locative preposition *tà* in tone:

(52) *ndá ñgh-í tá ptà*  
 STAT see-1SG OBJ mat  
 'I saw the mat'

Cf.:

(53) *ndá ñgh-í tà ptà*  
 STAT see-1SG PREP mat  
 'I saw it on the mat'

The similarity and difference between the locative preposition *tà* and the object-marking preposition *tá* appear not to be accidental. The low-high tone distinction is exploited in several other morphemes, e.g. the locative preposition *dá* occurring before inherently locative nouns and the locative preposition *dà* occurring before all other nouns; the sequential marker *kà* and the complementizer *ká*; the referential marker *tá* and the subjunctive-referential marker *tà*. High tone on verbs indicates directionality toward the following argument or extension, including the coding of the benefactive/dative function. High tone is also a marker of phrase-internal position. It is possible that the object marker *tá* is a composite structure consisting of *ta* plus high tone.

### 5.3. Object coding in clauses with the referential marker

Recall that the object marker is *tá*. When there is a subject between the verb with the referential marker and the object, both the referential marker and the object are marked by the morpheme *tá*:

(54) *kà xlà-f-t-íí tá zwàn-à*  
 SEQ gather-UP-REF-1SG OBJ child:PL-GEN  
*pákáwá ghúvì*  
 hyena  
 'and I gathered the children of Hyena'

If the object were to follow the verb directly, the two markers *tá* would be in sequence. Such sequences, however, do not occur, and the referential suffix and the object marker are reduced to one form, i.e., only one *tá* occurs instead of the expected sequence *-tá tá*:

- (55) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farm  
 'Hyena had already farmed.'
- (56) *tántán mbàd' ká kà xgà-n-tá vázák*  
 first then COMP-3SG SEQ call-3-REF rooster  
 'First he invited Rooster.'
- (57) *mbàd' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá zwàn-à*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF child:PL-GEN  
*pákává ghúvì*  
 hyena  
 'Then he gathered the children of Hyena'
- Cf.:
- (58) \**mbàd' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá tá*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF OBJ  
*zwàn-à pákává ghúvì*  
 child:PL-GEN hyena  
 for 'Then he gathered the children of Hyena'

Since the referential and object markers are identical in all respects, one could claim that *tá* is just an object marker and that there is no referential marker *tá*. An argument against this interpretation is that the marker *tá* occurs as the referential marker with intransitive verbs with and without extensions:

- (59) *kà dđá-tá xìyá*  
 SEQ fall-REF Corn  
 'and then corn fell down'
- (60) *kà dđà-gá-tá-tsí*  
 SEQ fall-INN-REF-3SG  
 'and then he fell down'

It is very likely that the origin of the constraint on two occurrences of *tá* in a sequence lies in their being perceived as representing the same grammatical morpheme or at least that the two markers belong to the same grammaticalization chain. The absence of any pause between the verb and the marker *tá* indicates that the marker is attached to the preceding verb. We represent the marker as "REF" (referential) rather than "OBJ" (object) because the suffix *tá* also occurs with intransitive verbs. It is, nevertheless, very possible that the referential marker derives from the object

marker. Such a hypothesis would also account for why the prototypically intransitive verbs *sá* ‘come’ and *lá* ‘go’ do not have the perfective marked by *tá*. When an intransitive verb like *xwáyá* ‘run’ occurs with the referential marker *tá*, it means that a very specific distance has been run:

- (61) *kà*     *xwáyà-tà*  
 SEQ     run-REF  
 ‘and he ran it’ (*tà* has low tone because it is in phrase-final position)

Without the marker *tá* the verb in a sequential clause means inception but not completion of an action:

- (62) *kà*     *xwáyà*  
 SEQ     run  
 ‘and he started to run’

#### 5.4. Object coding through position after the verb

In the dependent imperfective aspect, the object directly follows the verb. The verb must end in the vowel *-a*, and the tone must be high, regardless of the inherent tone of the verb. In the same aspect, when the subject follows the verb, the verb ends in schwa rather than *a* (as described in the Chapter 12, section 5). Consider the verbs *sà* ‘drink’ and *snà* ‘listen’. When these verbs are followed by the object in pragmatically dependent clauses, they have high tone, as they would if they were followed by the subject:

- (63) *tà*     *s-á*             *imí*     → [síimí]  
 IMPF     drink-GEN     water  
 ‘while he was drinking water’ (The penultimate tone is actually higher than the last tone, possibly the effect of the low tone on the first syllable of *imí*.)

- (64) *tà*     *sná*             *gwàd’á*  
 IMPF     listen-GEN     word  
 ‘while he listened’ or ‘while he obeyed’

High-tone verbs keep their tone high:

- (65) *tà z-á d'áfá*  
 IMPF eat-GEN food  
 'while he was eating'

## 6. Pronominal object affixes

### 6.1. The coding means

Pronominal objects are suffixed to the simple form of the verb and infixed into the reduplicated form. There is a distinction between direct and indirect object pronouns. Direct object affixes are represented in Table 11. No more than one object pronoun can be added to the verb, which means that one cannot have a sequence of direct and dative pronominal affixes in the verb. The verb before the object pronouns ends in the stem vowel, either a or *i*. The stem cannot have the vowel *u*, which codes source orientation.

Table 11. Direct object affixes

Person	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>í, d', í-xà</i>	<i>úí</i> (INCL)	<i>mù</i> (INCL) <i>ɲní</i> (EXCL)
Second	<i>ghá</i>		<i>ghúní</i>
Third	<i>Ø, n</i>		<i>xàn</i>

For the first- and second-person singular and plural, there is only one set of pronouns that serves either as direct object or as dative/benefactive. For the third person the direct object is unmarked unless it is referential. The third-person indirect object is overtly marked. The major coding means to distinguish between the two functions of object pronouns is through tonal structure. Pronominal direct objects for all verbs have high tone. The verb before a pronominal direct object has low tone regardless of the underlying tone of the verb. The low tone is a means of coding the natural, inherent, unmarked object for a given verb. For most verbs, this is the direct object. For some verbs, however, the direct object is dative. Consider the verb *blá* 'break'. The evidence that it has inherently high tone is provided by its quotation form *bl-áy*, and by the perfective form without any object, *blá-blà* 'he broke it'. Before pronominal direct objects it has low tone:

- (66) *blà-ghá-p-blà*  
 break-2SG-OUT-break  
 'he broke you'

- (67) *bl-ì-dí-p-blà*  
break-AWAY-1SG-OUT-break  
'he broke me'
- (68) *blà-ná-p-blà*  
break-DEM-OUT-break  
'he broke him'
- (69) *blà-ηná-p-blà*  
break-1PL.EXCL-OUT-break  
'he broke us'
- (70) *blà-ghúná-p-blà*  
break-2PL-OUT-break  
'he broke you (PL)'

The third-person plural pronominal object is coded through the form *ná* affixed to the verb and the third-person plural pronoun *xèn* preceded by the object-marking preposition *tá*:

- (71) *blà-ná-p-blà*                    *tá*        *xèn*  
break-DEM-OUT-break        OBJ        3PL  
'he broke them'

If the verb inherently occurs with semantically dative object pronouns, such pronouns always have high tone. The verb also has high tone before such pronouns:

- (72) *skw-íxà-skwá tá*        *skw-à*        *z-áy*  
buy-1SG-buy    OBJ    thing-GEN    eat-PO  
'she bought me something to eat'
- (73) *skwá-ghá-skwá tá*        *skw-à*        *z-áy*  
buy-2SG-buy        OBJ    thing-GEN    eat-PO  
'she bought you something to eat'
- (74) *skwá-ná-skwá tá*        *skw-à*        *z-áy*  
buy-DEM-buy        OBJ    thing-GEN    eat-PO  
'she bought him something to eat'

In order to code the pronoun as a direct object with such verbs, it is necessary to use the construction with the preposition *tá* followed by the independent pronoun:

(75) *skwá-skwà tá kághá*  
 buy-buy OBJ 2SG  
 'she bought you'

(76) *skwá-skwà t-íí*  
 buy-buy OBJ-1SG  
 'she bought me'

The third-person singular object is unmarked:

(77) *skwá-skwà*  
 buy-buy  
 'she bought it/him'

The problem that needs to be resolved is the functional distinction between various pronominal affixes for the same person and the function of the independent object pronouns.

### 6.2. First-person singular object affixes

The first-person singular object affixes are *i*, *i-xà*, and *ɗa*. The form *ɗa* is a cognate of the first-person possessive marker *ɗá*.

The pronoun *i* replaces the vowel of the preceding verb and assumes its grammatical tone, i.e. the tone that the verb has before the direct object:

(78) *ks-ì-ksà*  
 touch-1SG-touch  
 'he touched me' or 'he wounded me' (*ksá* 'catch him/it!' has underlying high tone)

(79) *kzl-ì-kzlà*  
 wait-1SG-wait  
 'he waited for me' (*kzlà* has underlying low tone)

The form *ixà* is equivalent to the form *i*. The form *ixa* occurs optionally when there are no other extensions in the verb:

- (80) *kzl-ìxà-kzlà*  
wait-1SG-wait  
'he waited for me'
- (81) *ks-ìxà-ksà*  
touch-1SG-touch  
'he wounded me', 'he touched me'

The form *d'* is used if the verb has the stem-formative movement-away marker *í* or the source-oriented marker *ú*:

- (82) *hl-ì-d'-á-ghá-hlà* [hl-ì-d'áa-hlà]  
fall-AWAY-1SG-PVG-D:PVG-fall  
'he found me' (The *hlà* 'fall' is intransitive, and the marker *i* has a transitivity function here.)

Without extensions the form *d'á* cannot be used:

- (83) \**ksà-d'á-ksà*  
touch-touch  
for 'he wounded me', 'he touched me'
- (84) \**kzlà-d'á-kzlà*  
wait-1SG-DOWN-wait  
for 'he waited for me'
- (85) *mántsá yá ká-xòn mb-ì-d'í-f-tà*  
like that DEM COMP-3PL cure-AWAY-1SG-UP-REF  
'That is how they cured me'.

The form *id'*- when followed by the distal extension *gh* must have the vowel *a*:

- (86) *kl-í-gí-d'á-ghà-klá*                      *tá*      *sígà*      *tèntèngá*  
take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-take      OBJ      pot      hard  
'bring me a hard pot'
- (87) *ks-ú-d'-tà*                      *á*      *mbítsá*      *wà*  
touch-SO-1SG-REF      NEG      Mbitsa      NEG  
'Mbitsa will not hit me'



- (88) *ks-í-d'-tà*                      *á*            *mbítsá*            *wà*  
 touch-AWAY-1SG-REF NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa will not catch me and throw me out'

To avoid a disallowed consonant cluster or syllabic structure, the pronoun *id'* will be followed by an epenthetic vowel. The epenthetic vowel is identical with the vowel preceding *d'*. The tone on the epenthetic vowel is determined by the syntactic environment. If the epenthetic vowel precedes the inward-movement extension *g* [k] or third-person definite object *n* the tone on the vowel is low. For example, four-consonant clusters such as *dkks* or *dnks* are not allowed, and syllabification rules require an epenthetic vowel to be inserted after the first consonant, creating two syllables: *dVC* and *CCV*:

- (89) *ks-í-dî-k-ksá*  
 touch-AWAY-1SG-INN-touch  
 'he caught and brought something for me'

- (90) *ks-í-dî-n-ksá*  
 touch-AWAY-1SG-3-touch  
 'he touched it for me'

Evidence that form *id'* is combined with the movement-away extension *í* is provided by syntactic and semantic facts: Syntactically, pronouns other than the first person can be combined with *í*. Semantically, the presence of *í* adds meaning that is not present in the inherent meaning of the verb and is not linked with the first-person singular pronoun:

- (91) *ks-í-gh-tà*                      *á*            *mbítsá*            *wà*  
 hit-AWAY-2SG-REF NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa will not catch you and throw you out'

- (92) *ks-í-n-tà*                      *á*            *mbítsá*            *wà*  
 hit-AWAY-3-REF NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa will not catch him and throw him out'

Evidence that the use of extensions requires the use of the form *da* is provided by the distribution of these forms. If there is an extension, the form *ixa* cannot be used, and the form *dá* must be used for the first singular. If there is no extension, the form *dá* cannot be used, and instead the form *i* or *ixa* must be used:

- (93) *pákáw tá ks-í-dá-ghá-tà*  
 leopard COM touch-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-REF  
 ‘a leopard surprised me’
- (94) *pákáw tá ks-ixà-tà*  
 leopard COM touch-1SG-REF  
 ‘a leopard wounded me’

The movement-away extension codes the momentary presence of an object in a certain place:

- (95) *ngh-í-d-nghá tà lúumá*  
 see-AWAY-1SG-see PREP go  
 ‘he saw me pass by at the market’
- (96) *ngh-ixà-nghà tà lúumá*  
 see-1SG-see PREP go  
 ‘he saw me at the market’
- (97) *kɔ́-í-d-kɔ́à*  
 finish-AWAY-1SG-finish  
 ‘he finished me’ (he made me tired)
- (98) *kɔ́-ixà-kɔ́à*  
 finish-1SG-finish  
 ‘he finished me completely’ (he made me tired)
- (99) *hl-ì-dá-ghá-hlà*  
 fell-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-fell  
 ‘he found me’

When the verb *hlà* takes the object marker *ixà*, it means “make fall”:

- (100) *hl-ixà-hlà*  
 fall-1SG-fall  
 ‘he made me fall’

The form *xà* is identical with the extension *xà* glossed as “DOWN”, with the extension coding repetition of the action, and with the nominal plural marker *xà*:

- (101) *lá-xà-dá dá xdí sàwàrà tà*  
 go-DOWN-1SG to Hdi jaundice (Ful.) IMPF  
*kùzl-ìxà-tà k-í ndá gólgì-ηní*  
 ache-1SG-REF COMP-1SG ASSC family -1PL  
 ‘after I went to Hdi, I told my family that I was suffering from  
 jaundice’ (lit. ‘jaundice aches me’)
- (102) *sìd-á-ní tà dz-ìxà-tà*  
 meanness-GEN-3SG IMPF kill-1SG-REF  
 ‘his meanness kills me’
- (103) *bàl-ìxà-bàlà*  
 wound-1SG-wound  
 ‘he wounded me’ (neither *ì* alone nor *dí* alone can be used)
- (104) \**bàl-dá-bàlà*  
 wound-1SG-wound  
 for ‘he wounded me’
- (105) *kďá mántsá kà ksá-tá-ηní tá hlóná*  
 last year then SEQ work-REF-1PL.EXCL OBJ work  
*xàdò ná kát-ìxà-kátà ndá kóbò déydéy*  
 place DEM help-1SG-help ASSC money sufficiently  
 ‘Last year, we worked here, and that helped me with money suf-  
 ficiently’ (*ìxà* cannot be replaced by *dí*, but can be replaced by *ì*)

### 6.3. Pronouns and the order of extensions

The object marker *i* always occurs after the verb and before verbal extensions:

- (106) *tsá m̀ndú tá kl-ì-g-tà yá*  
 DEM man COM take-1SG-INN-REF DEM  
 ‘the man who brought me’
- (107) *pgh-ì-dí-p-pghà*  
 accompany-1SG-ALL-OUT-accompany  
 ‘he accompanied me there’

If the pronoun *id* occurs with one extension only. This extension follows the pronoun:

- (108) *tsá m̀ndú tá kl-í-dí-g-tà yá*  
 DEM man COM take-AWAY-1SG-INN-REF DEM  
 ‘the man who brought me’
- (109) *tágh-í-dí-f-tághá tá xídá-kú ngá mbàdá*  
 learn-AWAY-1SG-UP-learn OBJ advice-ABS FOR walk  
 ‘he advised me to walk’
- (110) *dg-ì-dí-p-dègà*  
 pound-AWAY-1SG-OUT-pound  
 ‘divide [it] for me’

If the pronoun *id* occurs with two extensions, then one extension, either allative *dá* or inner space *g*, occurs before the pronoun *d*, and other extension(s) follow it:

- (111) *tsá m̀ndú tá kl-ì-gí-dá-ghà-tà yá*  
 DEM man COM take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-REF DEM  
 ‘the man who brought me’
- (112) *pgh-ì-d-í-dí-p-pghà*  
 accompany-EP-ALL-AWAY-1SG-OUT-accompany  
 ‘he accompanied me there’

#### 6.4. The third-person plural object

The third-person plural object is coded by the pronoun *xèn*. In perfective aspect the pronoun is preceded by the object-marking preposition *tá*. The inherently intransitive verb must have the suffix *ná*:

- (113) *kà gávà-dá-ná-p-tá-tsí tá xèn*  
 SEQ move-ALL-DEM-OUT-REF-3SG OBJ 3PL  
 ‘and he pushed them’
- hlà-ná-ghá-hl-íyù tá xèn*  
 find-DEM-D:PVG-find-1SG OBJ 3PL  
 ‘I found them’
- dgà-ná-dgà tá xèn*  
 divide-DEM-divide OBJ 3PL  
 ‘he divided them’

If the verb is transitive, it does not have the third person singular suffix *ná*:

- (114) *yá-yà-yá-yà*                      *tá*      *xən*  
 give birth:PL-give birth:PL      OBJ      3PL  
 ‘she gave birth to them’

In the dependent imperfective aspect, the plural object marker is suffixed to verb:

- (115) *nə*      *tà*      *zá-xən*      (*nà*)  
 what    IMPF    eat-3PL      Q  
 ‘what eats them?’ (What is their predator?)

In the comment-on-focus clause with the third-person plural object pronoun, the verb does not have the form *ná* affixed:

- (116) *pákáw*      *tá*      *kásá-tá*      *xən*  
 leopard      COM      touch:PL-REF      3PL  
 ‘a leopard caught them’

### 6.5. Unspecified human object

The unspecified human subject *lú* does not have pronominal counterparts in the object (and possessive) set. The unspecified human subject is coded by the word *mndú* ‘man’. The scope of such an object is people in general, but it may also include the speaker:

- (117) *dzà’á*    *phlá-phlá-xən*      *tá*      *mndú*    *kàbgà*  
 FUT    kill.PL-kill.PL-3PL    OBJ    man    because  
*xáxəŋ*    *tá*      *dzà-tá*      *dá-dà*      *ká*      *gáwá*.  
 3PL    COM    kill-REF    father-1SG    COMP    Gawa  
 ‘“They will kill us, because it is they who killed my father,” said Gawa.’

## 7. The specific object in the perfective aspect

The transitive verb may also have the third-person object marker *-n-* glossed as “3” for “third person”. That marker *-n-* is a pronoun is evidenced by several distributional characteristics.

The verb, regardless of its underlying tone, has a low tone before *-n-*, a characteristic common to all pronominal direct objects:

(118) *zà-n-zà*  
eat-3-eat  
'he ate it'

(119) *sà-n-sà*  
drink-3-drink  
'he drank it'

The function of the marker *-n-* is to indicate that the object is specific, whether mentioned before in discourse, known to the hearer, or otherwise identifiable.

(120) *xgà-n-xgá mbítsá*  
call-3-call Mbitsa  
'Mbitsa invited him/her'

If the object is not specific, the marker *-n-* is not used:

(121) *xgà-xgá mbítsá tá kwá drwá*  
call-call Mbitsa OBJ even all  
'Mbitsa invited anybody, but nobody in particular' (cf. French *n'importe qui*)

Addition of the marker *-n-* in the above clause makes it referential, "invite everybody individually", i.e., the invited people are specific:

(122) *xgà-n-xgá mbítsá tá kwá drwá*  
call-3-call Mbitsa obj even all  
'Mbitsa invited everybody individually'

Whenever the object is referential, it must be marked by the suffix *-n-*. In the following example the speaker deploys the marker *-n-* because in the previous discourse, the speaker had explicitly requested that Lion not be invited:

- (123) *sá-ghà rvér ná á kàbgà-wú kól-ká*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion COMP INTERJ why Q take-2SG  
*kà xgà-n-tá rvér [ . . . ]*  
 SEQ call-3-REF lion  
 ‘While Lion was coming, “Why did you invite Lion . . . ?”’

The form *-n* can be the only marker of referentiality of the object, i.e., the object itself does not have to be marked by any definite markers or demonstratives. Thus, when the object is one of the anthropomorphized protagonists of the story, it is not modified by the definite marker, but the verb has the marker *-n-*. In addition to the preceding example compare the following:

- (124) *mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlgà-n-tá*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-REF  
*pákáwá ghúvì*  
 hyena  
 ‘Then Dog came and helped Hyena’

If the verb does not have the marker *-n-* and it is followed by an ordinary noun, the noun is interpreted as an indefinite nominal object:

- (125) *sá-ghà rvér ná á kàbgà-w kól-ká*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion COMP INTERJ why take-2SG  
*kà xgà tá rvérí*  
 SEQ invite OBJ lion  
 ‘When a lion came, “Why did you invite a lion?”’

- (126) *mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlgá pákáwá ghúvì*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help hyena  
 ‘Then Dog came and helped a hyena’

If the nominal object following the verb is marked for definiteness, the verb must have the marker *-n-*:

- (127) *mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlgà-n-tá tsá*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-REF DEF  
*pákáwá ghúvì yá*  
 hyena DEM  
 ‘Then Dog came and helped the hyena’

The marker *-n-* cannot be used if the object is not marked as definite or specific. The omission of the marker *-n-* when the object is definite results in an ungrammatical sentence:

- (128) \**mbàd' ká kɾì kà lá-ghà zlghà tá*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help OBJ  
*tsá pákává ghúvì yá*  
 DEF hyena DEM  
 for 'Then Dog came and helped the hyena'

The form *-n-* can be used without a nominal object overtly present in the clause, provided that the object has been previously mentioned in discourse:

- (129) *mbàd' ká kɾì kà lá-ghà zlghà-n-tà*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-REF  
 'Then Dog came and helped him [hyena].'

The following two clauses follow each other in a conversation:

- (130) *gá tsí nà ká-'á*  
 where 3SG Q COMP-3SG  
 '“Where is she?” he said'

- (131) *ghzl-í-n-ghz lá-lú . . .*  
 chase-AWAY-3-chase-UH  
 '“She has been chased away . . .”'

It is in complementary distribution with object pronouns for other persons:

- (132) *mb-ì-dí-f-mbá-kúní*  
 recover-AWAY-1SG-UP-recover-2PL  
 'did you (PL) cure me?'

- (133) *mbù-'ú-f-mbá-xèn*  
 recover-1DU-recover-3PL  
 'they cured the two of us'



## 8. Inherent properties of verbs and object coding

The present section provides a typology of verbs with respect to the number and type of arguments they take, and the manner in which these arguments are coded.

There is a class of intransitive verbs that cannot have an object of any kind added. These verbs include *sá* 'come' and *lá* 'go'.

There is a class of intransitive verbs that in certain aspects must have an object. This object, however, must be cognate, i.e. formed from the same segmental structure as the verb, or semantically restricted to only one verb. Some of these verbs are *xwáy* 'run', *xán* 'sleep', and *lám* 'fight'. The presence of an object with such verbs is a means of coding aspect. The verbs *sá* 'come' and *lá* 'depart' cannot have cognate objects.

There is a class of transitive verbs whose nominal object is marked by the preposition *tá* or by a suffix in the case of pronominal objects. Even when these verbs occur without a second argument, their subjects are controlling.

There is a class of labile verbs, where the same form can be used with one or two arguments. When these verbs occur with one argument only, i.e. with the subject, the subject represents the affected noun phrase, i.e. the noun phrase that undergoes change.

There is a class of verbs, mainly verbs of perception, that must have an object-coding affix added if followed by a nominal object.

There is a class of intransitive verbs whose only argument, the subject, is affected. These verbs must also have an object-coding affix added if they occur with a nominal object.

In what follows we describe the properties of each class of verbs and, on the basis of these properties, draw conclusions about the inherent lexical meaning of the verbs.

### 8.1. *Intransitive verbs that do not allow an object*

Some intransitive verbs, including *sá* 'come' and *lá* 'go', cannot have an object added:

- (134) *lá-là*  
 go-go  
 'he went' (speaker at the place higher than the potential destination)

One cannot add a nominal object to this class of verbs:

- (135) \**lá-là tá Mbitsa*  
 go-go OBJ Mbitsa  
 for 'he made Mbitsa go' (or any other meaning)

*sá-sà*  
 'he came'

- (136) \**sá-sà tá mbítsá*  
 arrive-arrive OBJ Mbitsa  
 for 'he made Mbitsa come' (or any other meaning)

One can, however, add a pronominal dative object to such verbs. Such an object codes the beneficiary. The coding of the pronominal object as dative is accomplished through the high tone on the verb in both reduplicated parts:

- (137) *l-íxà-lá*  
 go-1SG-go  
 'go for me!' (but not 'he made me go')

Some intransitive verbs may have a pronominal object added. In such constructions the subject of the clause is the causer but not a participant in the event described by the verb, and the object is the participant in the event coded by the verb (cf. 6.2. above for the forms of the first person singular object pronoun):

- (138) *ddî-g-íxà-ddà*  
 fall-INN-1SG-fall  
 'he made me fall' (by pushing me, or shaking the tree, etc..)

Cf.:

- (139) *ddà-gá-ddà*  
 fall-INN-fall  
 'he fell'

- (140) *ddà-gá-dd-í*  
 fall-INN-fall-1SG  
 'I fell'

## 8.2. Object coding with verbs of perception

Verbs of perception without objects of any kind simply indicate the perception on the part of the subject. They do not code external stimuli of perception, things seen or heard. Thus if one asks:

- (141) *snà-n-sná-ká tá gwàdá tà ghón-à xiyá rà*  
 hear-3-hear-2SG OBJ word PREP head-GEN corn Q  
 'have you heard about the corn?'<sup>5</sup>

The answer is:

- (142) *sná-sn-ì*  
 hear-hear-1SG  
 'I heard'

Addition of the marker *-n-* codes the specific piece of information asked about:

- (143) *sná-n-sn-ì*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG  
 'I heard it'

If there is a nominal or pronominal object marked by *tá*, verbs of perception must have the marker *-n-* added

- (144) *snà-n-snà tá plis-á dá*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ horse-GEN 1SG  
 'he heard my horse'

The difference between the use of the marker *-n-* with verbs of perception and its use with other verbs is that with verbs of perception it is used whenever there is a nominal object, regardless of whether the object is referential:

- (145) *snà-n-snà tá skwì*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ thing  
 'he heard something'
- (146) *snà-n-snà tá yá skwì yá*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ DEM thing DEM  
 'he heard that thing'

(147) *nghà-n-nghà tá mghám*  
 see-3-see OBJ chief  
 'he saw a chief'

(148) *nghà-n-nghà tá lúmá*  
 see-3-see OBJ market  
 'she saw the market'

The marker *-n-* occurs even in a negative clause:

(149) *xàd skwì snà-n-tsí wà*  
 NEG thing hear-3-3SG NEG  
 'he heard nothing'

The marker *-n-* occurs with verbs of perception when there is a clausal complement:

(150) *zì'yà-n-zì'y-í tá drá-kú-á-ní*  
 smell-3-smell-1SG OBJ burn-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 'I smelled it burn'

## 9. Object coding in the independent imperfective aspect

Transitive verbs in the imperfective aspect must have the object marker *-áy*, (glossed as "PO" for "potential object"). The tone on the marker *-áy* becomes low if it is added to a low-tone verb ending in a vowel. The evidence that *-áy* is an object marker is provided by the fact that it cannot be used with intransitive verbs:

(151) *dzà'á xwáyá*  
 FUT run  
 'he will run'

(152) *dzà'á xàní*  
 FUT sleep  
 'he will sleep'

(153) *dzà'á ndrú*  
 FUT fly  
 'it will fly'

The marker *-áy* occurs even if there is a nominal object in the clause. The marker is used regardless of whether the object is referential or definite:

(154) *dzà'á hlèv-áy tá índà m̀ndú-xà*  
 FUT hit-PO OBJ all man-PL  
 'he is going to hit everybody'

(155) *dzà'á hlèv-áy tá m̀ndú*  
 FUT hit-PO OBJ man  
 'he is going to hit somebody'

Here are examples with definite and indefinite objects:

(156) *dzà'á hlèv-áy tá tsá kdíx yá*  
 FUT hit-PO OBJ DEF donkey DEM  
 'he is going to hit this donkey'

(157) *dzà'á b-ày tá tsá xgá yá*  
 FUT build-PO OBJ DEF house DEM  
 'he is going to build the house'

(158) *dzà'á b-ày tá xgá*  
 FUT build-PO OBJ house  
 'he is going to build a house'

The potential object marker *-áy* cannot be replaced by the referential object marker *-n*:

(159) \**dzà'á hlèvá-n tá tsá kdíx yá*  
 FUT hit-3 OBJ DEF donkey DEM  
 for 'he is going to hit this donkey'

(160) \**dzà'á hlèvá-n tá kdíx*  
 FUT hit-3 OBJ donkey  
 for 'he is going to hit this donkey'

The potential object marker *-áy* is not used in the imperfective aspect in a pragmatically dependent clause, such as a comment on the focused element, even if the object has been previously mentioned in discourse: ( $\emptyset$  shows the place that *áy* would have occupied):

(161) *índà dimanche ná mànú mariage ndánà*  
 every Sunday (Fr.) COMP exist marriage (Fr.) now  
 'Every Sunday there is a marriage now'

(162) *índà dimanche tà màgá-Ø-xèn*  
 every Sunday (Fr.) IMPF do-3PL  
 'every Sunday they do it?'

Compare a pragmatically independent clause with the unspecified object marked:

(163) *tà màg-áy-xèn índà dimanche*  
 IMPF do-PO-3PL every Sunday (Fr.)  
 'They do it every Sunday?'

Compare also the following sentence where both clauses are in the imperfective aspect and have the same verb *mág* 'do'. The first clause is pragmatically independent, and the verb has potential object marker *-áy*. The second clause is a comment on the focused locative, and the verb does not have the object marker *-áy*:

(164) *tà màg-áy-xèn àmá ndá mà nìzéryà tà*  
 IMPF do-PO-3PL but ASSC PREP Nigeria IMPF  
*mágú-lù bàd tsá*  
 do-UH day DEF  
 'They do it, but it is in Nigeria that they do it on those specific days.'

The omission of the potential object marker in the first clause and its insertion in the second clause, or either of those operations alone, results in an ungrammatical sentence:

(165) \**tà màg-xèn àmá ndá mà nìzéryà tà*  
 IMPF do-3PL but ASSC PREP Nigeria IMPF  
*máag-áy-lú bàd tsá*  
 do-PO-UH day DEF  
 for 'They do it, but it is in Nigeria that they do it on those specific days.'

## 10. Coding the addressee of verbs of saying

The addressee of verbs of saying is coded in a way similar to the coding of the object of verbs of perception. If the addressee is a noun or independent pronoun, the verb must have the third-person definite object suffix *-n*:

(166) *lá-ghà pákáw ghúvì kà mná-n-tá krì*  
 go-D:PVG hyena SEQ tell-3-REF dog  
 'Hyena said to Dog . . .'

(167) *kà mná-n-tá krì tá gwàdǎ*  
 SEQ tell-3-REF dog OBJ word  
 'and Dog told him the word'

If the clause does not have an addressee, the verb does not have the object pronoun:

(168) *kà mná-tá krì tá gwàdǎ*  
 SEQ tell-REF dog OBJ word  
 'and Dog said the word'

## 11. Additional argument coding

The marker *ná*, which we gloss as "DEM" because of its identity with the proximate demonstrative, can be added to verbs to code the existence of an additional argument in the proposition, whether such an argument is actually present in the clause or not. The marker *ná* has the distributional properties of the pronominal object marker in that it occupies the same position as other pronominal object markers, and it is mutually exclusive with affixed object markers. For similar phenomena in other Chadic languages see Frajzyngier 1985a. The use of the marker *ná* is motivated by several factors. One of these factors is the inherent lexical properties of verbs, with which we begin our discussion.

One class of verbs takes pronominal objects through suffixation without any additional changes, but it must have the marker *ná* when occurring with nominal objects. The verb *dífá* 'hide' has such properties. First its use with object pronouns:

- (169) *dífā-ghá-dífā*  
hide-2SG-hide  
'he hid you'
- (170) *kà dífā-ghá-tá-tsí*  
SEQ hide-2SG-REF-3SG  
'and consequently he hid you'

If the subject exercises control over the event and is at the same time the affected subject, the verb must have the goal-oriented marker *a* followed by the source-oriented marker *u*:

- (171) *kà dífā-úgh-tá-tsí*  
SEQ hide:PVG-SO-REF-3SG  
'and consequently he hid himself'
- (172) *dífā-úgh-dífá vázák*  
hide:PVG-SO-hide rooster  
'rooster hid himself'

There are two conditions for the occurrence of these verbs: Either they have an object, or if they occur with the subject alone, the verb must have the source-oriented marker *u* added:

- (173) \**dífá-dífá vázák*  
hide:PVG-hide rooster  
for 'rooster hid himself' or 'rooster hid something'

One can add another nominal argument to the verb *dífá* only if the marker *ná* is suffixed to the verb. The verb preceding the marker *ná* must end in the goal-oriented marker *-a*. The last tone on the verb is low, which demonstrates that *ná* functions as the direct object:

- (174) *dífā-ná-dífā tá vázák*  
hide-DEM-hide OBJ rooster  
'he hid the rooster'
- (175) *kà dífā-ná-tá-tsí tá vázák*  
SEQ hide-DEM-REF-3SG OBJ rooster  
'and consequently he hid the rooster'



An object cannot be added without the form *ná* regardless of whether the verb has the goal-oriented marker *a* and/or the source-oriented marker *u*:

(176) \**dífá-dífá tá vázák*  
hide-hide OBJ rooster  
for 'he hid the rooster'

(177) \**dífâ-úgh-dífâ tá vázák*  
hide-SO-hide OBJ rooster  
for 'he hid the rooster'

If the object of the clause is an independent pronoun marked by the preposition *tá*, the verb must still be marked by the third-person pronoun *ná*, regardless of the person of the independent object:

(178) *kà dífâ-ná-tá-tsí tí-í*  
SEQ hide-DEM-REF-3SG OBJ-1SG  
'and consequently he hid me'

The class of verbs that must take an additional argument marker takes as its subject the argument that is affected, that undergoes a change, or that does not have control over the event. The subject of the verb *pdâ* 'remain' is the person or thing that remains:

(179) *pdâ-pdá dâfá kíyá ngá zwàn-à-ní*  
remain-remain food small FOR child.PL-GEN-3SG  
'some food remained for his children'

(180) *pdâ-pdá mbítsá*  
remain-remain Mbitsa  
'Mbitsa remained'

The verb *pdâ* can take a pronominal object only in the dative function as marked by the high tone on the verb and low tone on the pronoun:

(181) *pdá-ghà-pdá mbítsá*  
remain-2SG-remain Mbitsa  
'Mbitsa left something for you'

If a direct object, whether nominal or pronominal, is to be added to the verb *pdá* 'remain', through the preposition *tá*, the verb must have the marker *ná*:

- (182) *pdà-ná-pdà tá dáfá kíyá ngá*  
 remain-DEM-remain OBJ food small FOR  
*zwàn-á-ní*  
 child.PL-GEN-3SG  
 ‘she set aside some food for her children’

- (183) *pdà-ná-pdà tí-í*  
 remain-DEM-remain OBJ-1SG  
 ‘he spared me’ (e.g. in a battle)

The verb *hlà* ‘fall’ is intransitive:

- (184) *hlà-hlà tà xádîk*  
 fall-fall OBJ ground  
 ‘he fell down’

One can add an object pronoun to this verb and the meaning is “to find”, an extension of “fall upon”:

- (185) *hlà-ghá-ghá-hlà*  
 fall-2SG-D:PVG-fall  
 ‘he found you’

The addition of the marker *ná* alone results in a causative construction:

- (186) *hlà-ná-hlà tá zóm*  
 fall-DEM-fall OBJ snake  
 ‘he made the snake fall’

- (187) *hlà-ná-ghá-hlà tá zóm*  
 fall-DEM-D:PVG-fall OBJ snake  
 ‘he found a snake’

- (188) *lá-mà zingá dà tùghwázàk kà*  
 go-IN Zinga PREP hibiscus SEQ  
*hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí tá pákává ghúvì kà*  
 find-DEM-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ hyena SEQ  
*ks-ú-tá-tsí*  
 touch-SO-REF-3SG  
 ‘When Zinga entered the hibiscus, he found Hyena and devoured him.’

One cannot add an object to the verb *hlà* ‘fall’ without the marker *ná* :

- (189) \**lá-mà zíngá dà tùghwázàk kà*  
 go-IN Zinga PREP hibiscus SEQ  
*hlà-ghá-tá-tsí tá pákáwùghúvi kà*  
 find-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ hyena SEQ  
*ks-ú-tá-tsí*  
 touch-SO-REF-3SG  
 for 'When Zinga entered the hibiscus, he found Hyena and devoured him.'

The examples given so far may make one believe that the marker *ná* is simply an object marker. But that is not the case; as with some other verbs, the additional argument could be a new subject, albeit in a different semantic role. Consider the verb *zà* 'disappear, be forgotten'. Its subject in the unmarked form is the person or thing that disappeared, that is forgotten:

- (190) *zà-p-z-í*  
 be forgotten-OUT-be forgotten-1SG  
 'I was forgotten'
- (191) *zà-p-z-á* *kdíx*  
 be forgotten-OUT-be forgotten donkey  
 'a donkey was forgotten'
- (192) *zà-p-z-á* *pìtsákw*  
 be forgotten-OUT-be forgotten hoe  
 'a hoe was forgotten'
- (193) *zà-ghá-zà*  
 disappear -D:PVG-disappear  
 'he went far'

If one adds to the verb *zà* a subject that forgets, rather than one that is forgotten, the verb requires the marker *ná*:

- (194) *zà-ná-p-z-í*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-forget-1SG  
 'I forgot something'
- (195) *zà-ná-p-z-í tá pìtsákw-á-ghá*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-forget-1SG OBJ hoe-GEN-2SG  
 'I forgot your hoe'

- (196) \*zà-p-z-ì                      tá            pìtsákw-á-ghá  
 forget-OUT-forget-1SG      OBJ      hoe-GEN-2SG  
 for 'I forgot your hoe'

The verb *mbà* 'recover' is inherently intransitive, its subject being the person or animal that recovers (from illness):

- (197) *mbá-f-mbá*                      *hlà*  
 recover-UP-recover      cow  
 'the cow recovered'
- (198) *mbá-mb-áf-mbá-mbá*                      *hlà-xà*  
 recover-recover-UP-recover-recover      cow-PL  
 'the cows recovered'
- (199) *mbá-f-mb-í*  
 recover-UP-recover-1SG  
 'I recovered'

Pronominal object infixes can be added without any change to the verb:

- (200) *mbì-dí-f-mbà*  
 cure-1-UP-cure  
 'he cured me'

If one wants to add a nominal object, however, the verb must have the demonstrative *ná* affixed:

- (201) *mbà-ná-f-mb-í*                      tá            *hlà-dá*  
 cure-DEM-UP-cure-1SG      OBJ      cow-1SG  
 'I cured my cow'

### 11.1. The additional argument marker and verbs of perception

The additional argument marker *ná* with verbs of perception adds the meaning of control on the part of the subject. The verb *ngha* 'to see' must have a high tone before the form *ná*; then it means "visit", "espy". Recall that with the pronoun *n* it has low tone and it means "to see":

(202) *nghá-ná-nghá tá plis-á-dá*  
 see-DEM-see OBJ horse-GEN-1SG  
 'he espied my horse'

(203) *nghá-ná-nghá tá mghám*  
 see-DEM-see OBJ chief  
 'he visited a chief'

Cf.:

(204) *nghà-n-nghà tá mghám*  
 see-3-see OBJ chief  
 'he saw a chief'

(205) *nghá-ná-nghá tá lúmá*  
 see-DEM-see OBJ market  
 'she visited the market'

(206) *nghà-n-nghà tá lúmá*  
 see-3-see OBJ market  
 'she saw the market'

The verb *snà* 'hear, know' with the object marker *n* means "know". With high tone and the object marker *ná* it means "obey, follow", i.e., the verb involves control of the event:

(207) *sná-sn-í*  
 hear-hear-1SG  
 'I obeyed'

(208) *sná-ná-snà tá mghám*  
 hear-DEM-hear OBJ chief  
 'he obeyed the chief'

Cf.:

(209) *snà-n-snà tá mghám*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ chief  
 'he knew a chief'

An explanation for the marking of control through the additional argument marker must be sought in the very notion of additional argument. If there is more than one argument in a clause, the default function for the subject is control. Doing something for somebody obligatorily involves control over the event. In Hdi the dative function is coded by high tone on the verb. If the object of a visit is first rather than third person, the first-

person pronoun chosen is also the same as the one used for the dative function:

- (210) *ngh-í-d'á-ghá-nghá*      *tà*      *lúmá*  
 see-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-see PREP market  
 'he visited me at the market'

### 11.2. The addition of an argument to a transitive verb

The marker *ná* may be added to a transitive verb that takes an object in its unmarked form. When the form *ná* is added to an inherently transitive verb, it does not mean 'do for X' but rather 'in addition to doing something, do X':

- (211) *zá-ná-zà*  
 eat-DEM-eat  
 'eat again!'

When the marker *ná* follows another extension, it codes the presence of an additional object in the proposition, whether mentioned in the clause or not:

- (212) *zə-dá-ná-zá*      *tá*      *d'áfá*      *tà*      *ghəŋ-á*      *ghzú*  
 eat-ALL-DEM-eat OBJ food PREP head-GEN beer  
 'he ate mush in addition to drinking beer'

If the sentence has only one object and the verb has the extension *ná*, the nominal object in the clause is interpreted as the "additional" object, added to some other known but not overtly coded object:

- (213) *zə-dá-ná-zá*      *tá*      *d'áfá*  
 eat-ALL-DEM-eat OBJ food  
 'in addition, he ate mush'

Cf.:

- (214) *zà-dá-zà*      *tá*      *vàzák*  
 eat-ALL-eat OBJ rooster  
 'he ate too much rooster'

With stative transitive verbs the marker *ná* gives a dynamic, non-stative meaning. The addition of *ná* to the verb *ngà* 'hold' results in the meaning 'grab', a dynamic event:

- (215) *ngà-ná-ngá*      *rí ngà-ná*      *à*      *wà*      *á*      *ná*  
 hold-DEM-hold      Q hold-DEM NEG      NEG      3SG      DEM  
*pákáwá ghúvì nà ká-'á*  
 hyena      Q COMP-3SG  
 ‘“Did hyena grab it or didn’t he?” he thought.’

Additional evidence that the marker *ná* codes goal orientation is provided by the fact that it cannot occur with the source-oriented marker *u*:

- (216) *z-ú-zá*      *tá*      *vàzák*  
 eat-SO-eat OBJ rooster  
 ‘he ate up a rooster’

Cf.:

- (217) \**z-ú-ná-zá*      *tá*      *vàzák*  
 eat-SO-DEM-eat      OBJ rooster  
 for ‘he ate up a rooster’

## 12. Cognate objects

The term *cognate object* designates objects derived from the same root as the verb. Cognate objects can be derived from transitive or intransitive verbs that do not involve movement of the subject, such as *xàná* ‘sleep, PL’, *mbàzá* ‘wash’, *vàlá* ‘jump’. Cognate objects are ending in the vowel *a*, *i*, or *u*. Like other objects, cognate objects are marked by the if they are separated from the verb by the subject:

- (218) *mángá*      *hlg-ày-ní*      *tá*      *hlgù*  
 instead      plant-PO-3SG      OBJ      plant  
 ‘instead of him planting’
- (219) *mángá*      *dzángáy-dá*      *tá*      *dzángá*  
 instead      study-1SG      OBJ      study  
 ‘instead of me studying’

Cognate-object constructions have several functions. One of them is focus on the event or on the predicate, rather than on the participants:

- (220) *hlgà-f-hlgá-xèn tá hlgù àmá dīyá-f á*  
 plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ plant but germinate-UP NEG  
*xìyá wù*  
 corn NEG  
 ‘They planted, but the corn did not germinate.’
- (221) *dǔg-áy-tán tá dǔgú yá*  
 thresh-PO-3PL OBJ threshing DEM  
 ‘While they were threshing’
- (222) *kà wùdǎ-xèn tá wdá, kà wdǎ-xèn tá wdá,*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight SEQ fight-PL OBJ fight  
*kà wdǎ-xèn tá wdá, mù vwàx-á*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight PREP field-GEN  
*mídz-á yàghí*  
 mother-in-law-GEN squirrel  
 ‘They fight, they fight, they fight, in the field of Squirrel’s mother-in-law.’<sup>6</sup>
- (223) *kà vníx-í tá vníxí*  
 SEQ vomit-1SG OBJ vomit  
 ‘after [ . . . ], I vomited’

A cognate object is obligatory if the verb is transitive, the aspect is perfective, and no object has been mentioned before in discourse. Thus, one cannot omit the cognate object from the following sentence:

- (224) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farming  
 ‘Hyena had already farmed.’

Cognate objects may also be used in the normative and prohibitive moods:

- (225) *tà xúl-á vákú xis ngá pgh-ày-ní tá*  
 PREP back-GEN year two NORM pour-PO-3SG OBJ  
*pghù*  
 libation  
 ‘After two years he should pour a libation’

Cognate-object constructions can be used in questions about the truth of the proposition if the focus is on the predicate:



- (226) *àmá hlògà-f-hlògá-xèn tá hlògò ré*  
 but plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ plant Q  
*àrí hlògà-f á wà xèn*  
 or plant-UP NEG NEG 3PL  
 'But did they plant or did they not plant?'

With some verbs, instead of the phonologically cognate object, an unspecified object *skwì* 'thing' can be used:

- (227) *tá tà d-ày-lú tá skwì xàdà kài rá*  
 COM IMPF cook-PO-UH OBJ thing there still Q  
 'Do they still cook over there?'

Intransitive verbs of motion *lá* 'go', *sá* 'come', and *vrá* 'return' cannot have cognate objects.

Cognate objects may be fronted for topicalization or focusing (all examples elicited):

- (228) *mbàzá mbàzá-ùgh-mbàzá Pghinta*  
 wash wash-D:SO-wash Phinta  
 'washing, Phinta washed'

- (229) *mbàzá yá/ná mbàzá-ùgh-mbàzá Pghintà*  
 wash DEM/DEM wash-D:SO-wash Phinta  
 'washing, Phinta washed'

Cf.:

- (230) *mbàzá-ùgh-mbàzá Pghintà tá mbàzá*  
 wash-D:SO-wash Phinta OBJ wash  
 'Phinta washed'

### 13. Arguments of verbs *nzà* and *tsá* 'become'

Objects of the verbs *nzà* and *tsá* 'become' are coded in ways different from the coding of objects of other verbs. The second arguments of these verbs are marked by prepositions *kà* 'like' or *mándá* 'like'. The preposition *kà* 'like' is used for the strong assertion, whose semantic structure is "X became Y". The construction with *mándá* 'like' is used to code a lesser degree of certainty, corresponding to "X became almost Y".

The marker *kà* is a preposition and together with the noun that follows it constitutes a separate phrase, as shown by the fact that the referential

marker preceding it has low tone, the characteristic of the phrase-final position:

- (231) *kà*    *nzà-tà*            *kà*    *xáláwáy*  
 SEQ    become-REF    like    mad  
 ‘and she became mad’
- (232) *kà*    *nzà-tà*            *mándá*    *xáláwáy*  
 SEQ    become-REF    like    mad  
 ‘and she became almost mad’
- (233) *ts-ù-tsà*                    *kà*    *xáláwáy*  
 become-SO-become    like    mad  
 ‘he became mad’
- (234) *ts-ù-tsà*                    *mándá* *xáláwáy*  
 become-SO-become    like    mad  
 ‘he became almost mad’

#### 14. Independent object pronouns

Independent pronouns are marked by the preposition *tá*. Table 12 represents the set of independent object pronouns:

*Table 12. Independent object pronouns*

	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>íi</i>	<i>ú</i>	<i>ámú</i> (INCL) <i>áɣní</i> (EXCL)
Second	<i>kághá</i>		<i>kàghúni</i>
Third	<i>tsá</i>		<i>xən</i>

The vowel *a* of the object marker *tá* is replaced by the first vowel of vowel-initial object pronouns, hence *tíí* and *túú* for the first-person singular and the first-person dual.

Pronominal objects are coded by the independent form in three syntactic environments: the stative aspect, in pragmatically dependent clauses, and in object topicalization. The third-person plural may be coded only by the independent pronoun.

## 14.1. Pragmatically dependent clauses

The independent form of the pronoun preceded by the preposition *tá* codes the object in several types of pragmatically dependent clauses. Here is an illustration of the use of the independent object pronoun in various types of such clauses.

Focus on object:

- (235) *mágá-tà-dá tá lèkól kày mántsá mánzá*  
do-REF-1SG OBJ school then then instead  
*dzáng-áy-dá tá dzángá kà ksá-f-tá dǎngwà*  
study-PO-1SG OBJ study SEQ touch-UP-REF illness  
*t-û*  
OBJ-1SG  
‘Instead of me studying, an illness caught me.’

Compare a non-focused clause:

- (236) *kà ks-ì-f-tá dǎngwà*  
SEQ touch-1SG-UP-REF illness  
‘an illness caught me’

- (237) *ksá-f-tà dǎngwà t-û kày mántsá*  
touch-UP-REF:SUBJ illness OBJ-1SG then then  
*lá-xà-dá tà lòpítál má xàdú kwóbù*  
go-DOWN-1SG PREP hospital (Fr.) even lack money  
*wù*  
NEG  
‘When the illness caught me, I went to a hospital, [but] there was no money then anymore.’

Non-focused variant:

- (238) *ks-ì-f-tà dǎngwà*  
touch-1SG-UP-REF illness  
‘when illness caught me’

Comment on the focus clause:

If one of the arguments of the clause is fronted for focus, the remaining material is a comment on the focused element. In such clauses the

pronominal object is coded by the independent form preceded by the preposition *tá*:

(239) *mándá xiyá tà ñgh-íyù tá kághá*  
 like guinea corn IMPF see-1SG OBJ 2SG  
 ‘you are very beautiful’ (lit. ‘I see you like guinea corn’)

(240) *fitík d'vá-fà-á-ká tí-íí wù*  
 since like-UP-NEG-2SG OBJ-1SG NEG  
 ‘since you do not like me . . .’

Focus on subject:

(241) *íí dzà'á ghùnà-ghá tá kághá*  
 1SG FUT send-D:PVG OBJ 2SG  
 ‘it is I who will send you’

#### 14.2. Independent object pronouns in the stative

The verb in the stative aspect cannot have pronominal objects affixed, and therefore object pronouns, if any, must be marked by the preposition *tá*:

(242) *ndá ñghá tí-í*  
 STAT see OBJ-1SG  
 ‘he saw me’

(243) *\*ndá ñghá-ì [gh-íí]*  
 STAT see-1SG  
 for ‘he saw me’

Another motivation for the use of independent object pronouns is a constraint on what arguments the verb may take in the affixal form. If the verb takes a dative argument as an affix, the direct object is coded by the independent pronoun:

(244) *ghùnà-gá-ghà-ghúná màlá-dá tí-ì*  
 send-INN-D:PVG-send older brother-1SG OBJ-1SG  
 ‘my older brother sent me to you’

## 15. Conclusions

The language has two grammatical relations, the first argument and the second argument, which for the sake of reference are called “subject” and “object”. The two arguments have different syntactic properties, but they do not have specific semantic roles associated with them. The “subject” is coded through the position immediately following the verb. The “object” is coded by the preposition *tá* preceding the nominal object, including independent pronouns or by object suffixes to the verb. The first-person pronominal object may be coded by three forms, each coding a different type of affectedness of the speaker. The third-person singular pronominal object is unmarked. The language does, however, have a means of coding the referentiality of the third-person pronominal object.

There are several classes of verbs that differ in the way an object can be added to them. Verbs of perception, if occurring with a nominal object, must have the referential object marker *n* added. The addition of a pronominal object to an intransitive verb results in a causative construction.



## Chapter 7

### Coding the semantic roles of arguments

#### 1. Introduction

There is no one semantic role/function associated with the category *subject* in Hdi. The semantic function of subject is coded by the stem-forming markers *a*, *u*, and *i*, and by verbal extensions. Similarly, there is no one semantic function associated with the argument marked by the preposition *tá*, which we refer to as object. Although objects are in most instances affected, they vary in degree of affectedness. The semantic role of object is coded by stem-forming markers and by verbal extensions. Verbal stems formed with the markers *a* and *u* may be further changed by the addition of another marker from the same set (but not the same marker) and by the addition of various extensions. All these markers are affixed to verbs. The categories *subject* and *object* simply represent the first and second arguments of the verb.

The semantic relations coded through the system of inflectional marking on the verb include: goal orientation, source orientation, which often implies affectedness of the subject or the event done for the benefit of the subject; partial affectedness of the object; indirect affectedness of the subject; and total affectedness of the object, or the object's disappearance.

#### 2. Point of view of source

Source orientation is marked by the vowel *u* added to the verbal root. The source-oriented marker assumes the tone of the verb:

- (1) *z-ú-zà*  
eat-SO-eat  
'he ate everything' (Spanish: *él se comió* Russian: *on najelsja*)
  
- (2) *s-ù-sà*  
drink-SO-drink  
'he drank everything' (Spanish: *él se tomó*)

With some intransitive verbs, the marker *u* codes affectedness of the subject:

- (3) *kà mt-ú-tá dá-nì*  
 SEQ die-SO-REF father-3SG  
 ‘and his father died’

With transitive verbs, the source-oriented marker indicates that the subject is affected, as in the two examples given above. With verbs that can take either a controlling or an affected subject, the marker *u* indicates that the subject is affected:

- (4) *dr-ú-drá xàsúù*  
 burn-SO-burn wood  
 ‘the wood burned’
- (5) *bádz-ú-bádzá lgùt*  
 spoil-SO-spoil cloth  
 ‘the cloth spoiled’

Such verbs cannot be used with the goal-oriented marker *a* and with an inanimate subject:

- (6) \**bádzá-bádzá lgùt*  
 spoil-spoil cloth  
 for ‘the cloth spoiled’

If a controlling subject occurs with such a verb, a marker entailing the existence of a controlling subject must be added to the verb. Such extensions are all goal-oriented markers, such as *-í-η* ‘AWAY-3’ or *-n á* ‘additional argument’ marker.

- (7) *bádz-í-n-bádz-í tá lgùt* → [*báj-í-n-báj-í tá lgùt*]  
 spoil-AWAY-3-spoil-1SG OBJ cloth  
 ‘I spoiled the cloth’
- (8) *kà bádz-ì-η-bádzà kúni*  
 SEQ spoil-AWAY-3-spoil 2PL  
 ‘if you spoil it’ (taken from written narrative)



The source-oriented marker may imply action for the benefit of the subject. When the source-oriented marker *u* is added after the vowel *a*, it is realized [ugh]:

- (9) *kà klá-úgh-tà kà f-ù-d-ú-tà*  
 SEQ take-D:SO-REF SEQ put-SO-ALL-EP-REF  
 ‘he took it out [of the pot] and ate it up’ (lit. ‘put it in himself’)

The source-oriented marker *u* may occur after the goal-oriented marker *a*. The verb *dífà* ‘hide’ can take *u* only after the vowel *a*, viz. *dífà-úgh-tà* and not \**dífú-tà*, because hiding involves the subject’s control:

- (10) *kà lá-m-tsí dífà-úgh-tà*  
 SEQ go-IN-3SG hide-SO-REF  
 ‘And he entered and hid himself.’
- (11) *kà lá-ghú vàzák dífà-úgh-tà*  
 SEQ go-D:SO rooster hide-SO-REF  
 ‘And the rooster went and hid himself.’

The verb *d’v* ‘like’ provides excellent evidence for the hypothesis that the vowel *a* codes control on the part of the subject, and that the vowel *u* codes the affectedness of the subject. If the vowel *u* is added to the stem ending in *á*, the meaning of the verb involves control on the part of the subject “choose, select, prefer”:

- (12) *kà d’vá-úgh-tá mákwà tá zvàxw*  
 SEQ like-SO-REF girl OBJ bat  
 ‘the girl chose the bat [for herself]’

If the vowel *u* is added directly to the verbal root, the verbal stem means ‘to love’, a process that does not involve control:

- (13) *kà d’v-ú-tá mákwà tá zvàxw*  
 SEQ like-SO-REF girl OBJ bat  
 ‘the girl liked the bat’

There is a class of verbs whose basic theme codes the affectedness of the subject. These verbs have the vowel *u* as part of their stem. Subjects of those verbs, i.e. the unmarked arguments, are affected rather than controlling:

(14) *fú-fá imí*  
 heat-heat water  
 'the water boiled'

(15) *rwú-rwá xiyá*  
 rot-rot guinea corn  
 'the guinea corn dried'

When a proposition containing a stem that inherently codes the affect-  
 edness of the subject contains a goal, the stem must be followed by the  
 goal-oriented marker *a*. Here is an example with the verb *nù* 'be fat, be-  
 come fat':

(16) *tsá m̀ndú nu'wà-ná-f-lú tá hlà yá*  
 DEF man fatten-PVG-DEM-UP-UH OBJ cow DEM  
 'the man for whom the cow was fattened'

### 3. Point of view of source in the imperfective

#### 3.1. The form of the absolutive marker

The point of view of the source in the imperfective aspect is marked by the  
 suffix *-kú*, glossed as "ABS" for "absolutive", added to the verbal stem  
 ending in the vowel *a*. Subjects following the verb with extension *-kú* are  
 either affected arguments of transitive verbs or subjects of intransitive  
 verbs; they are never controlling arguments of transitive verbs.

(17) *tsá xiyá tà ghwálà-kú yá*  
 DEF corn IMPF dry-ABS DEM  
 'corn that dries'

Cf.:

(18) *tsá xiyá tà ghwál-tsí yá*  
 DEF corn IMPF dry-3SG DEM  
 'corn that he dries'

(19) *tà ghwálá-kú é*  
 IMPF dry-ABS Q (est [Fr.])  
 'So it dries up?'

- (20) *tà sà-kú ìmí*  
 IMPF drink-ABS water  
 'the water is drinkable'

### 3.2. The functions of the absolutive marker

The marker *kú* represents the point of view of the object of the transitive verb or the subject of an intransitive verb. The evidence for this hypothesis is that it cannot occur with the potential object marker *-áy*, nor can it occur with the referential marker *-ta*. The marker *kú* is the imperfective counterpart of the source-oriented marker *u*, which is used only in the perfective aspect:

- (21) *tà drá-kú xàsú'ù*  
 IMPF burn-ABS wood  
 'the wood is burning, is burnable'

- (22) *tà drú-tá xàsú'ù*  
 IMPF burn:SO-REF wood  
 'the wood has burned'

For inherently transitive verbs, the subject of the verb with *kú* is not controlling:

- (23) *díyá-f-díyá-tsí yá ná àmá tà*  
 germinate-UP-germinate-3SG DEM COMP but IMPF  
*ghwálá-kú*  
 dry-ABS  
 'It has germinated, but it dries up'

Cf.:

- (24) *ghùb-í-n-ghùbá àmá ndá ná-ná tà*  
 wash-AWAY-3-wash but ASSC DEM-DEM IMPF  
*ghwál-áy-ghwál-áy*  
 dry-PO-dry-PO  
 'he washed [it] and now he is drying [it] up'

The form with the marker *kú*, like other verbal forms, can be followed by possessive subject pronouns in certain types of clauses. The form with *ku* represents the non-controlling subject:

- (25) *kɔ̀à-kú-á-n*                      *tsá*      *xàdà*  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3SG    DEF      here  
 ‘It ends here.’

Cf.:

- (26) *kɔ̀-í-n-tà-n*                      *tsá*      *xàdà*  
 finish-AWAY-3-REF-3SG    DEF      here  
 ‘he finished it here’

- (27) *tà*      *wáwà-kw-á-ní*                      *kri*  
 PREP    walk around-ABS-GEN-3SG      dog  
 ‘Dog is taking a walk’

Cf.:

- (28) *tà*      *wáw-ày*                      *kri*  
 PREP    walk around-PO      dog  
 ‘Dog is walking somebody’

The absolutive extension can be used even if the verb has an object, but the extension indicates the state of the subject:

- (29) *kɔ̀à-kú-á-tán*                      *tà*      *víxá-p-tá*      *vàrà*    *mbàd*  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3PL    PREP    sift-OUT-REF    beans    then  
*ká-xèn*      *kà*      *wà-dá-p-tá*                      *tsá*      *vàrà*      *yá*  
 COMP-3PL SEQ    take.PL-ALL-OUT-REF    DEF      beans    DEM  
*dzághà*  
 home  
 ‘When they were done sifting the beans, they brought them home’

Compare the goal-oriented:

- (30) *kɔ̀-ú-kɔ̀à-xèn*                      *mà*      *víxá*      *vàrà*  
 finish-SO-finish-3PL    PREP    sift      beans  
 ‘they finished sifting the beans’

With the verb *yà* ‘beget, give birth’ the extension *kú* indicates the event from the point of view of the person born:

- (31) *ó*                      *ghàlà-ká*      *ká*      *vàrà*      *dú’ú*                      *ghàlyá*  
 INTERJ    like this    COMP    beans    father-1DU      earlier  
*tà*      *yà-kú*      *ndá*      *slá*      *ndá*      *slá*      [*tsá*]  
 IMPF    yield-ABS    ASSC    leg      ASSC    leg      like that  
 ‘“Oh, it is like this: The beans of our forefathers earlier were born with feet.”’

Cf.:

- (32) *yà-f-yà*                      *dágálá*  
 give birth-UP-give birth    a lot  
 'it has produced a lot'

The extension is used when there is only one argument of a transitive verb and that argument is affected rather than controlling:

- (33) *ndá sn-íyù ká tsá mariage-xà yá tà*  
 STAT hear-1SG COMP DEF marriage (Fr.)-PL DEM IMPF  
*mággá-kú gà xdí ká-xèn mándì*  
 make-ABS PREP Hdi COMP-3PL like  
*bángàl-xà yá*  
 marriage (Ful.)-PL DEM  
 'I have heard that marriages are being made in Hdi.'

- (34) *tà mággá-kú á tsà wà*  
 IMPF do-ABS NEG DEF NEG  
 'It is being done, isn't it?'

Cf.:

- (35) *tà mág-áy-lú tá mariage gà xdí*  
 IMPF do-PO-UH OBJ marriage (Fr.) PREP Hdi  
 'they are arranging marriages in Hdi'

- (36) *tà tsírá-kú tà vghá*  
 IMPF defecate-ABS PREP body  
 'he defecated on himself'

The following example contains four verbs with the controlling subject and one with the affected subject:

- (37) *kà sá-ghà ghùb-í-n-tà kà d'gá-tà*  
 SEQ arrive-D:PVG wash-AWAY-3-REF SEQ pound-REF  
*kà pghám-tà m-ímí ngá l'bá-kw-á-ní*  
 SEQ pour-REF IN-water FOR wet-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 'she came, washed it, pounded it, poured it into water, for it to become wet'

The absolutive extension can be added to the intransitive verb *ndzà* 'happen'. The affected argument is represented by possessive pronouns:

- (38) *nà-ná gwàd'á dzà'á gwàd'-í náná tà ghóng-à*  
 DEM-DEM word FUT tell-1SG DEM PREP head-GEN  
*ndzà-kw-á-d'á k'dá*  
 happen-ABS-1SG last year  
 'The story that I am going to tell is about what happened to me last year.'

#### 4. Point of view of goal

Goal orientation is marked by the suffix *-a*, which can be added either directly to the verbal root or to the source-oriented marker *u*. The goal-oriented marker codes the event as directed toward a goal and thereby implies that the subject is controlling.

Evidence that the marker *a* is a separate morpheme rather than an unpredictable underlying component of the verb is provided by the fact that it can occur after the source-oriented marker *u*. In the sequence /C[labial or velar] u a/, the vowel *u* is reduced to the feature [round], realized as labialization of the preceding consonant:

- (39) *fw-á-fwà tá ìmí*  
 heat-PVG-heat OBJ water  
 'he heated water'

Cf.:

- (40) *fú-fwà ìmí*  
 heat up-heat up water  
 'water heated up'

- (41) *rw-á-rwà tá zindín*  
 rot-PVG-rot OBJ germinated corn  
 'he made the germinated corn rot'

- (42) *rw-í-n-rwà tá xiyá*  
 rot-AWAY-3-rot OBJ corn  
 'he made the corn spoil' (by damaging the stems)

Compare the verb with a non-controlling subject:

- (43) *rwú-rwá xiyá*  
 rot-rot guinea corn  
 'the guinea corn dried'

The verb with the goal-oriented marker cannot be used with subjects that physically cannot have control over the event:

- (44) \**fw-á-fwà imí*  
 boil-PVG-boil water  
 for 'the water boiled'

There is a class of verbs that can be used with a controlling subject and an affected object. These are prototypically transitive verbs, in that their inherent properties and underlying form indicate the presence of the controlling subject and affected object. If the affected object is the subject of these verbs, they require the source-oriented marker *ú*:

- (45) *lbá-lb-í tá lgùt*  
 wet-wet-1SG OBJ cloth  
 'I wet the cloth'

- (46) *lb-ú-lbá lgùt*  
 wet-SO-wet cloth  
 'the cloth became wet'

A non-controlling subject cannot occur with the goal-oriented marker *a*:

- (47) \**lbá-lbà lgùt*  
 wet-wet cloth  
 for 'the cloth became wet'

The verb *ghùál* 'dry' displays the same properties:

- (48) *ghwá-lá-ghùál-í tá lgùt*  
 dry-dry-1SG OBJ cloth  
 'I dried the cloth'

- (49) *ghùál-ú-ghwá-lá lgùt*  
 dry-SO-dry cloth  
 'the cloth dried'

## 5. Movement-away extension *f*

### 5.1. The form of the movement-away extension

The movement-away extension *í*, glossed as “AWAY”, is suffixed directly to the root and acquires the underlying tone of the last syllable of the verb. The tone on the verb may vary, depending on the role of the following object, as described earlier. If there are object suffixes, the movement-away extension, as well as point-of-view-of-source extension immediately precede the object suffix. If there is no other grammatical marker between the verb and the object suffix, it makes no difference whether the movement-away marker is analyzed as preceding the object suffix or following the verb. The third-person object suffix *n* must be used if the object is third person:

- (50) *pgh-ì-n-pghà tá hlú'wí*  
 put-AWAY-3-put OBJ meat  
 ‘she threw away meat’
- (51) *pgh-í-n-pghá tá hlú'wí dístà d'álí*  
 put-AWAY-3-put OBJ meat into sauce  
 ‘she put meat into a sauce’

The movement-away extension occurs only in the perfective forms of the verb coded either through reduplication or through the marker *kà* in sequential clauses. The extension must be followed by one of the pronominal suffixes, *d'* for the first-person singular, *gh* for the second-person singular, or *n* for the third-person singular and third-person plural:

- (52) *mbd'-ì-d'-mbd'à*  
 replace-AWAY-1SG-replace  
 ‘he replaced me’ (he came and I went away)
- (53) *mbd'-ì-gh-mbd'à*  
 replace-AWAY-2SG-replace  
 ‘he replaced you’
- (54) *mbd'-ì-n-mbd'à*  
 replace-AWAY-3-replace  
 ‘he replaced him’



## 5.2. The functions of the movement-away extension

The movement-away extension can be added only to transitive verbs. It indicates either that the object is no longer at the scene at which the event occurred or that the object does not have its previous integrity:

- (55) *kà* *zl-í-n-tá* *tsá* *m̀ghám* *yá*  
 SEQ chase-AWAY-3-REF DEF chief DEM  
 [*tá zón á-ní*] *tá* *tsá* *m̀arkw-á-tàn* *yá*  
 OBJ son-GEN 3SG [error] OBJ DEF wife-GEN-3PL DEM  
 ‘The chief chased away his wife.’

- (56) *ǹgh-í-n-ǹghá* *tí-í* *tà* *lúumá*  
 see-AWAY-3-see OBJ-1SG PREP market  
 ‘he saw me pass by at the market’

- (57) *kà* *zl-í-n-tá-tsí* *kà* *xwáyá-uhg-tà*  
 SEQ leave-AWAY-3-REF-3SG SEQ run-SO-REF  
 ‘he left [a bag] and escaped’

- (58) *mbàd'* *ká-á* *kà* *w-í-g-í-n-tà* *kà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ take.PL-AWAY-INN-3-REF SEQ  
*lá-ghw-í* *dífà-ná-tá* *zwàn-à-ní*  
 go-D:SO-REF hide-3-REF child.PL-GEN-3SG  
 ‘He took his children and hid them.’

Even with verbs that do not affect the object in any way, the movement-away extension indicates that the object is not in the place referred to in the clause. With verbs of perception, the extension indicates that the object is no longer present at the place where it was perceived:

- (59) *ǹgh-í-n-ǹghá* *á* *kwá* *tà* *ptà*  
 see-AWAY-3-see OBJ calabash PREP mat  
 ‘he saw a calabash on the mat’ (the calabash is no longer there)

Cf.:

- (60) *ǹghà-n-ǹghà* *tá* *kwá* *tà* *ptà*  
 see-3-see OBJ calabash PREP mat  
 ‘he saw a calabash on the mat’ (the calabash may still be there)

- (61) *ǹgh-í-n-ǹgh-í* *tá* *kri*  
 see-AWAY-3-see-1SG OBJ dog  
 ‘I saw a dog’ (running, no longer there)

Cf.:

- (62) *nghà-n-ngh-í tá kɾì*  
 see-3-see-1SG OBJ dog  
 ‘I saw a dog’ (it may still be there)

Adding the movement-away extension to transitive verbs that do not imply movement has the meaning of “verb + take away”. This is especially evident with verbs whose inherent meaning implies acquisition, such as “catch”, “take”, “gather”, “give birth”:

- (63) *tàlá zàŋwá tsá yá tá màrà-n-tá*  
 exorcise demon DEF DEM COM show-3-REF  
*xlá-g-í-n-tá índà ghwáďàk-á skwì mà*  
 gather-INN-AWAY-3-REF all bad-GEN thing PREP  
*xgá yá*  
 home DEM  
 ‘It is *tàlá zàŋwá* that shows that one has chased away all the bad things from the compound.’
- (64) *kl-í-n-klà*  
 take-AWAY-3-take  
 ‘he took it away’
- (65) *ks-í-gh-ksà*  
 touch-AWAY-2SG-touch  
 ‘he caught you and threw you away’

The following examples illustrate the function of indicating the effect on the integrity of the object:

- (66) *bl-í-n-blà tá xàsú’ù*  
 break-AWAY-3-break OBJ branch  
 ‘he broke the branch’ (into two parts)
- (67) *dr-í-n-drà tá xàsú’ù*  
 burn-AWAY-3-burn OBJ wood  
 ‘he burned the wood away’ (implies he will not have use for the product)

- (68) *kďà-kw-á*      *fitk-á*      *zl-í-n-tá*      *zwán-ì*  
 finish-ABS-GEN    time-GEN    free-AWAY-3-REF    child-PL  
 ‘at the end of vacation’ (lit. ‘at the end of the time of the release of children’)

The extension is used when the movement involves placing one thing under another:

- (69) *mbàď* *ká*      *kà*      *v-ì-n-tá*      *vú*  
 then    COMP    SEQ    light-AWAY-3-REF    fire  
*ki’yá* *ndá*      *mìstá-ní*  
 small    PREP    under-3SG  
 ‘Then he lit a small fire under it.’

Cf.:

- (70) *mbàď* *ká*      *kà*      *v-àf-tá*      *vú*      *tà*      *kzún*  
 then    COMP    SEQ    light-UP-REF    fire    PREP    grass  
 ‘Then he lit the fire in the grass.’

- (71) *kà*      *l-íyù*      *ngás-í-n-tá*      *vú*      *mìstá*      *mbízà*  
 SEQ    go-1SG    push in-AWAY-3-REF    fire    under    bean dish  
*ká*      *pákáw ghúvì*  
 COMP    hyena  
 ‘“I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,” said Hyena.’

### 5.3. Transitivity functions of the movement-away extension

The movement-away extension combined with the third-person definite object marker *n* has a secondary function of transitivity functions. Thus the intransitive verb *vrá* ‘return’ becomes transitive through the addition of *i-n*:

- (72) *vr-í-n-vrà*      *tá*      *pítsákw*  
 return-AWAY-3-return    OBJ    hoe  
 ‘he returned the hoe’

Cf.:

- (73) *vrà-k-vrà*  
 return-INN-return  
 ‘he returned’

Certain transitive verbs cannot be used with the movement-away extension because the verb inherently involves separation (*vlá* ‘give’). These

verbs thus provide additional evidence for the function of the extension as implying movement away:

- (74) \**vl-í-n-vl-íyù*                      *tá*            *pìtsákw-á-d'á*  
 give-AWAY-3-give-1SG      OBJ      hoe-GEN-1SG  
 for 'I gave him my hoe'

Cf.:

- (75) *vlá-n-vl-íyù*            *tá*            *pìtsákw-á-d'á*  
 give-3-give-1SG      OBJ      hoe-GEN-1SG  
 'I gave him my hoe'

## 6. Dative and benefactive argument coding

The term *dative* is used in the present work for the recipient of an object, and the term *benefactive* for the beneficiary of an event. Hence, these are terms describing semantic relations between arguments and the verb. Both of these functions may be coded by the same formal means. The coding of dative/benefactive function of the object, whether nominal or pronominal, is an interaction between the inherent properties of the verb and the coding means available.

If the dative argument is nominal, it is coded by the preposition *tá*, the same preposition that codes the direct object. The function of the argument as dative rather than direct object is coded by the high tone on the verb. The nominal dative argument, including third-person plural, can precede or follow the direct object, i.e., the word order with a dative and direct object can be either Verb Dative Object or Verb Object Dative:

- (76) *vlá-n-vl-í*            *tá*            *xèn*            *tá*            *kóbù*  
 give-3-give-1SG      OBJ      3PL            OBJ            money  
 'I gave them money'  
*vlá-n-vl-í*            *tá*            *kóbù*            *tá*            *xèn*  
 give-3-give-1SG      OBJ            money            OBJ            3PL  
 'I gave them money'

- (77) *vlá-n-vlá*            *mbítsá*            *tá*            *kóbù*            *tá*            *mbáká*  
 give-3-give            Mbitsa            OBJ            money            OBJ            Mbaka  
 'Mbitsa gave money to Baka'

If there is a possibility of ambiguity, the dative phrase follows the direct object:

- (78) *vlá-n-vl-íyù tá kùzún tá gù-xà*  
 give-3-give-1SG OBJ fresh leaves OBJ goat-PL  
 'I gave him leaves for the goats'

### 6.1. Coding the dative on the verb

If the verb can occur with either direct or dative object pronouns, the distinction between the dative and direct object function is carried by the verb and by the form of the pronouns. In both cases the coding is through tonal changes. The two means are, however, independent of each other.

The verb codes the presence of a dative argument through a high tone on the last syllable. In the case of reduplicated verb, both parts of the verb end in a high tone. The evidence that tone alone codes the difference between dative and direct object is provided by examples that are identical except for the tone on the verb. In the following example the first-person vowel *i* assumes the tone of the verb. For the benefactive function the tone is high; for the direct object function the tone is low:

- (79) *pd'-íxà-pdá*  
 leave-1SG-leave  
 'he left it for me'
- (80) *pd'-ixà-pdà*  
 leave-1SG-leave  
 'I was abandoned', 'he left me'

The distinction between dative and direct object function in the situation when the pronoun is separated from the verb by the allative extension *dá* or the inner-space extension *gá* is marked on the verb. The pronoun is coded as dative by the verbal root and a high tone. An epenthetic vowel is inserted after the verb to carry the high tone of the dative marker and to allow proper syllabification. The epenthetic vowel is schwa if the vowel in the next syllable is *a*:

- (81) *klá-dá-ghá-klà tá pìtsákw dzághà*  
 take-ALL-2SG-take OBJ hoe home  
 'he brought a hoe for you to your house'
- (82) *kál -g-á-ná-ghà ìmí*  
 take-INN-PVG-3SG-D:PVG water  
 'bring him some water!'

Similarly with the allative extension *d*:

- (83) *kál-d-á-ná-ghà*                      *ímí*  
 take-ALL-PVG-3SG-D:PVG    water  
 ‘take some water for him!’

If the next syllable has a high vowel *i* or *u*, the epenthetic vowel is *i* or *u*:

- (84) *kl-í-g-í-d’á-ghà*                      *wá*      *kđix-á-d’á*,  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG    NEG    donkey-GEN-1SG  
*ká-’á*      *kà*      *wàxú*  
 COMP-3SG like    cry  
 ‘“bring me back my donkey,” he said crying’

In the following example the epenthetic vowel has been inserted twice: first after the verb *b* ‘build’ and second after the allative extension *d*:

- (85) *b-í-dí-dí-f-bà*                                      *tá*      *mùxúl*  
 build-EP-ALL-AWAY-1SG-UP-build    OBJ    wall  
 ‘he built me a wall’

The coding of the pronominal object as direct is done through the goal-oriented marker *à* and the low tone following the verbal root:

- (86) *klà-dá-ghá-ghà-klà*                                      *dzághà*  
 take-ALL-2SG-D:PVG-take-3SG    home  
 ‘he brought you home’

If the root has no vowel or has schwa and it is followed by the allative or inner-space extension, the pronominal object cannot be interpreted as direct:

- (87) \**kló-dá-ghá-ghà-klà*                                      *dzághà*  
 take-ALL-2SG-D:PVG-take-3SG    home  
 for ‘he brought you home’

Under the conditions of vowel assimilation, the vocalic distinction in the verb disappears and the role of the object pronoun is coded solely by the tone on the verb:

- (88) *kl-ì-gí-dá-ghà-klà*                      *dī*      *íṅní*  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-take    PREP    1PL.EXCL  
 ‘he brought me home’
- (89) *kl-í-gí-dá-ghà-klá*                      *tá*      *kri*      *dī*  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-take    OBJ      dog      PREP  
*íṅní*  
 1PL.EXCL  
 ‘he brought a dog for me to my home’

Consider the verb *dáwà* ‘ask’. With the high tone the verb means “ask for a thing, order”. If the dative pronoun is present, then the pronoun represents the beneficiary of the event:

- (90) *dáwá-ná-dáwá*      *tá*      *dàfá*  
 ask-DEM-ask            OBJ      food  
 ‘he asked for food for him’

The source-oriented marker *u* indicates that the beneficiary is the subject:

- (91) *dáw-ú-dáwá*      *tá*      *dàfá*  
 ask-SO-ask            OBJ      food  
 ‘he asked for food for himself’

The high tone of the verb alone codes the presence of the dative argument, even if the argument itself is not overtly coded in the clause. The high tone occurs on the verb-final syllable even if there is no subject following the verb:

- (92) *dáwá-dáwá*      *tá*      *dàfá*  
 ask-ask                OBJ      food  
 ‘he ordered food’
- (93) *dáwá-dáwá*      *músà*      *tá*      *dàfá*  
 ask-ask                Musa      OBJ      food  
 ‘Musa ordered food’

With low tone on the verb, the meaning does not imply the presence of an addressee in the event:

- (94) *d'áwà-n-d'áwà tá dàfá*  
 ask-3-ask OBJ food  
 'he asked about food'

The high tone on the verb may indicate the presence of a purpose for which the event has occurred:

- (95) *dr-á-drá tá xàsúù*  
 burn-PVG-burn OBJ wood  
 'he burned wood [for charcoal]'

- (96) *dr-á-drà tá xàsúù*  
 burn-PVG-burn OBJ wood  
 'he burned wood'

Inherently transitive verbs, i.e. verbs that do not require a pronominal object when occurring with a nominal object, code the dative argument without any tonal changes on the verb. The first part of the reduplicated verb keeps the underlying tone, and the last tone is low before the object phrase. Here are examples of low-tone verbs *dà* 'cook' and *bà* 'build':

- (97) *dà-ná-dà tá d'áfá*  
 cook-DEM-cook OBJ food  
 'he cooked for him'

- (98) *bà-ná-f-bà tá xgà*  
 build-DEM-UP-build OBJ house  
 'he built him a house'

Here is an example of a high-tone verb:

- (99) *skwá-ná-skwà*  
 buy-DEM-buy  
 'he bought [it] for him'

- (100) *skwá-skwà*  
 buy-buy  
 'he bought [it]'



### 6.2. Pronominal dative arguments

The set of dative pronouns is identical with the set of direct object pronouns except that the third-person singular has to be overtly marked by the form *n* or *na*. The same phonological rules apply to dative pronouns as to direct object pronouns, viz., if the pronoun begins with a vowel, that vowel replaces the last vowel of the verb.

*Table 13. Dative pronouns*

Person	Singular	Dual	plural
First	<i>í, í-xà, da,</i>	<i>úú (INCL)</i>	<i>má (INCL) ɲá (EXCL)</i>
Second	<i>ghà</i>		<i>ghúnà</i>
Third	<i>n, na</i>		<i>xàn</i>

### 6.3. Verbs whose direct object is recipient

The pronominal objects of the verbs *vlá* 'give' and *mná* 'tell' behave as if they were direct object pronouns in that they have high tone. The pronouns are infixed in the reduplicated form and suffixed in the simple form:

(101) <i>mná-mnà</i> tell-tell 'he said'	<i>mná-ná-mnà</i> tell-DEM-tell 'he told him'
<i>mná-ghá-mnà</i> tell-2-tell 'he told you'	<i>mn-ú'ú-mnà</i> tell-1DU-tell 'he told us'
<i>mná-má-mnà</i> tell-1PL.INCL-tell 'he told us'	<i>mná-ɲá-mnà</i> tell-1PL.EXCL-tell 'he told us'

### 6.4. The two forms of the third-person singular dative pronoun

The third-person singular pronoun *ná* codes the definite, known addressee; the pronoun *n* codes the unspecified addressee:

- (102) *yàgh-ká dá ñgh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-’á mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 ‘do not look inside the pot,’ he told him.’

Replacing the form *ná* to *n* in the last verb would produce a different meaning:

- (103) *mná-n-tà*  
 tell-3-REF  
 ‘he told someone’

When one speaks about a hypothetical dative in a narrative, then the pronoun *n* rather than *ná* is used:

- (104) *pghù tsá yá dzà’á màrà-n-tá*  
 libation DEF DEM FUT show-3-REF  
*snà-n-tà-ní tá dàdà-xà-ní ndá mtá*  
 know-3-REF-SUBJ-3SG OBJ father-PL-3SG STAT dead  
*dàgà dá-ní dá-ní mà dá-ní dá-ní*  
 PREP father-3SG father-3SG PREP father-3SG father-3SG  
*mà dá-ní xá gùlú*  
 PREP father-3SG until Gulu  
 ‘It is the libation that will make him know his dead parents, back to Gulu.’

Similarly with the verb *vlá* ‘give’:

- (105) *vlá-n-vl-í tá kóbù*  
 give-3-give-1SG OBJ money  
 ‘I gave money’
- (106) *vlá-ná-vl-í tá kóbù*  
 give-DEM-give-1SG OBJ money  
 ‘I gave him (specific person) money’

The third-person plural dative is coded by the third-person dative singular object marker *ná* with the verb *mná* ‘say’ or *n* affixed to the verb *vlá* ‘give’ and by the third-person plural pronoun *xèn* preceded by the preposition *tá*:

- (107) *mná-ná-mnà tá xèn*  
 tell-DEM-tell OBJ 3PL  
 'he told them'
- (108) *vlá-n-vl-íyù tá xèn tá kóbù*  
 give-3-give-1SG OBJ 3PL OBJ money  
 'I gave them money'

### 6.5. The functions of the first-person singular dative pronouns

As in the case of the first-person singular direct object pronouns, there are also two forms of first-person singular dative pronouns. The form *ixà* is used if there are no extensions added to the verb, and the form *d* is used if the stem has the marker *i* or *u* or if there are extensions, whether preceding or following the first-person object pronoun:

- (109) *mn-íxà-mná*                                 \**mn-ídá-mná*  
 say-1SG-say                                   say-1SG-say  
 'he told me'                                   for 'he told me'

The verb *mbá* 'chase away' may take the first-person pronoun *id* either as a direct object or as dative object. In the first case the tone on the verb is low, and in the second it is high. Since the vowel *i* assumes the tone of the verb, it has high or low tone:

- (110) *bl-í-dí-blá tá pìtsákw*  
 break-AWAY-1SG-break OBJ hoe  
 'he broke my hoe'
- (111) *mb-í-dî-f-mbá-xèn*  
 chase-AWAY-1SG-UP-chase-3PL  
 'they cured him for me' (*mbá* 'chase away' includes chasing away an illness)
- (112) *mb-ì-dí-f-mbá-xèn*  
 chase-AWAY-1SG-UP-chase away-3PL  
 'they cured me'

The evidence that vowel *i* is a part of the first-person pronoun is provided by the fact that the vowel *i* does not occur with other object pronouns:

- (113) *mbá-ghà-f-mbá-xèn*  
 chase away-2SG-UP-chase away-3PL  
 ‘they cured [your wound] for you’
- (114) *mbà-ghá-f-mbá-xèn*  
 chase away-2SG-UP-chase away-3PL  
 ‘they cured you’
- (115) *zl-í-d-ì-η-wá-zlá*                      *tá*      *tví*  
 leave-AWAY-1SG-EP-3-PL.IMP-leave    OBJ      road  
 ‘allow me . . .’ (written sources)

Before the distal goal-oriented extension *ghá*, the first-person pronoun *d* is followed by the vowel *a* rather than by an epenthetic vowel. This is in accordance with the general rule regarding all locative extensions:

- (116) *tf-í-d-á-ghá-tfá-lú*                      *tá*      *wì*  
 spit-AWAY-1SG-PVG-D:PVG-spit-UH    OBJ      mouth  
 for ‘I was blessed’
- (117) \**tf-í-dí-ghá-tfá-lú*                      *tá*      *wì*  
 spit-AWAY-1SG-D:PVG-spit-UH      OBJ      mouth  
 ‘I was blessed’

Compare the verb *zlá* ‘release’. Without any extension the first-person singular is *ixa*; with an extension, e.g. inverse *s*, the first-person singular pronoun is *id*:

- (118) *zl-íxà-zlá*  
 release-1SG-release  
 ‘he released me’ (for my benefit)
- (119) *zl-í-dí-s-zlà*  
 release-AWAY-1SG-INV-release  
 ‘he released me’ (to my detriment)

The vowels of the verbal forms are *u* or *i* for all persons. The vowels depend on the point of view coding on the stem:

- (120) *kà*      *dz-ù-gù-dú-dú-s-dzá*                      *kághá*    *ná . . .*  
 SEQ      go-SO-INN-ALL-1SG-INV-go      2SG      COMP  
 ‘If you come there for me, then . . .’

(121) *kà dz-ù-gù-dú-nú-s-dzá kághá*  
 SEQ go-SO-INN-ALL-DEM-INV-go 2SG  
 ‘if you come for him’

(122) *kà dz-ù-gù-dú-ghú-s-dzá tsátsí*  
 SEQ go-SO-INN-ALL-2SG-INV-go 3SG  
 ‘if he comes for you’

The imperative function corresponding to “give me!” has been lexicalized through the form *yàghá*, which incorporates both the order and the first-person dative:

(123) *yàghá tá índà xùzlà-xà-ďá démdém ká-’á*  
 give me OBJ all good-PL-1SG all COMP-3SG  
 ‘“return all my things,” he said’

#### 6.6. Dative pronouns with simple transitive verbs

If the verb can take both direct and dative objects, the dative function of the object is coded by high tone on the verb and low tone on the pronoun. The verbs that have inherently high tone keep the high tone in constructions with dative arguments. Here is a paradigm with all the dative object pronouns. The verb *blá* ‘break’ occurs with the extension *p* ‘OUT’ in all persons except first singular, a fact for which we have no explanation:

(124) *blá-ghà-p-blá*  
 break-2SG-OUT-break  
 ‘he broke it for you’

(125) *blí-xà-blá*  
 break-1SG-break  
 ‘he broke it for me’

(126) *blá-nà-p-blá*  
 break-DEM-OUT-break  
 ‘he broke it for him’

(127) *blá-ηnà-p-blá*  
 break-1PL.EXCL-OUT-break  
 ‘he broke it for us’

- (128) *blá-ghùnà-p-blá*  
 break-2PL-OUT-break  
 'he broke it for you'

Compare the direct object form:

- (129) *blà-ná-p-blà*  
 break-DEM-OUT-break  
 'he broke him'

To a verb with a dative object pronoun a nominal direct object is added in the usual way, i.e. with the preposition *tá*. The dative function may imply benefactive as well as malefactive effect on the argument:

- (130) *lá-wá-lá dà ghèn-á xàdík dá kás-ìdí-k*  
 go-PL.IMP-go PREP head-GEN world PURP take:PL-1SG-INN  
*tá mndú-xà*  
 OBJ man-PL  
 'go into the world and bring me people . . .'

- (131) *dzà'á y-í-gì-dí-p-yá-kúni*  
 FUT give birth-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-OUT-give birth-2PL  
*tá xùzlà-xà-dá*  
 OBJ good-PL-1SG  
 'you are going to take away my goods'

Verbs that have inherently low tone change the last tone to high to mark the dative argument, e.g. *zlàmbà* 'stone':

- (132) *zlàmbá-nà-zlàmbá*  
 stone-DEM-stone  
 'he stoned it for him'

*zlàmbá-ghà-zlàmbá*  
 stone-2SG-stone  
 'he stoned it for you'

- (133) *kà gúná-nà-f-tá sígà*  
 SEQ open-DEM-UP-REF pot  
 'and he opened the pot for him'

## 6.7. Dative coding indirect affectedness

The dative form of the verb codes the indirect affectedness of an argument, i.e. a situation in which A acts on B and affects C. Argument B may be a body part or any other noun:

- (134) *bl-í-dī-p-blá*                      *tá*      *dzvú*  
 break-AWAY-1SG-OUT-break    OBJ      hand  
 'he broke my hand'
- (135) *blá-ghà-p-blá*                      *tá*      *dzvú*  
 break-2SG-OUT-break    OBJ      hand  
 'he broke your hand'
- (136) *blá-ghà-p-blá*                      *tá*      *ùdzú*  
 break-2SG-OUT-break    OBJ      stick  
 'he broke your stick'

Compare direct affectedness coded by the direct object form of the verb:

- (137) *blà-ghá-p-blà*  
 break-2SG-OUT-break  
 'he broke you'

## 6.8. Dative pronoun and extensions

If the verb has only one extension, dative pronouns, just like direct object pronouns, precede this extension:

- (138) *sá-ghà*              *ùvá*      *xvá-nà-ŋ-tà*                      *ùvá*      *tá*      *xvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG    cat      work-DEM-TENT-REF    cat      OBJ      work  
*kítikw*  
 little  
 'After Cat came and worked a little for him'
- (139) *tfá-ná-ghá-tfá-lú*                      *tá*      *wì*  
 spit-DEM-D:PVG-spit-UH    OBJ      mouth  
 'he was blessed'

- (140) *ndá sná-ká rí sárák, hlòv-í-dí-p-hlòvá*  
 STAT know-2SG Q club hit.PL-AWAY-1SG-OUT-hit.PL  
*tá índà m̀ndú-xà*  
 OBJ all man-PL  
 ‘Do you understand, stick? Hit everybody for me!’

If the verb has more than one extension, the dative pronoun follows all extensions except for the last one (on the order of extensions see the Chapter 10, section 4):

- (141) *xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wà kà*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ lion NEG SEQ  
*dz-ù-gù-dú-dú-s-dzá kághá ná*  
 go-SO-INN-ALL-1SG-INV-go 2SG DEM  
*xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wà ká'-á*  
 call-3-REF-1SG OBJ lion NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“I will not invite Lion. If you come there for me, I will not invite Lion,” he said.’

### 6.9. Other functions of the dative form of the verb

The dative form of the verb, i.e. the high tone on the last syllable, does not necessarily imply the presence of a dative or benefactive argument. With the verb *ngh* ‘see’, the high tone changes the meaning to “visit”; hence it adds the feature [control] to the function of the subject:

- (142) *nghá-ghá-ngh-í tà lúmà*  
 see-2SG-see-1SG PREP market  
 ‘I visited you at the market’  
  
*nghà-ghá-ngh-í*  
 see-2SG-see-1SG  
 ‘I saw you at the market’

### 6.10. Coding of dative and benefactive through prepositions

If the dative argument is nominal, it is coded by the object-marking preposition *tá*, as illustrated by the example quoted earlier:



- (143) *vlá-n-vl-íyù tá kùzún tá gù-xà*  
 give-3-give-1SG OBJ fresh leaves OBJ goat-PL  
 'I gave him leaves for the goats'

The benefactive argument may be coded by the preposition *ngá* 'for'. The verb does not code the presence of the prepositional phrase with *ngá*:

- (144) *skwá-skw-í tá kwà tá ghán ngá múk*  
 buy-buy-1SG OBJ calabash PREP head FOR girl  
*má-ghá*  
 mother-2SG  
 'I bought a head calabash for your sister' (*mákwà* 'girl', *múk* does not occur alone)

The pronominal dative may also be coded through the preposition *ngá*. Pronouns following the preposition *ngá* are selected from the possessive set:

- (145) *klá-gá-ghà ngá-ghá*  
 take-INN-D:PVG FOR-2SG  
 'he brought for you'
- (146) *klà-gá-ghà-klà ngá-ghá*  
 take-INN-D:PVG-take FOR-2SG  
 'he brought it for you'
- (147) *tà dá skw-à z-áy ngá-dá*  
 IMPF cook thing-GEN eat-PO FOR-1SG  
 'she is cooking something for me to eat'

## 7. Co-referentiality of arguments

### 7.1. Co-referentiality of the subject and the direct object

The co-referentiality of the subject and the direct object is coded by use of the word *vghá* 'body' as the object. Such a construction codes the subject's having control over the event and also being affected by the event. The notion of subject control is a crucial function of the object coded by *vghá*. The evidence that both of these semantic properties are coded by the construction with *vghá* is provided by the fact that when the same verb occurs without *vghá*, the clause does not imply control:

- (148) *súḍà-súḍ-í*                      *tá*              *vghá*  
 undress-undress-1SG    OBJ              body  
 'I undressed'
- (149) *dzà'á mbá-mb-áf-mbá-mú*              *tá*              *vghá-\*mù*  
 FUT      cure-cure-UP-cure-1PL              OBJ              body-1PL  
 'we will recover'
- (150) *mb-áf-mbà*                      *tá*              *vghá-ní*  
 recover-UP-recover              OBJ              body-3SG  
 'he cured himself'
- (151) *mbá-f-mbà*  
 recover-UP-recover  
 'he recovered' (no implication of his control over the event)
- (152) *mb-áf-mbá-mú*  
 recover-UP-recover-1PL  
 'we recovered'

## 7.2. Co-referentiality of the subject and the dative

The co-referentiality of the subject and the is coded by the preposition *ngá* 'FOR' followed by pronouns coding the features person and number of the subject:

- (153) *drá-drà*              *ngá*              *ní*  
 burn-burn              FOR              3SG  
 'he burned it for himself'

The disjoint reference between the third-person singular subject and the dative argument is coded through the addition of the dative pronoun *ná* to the verb. The prepositional phrase with the third-person dative in such cases is optional, but if it occurs, its pronoun is co-referential with the dative pronoun affixed to the verb and not with the subject pronoun:

- (154) *drá-ná-drà*              (*ngá-ní*)  
 burn-DEM-burn              FOR-3SG  
 'he burned it for him'

## 7.3. Co-referentiality of the subject and the locative

The co-referentiality of the subject and the locative is coded by the form *vghá* ‘body’ preceded by a locative preposition:

- (155) *tà tsírá-kú tà vghá kà bgà tá*  
 IMPF defecate-ABS PREP body SEQ because COM  
*xàdú ntfán ngá nú’wá-f-tá m̀ndàrá-ní wà*  
 lack glue FOR close-UP-REF anus-3SG NEG  
 ‘he defecated on himself because there was no glue to close his anus’

- (156) *ngá sá-bà tsá m̀ndú yá jíbil ndá*  
 NORM go-OUT DEM man DEM outdoors ASSC  
*lgùt-á ngrá tà vghá-ní*  
 cloth-GEN black PREP body-3SG  
 ‘The man should come out wearing black clothes.’

## 8. Coding of the reciprocal function

The reciprocal function, viz. A acting on B and B acting on A, is marked by the verb followed by the plural subject and the noun *vghá* ‘body’ preceded by the object marker *tá*. The form *vghá* is not followed by a possessive pronoun:

- (157) *dzà’á gùy-éy-mú tá vghá màxtsím*  
 FUT meet-PO-1PL OBJ body tomorrow  
 ‘will we meet tomorrow?’

- (158) *dzà’á gùy-éy-kúní tá vghá màxtsím*  
 FUT meet-PO-2PL OBJ body tomorrow  
 ‘will you meet [each other] tomorrow?’

- (159) \**dzà’á gùy-éy-mú tá vghá-mú màxtsím*  
 FUT meet-PO-1PL OBJ body-1PL tomorrow  
 for ‘will we meet [each other] tomorrow?’

The reciprocal function coding by *vghá* came about through the grammaticalization of the exclusiveness of the event, i.e. its restriction to a group of plural participants. In the following example there is not what is generally assumed to be a reciprocal function, viz. A acting on B and B

acting on A, and yet the marker *vghá* is used, because it confines the activity to just the subject mentioned in the clause:

- (160) *tá* *tà* *tsk-áy-lú* *tá* *vghá* *tà*  
 COM IMPF gather-PO-UH OBJ body PREP  
*hldày* *mántsá* *ghàlyá* *rà*  
 often like once Q  
 ‘Do people gather as they used to?’

Once exclusiveness has been established, in subsequent sentences the marker *vghá* does not have to be repeated with the same verb. Thus the preceding example is followed in the conversation by the following sentences:

- (161) *tà* *tsk-áy-xèn* *dé* ← *dái* (Hau.)  
 IMPF gather-PO-3PL indeed  
 ‘They gather indeed.’

- (162) *tà* *tsk-áy-xèn* *wà*  
 IMPF gather-PO-3PL NEG  
 ‘They gather, don’t they?’

In purpose clauses the reciprocal marker *vghá* is not preceded by the object marker *tá*. It is followed by possessive pronouns:

- (163) *sá-ghà-sá-xèn* *dá* *kátá* *vghá-tán*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive-3PL PURP help body-3PL  
 ‘they came to help each other’

## 9. The applicative extension *vá*

The extension *vá* follows the verb stem with the vowel *a*. The verb before this extension has a low tone if the event is directed toward the subject, and it retains its underlying tone if the event is directed toward an object.

- (164) *zlghà-vá-zlgh-í* *tá* *nìslá-dá*  
 take-APPL-take-1SG OBJ gift-1SG  
 ‘I took my gift’ (for myself)

The applicative extension precedes locative extensions:

- (165) *dgà-vá-p-dgá-xèn*  
 divide-APPL-OUT-divide-3PL  
 'they divided themselves'

The function of the applicative extension is to code the event's occurring for the benefit of the subject. The evidence for this hypothesis is provided by the fact that the extension cannot be used if the event is for the benefit of persons other than the subject:

- (166) *zlghà-vá-zlgh-í tá nìslá-ní*  
 take-APPL-take-1SG OBJ gift-3SG  
 'I took his gift' (for myself)

Cf.:

- (167) *zlghà-f-zlgh-í tá nìslá-ní*  
 take-UP-take-1SG OBJ gift-3SG  
 'I took his gift'

- (168) *búkwá-vá-búkw-í tá lgùt*  
 cover-APPL-cover-1SG OBJ cloth  
 'I covered myself with a cloth'

The extension *vá* cannot be used if the beneficiary is other than the subject:

- (169) \**zl-í-dí-n-vá-zlá tá pìtsákw*  
 leave-AWAY-1SG-3-APPL-leave OBJ hoe  
 for 'he left me a hoe' (cf. ex. (178) below)

With verbs *zá* 'eat' and *sà* 'drink' the extension codes selfish eating and drinking:

- (170) *ndà-vá-ndà*  
 swallow-APPL-swallow  
 'he swallowed everything' (in a selfish way)

- (171) *zá-vá-zá tá dáfá*  
 eat-APPL-eat OBJ food  
 'he ate all the food'

- (172) *sà-vá-s-íyù tá ghzú*  
 drink-APPL-drink-1SG OBJ bilbil  
 'I drank all the beer'

When the extension *vá* is added to the verb *pghá* ‘pour’, the meaning is equivalent to “pour into oneself, drink”:

- (173) *pghá-vá-pgh-í*            *tá*        *ghzú*  
 pour-APPL-pour-1SG    OBJ    bilbil  
 ‘I drank a lot of beer’

Cf.:

- (174) *pgh-ì-n-pgh-í*            *tá*        *ghzú*  
 pour-AWAY-3-pour-1SG    OBJ    bilbil  
 ‘I poured the beer’

The applicative extension with intransitive verbs codes a limitation of the event to the subject only or to a certain time or effort:

- (175) *ndzdà-vá-tà*                            *zínká . . .*  
 spend time-APPL-REF:SUBJ    Zinga  
 ‘After Zinga has spent some time, . . .’

- (176) *ndzdà-vá-tà-dá*                        *kítikw . . .*  
 last-APPL-REF:SUBJ-1SG    small  
 ‘After spending some time, I . . .’

The verb *zlá* ‘leave’ requires the additional argument marker *ná* before the extension *vá*:

- (177) *kà*    *d'vá-úgh-tá*    *mákwà*    *tá*    *zváxw*  
 SEQ    like-SO-REF    girl            OBJ    bat  
*kà*    *zlá-ná-vá-tá*                        *kúkù*  
 SEQ    leave-DEM-APPL-REF    pigeon  
 ‘The girl chose the bat and left the pigeon’

If the beneficiary is other than the subject, a dative construction is used:

- (178) *zl-í-dî-n-zlá*                        *tá*        *pìtsákw*  
 leave-AWAY-1SG-3-leave    OBJ    hoe  
 ‘he left me a hoe’

### 9.1. Partial affectedness of the subject

The function of the extension *vá* is similar to the source-oriented marker *ú*. Both can occur with transitive verbs. The extension *vá* in such cases

codes partial affectedness of the subject, while the marker *ú* codes complete affectedness of the subject:

- (179) *blá-blà*  
break-break  
'he broke it'
- (180) *blà-vá-p-blà*  
break-APPL-OUT-break  
'this thing broke into two'
- (181) *bl-ú-blà*  
break-SO-break  
'it broke'
- (182) *bl-ú-blá*                    *tsá*    *fúyá*    *rì*  
break-SO-break    DEF    tree    Q  
*àrí blà-vá-p-ndá-blá*  
or break-APPL-OUT-ASSC-break  
'did this tree break completely or did it break partially?'

The difference between the affected argument coding through *vá* and the applicative coding is in the tone of the verb. If the event is performed for the benefit of the subject, the verb has a high tone. If the subject is affected only, the verb has a low tone:

- (183) *blá-vá-blá*  
break-APPL-break  
'he broke it for himself'
- (184) *drá-drà*  
burn-burn  
'he burned it'
- (185) *dr-ú-drà*  
burn-SO-burn  
'it burned'
- (186) *drá-vá-drá*  
burn-APPL-burn  
'he burned it for himself'

- (187) *drà-vá-drà*  
burn-APPL-burn  
'this thing burned a bit'

The unmarked argument of the verb *gìgìd* 'shake' is the controlling agent:

- (188) *gìgìdà-n-gìgìdá tá fú*  
shake-3-shake OBJ tree  
'he shook the tree'
- (189) *gìgìdà-n-gìgìdá fú tá hlxwá-xà-ní*  
shake-3-shake tree OBJ leaf-PL-3SG  
'the tree lost its leaves'

The verb must have the applicative suffix *vá* if the subject is affected:

- (190) *gìgìdà-vá-gìgìdá fú*  
shake-APPL-shake tree  
'the tree shook'
- (191) \**gìgìdà-gìgìdá fú*  
shake-shake tree  
for 'the tree shook'

Similarly with the verb *kdá* 'end':

- (192) *xàdík kùl xàdú kdà-vá-k-tà-ní*  
earth REL.NEG NEG end-APPL-INN-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
'the world that does not end'

The extension *vá* can be added to the verbal stem ending in *u* to indicate the partial affectedness of the subject:

- (193) *dr-ú-v-drà tá vghà-ní*  
burn-SO-APPL-burn OBJ body-3SG  
'he burned his body'

### 9.2. The applicative extension and the negative

If an inherently high-tone transitive verb with the applicative extension is followed by one argument in the negative clause and the verb has high



tone, the argument is construed as the object. If the verb has low tone, the argument is construed as subject, albeit a non-controlling one. The role of the extension *vá* is thus to code orientation toward the sole argument, the subject, whatever its semantic role might be. Moreover, the extension codes the non-affectedness of that argument. The extension *vá* in negative clauses is thus in contrast with the extension *ku*, which codes the affectedness of the object:

(194) *zà-vá ghùvázú wà*  
eat-APPL pig NEG  
'pig is not edible', 'one does not eat pork'

(195) *zá-vá ghùvázú wà*  
eat-APPL pig NEG  
'the pig did not eat all'

(196) *sà-vá ná ná ìmí ná wà*  
drink-APPL DEM DEM water DEM NEG  
'this water is not drinkable'

(197) *zà-vá yá hlú'wí yá wà*  
eat-APPL DEM meat DEM NEG  
'this meat is not edible'

Cf.:

(198) *tà zá-kú yá hlú'wí yá*  
IMPF eat-ABS DEM meat DEM  
'this meat is edible'

The inherently low-tone verbs stay low in both of these environments:

(199) *sà-vá à ìmá á wà*  
eat-APPL NEG water DEM NEG  
'the water is not drinkable'

(200) *sà-vá ghùvázú wà*  
eat-APPL pig NEG  
'the pig did not drink all'

The extension *vá* cannot be used with a negative clause containing both an agent and an object:

(201) *klá-gá-\**vá-ghà à tá hlihlik wà  
 take-INN-D:PVG NEG OBJ egg NEG  
 'he did not bring eggs'

(202) *tà t-áy-lú tá d'ali mà xilbá*  
 IMPF fetch-PO-UH OBJ sauce PREP pot  
 'the sauce is served in the *xilba* pot'

The applicative extension may be followed by the affected marker *u*. In such constructions, the applicative extension indicates a diminutive action, and the marker codes affectedness of the subject:

(203) *phlà-vá-úgh-phlá sígà*  
 break-APPL-SO-break pot  
 'the pot broke a little bit'

## 10. The inverse extension *s*

### 10.1. The form of the inverse extension

The inverse extension is marked by the consonant *s* and either the affected subject marker *u* or the movement-away marker *i* followed by the definite object marker *n*. The resulting underlying structure in the perfective is thus: Verb-*i/u-n-s*-Verb. Since all verbs begin with a consonant, a disallowed segmental sequence results: *n-s-C*. The movement-away marker *i* or the source-oriented marker *u* provides epenthetic vowels for syllabification between *n* and *s*:

(204) *más-ú-n-ú-s-másà tá rdí tà wùbisím*  
 apply-SO-3-EP-INV-apply OBJ ointment PREP mouth  
 'he<sub>1</sub> put the ointment on his<sub>2</sub> mouth'

(205) *más-í-n-í-s-másà tá rdí tà wùbisím*  
 apply-AWAY-3-EP-INV-apply OBJ ointment PREP mouth  
 'he<sub>1</sub> wiped off the ointment from his<sub>2</sub> mouth'

The coding of the pronominal object as benefactive rather than direct is accomplished through the following tonal changes: With monosyllabic verbs the tone on the verb is low for the direct object but high for the benefactive. The tone on the epenthetic vowel preceding the marker *-s* is high for the direct object and low for the benefactive:

- (206) *dz-ù-dú-s-dzà*  
hit-SO-1SG-INV-hit  
'he hit me once'
- (207) *dz-ú-dù-s-dzá*  
hit-SO-1SG-INV-hit  
'he hit for me once'
- (208) *dz-ù-d-dzà*  
hit-SO-1SG-hit  
'he hit me'
- (209) *dz-ù-ghú-s-dzà*  
hit-SO-2SG-INV-hit  
'he slapped you once'
- (210) *dz-ú-ghù-s-dzá*  
hit-SO-2SG-INV-hit  
'he slapped for you'

With polysyllabic verbs, when the tone of the second syllable of the verb is fixed, the distinction between direct and benefactive object is coded by the tone on the epenthetic vowel preceding *s*: high for the direct object, and low for the benefactive function:

- (211) *hlingwí-n-ís-hlingwá*  
push-3-INV-push  
'he pushed him'
- (212) *hlingw-í-n-ì-s-hlingwá*  
push-AWAY-3-INV-push  
'he pushed it for him'
- (213) *hlingw-í-dí-s-hlingwá*  
push-AWAY-1SG-INV-push  
'he pushed me'
- Cf.:
- (214) *hlingw-í-dî-s-hlingwá*  
push-AWAY-1SG-INV-push  
'he pushed for me'

## 10.2. The functions of the inverse extension

The extension *s* indicates that the pronominal object that follows the verb has a function different from the one that would be assigned to the pronoun by the unmarked form of the verb. Thus, the arguments occurring with the inverse extension do not all have the same relationship to the verb, but rather, with different verbs they have different relationships. Accordingly, the description that follows lists some of the functions of the inverse extension observed in texts and in elicited data. The markers *u* or *i* that are required by the inverse extension are added to the verb or they precede the object pronoun suffixed to the verb.

With intransitive verbs the object is not the causee but rather indirectly affected, i.e., the action of the subject indirectly affects the object:

- (215) *xwáyá-gù-dú-dú-s-xwáyá*  
 run-INN-ALL-1SG-run  
 ‘it escaped from me’, ‘it ran out on me’ (e.g. a goat taken to the market)

Verbs that take inanimate objects, such as *sà* ‘drink’ and *zá* ‘eat’, can have pronominal objects added if the verbs have the inverse extension. Such constructions are the causative constructions for transitive verbs. The pronoun marked by the extension *s* is the causee of the event:

- (216) *kà s-ù-dú-s-tá-xèn*  
 SEQ drink-SO-1SG-INV-REF-3PL  
 ‘they made me drink’

- (217) *z-ú-m-ú-s-zá-xèn*  
 eat-SO-1PL.INCL-SO-INV-eat-3PL  
 ‘they made us eat’

- (218) *s-ù-ghú-s-s-í*  
 drink-SO-2SG-INV-drink-1SG  
 ‘I made you drink’

One cannot add a human object pronoun to such verbs without the inverse extension:

- (219) *\*sà-ghá-s-í*  
 drink-2SG-drink-1SG  
 for ‘I made you drink’

The object of the verb with the inverse extension is coded by the preposition *tá*:

- (220) *kà s-ù-dú-s-tá-xèn tá ghwání*  
 SEQ drink-SO-1SG-INV-REF-3PL OBJ medicine  
 ‘they made me drink the medicine’

If the causee is a full noun or an independent pronoun, the verb has to have a pronominal suffix coding the features person and number of the object noun phrase. The tone before the pronominal suffix coding the instigator of the event, the causer, is low rather than high, as is usually the case before the controlling subjects:

- (221) *s-ù-ŋn-ú-s-sà-xèn tá ìmí tá*  
 drink-SO-1PL.EXCL-INV-drink-3PL OBJ water OBJ  
*áŋní ndá zwán ngá má-dá*  
 1PL.EXCL ASSC child FOR mother-1SG  
 ‘they made me and a friend of mine [lit. ‘my brother’] drink water’

- (222) *s-ù-mú-s-sà-xèn tá ìmí tá*  
 drink-SO-1PL.INCL-INV-drink-3PL OBJ water FOR  
*ámú ndá kághá*  
 1PL.INCL ASSC 2SG  
 ‘they made us drink water’

If the third-person singular object pronoun *n* is used, the inverse marker *s* gets deleted when followed by another continuant:

- (223) *s-ù-n-s-í tá skwì*  
 drink-SO-3-drink-1SG OBJ thing  
 ‘I made him drink’

- (224) *s-ù-ghú-s-s-íyù tá ìmí*  
 drink-SO-2SG-INV-drink-1SG OBJ water  
 ‘I made you drink water’

The verb *hlingwá* ‘get pushed around’ is inherently an intransitive verb. Its unmarked form contains the source-oriented marker *u*:

- (225) *hling-ú-hlingwà*  
 push around-SO-push around  
 ‘that thing got pushed out’

If another argument is to be added, the verb requires the movement-away marker *í* followed by appropriate pronouns: *d* for the first singular, *gh* for the second, and *n* for the third person. The addition of a new argument requires high tone on the verb:

(226) *hlingw-í-d-hlingwá*  
 push-AWAY-1SG-push  
 'he pushed me'

(227) *hlingw-í-gh-hlingwá*  
 push-away-2-push  
 'he pushed you'

(228) *hlingw-í-n-hlingwá*  
 push-AWAY-3-push  
 'he pushed him'

(229) *hlingw-í-n-hlingwá tá mbítsá*  
 push-AWAY-3-push OBJ Mbitsa  
 'he pushed Mbitsa'

Addition of the inverse extension *s* changes the role of the pronominal object from direct object to benefactive:

(230) *hlingw-í-n-ìs-hlingwá*  
 push-AWAY-3-INV-push  
 'he pushed him for him'

(231) *hlingw-í-dî-s-hlingwá*  
 push-AWAY-1SG-INV-push  
 'he pushed for me'

Consider now the verb *dzà'á* 'go', which can have object pronouns added only if it has the inverse marker *s*:

- (232) *xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wà kà*  
 call-3-REF-1SG OBJ lion NEG COND  
*dz-ù-gù-dú-dú-s-dzá kághá ná*  
 go-SO-INN-ALL-1SG-INV-go 2SG COMP  
*xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wò ká-’á*  
 call-3-REF-1SG OBJ lion NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“I will not invite Lion. If you come there for me, I will not invite  
 Lion,” he said.’

The inverse extension also codes the indirect affectedness of the object for verbs whose object pronouns would otherwise be interpreted as being directly affected:

- (233) *ld-í-ghì-s-ldá tá xgà*  
 destroy-AWAY-2SG-INV-destroy OBJ house  
 ‘he destroyed your house’

The inverse function can alter the lexical meaning of the verb. When the inverse extension is added to the verb *dzá* ‘kill’, the extension changes the meaning to ‘hit’:

- (234) *dz-ù-mú-s-dzá-xèn*  
 hit-SO-1PL.INCL-INV-hit-3PL  
 ‘they hit us’

The use of the unmarked form of the verb would give a somewhat improbable utterance:

- (235) *dzà-má-dzá-xèn*  
 hit-1PL.INCL-hit-3PL  
 ‘they killed us’

With the verb *mná* ‘say’, the extension *s* does not change the function of the preceding subject, but rather changes the function of the unmarked object. The verb does not mean “tell something” but rather “tell about something”:

- (236) *mn-ú-dú-s-mná* cf. *mn-íxà-mná kítikw*  
 tell-SO-1SG-INV-tell tell-1SG-tell a little  
 ‘tell me about it’ ‘tell me something’

- (237) *mn-ú-n-ús-mnà tá púrkútú ndzúm*  
 tell-SO-3-INV-tell OBJ story  
*tá ngwáyá*  
 OBJ Ngwaya  
 'he told Ngwaya part of a story'

Similarly with the verbs *vlá* 'to give', the inverse extension indicates that the recipient has received only some of the objects mentioned:

- (238) *vl-ú-ú-s-vlá tá kóbù*  
 give-SO-1DU-INV-give OBJ money  
 'he gave us (DU) some money'

Cf.:

- (239) *vl-ú-ú-vlá tá kóbù*  
 give-SO-DU-give OBJ money  
 'he gave us (DU) money' (underspecified amount)

The use of the inverse extension does not prevent the coding of the distinction between benefactive and direct object through tonal means. High tone on the third-person marker *n* codes dative/benefactive function, low tone codes direct object:

- (240) *ghùn-í-n-í-s-ghùnà*  
 send-AWAY-3-EP-INV-send  
 'he sent him away for good'

- (241) *ghùn-í-n-ì-s-ghùná*  
 send-AWAY-3-EP-INV-send  
 'he sent somebody for him'

In sum, the extension *s* alters the relationship between verbs and arguments, without explicitly coding which characteristic is involved.

## 11. The system of partitive extensions

Three extensions cannot occur with each other: *á*, *η*, and *ghú*. Since there is nothing with respect to their phonological structure that would prevent the cooccurrence, the constraint indicates that the functions may belong to the same semantic subdomain, where they are mutually exclusive. We propose that the extension *η* codes an attempt at accomplishing the event, the extension *á* codes partial effect on the object or the benefit of subject,



and the extension *ghú* codes that only part of the whole was affected or separated from the whole.

## 12. The partitive extension á

The extension *á* has the same phonological form as the plural marker *á*, but it is added to the verb theme, i.e. the verb followed by its thematic vowel, rather than inserted after the first consonant of the verb, as is the case with the plural marker *á*. With an affected object the extension *á* indicates that only part of the object is affected. Evidence for the proposed value of the extension is provided by its contrast with the unmarked form of the verb. The extension behaves phonologically like a direct object in that the verb that precedes it has low tone:

- (242) *xnà-á-xnà tá hlú'wí ndá mángá*  
 cut-PART-cut OBJ meat ASSC knife  
 'he cut a piece of meat with a knife'

Cf.:

- (243) *xná-xná mbítsá ndá mángá*  
 cut-cut Mbitsa ASSC knife  
 'Mbitsa slaughtered it with a knife'

If the verb is reduplicated for plural coding, the extension *á* is added after the first reduplicated theme:

- (244) *ghwá-á-ghwà-ná-f-ghwá-ghwá mbítsá*  
 cut-PART-cut-DEM-UP-cut-cut Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa slaughtered some of them'

With the plural object, the extension indicates that only some members of the plural set are affected, as in (244). With the singular object, the extension indicates that the event applies to only part of the object:

- (245) *ndzďà-vá-tà-ďá kítikw mándá*  
 last-APPL-REF:SUBJ-1SG small after  
*sà-á-tà-ďá t-ím-á tsá*  
 drink-PART-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ-water-GEN DEF  
*ghwání yá kà vníx-í tá vníxí*  
 medicine DEM SEQ vomit-1SG OBJ vomit  
 'Some time after I drank some water of this remedy, I vomited'

Additional evidence for the partitive function of *á* is provided by the fact that the extension cannot be used with the adverb *démdém* ‘all’, because it would result in internal contradiction:

- (246) *sà-á-sà*                    *t-ími*            \**démdém*  
 drink-PART-drink    OBJ-water    all  
 ‘he drank some water’

The partitive extension indicates that only part of the object is affected:

- (247) *mbàd*    *ká*            *pákáwá*    *ghúvì*    *kà*            *klà-á-tá*            *vàrà*  
 then    COMP    hyena                    SEQ            take-PART-REF            beans  
 ‘and Hyena took some beans’

### 13. Locative arguments

#### 13.1. Prepositions *dá* and *dà*

The term *locative argument* refers to arguments of verbs of movement. These arguments are coded by the prepositions *dá* or *dà*. The preposition with the high tone, *dá*, is used when the following noun is a place name or another inherent locative. The form with the low tone, *dà*, is used when the following noun is not a place name or an inherent locative:

- (248) *lá-xà-dá*            *dá*            *xdí . . .*  
 go-DOWN-1SG PREP    Hdi  
 ‘Having gone to Hdi I . . .’

- (249) *dàgà*                    *rvéréè*, *dàgà*                    *gwi’yán*            *kà*  
 CONJ (Hau.)    lion            CONJ (Hau.)    elephant            SEQ  
*ddà-dá-tá-xèn*            *dà*            *vú*            *mà*            *xàdík*  
 fall-ALL-REF-3PL PREP    fire            PREP    ground  
 ‘Lion and Elephant fell into the fire in the ground.’ (Instead of *dàgà* the associative preposition *ndá* can be used.)

Unlike in many other Chadic languages, human and animate locatives are not marked by any special means. They align with other nouns, but not with toponyms, in that they are preceded by low tone *dà*:

- (250) *kà lá-ghá-tsí dà ùvá*  
 SEQ go-D:PVG-3SG PREP cat  
 ‘and he went to Cat.’

In the discussion that follows (but not in the examples) the two variants of the preposition are represented by the toneless form *da*.

The preposition *da* codes movement to or from a place. The distinction of the direction of movement is coded by the inherent properties of verbs of movement, which have the direction as a part of their meaning. The verb *lá* means “to go to” and the verb *sá* means “to arrive”. The spatial orientation of the goal is coded by locative extensions added to the verb. In the following example the verb *lá* ‘go to’ is followed by the extension *f* indicating movement up:

- (251) *tà xúlá tsá mántsá kà lá-f-í dá*  
 PREP back DEF then SEQ go-UP-1SG PREP  
*mókólò*  
 Mokolo  
 ‘Afterwards I went to Mokolo.’

If the verb is inherently non-directional, e.g. *xwáyá* ‘run, escape’, the directionality is coded by use of a directional verb:

- (252) *mbàd' ká-'á kà xwáyá-úgh-tà lá-ghú*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ run-SO-REF go-D:SO  
*dà zwán-ì*  
 PREP child-PL  
 ‘Then he fled and he went to his children.’ (The high tone on *zwán* indicates that the children are not referential, i.e. mentioned before in the clause.)

- (253) *bàdú pghù dzà'á hlí'yá-f-hlí'yá màràkw kà*  
 day initiation FUT get up-UP-get up woman SEQ  
*lá-ugh-í dà ládá-ní*  
 go-SO-REF PREP woman's family-3SG  
 ‘On the day of the initiation the wife will get up and go to her parents.’

Another means of coding the directionality of movement is through the use of extensions, more specifically the distal extension *gh* followed by either the source-oriented marker *u* (coding the direction from) or the point of view of goal marker *a* (coding the direction to). In the following

example the distal cum source-oriented extension *ghú* follows the non-directional verb *klá* ‘take’.

- (254) *tà xúlá tsá mántsá kà d'áwà-ú-tá kúkù*  
 PREP back DEF that SEQ ask-SO-REF pigeon  
*tá índà xùzlà-xà-ní klá-ghú-tsí dà tsì*  
 OBJ all good-PL-3SG take-D:SO-3SG from 3SG  
 ‘Afterwards the pigeon asked for all his things that the bat had taken from him.’

The locative argument of the verb *skwá* ‘buy’ is the source rather than the goal:

- (255) *skwá-skwà tá hlà dà mbítsá*  
 buy-buy OBJ cow PREP Mbitsa  
 ‘he bought a cow from Mbitsa’

No locative preposition is used when the word *dzághà* ‘home’ is the goal. The word *dzághà* seems to be used only when the home in question belongs to the subject:

- (256) *kà wà-dá-p tá tsá v'àrà yá dzághà*  
 SEQ take.PL-ALL-OUT OBJ DEF beans DEM home  
 ‘they brought the beans home’

The preposition *da* can also code locative arguments of non-movement verbs, such as the verb ‘to look’:

- (257) *yàgh-ká dá ñgh-ú dà sígà*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot  
 ‘do not look inside the pot’

### 13.2. Spatial specifiers *dìstá* ‘inside’ and *mìstá* ‘under, behind’

They behave in a way different from prepositions in that they are followed by possessive pronouns:

- (258) *sá-ghà-sá mìstá-dá*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive under-1SG  
 ‘come follow me’



The extension *vá* codes partial affectedness of the subject and to a large extent is a counterpart of the marker *u* that codes the complete affectedness of the subject or the event with the subject as beneficiary.

The inverse extension *s* indicates that the object has a semantic relationship different from the one it would have with the inherent characteristics of the verb.

The marker *kú* codes that the subject of the clause is affected. The partitive marker *á* codes partial affectedness of the object.

The locative preposition *da* (with different tones, depending on the inherent properties of nouns) codes movement with unspecified directionality. The direction of the movement is coded by the inherent properties of verbs and/or locative extensions. Prepositions are also used to code the associative, oblique object, dative/benefactive, and instrumental. Some of these coding functions may also be performed by extensions.

## Chapter 8

### Extensions coding the manner of an event

#### 1. Introduction

Affixes to the verb may code a number of domains, not including the coding of person, and number of arguments. We refer to those affixes as “extensions” in accordance with terminology used in African (and Chadic) linguistics. In the present chapter three extensions are described: the “do again” extension, the “tentative” extension, and the “associative” extension.

#### 2. “Do again” extension *gl*

The extension *gl*, if not followed by a vocalic morpheme, is realized as [gl] or [gól], depending on local syllabification conditions. The extension is added directly to the verbal root:

- (1) *sə-gl-s-í*  
drink-AGAIN-drink-1SG  
'I drank again'
- (2) *zə-gl-z-í*  
eat-AGAIN-eat-1SG  
'I ate again'
- (3) *kà mäsə-gl-tá-tsí*  
SEQ apply ointment-AGAIN-REF-3SG  
'and he was again applying the ointment'

The addition of this extension to the verbal root followed by the goal-oriented marker *a* results in an ungrammatical construction:

- (4) \**zá-glá-ná-ghá-z-í*  
eat-AGAIN-DEM-D:PVG-eat-1SG  
for 'I ate again'

The marker *gl* is followed by the goal-oriented marker *á* if there is a referential marker or a locative extension following. If there is a locative extension, the tone on the point-of-view-of-goal marker *a* is high:

- (5) *másó-gl-á-f-másá*  
 apply ointment-AGAIN-PVG-UP-apply ointment  
 ‘he again applied ointment’
- (6) *sò-gl-à-ghá-s-í*  
 drink-AGAIN-D:PVG-drink-1SG  
 ‘I drank again and went’
- (7) *kà ló-gl-á-p-tsí kà má hìs*  
 SEQ go-AGAIN-PVG-OUT-3SG SEQ PREP two  
 ‘when he went for the second time’

The additional argument marker *ná* can be added. The tone of the goal-oriented marker *a* is low if it is an object:

- (8) *má tà vr-è-gl-à-ná-f-vrá-lú tá mág-áy*  
 how return-AGAIN-PVG-DEM-UP-return-UH OBJ make-PO  
*ká-’á*  
 COMP-3SG  
 ‘“how will it be redone?” he said’
- (9) *sò-gl-à-ná-ghá-s-í*  
 drink-AGAIN-PVG-DEM-D:PVG-drink-1SG  
 ‘I drank something else again and went’
- (10) *zò-gl-á-ná-ghá-z-í*  
 eat-AGAIN-PVG-DEM-D:PVG-eat-1SG  
 ‘I ate again’

Compare the same verb without the “do again” extension:

- (11) *zá-ná-ghá-z-í*  
 eat-DEM-D:PVG-eat-1SG  
 ‘I ate in addition the food mentioned before’

The “do again” extension is also added to the verbal root with the plural form of the verb, which for monoconsonantal verbs must be reduplicated:



- (12) *zá-zó-glá-ná-ghá-zá-z-í*  
 eat-eat-AGAIN-DEM-D:PVG-eat-eat-1SG  
 'I added many things and ate them all'

In negative clauses with the “do again” extension the scope of negation is the notion “again”, meaning that the event was not repeated:

- (13) *sə-gəl-tà-dá*            *gá*        *màxtsím-à-ní*  
 drink-AGAIN-REF-1SG PREP next day-GEN-3SG  
*vníxí-glà*        *á*        *t-íí*        *wà*  
 vomit-AGAIN NEG OBJ-1SG NEG  
 'After I drank again the next day, I did not vomit anymore'  
 (lit. 'it did not vomit me anymore')

- (14) *tà*        *xúlá*    *fitúk*    *mkú*    *snə-gl-í*            *tá*        *skwì*  
 PREP back time six feel-AGAIN-1SG OBJ thing  
*tá*        *kùzl-íxà-tà*    *mà*        *ndzùgù*    *mà*        *ndzùgù*    *yá*  
 COM ache-1SG-REF in joints PREP joints DEM  
*wà*  
 NEG  
 'After six days I did not feel anymore that thing that made me ache  
 me in the joints' (lit. 'that ate me in the joints')

- (15) *kà*        *ló-glá-p-tsi*                    *kà*        *má*        *hìs*  
 SEQ go-AGAIN-OUT-3SG like PREP two  
 'and he went out for the second time'

The source of grammaticalization of the “do again” extension is most probably the verb *glá* ‘grow, be numerous’.

### 3. Tentative extension *n, ŋ*

The tentative extension codes an attempt to accomplish the event. The definite marker *n*, realized as *ŋ* before velar consonants, has acquired the function of the tentative extension, or the marker of a non-accomplished event. The evidence that this marker is in fact identical with the third-person definite marker added to verbs is provided by several facts: (1) It can occur only with transitive verbs and with those intransitive verbs of movement that may include distance covered as their object. (2) The tone of the verb before the tentative extension is low, as it is before object pronouns. (3) Many clauses are inherently ambiguous in isolation:

- (16) *sà-n-s-í*  
 drink-3-drink-1SG  
 'I tried to drink it' and 'I drank it'
- (17) *xwáyà-n-xwáyà tá xwáyá*  
 run-3-run OBJ race  
 'he tried to run the race' and 'he ran the race'

The marker cannot occur with intransitive verbs that code point of view of source (affected subject):

- (18) \**fú-n-fá*  
 for 'it tried to heat'

Once the goal-oriented marker is added, the marker *n* can be used as well:

- (19) *fwà-n-fwà*  
 heat:PVG-TENT-heat:PVG  
 'he tried to heat it' or 'he heated it'

The identity of the definite marker and the tentative extension marker is further supported by the fact that the two cannot cooccur.

Additional evidence that the tentative and definite markers are the same is provided by the fact that if there is no cognate object, the clause with the marker *n* can be interpreted as involving a definite object:

- (20) *dzángà-η-á-í kďá wà*  
 learn-TENT-NEG-1SG last year NEG  
 'I did not try to study last year' or 'I did not study it last year'
- (21) *xnà-η-xn-í kítikw*  
 slaughter-TENT-slaughter-1SG little  
 'I tried to slaughter a little' or 'I slaughtered it a little'

The tentative extension can occur with the plural object. Were the *n* to represent here a definite specific object, it could not occur with the third-person plural object:

- (22) *xánà-η-xán-í tá xən*  
 slaughter:PL-TENT-slaughter:PL-1SG OBJ 3PL  
 'I tried to slaughter them' (I did not slaughter any)

The scope of negation is the extension, not the verb:

- (23) *dzángà-ŋ-á-í tá dzángá kǎá wà*  
 learn-TENT-NEG-1SG OBJ learn last year NEG  
 ‘I did not try to study last year.’

One of the functions of the tentative extension is to code that the aim of the event has not been achieved:

- (24) *xgà-n-xg-íyù*  
 call-TENT-call-1SG  
 ‘I tried to call him’

- (25) *xgà-g-xg-íyù*  
 call-INN-call-1SG  
 ‘I called him’ (and he came)

What remains to be explained is how the definite marker came to acquire the tentative meaning.

#### 4. Associative extension *ndá*

The extension *ndá* is formally identical with the associative marker and the stative marker. We gloss this extension as ASSC so as to maintain the connection with the associative preposition *ndá*. The extension is added after object pronouns, if any. The role of the extension is to code the semantic role of an adjunct as a topic of conversation.

The extension marks the presence of the topic of verbs of mental activity, e.g. “think about”, “find out about”, “remember”, “recall”.

A verb with the extension *ndá* may be followed by a clausal complement:

- (26) *dúkwá-f-ndá-dúkwá mbítsá tá*  
 realize-UP-ASSC-realize Mbitsa OBJ  
*zà-ná-p-tà-ní tá pítsákw-á-ní*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-REF-3SG OBJ hoe-GEN-3SG  
 ‘Mbitsa realized that he forgot his hoe’

A verb with the associative extension *ndá* may be followed by a prepositional phrase with the preposition *tà*. The associative marker on the verb codes the semantic role of the object of the prepositional phrase:

- (27) *dúkwá-f-ndá-dúkwá mbítsá tà pìtsákw-á-ní*  
 realize-UP-ASSC-realize Mbitsa PREP hoe-GEN-3SG  
 ‘Mbitsa has found out about his hoe’
- (28) *grá-f-ndá-grá mbítsá tá*  
 measure-UP-ASSC-measure Mbitsa OBJ  
*zà-ná-p-tà-ní tá pìtsákw-á-ní*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-REF-3SG OBJ hoe-GEN-3SG  
 ‘Mbitsa realized that he forgot his hoe’

Another function of the associative extension is the coding of circumstances related to the object:

- (29) *hlà-ná-ndá-hl-í tà ghálí*  
 find-DEM-ASSC-find-1SG PREP stealing  
 ‘I found him stealing’ (i.e., he was stealing)
- (30) *táwà-ná-ndá-táw-í tà nzà-kú*  
 find-DEM-ASSC-find-1SG PREP seat-ABS  
 ‘I found him sitting’ (he was sitting)

The object of the verb with extension *ndá* may be coded by the associative *ndá* rather than by the object marker *tá*:

- (31) *grá-f-ndá-grá tsá dzvá-dá yá ndá*  
 measure-UP-ASSC-measure DEF hand-1SG DEM ASSC  
*pìtsákw-á-dá*  
 hoe-GEN-1SG  
 ‘I found my hoe by chance’

## 5. “Also” extension *xà*

There is an extension *xà* that is phonologically identical with the downward-movement extension *xà*, but that occurs only with transitive verbs. The function of this extension is “do X with respect to Y also” and its scope is the object. Compare the following sentence where there is no extension *xà* in the first clause, but there is one in the second clause. In fact, the extension *xà* cannot be added to the first clause, and it cannot be omitted from the second clause:

- (32) *kà hlí'yá-f-tá zvàxw kà d'áwá-f-tá ntfàn*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF bat SEQ ask-UP-REF glue  
*d'áwá-f-xà-tá d'àwrà*  
 ask-UP-ALSO-REF cloth  
 'The bat left and asked for glue and also for clothing'
- (33) *d'áwá-f-xà-tá múdúbí d'áwá-f-xà-tá*  
 ask-UP-ALSO-REF eyeglasses (Hau.) ask-UP-ALSO-REF  
*ǎǎbàx ngá dzà'á dà mákwà*  
 shoes FOR go PREP girl  
 'He also asked for eyeglasses and shoes in order to go to a girl.'

## 6. Conclusions

Three extensions, the “do again” extension *gl*, the tentative extension *n*, and the associative extension *ndá*, code the manner in which an event is accomplished. The extension *xà* ‘ALSO’, phonologically identical with the downward-movement extension *xà* and the plural marker following nouns, codes new information in addition to that provided earlier. These extensions cannot occur with each other but they may occur with other inflectional markers on the verb, in particular, with various types of verbal stems.



# Chapter 9

## Adjuncts

### 1. Introduction

All adjuncts other than inherent lexical adverbs are marked by a preposition. Unlike the object, which is also marked by a preposition, nominal adjuncts do not lose their prepositional markers when they are in a position different from that in a pragmatically neutral clause. In this chapter we describe the coding of the locative, manner, time, reason, and instrumental. The unmarked position for all adjuncts is sentence final, but they can be moved to other positions for various pragmatic functions. Adverbial phrases of time may be marked by the same prepositions that mark locative phrases, but they may also be marked by the associative preposition *ndá*. The syntax of adverbial phrases of time is quite different from the syntax of locative expressions.

### 2. The oblique argument

A clause may have, in addition to an object, another argument following the object whose function is to serve as a comment on the object and perhaps as a comment on event. Such an argument is marked by the preposition *kà* 'as, like':

- (1) *klá-f-klà*      *kà m̀ràkw*  
take-UP-take    as wife  
'he took her as his wife'
- (2) *klá-f-klà*      *tá*      *pghìntà*      *kà m̀ràkw*  
take-UP-take    OBJ    Phinta      as wife  
'he took Phinta as his wife'

The oblique argument is used when one object is transformed into another. The final product is coded as oblique:

- (3) *kà d-ù-tà kà ghzú*  
 SEQ cook-SO-REF as beer  
 'and she transformed it into beer'
- (4) *d-ù kà d'álí*  
 cook-SO as sauce  
 'she made a sauce out of it'
- (5) *kà tsùà-gá-f-tá-tsí tàa, tàa, tàa, tá rverè*  
 SEQ pull-INN-UP-REF-3SG OBJ OBJ OBJ OBJ lion  
*kà xná-tà kà xútá ngá dzà'á skál-á-ní*  
 SEQ cut-REF as hide FOR go dance-GEN-3SG  
 'He pulled up Lion [and] slaughtered him for the skin so that he  
 could go to the dance with [it (the skin)].' (*xútá* 'skin' and also loin  
 garment made from skin)

Compare *xútá* as object:

- (6) *kà xná-tà xútá*  
 SEQ cut-REF skin  
 'and he cut the skin'

The oblique argument marking is also used to code the names of people and things:

- (7) *tsá sá-fâ-tán yá gúlí ná,*  
 DEF arrive-UP-3PL DEM also DEM  
*hlà-ná-ghá-hlá-xèŋ tá mndrá mndú-xà tà*  
 find-DEM-D:SO-find-3PL OBJ clan man-PL IMPF  
*xgè-lú kà Gùdálù.*  
 call-UH as Gudalu  
 'Also when they came, they found a clan that was called Gudalu.'

### 3. The instrumental adjunct

The instrumental adjunct is marked by the associative preposition *ndá*. The adjunct follows the arguments:

- (8) *ndá xná hlà dà mbítsá ndá mángá*  
 STAT slaughter cow PREP Mbitsa ASSC knife  
 'the cow is slaughtered by Mbitsa with a knife'



- (9) *kà* *gì* *lá-xá* *xdi-xà* *dá* *gùyá* *xəŋ*  
 SEQ immediately go-DOWN Hdi-PL PURP meet 3PL  
*ndá* *lmú* *ndá* *ghòdzì* *ndá* *lghéd*,  
 ASSC war ASSC quiver ASSC bow  
 ‘And Xdi immediately went to meet them with war, with quiver,  
 with bow’

The associative phrase may be reduplicated. The reduplication:  
 associative has a distributive function:

- (10) *ndá* *zwán* *ndá* *zwán* *tà* *xùlá-tán*,  
 ASSC child ASSC child PREP back-3PL  
*ndá* *ùdzú* *ndá* *ùdzú* *mà* *dzvá-tán* *ká-'á*.  
 ASSC wood ASSC wood PREP hand-3PL COMP-3SG  
 ‘“Everybody has a child on her back and a piece of wood in her  
 hand,” he said.’

#### 4. The locative adjunct

In pragmatically unmarked clauses, all locative adjuncts occur in clause-final position. They can be moved to clause-initial position for focusing or topicalization. Some lexical items are inherently locative adjuncts. These are unmarked, except by the position at the end of the clause. Other locative adjuncts are marked by prepositions.

##### 4.1. Inherently locative adverbs

Inherently locative adverbs are derived from *xàd* ‘place’, followed by one of several demonstrative markers:

- (11) *xàd ná* ‘here’  
*xàd yá* [xàd yá] ‘here, proximate’  
*xàdà á* [xàdà á] ‘there, anaphoric’

Such expressions follow the verb and are not marked by a preposition. They may, however, be modified by a prepositional phrase:

- (12) *lá-m-là dífâ-úgh-tà xàdì yá,*  
 go-IN-go hide-SO-REF place DEM  
*mà tùghwázàk xàd yá bá*  
 PREP hibiscus place DEM RESP  
 ‘“Go hide yourself here, in the hibiscus, in this very place”.’

The adverb *xàd* may be preceded by prepositions that function as spatial specifiers, situating an object or event with respect to a place:

- (13) *lá-mà pákává ghúvì dá xàdà mà tùghwázàk*  
 go-IN hyena PREP here PREP hibiscus  
*kà hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí tá krì*  
 SEQ find-DEM-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ dog  
 ‘When Hyena entered the hibiscus, he found Dog.’

The form *xàdà á*, which includes the remote demonstrative, functions as a locative anaphor, i.e. as a marker referring to a location mentioned earlier in discourse. This marker is opposed to the marker *xàd yá*, which has a deictic function, pointing to a place near the speaker. Compare the usage of the two forms in the following example. (The first locative expression used is *xàd yá* ‘here’. When the same location is referred to later in the sentence, the form *xàdà-á* is used.)

- (14) *sá-ghà pákává ghúvì ndzdâ-vá-tà pákává ghúvì*  
 arrive-D:PVG hyena last-APPL-REF hyena  
*tà xvá ká yàghí mántsá lá-m-là*  
 PREP work COMP squirrel COMP go-IN-go  
*dá xàd yá bá màá skwì dzà’á*  
 PREP here DEM please exist thing go  
*hlà-ná-ghá-tà-ká xàdà ká-’á*  
 find-DEM-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ-2SG here COMP-3SG  
*ndá pákává ghúvì*  
 ASSC hyena  
 ‘When Hyena came, and after he worked for some time, Squirrel told him: “Go in there, won’t you, there is something that you are going to find there,” he told Hyena.’

4.2. The preposition *tà*

The preposition *tà* marks the locative adjunct without any specification of spatial relationships. The preposition *tà* cannot be replaced by *da* in adjunct phrases:

- (15) *màgá-lú kà dá tsghá tsá v àrà yá*  
 before-UH SEQ PREP send DEF beans DEM  
*tà/\*dà wùbú*  
 PREP small granary  
 ‘Before sending the beans up to the granary’
- (16) *mbàd'ká-xèn tà má kà hl'í tsghá v àrà tà*  
 then-3PL now SEQ go put up beans PREP  
*wùbú*  
 small granary  
 ‘Now they went to put the beans into the granary.’
- (17) *kí vli tà bèrék*  
 how place PREP Berek  
 ‘How are things at Berek?’ (Berek ← barrack [Eng.] administrative quarter of town)

The preposition *tà* may occur before a noun in clauses that imply a directional locative complement. But directionality is coded by the distal extension *gh* with the source-oriented marker *u*. The preposition *tà* does not code directionality but rather a locative adjunct.

- (18) *lá-ghú-lá mbítsá tà lúmá gá sèrd'ák*  
 go-D:SO-go Mbitsa PREP market PREP morning  
 ‘Mbitsa left for the market this morning’
- (19) *wàá lá-ghw-í tà lúwá*  
 there go-D:SO-REF PREP sky  
 ‘there, it went into the sky’ (about birds, airplanes)

4.3. The preposition *gà* ‘inner space’

The preposition *gà* ‘inner space’ is used as the sole locative marker with stative locative verbs. It locates the event or object within the deictic center or as directed toward or from inside the deictic center. The deictic center,

however, is not an enclosed space. It may be a compound, a group of people, a country, or a market. The deictic center for the preposition *gà* cannot be a pot, a bush, or the like.

(20) *xád ìm gà xdí wù kó*  
 NEG water in Hdi NEG Q (Hau.)  
 ‘So, there are no rains in Hdi?’

(21) *tá dghwáná vli gà xdí*  
 COM be well area PREP Hdi  
 ‘Is everything fine in Hdi?’

The preposition *gà* can be used with a human plural argument:

(22) *xàdú gà íṣnì wù*  
 not to be PREP 1PL.EXCL NEG  
 ‘she is not with us’

When the preposition occurs with a human or animate noun, it refers to the home of the human or animate, not to the body:

(23) *tò, kà hlíyá-f-tá-tsí gà vázák*  
 well, SEQ leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP rooster  
 ‘Well, he left [the house] of the rooster’

#### 4.4. The preposition *mà* ‘in’

This preposition *mà* ‘in’ may be the sole marker of the locative phrase or it may occur with other prepositions. It describes location with respect to an enclosed deictic center, such as a pot, a house, a bush. Like other prepositions, it can be used with meanings both “to” and “from”:

(24) *mà tàbá tsá zwanà kdéri*  
 PREP center DEF child:PL-GEN Kderi  
 ‘among these children of Kderi’

(25) *kà lá-m ùvá hlà-ná-ghá-tá vázák tá*  
 SEQ enter-IN cat find-DEM-D:GO-REF rooster COM  
*dífá-úgh-tà mà tùghwázák*  
 hide-SO-REF PREP hibiscus  
 ‘When Cat entered, he found Rooster hiding in the hibiscus.’

- (26) *kà á ká-'á ñghà-dá-tà ná*  
 SEQ 3SG COMP-3SG look-ALL-REF COMP  
*zwàn-à-ní mà sígà*  
 child:PL-GEN-3SG PREP pot  
 'And he saw that his children were in the pot.'

The preposition *mà* cannot be used with directional verbs:

- (27) *pghà-dá-pghà tá zwàn-à-ní dà/\*mà sígà*  
 put-ALL-put OBJ child.PL-GEN-3SG PREP pot  
 'he put his children in the pot'

The preposition *mà* can be used in temporal expressions:

- (28) *mà sán-à fitík*  
 PREP other-GEN time  
 'One day'

#### 4.5. The associative preposition *ndá* as spatial specifier

The associative preposition *ndá* may be combined with locative prepositions. It then has the meaning "by", "around", "about". When *ndá* is combined with the preposition *tà*, the meaning is either directional or stative:

- (29) *ngá lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàṅwáďàk*  
 NORM enter-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance  
 'She should enter through the back of the compound.'

- (30) *lá-ghw-í ndá tà wátghà*  
 go-D:SO-REF ASSC PREP door  
 'he went out through the door'

Cf. *ndá* combined with the preposition *mà*:

- (31) *tà mág-áy-xèn àmá ndá mà nìžéryà tà*  
 IMPF do-PO-3PL but ASSC IN Nigeria IMPF  
*mágú-lú bàď tsá*  
 do-UH day DEF  
 'They do it, but it is in Nigeria that they do it on those specific days.'

- (32) *ndá mà nìžéryà*  
 ASSC in Nigeria:Q  
 'In Nigeria?'

#### 4.6. The benefactive adjunct

The benefactive adjunct is marked by the preposition *ngá* 'FOR':

- (33) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kày kà klà-á-tà*  
 then COMP hyena INTERJ SEQ take-PART-REF  
*ngá zwàn-à-ní*  
 FOR child:PL-GEN-3SG  
 'Hyena took some of it for his children.'

- (34) *kábga xàdú skwì ngá zá-y ngá tán wù*  
 because lack thing FOR eat-PO FOR 3PL NEG  
 'Because there was nothing for them to eat'

The marker *ngá* also codes the person to whom something is sent:

- (35) *tà xulá tsá mbàd'ká-ŋnì kà ts-á-ghá-ghá*  
 PREP back DEF then-1PL.EXCL SEQ send-PL-D:PVG  
*tá d'élèwér ngá índà tsà mghám-xà mà*  
 OBJ paper (Ful.) FOR all DEF chief-PL PREP  
*lúwà . . .*  
 village  
 'Afterward we sent papers to all the village chiefs . . .'

#### 5. Adverbs of manner

The inherent adverbs of manner may occur in either clause-initial or clause-final position. The adverb *tántán* 'first' has as its scope the time of the event:

- (36) *tántán mbàd' ká-'á kà xgà-n-tá vázák*  
 first then COMP-3SG SEQ call-3-REF rooster  
 'First he invited Rooster.'

The adverb *tíngəl* also means “first”, but its scope is the subject of the clause:

- (37) *tíngəl vázák tá lá-ghà tántán*  
 first rooster COM go-D:PVG first  
 ‘First arrived Rooster’

The adverb *gúđúk* ‘together, a lot, in one group’ may occur in clause-initial position or it may follow the verb:

- (38) *kà pgh-í-n-tà gúđúk dístá-ní*  
 SEQ put-AWAY-3-REF together in-3SG  
 ‘and he put them all inside it [the beans].’
- (39) *gúđúk tsd-í-n-tà-tsí tá hlùghúl dístá-ní*  
 a lot put-AWAY-3-REF-3SG OBJ salt inside-3SG  
 ‘he put a lot of salt inside it’

The adverb *mbúúlùk* ‘very well’ has been recorded in clause-final position:

- (40) *mbàd' ká mbízà kà dà-tà mbúúlùk*  
 then COMP bean dish SEQ cook-REF very well  
 ‘Then the bean dish cooked very well.’

There is also at least one morphological device, reduplication (a common device for deriving adverbs in other Chadic languages), for deriving adverbs from other lexical items:

- (41) *mìsí-mìsím* ‘fast’, ‘easily’  
*tán-tán* ‘first’
- (42) *kà s-í tá tsá xwání yá kì'yá kì'yá*  
 SEQ drink-1SG OBJ DEF medicine DEM little little  
 ‘I drank that medicine in little quantities’

The adverbial expression *ndá-ndáná* probably consists of the reduplicated associative preposition followed by the demonstrative *na*:

- (43) *dzà'á skwá-í ndándáná*  
 FUT buy-1SG immediately  
 ‘I will buy [it] immediately’

If the adverbial expression is formed from inherent verbs, the verb is reduplicated but each of the reduplicated parts is preceded by the locative preposition *tà*:

- (44) *m̀ghám tá ghùn-àf-t-íí tà xwáyá tà*  
 chief COM send-UP-REF-1SG PREP run PREP  
*xwáyá*  
 run  
 'it is the chief that sent me urgently'

- (45) *xwáyá tá vl-íxà-tà tá bàlón tà mbàd́á*  
 Xwaya COM give-UP-REF-1SG OBJ ball IMPF walk  
*tà mbàd́á*  
 PREP walk  
 'Xwaya gave me a ball while I walked by'

A few prepositional phrases functioning as adverbs must occur in clause-initial position. This is the case with *má ndá* 'like', which, together with its noun, cannot occur in clause-final position:

- (46) *mándá xiyá tà ngh-íyù tá kághá*  
 like guinea corn IMPF see-1SG OBJ 2SG  
 'you are very beautiful'

Cf.:

- (47) *\*tà ngh-íyù tá kághá mándá xiyá*  
 IMPF see-1SG OBJ 2SG like guinea corn  
 for 'I see you as guinea corn'

The adverb of manner may also be marked by the associative preposition *ndá*:

- (48) *sá-ú ndá xwáyá*  
 arrive-SO ASSC run  
 'come running!' (singular addressee)

The adverb *mánt́sá* 'like that' may occur at the beginning or at the end of a clause:

- (49) *xná-xná-lú mánt́sá*  
 cut-cut-UH like that  
 'they cut it like that'



Color terms, when following a verb rather than a noun, have an adverbial function:

- (50) *kà vníxà-gá-f-t-í tántán kà ghúv-à*  
 SEQ vomit-INN-UP-REF-1SG first like excrement-GEN  
*zwán tà xúlá tsá kà kzún kzún*  
 child PREP back DEF like grass  
 'I vomited first yellow and afterwards green'

- (51) *àmmá kà ghúv-à zwán tà*  
 but like excrement-GEN child IMPF  
*ghùdz-í tá kwàní*  
 urinate-1SG OBJ urine  
 'But I urinated yellow urine'

## 6. Adverbs of time

The unmarked position for adverbs of time is clause final, preceding adverbs of manner, if any. Lexical items that are inherently adverbial are not preceded by prepositions. This is the case with adverbs coding time, e.g. *kdá* 'last year':

- (52) *dzángàŋ-á-í tá dzángá kdá wà*  
 learn-NEG-1SG OBJ learn last year NEG  
 'I did not study last year.'

- (53) *náná gwàd'á dzà'á gwàd'-í náná tà ghóngà*  
 DEM word FUT tell-1SG DEM IMPF concerning  
*ndzà-kw-á-d'á kdá*  
 happen-ABS-1SG last year  
 'The story that I am going to tell is about what happened to me last year.'

The adverb *támá* 'then, later, after, finally' occurs in clause-final position in a pragmatically neutral clause:

- (54) *kà lá-úgh rvéré támá*  
 SEQ go-SO lion then  
 'and then Lion went out'

If an adverb of place is marked by a preposition, an adverb of time follows the adverb of place:

- (55) *sí* *tà* *lúmá* *pghintà* *dáxàw*  
 PAST PREP market Phinta yesterday  
 'Phinta was at the market yesterday'

The adverb *gúli* 'still' also occurs in clause-final position:

- (56) *màmú* *zwán* *kày* *gúli*  
 exist child INTERJ still  
 'he still has a child'

The adverb *tákà* 'anymore' occurs in clause-initial position and only in negative clauses:

- (57) *tákà* *zwán* *á* *wà*  
 anymore child NEG NEG  
 'he is not a child anymore'

An adverbial phrase of time may also be marked by the associative preposition *ndá*. Thus the expression corresponding to "now" has the form of the associative preposition followed by the reduplicated demonstrative *ná*. The tone of the first part of the reduplicated demonstrative is high, and on the second part it is low:

- (58) *tà* *dghùán-lú* *ndá* *ná* *ná*  
 IMPF be well-UH ASSC DEM DEM  
 'they are well now'

- (59) *ndá* *fitíká-ní* *tà* *hlègù-lú* *tá* *hlègù*  
 ASSC time-3SG IMPF plant-UH OBJ plant  
 'one plants at a well-determined time'

## 7. Interjections

We consider interjections to be adjuncts because they can be added to virtually any clause. The interjection *o*, *àw*, occurs in clause-initial position. It shows the speaker's impatience with, or neglect for, the thing mentioned:

- (60) *ó ghàlá-ká ká vərə d-ú'ú ghàlyá tà*  
 INTERJ like this COMP beans father-1DU earlier IMPF  
*yá-kú ndá slá ndá slá [tsá]*  
 yield-ABS ASSC leg ASSC leg like that  
 ‘“Oh, it is like this: The beans of our forefathers earlier were born with feet.”’

The interjection of regret, deception, and other unpleasant feelings is *kày*:

- (61) *tsá m̀ndú yá kày yà ndá mtá*  
 DEF man DEM INTERJ COP ASSC die  
 ‘it is the man that we talked about that is dead’
- (62) *kàbgà wú kál-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá kày yàghá ká xgà-n-tá ùvá*  
 cat INTERJ should not 2SG call-3-REF cat  
*ká-ì [kí-í] kày-ní n̄à kál-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 COMP-1SG Q-RHET why take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá ká-’á ndá yàghí*  
 cat COMP-3SG ASSC Squirrel  
 ‘“Why did you invite Cat, despite the fact that you should not invite Cat, as I told you?” he said to Squirrel.’

The interrogative interjection is *á*. It occurs in clause-initial position. It expresses disapproval of the thing questioned. The following example contains three interjections:

- (63) *sá-ghà rvér ná á kàbgà wú*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion COMP INTERJ because Q  
*kál-ká kà xgà-n-tá rvér kày [kè] wà á*  
 take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF lion INTERJ there  
*sá-ghà rvér tà má xgà ngú ká tá rvère*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion still invite why 2SG OBJ lion  
*yàgh ká xgà-n-tá rvér kí-í*  
 should not 2SG call-3-REF lion COMP-1SG  
*kè [kày] ká gwi’yán*  
 INTERJ COMP elephant  
 ‘While Lion was coming, Elephant said, “Why did you invite Lion, when I told you not to invite him? There is Lion coming, haven’t I told you not to invite Lion?”’

## 8. Conclusions

Most adjuncts follow the verb. Non-inherent adjuncts are marked by various prepositions. An oblique argument, i.e. an argument whose role is not specified and that can be added to a variety of verbs, is marked by the form *kà* 'as, like', identical with the sequential marker. The instrumental adjunct is marked by the associative preposition *ndá*. If a lexical item is not inherently an expression indicating time, the associative preposition *ndá* is used to mark an adverb of time. The locative adjunct is marked by the preposition *tà*. Interjections can occur in clause-initial or clause-final position.

# Chapter 10

## Locative extensions

### 1. Introduction

Affixes to the verb may code three semantic domains involved in the coding of movement: the direction of movement, the position of the speaker, and spatial orientation of movement with respect to the source or the goal. In addition to locative functions, extensions may have a variety of other functions, often linked to specific verbs. We present first the extensions coding point of view, then general directional extensions, then a system of extensions coding spatial orientation.

### 2. Coding of the point of view

The coding of the point of view is a fundamental category in the grammatical structure of Hdi that pervades the system of locative expressions and also the system of object pronouns. The term *point of view* covers the point of view of the source from which movement originates and the point of view of the goal of the movement.

### 3. Goal-oriented marker and the morphology of extensions

The vowel *a*, the goal-oriented marker (glossed “GO”), must follow the verb whenever one of the spatial extensions from the set comprising *f* ‘movement up’, *gh* ‘distal’, *p* ‘movement out’, *xà* ‘movement down’, *dá* ‘allative’, *mà* ‘movement in’ and *ga* ‘inner space orientation’ is added. Compare the following examples, all in the prohibitive mood. The first one has no extension, and the remaining have various locative extensions:

(1) *mà kól-ká*  
PROH take-2SG  
‘do not take it’

(2) *mà kl-á-ghá-ká*  
PROH take-GO-D:GO-2SG  
‘do not take it there’

(3) *mà kl-á-f-ká*  
 PROH take-GO-UP-2SG  
 'do not pick it up'

(4) *mà kl-á-p-ká*  
 PROH take-GO-OUT-2SG  
 'do not take it out'

(5) *mà kl-á-m-ká*  
 PROH take-GO-IN-2SG  
 'do not put it in'

Two extensions, *gá* 'inner space orientation' and the allative *dá*, are preceded by a low rather than by a high tone:

(6) *mà kl-à-gá-ká*  
 PROH take-GO-INN-PVG-2SG  
 'do not bring it down here'

(7) *mà kl-à-dá-ká*  
 PROH take-GO-ALL-2SG  
 'do not take it down there'

If the verb contains a dative object, the goal-oriented vowel *a* does not occur before the inner space and allative extensions. Pronouns follow the ventive and allative extensions, and the verb has a high tone, as always when the dative function is involved:

(8) *kól-gá-ná-ghà*                      *ìmí*  
 take-INN-3SG-D:PVG      water  
 'bring him some water!' (Beneficiary is in the place of speech.)

(9) *kól-dá-ná-ghà*                      *ìmí*  
 take-ALL-3SG-D:PVG      water  
 'take some water for him!' (Beneficiary is not in the place of speech.)

(10) *kl-í-g-ì-á-ghà*                                      *ìmí*  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-PVG-D:PVG      water  
 'bring me some water!' (the same level)

(11) *kl-í-g-ìxà*                                      *ìmí*  
 take-EP-INN-1SG-DOWN      water  
 'bring me down some water!'

The verb has the vowel *a* when followed by dative or direct object pronouns, because the presence of an object involves goal orientation:

(12) *mà kl-á-ná-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-DEM-2SG  
'do not take it for him'

(13) *mà kl-á-n-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-DEM-2SG  
'do not take him'

If one locative extension follows another, the goal-oriented marker must occur between the extensions:

(14) *mà kl-à-d-á-f-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-ALL-PVG-UP-2SG  
'do not take it up there [from here]'

(15) *mà kl-à-d-á-p-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-ALL-PVG-OUT-2SG  
'do not take it out there'

(16) *mà kl-à-g-á-f-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-VENT-PVG-UP-2SG  
'do not take it up here'

(17) *mà kl-à-g-á-p-ká*  
PROH take-PVG-VENT-PVG-OUT-2SG  
'do not take it out of there'

#### 4. The system of locative extensions

Locative extensions consist of the following groups, arranged in the order they occupy after the verb and the way they can be combined. Members within each group cannot be combined with one another. With the verb being the leftmost component, the extensions are organized as follows:

Group 1  
*g* 'inner space'  
*dá* 'allative'

Group 2  
*gh* 'distal'

Group 3  
*f* 'movement up'  
*p* 'movement out'  
*xà* 'movement down'  
*m* 'movement in'

Each extension can be the only extension following the verb. Any member of Group 1 can be combined with the distal extension *gh* or with any member of Group 3. The distal extension *gh* can also be combined with any member of Group 3. Each combination results in a different meaning of the clause. Extensions of the Groups 1, 2, and 3 can also be combined, in that order.

Locative extensions are suffixed to non-reduplicated verb stems and infixes to reduplicated verbs. They follow markers of the semantic roles of subject and object. If the verb has a pronominal suffix coding the object or the dative, the verbal extension follows the suffix. If there is a plural marker in the imperative form of the verb, the imperative plural marker follows the extensions:

- (18) *hli'yà-dá-p-wà-hli'yà*  
 leave-ALL-OUT-PL-leave  
 'get out of there'

Whether an extension is used depends not only on the function of the extension alone but also on the inherent properties of verbs of movement, if any. Thus if the verb has the inherent property of movement toward the place of speech, the inner space extension is not used. If the meaning of the verb implies "descent", the extension coding movement downward is not used.

The primary function of locative extensions is to indicate the direction of movement. If the verb codes only the manner of movement, the locative extensions are the primary means of encoding direction and spatial orientation. The verb *vàl* 'jump' inherently does not imply any direction, as illustrated by the following example with the applicative marker *vá*:

- (19) *vàl-à-vá-vàl-í*  
 jump-APPL-jump-1SG  
 'I jumped' (e.g., seeing a snake, I jumped )

To code directionality, the locative extensions are used. The locative phrase itself does not have to occur:

- (20) *vàl-á-p-vàl-í*                    (*tá*      *zùí*)  
 jump-OUT-jump-1SG    OBJ    rope  
 'I jumped [over a rope]'



- (21) *vàl-à-dá-p-vàl-í*                      *dá*      *xàdà*      *á*  
 jump-ALL-OUT-jump-1SG    PREP    there      DEM  
 'I jumped until there'
- (22) *vàl-á-m-vàl-í*  
 jump-IN-jump-1SG  
 'I jumped in'
- (23) *vàl-à-dá-m-vàl-í*  
 jump-ALL-IN-jump-1SG  
 'I jumped in there' (the place was mentioned before in discourse)

In what follows we discuss individual extensions, describing their form and providing evidence for their primary and secondary functions as well as constraints on occurrence with other extensions. Whenever we have adequate data, we posit a grammaticalization source for the extension.

## 5. The distal extension *gh*

### 5.1. The form of the distal extension

Like all spatial extensions, the extension *gh* must be preceded by the goal-oriented marker *a*. The phonetic form of object pronouns provides the evidence for the presence of the vowel *a* before the distal extension *gh*. The vowel *u* of the first-person dual inclusive pronouns is replaced by the vowel *a*. Under the influence of the vowel *a*, from which it is separated by *gh*, it is labialized and becomes [ú'á].

- (24) *tf-ù'ú-á-ghá-tfá-lú*                      *tá*      *wì*      → [tf-ú'wá-ghá-tfú-lú]  
 spit-1DU-D:GO-spit-UH      OBJ      mouth  
 'we (1DU:INCL) were blessed'
- (25) *hl-ù'ú-á-ghá-hlà*                      → [hl-ù'wá-ghá-hlà]  
 find-1DU-PVG-D:GO-find  
 'he found the two of us' (The subject is not with the object.)

The distal extension must be followed by either the goal-oriented marker *a* or the source-oriented marker *ú*. The verb preserves its underlying tone before the distal extension in both of its realizations. The distal extension *gh* can occur with movement and non-movement verbs; its core

role of coding directionality and point of view contributes to the meaning of all types of verbs. The source-oriented and goal-oriented extensions provide the specific directions and the points of reference with respect to directionality.

### 5.2. The role of tone with the distal extension

The tone on the distal cum goal-oriented extension can be high or low. The high tone indicates movement toward the goal from a point of view other than that of the goal. The low tone on the distal cum goal-oriented extension indicates movement toward the goal from the point of view of the goal. The distal extension with low tone and goal-oriented marker *a* is glossed as D:PVG (distal, point of view of goal)

- (26) *pghì-g-ì-d-á-ghà-pghà*  
 accompany-AWAY-1SG-INN-D:PVG-accompany  
 'he accompanied me here'

If the goal is not overtly coded, the default goal is the place of speech or the place previously mentioned in discourse:

- (27) *sá-ghà-sá*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive  
 'he came'

The goal may also be overtly coded by the directional preposition *da*. The use of the low-tone, goal-oriented extension with the verb *lá* 'go' means 'arrive':

- (28) *lá-ghà-lá dá xdí*  
 go-D:PVG-go PREP Hdi  
 'he arrived at Hdi'

The distal source-oriented extension always has a high tone, regardless of the characteristics of the verb. It always codes movement away from the source:

- (29) *kà lá-ghú-tsí dà zíngá*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP Zinga  
 'he went to Zinga' (from a place previously identified)

- (30) *mbàd' ká-'á kà w-í-g-í-n-tà kà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ take.PL-AWAY-INN-3-REF SEQ  
*lá-ghw-í dífà-ná-tá zwàn-à-ní*  
 go-D:SO-REF hide-3-REF child:PL-GEN 3SG  
 'He took his children and hid them.'
- (31) *kà xlyá-f-tá-lú kà lá-ghú-lú*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF-UH SEQ go-D:SO-UH  
 'one got up and went'
- (32) *lá-ghú-lá dá xdí*  
 go-D:SO-go PREP Hdi  
 'he went to Hdi'
- (33) *sá-ghú-sá dá xdí*  
 arrive-D:SO-arrive PREP Hdi  
 'he came to Hdi' (from the place of speech)

The tonal behavior of the two source-oriented and goal-oriented extensions has a unique motivation: The high tone codes direction away from the source, be it the place of speech or participants. The low tone codes direction toward the goal from the point of view of the goal. Since the source-oriented always codes movement away, it always has high tone. The goal-oriented extension has high tone when it codes movement toward the goal from a point of view other than the goal, and low tone when it codes movement toward the goal from the point of view of the goal.

### 5.3. The distal extension with verbs of movement

A typical situation for the use of the distal extension with the source-oriented marker is when one inquires about somebody in a place where the person is expected to be. If the person has left, that will be expressed with the source-oriented marker:

- (34) *lá-ghú-lá tà lúmá*  
 go-D:SO-go PREP market  
 'he went to the market'

- (35) *ndrá-ghú-ndrá*  
fly-D:SO-fly  
'it flew out forever'

The distal and source-oriented extensions may be used in the imperative mood:

- (36) *lá-ghú-wá-lá*  
go-D:SO-PL-go  
'go!'

The distal extension with the source-oriented marker can be used with the verb *sá* 'come'. A locative phrase with such verbs codes the place from which one came.

- (37) *sá-ghú-sá*                      *tà*              *lúmá*  
arrive-D:SO-arrive              PREP      market  
'he returned from the market' (the speaker is at the place of arrival)

The use of the distal extension with the source-oriented marker allows one to discover the inherent properties of the verbs. Thus if the extension is used with the verb *vrà* 'return', the meaning of the complex is to return from a previously mentioned place. A locative phrase with such a verb does not code the place from which one returned but rather a goal toward which one returns. Therefore the verb must be analyzed as inherently coding return toward a goal:

- (38) *vrá-ghú-vrá*                      *tà*              *lúmá*  
return-D:SO-return      PREP      market  
'he returned to the market' (The speaker is at the place from which the subject returned, not at the market.)

With the verb *sá* 'arrive', the distal extension with the source-oriented marker codes the finality of movement:

- (39) *sá-ghú-sá*  
arrive-D:SO-arrive  
'he left the other place and came', 'he came for good'

Cf.:

- (40) *sá-sà*  
arrive-arrive  
'he came'

- (41) *mà sán fitúk kà sá-ghú tsá*  
 N certain day SEQ arrive-D:SO DEF  
*zwán-á-ní yá*  
 child-GEN-3SG DEM  
 'one day that son of his returned for good' (i.e. left his original place)
- (42) *mà sán fitúk kà sá-ghá tsá*  
 N certain day SEQ arrive-D:GO DEF  
*zwán-á-ní yá*  
 child-GEN-3SG DEM  
 'one day that son of his returned'

Additional evidence for the meaning of finality of the distal extension followed by the source-oriented extension is provided by the fact that it cannot be used in the dependent imperfective aspect:

- (43) *kà ló-\*(ghú)-tsí dà pákává ghúvi*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP hyena  
 'he goes to Hyena'

With the verb *sá* 'arrive', the presence or absence of the distal extension *gh* does not affect the meaning of the reduplicated verb:

- (44) *sá-(ghà)-sá-xèn dá kátá vghá-tán*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive-3PL PURP help body-3PL  
 'they came to help each other'

With the simple form of the verb *sá* 'arrive', the goal-oriented extension is required in referential clauses:

- (45) *kà sá-ghà ghùb-í-n-tà*  
 SEQ arrive-D:PVG wash-AWAY-3-REF  
 'she came and washed it'

Without the extension it would not be clear where the subject arrived:

- (46) *\*kà sá ghùb-í-n-tà*  
 SEQ arrive wash-AWAY-3-REF  
 for 'she came and washed it'

In the following example the distal extension has high tone because it refers to a goal away from the place of speech:

- (47) *tà*    *ngʰó-tsə*    *ná*    *tà*    *sá-ghá*    *ùvá*  
 IMPF   see-3SG    COMP   IMPF   arrive-D:GO    cat  
 'he sees Cat coming.'

The clause is ungrammatical with the distal extension *gha* omitted, because it does not specify where the subject is arriving and yet the verb implies that the event is being observed:

- (48) \**tà*    *ngʰó-tsə*    *ná*    *tà*    *sá*    *ùvá*  
 IMPF   see-3SG    COMP   IMPF   arrive   cat  
 for 'he sees Cat coming.'

#### 5.4. The object in the scope of the distal extension

The object may be in the scope of the distal extension. The functions of the goal-oriented and the source-oriented extensions are quite different. With the goal-oriented extension the object undergoes movement. With the high tone the movement is seen from a point of view other than that of the goal:

- (49) *fí*    *dzà'á*    *ghùnà-ghá-tá*    *kághá*  
 1SG    FUT    send-D:GO-REF    2SG  
 'it is I who will send you'

- (50) *kà*    *klá-ghá-tá-(tsí)*    *tá*    *vàrà*  
 SEQ    take-D:GO-REF-(3SG)    OBJ    beans  
 'and he took the beans away'

With the low tone the movement of the object is represented from the point of view of the goal:

- (51) *kà*    *klà-gá-ghà-tá-(tsí)*    *tá*    *vàrà*  
 SEQ    take-INN-D:PVG-REF-(3SG)    OBJ    beans  
 'and he brought the beans'

- (52) *kà*    *klà-dá-ghà-tá-(tsí)*    *tá*    *vàrà*  
 SEQ    take-ALL-D:PVG-REF-(3SG)    OBJ    beans  
 'and he took the beans somewhere [and arrived there]'

When the object is in the scope of the point of view of the source, the extension means that one part of the object is separated from the rest:

- (53) *zá-ghú-zá*  
eat-D:SO-eat  
'he ate the upper part of the food'
- (54) *sà-ghù-sà*  
drink-D:SO-drink  
'he drank the upper part of a liquid'

The distal extension with the point of view of the source indicates that the object affected is part of a larger structure:

- (55) *zá-ghú-zá*    *d'wàk*    *tá*    *mndrá-ní*  
eat-D:SO-eat    termites    OBJ    bottom-3SG  
'the termites have eaten its bottom' (the rest is there)
- (56) *zá-ghú-zá*    *d'wàk*    *tá*    *dzúamá*  
eat-D:SO-eat    termites    OBJ    hay  
'the termites have eaten the hay' (of the roof)

In order to indicate that the "hay" is an entity in itself, not part of a larger structure, the object marker *ná* must be inserted. If the distal extension *ghú* is used after the object, that means that only part of the object is affected:

- (57) *zà-ná-ghú-zá*    *d'wàk*    *tá*    *dzúamá*  
eat-DEM-D:SO-eat    termites    OBJ    hay  
'the termites have eaten part of the hay' (The hay is not a part of anything.)
- (58) *drá-ghú-drá*    *tá*    *nìví*  
burn-DEM-D:SO-burn    OBJ    firewood  
'he burned firewood only' (The other things he did not burn.)
- (59) *drà-ná-ghú-drà*    *tá*    *nìví*  
burn-DEM-D:SO-burn    OBJ    firewood  
'he burned a part of the firewood'

- (60) *sà-ná-ghú-sà*                      *tá*            *ghzú*  
 drink-DEM-D:SO-drink      OBJ      beer  
 ‘he drank some beer’ (there is beer left)

Cf.:

- (61) *sà-ghù-sà*                      *tá*            *ìmí*      *á*            *xpú* [*tímá xpù*]  
 drink-D:SO-drink      OBJ      water      GEN      flour  
 ‘he drank the upper layer of the water and flour mixture [and the flour is left at the bottom]’

- (62) *zà-ná-ghú-zà*  
 eat-DEM-D:SO-eat  
 ‘he ate the top part of it’ (e.g. the meat, but left the rice)

### 5.5. The distal extension with non-directional verbs of movement

The function of the distal extension is to code directionality for verbs that code only the manner of movement. The verb *xwáyá* means “run”, and it cannot take a directional locative without a locative extension. With the distal goal-oriented extension it codes running toward the goal:

- (63) *xwáyá-ghá-xwáyá*            *dá*            *xdí*  
 run-D:GO-run                      PREP      Hdi  
 ‘he fled to Hdi’ (he lives there now)

With the distal source-oriented marker, the verb means running from a source:

- (64) *xwáyá-ghú-xwáyá*            *dá*            *xdí*  
 run-D:SO-run                      PREP      Hdi  
 ‘he ran away to Hdi’

Similarly with the verb *ndrá* ‘to fly, move fast’: Without the extension the verb indicates movement in general without an indication of directionality:

- (65) *ndr-ú-ndrà*            *tsá*            *mwátà yá*  
 fly-SO-fly                      DEF      car      DEM  
 ‘that car drove at high speed’

- (66) *ndrá-ghá-ndrá*  
 fly-D:GO-fly  
 ‘it flew away’



## 5.6. The distal extension with non-movement verbs

For non-movement verbs that do not have an allative *dá* or inner space extension *g*, only the high-tone marker *ghá* is allowed, coding movement toward a goal that is away from the place of speech:

- (67) *zá-ghá-zá*  
eat-D:GO-eat  
'he ate and went'
- (68) *sà-ghá-sà*  
drink-D:GO-drink  
'he drank and went'
- (69) *ngá dà-gá-ghà-tà*  
NORM cook-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
'they should cook and bring it'

Non-movement verbs cannot occur with the low-tone *ghà*:

- (71) \**zá-ghà-zá*  
eat-D:PVG-eat  
for 'he ate and came/went'
- (72) \**sà-ghà-sà*  
drink-D:PVG-drink  
for 'he drank and came/went'

This constraint on the occurrence of the low-tone distal extension with non-movement verbs indicates that the default value for non-movement verbs is the event occurring at the place of speech.

The verb *nghá* 'see' may be followed by the distal extension *ghá*, and then the object of the verb is not the thing perceived. The verb acquires the meaning "concern, regard":

- (73) *yà tá nghá-nà-ghá tá lèkól*  
DEM OBJ see-DEM-D:GO OBJ school  
'that is all that concerns the school'

Additional evidence that the extension *gha* codes goal orientation is provided by the fact it cannot be used with verbs coding the point of view of source. Such cooccurrence would lead to an internal contradiction.

Consider the verb *fú* ‘heat up, intransitive’. This verb cannot have goal-oriented marker *ghá* added:

- (74) \**fú-ghá-fá*  
 heat-D:GO-heat  
 for ‘it heated up’

If the verb is used with an agent, it must have the goal-oriented marker *a* added:

- (75) *fw-á-fwà*  
 heat-GO-heat  
 ‘he heated up something’
- (76) *fwá-ghá-fwá*  
 heat-D:GO-heat  
 ‘he heated up something and went’

## 6. “Downward movement” extension *xà*

The extension *xà* indicates movement toward a lower place. In that function it has been recorded with two intransitive verbs only, *sá* ‘come’ and *lá* ‘go’:

- (77) *lá-xà-dá dá xdí sàwàrà tà kùzl-ìxà-tà*  
 go-DOWN-1SG to Hdi jaundice IMPF ache-1SG-IMPF  
*ká-i ndá gólgi íḡní*  
 COMP-1SG ASSC family 1PL.EXCL  
 ‘after I went to Hdi, I told my family that I was suffering from jaundice’

- (79) *sá-xà gà xdí*  
 arrive-DOWN PREP Hdi  
 ‘he came from Hdi’ (when the place of arrival is lower than Hdi, speaker located at the place of arrival)

- (80) *ṅgh-í-n-ṅgh-íyù tá mótà tà lá-xà*  
 see-AWAY-3-see-1SG OBJ car IMPF go-DOWN  
*xàdà á*  
 there DEM  
 ‘I saw a car pass down over there’

7. “Inner space orientation” extension *g*7.1. *Forms of the inner space extension*

The inner space extension *g* is realized as [k] in syllable-final, word-internal position when not followed by another extension. When there is another extension, the inner space extension is followed by the goal-oriented marker *á* and the sequence is realized as *gá*. The goal-oriented marker *á* also codes movement toward a place previously mentioned in discourse. The form without the goal-oriented marker has the place of speech as the default place.

The verb before the extension *g* has a low tone, regardless of the inherent tone of the verb. We gloss the extension as “INN”, for “inner space”:

(83) [sà-k-sà]  
 drink-INN-drink  
 ‘he drank and came’

(84) [zà-k-zà]  
 eat-INN-eat  
 ‘he ate and came’

7.2. *Functions of the inner space extension*

The inner space extension codes an event with reference to some inner space, with the direction toward or away from the inner space. The bidirectionality is very important because in many other Chadic languages cognate forms code the ventive, i.e. movement toward the place of speech or another deictic center. The evidence for the bidirectionality with respect to the inner space is provided by the fact that the inner space may serve as a goal or a source of movement. Whether the inner space is the source or the goal is determined by the inherent characteristics of the verb and other extensions occurring with the verb. The default value of the inner space extension is the goal:

(85) *nə*    *tá*    *klà-gá-ghá-f-tà*    *tà*  
 what    COM    take-INN-2SG-UP-REF:SUBJ    PREP  
*ná*    *fú*    *ná*    *nà*  
 DEM    tree    DEM    Q  
 ‘what brought you up here to this tree?’

- (86) *kà vrə̀-glá-gá-f-tà tsá m̀ndú-xà*  
 SEQ return-AGAIN-INN-UP-REF DEF man-PL  
 ‘And those people again returned [to the place mentioned earlier in discourse]’

Inner space is always the source of movement if it is followed by the movement-out extension *p*:

- (87) *xwáyá-ghù xáxə̀ŋ tà ghùrúm má*  
 run-D:SO 3PL PREP hole COND  
*hlə̀-và-gá-p-xà tá xən*  
 go-APPL-INN-OUT-DOWN OBJ 3PL  
 ‘even if they escaped into a hole, he would make them come out’
- (88) *mántsá dək̀d̀ə̀ tá yà-gá-p-tá*  
 also Dikdi COM give birth-INN-OUT-REF  
*lá dək̀d̀ə̀*  
 COLL Dikdi  
 ‘also Dikdi begot the clan of Dikdi’

But the inner space extension may also code the source without the movement-out extension, as we will see.

### 7.3. The inner space extension with verbs of movement

The inner space extension can be added to verbs of movement when it codes the spatial orientation of the movement, toward or away from the inner space. Compare the following, coding movement out by virtue of the inherent meaning of the verb *hlí'yá* ‘get up’:

- (89) *Kà hlí'yá-gá-tá Xdi-xà tà ghùrùm*  
 SEQ get up-INN-REF Hdi-PL PREP hole  
 ‘And Hdi came out of the hole’
- (90) *kà tsùà-gá-f-tá-tsí tàa, tàa, tàa, tá*  
 SEQ pull-INN-UP-REF-3SG OBJ OBJ OBJ OBJ  
*rvéré*  
 lion  
 ‘he pulled out Lion’ (from a pit)

Cf.:

- (91) *kà tsùà-f-tá-tsí tá rvéré*  
 SEQ pull-UP-REF-3SG OBJ lion  
 'he pulled Lion' (but not from an inner space)

With the verb *vrá* 'return', the extension codes return toward the place from which one left. The inner space extension has the goal-oriented vowel *á* to code return to a place other than that last mentioned in discourse:

- (92) *kà vrà-gá-tá ì ngax ngax*  
 SEQ return-INN-REF ASSC.PL Ngah Ngah  
 'Ngah Ngah and his people returned' (to Hdi; the last place mentioned in discourse was Mokolo.)

The inner space extension is coded by [k] alone when the return is to the last place mentioned:

- (93) *kà vrà-glà-k-tá xèn . . .*  
 SEQ return-AGAIN-INN-REF 3PL  
 'and they returned again . . .' (to Hdi; the last place mentioned in discourse was Hdi.)

#### 7.4. The inner space extension with non-movement verbs

The inner space extension adds the spatial orientation of movement. Here are two examples from natural discourse and their variants with the inner space extension omitted. The first example involves movement toward the deictic center:

- (94) *mbàd' ká-lù kà pghà-gá-p-tá mbízà*  
 then COMP-UH SEQ pour-INN-OUT-REF bean dish  
 'Then, the bean dish was poured out and brought.'

Cf.:

- (95) *mbàd' ká-lù kà pghá-p-tá mbízà*  
 then COMP-UH SEQ pour-OUT-REF bean dish  
 'Then, the bean dish was poured out.'

- (96) *wù-gá-ná-p-tá ghùzú tá xèŋ*  
 take.PL-INN-DEM-OUT-REF beer OBJ 3PL  
 'one brings them beer'

The following example involves movement out of an enclosed space:

- (97) *mbàd' ká krì kà klà-gá-f-tá ìr-á*  
 then COMP dog SEQ take-INN-UP-REF eye-GEN  
*zwáŋ-á pákáwá ghúvì*  
 child-GEN hyena  
 'then Dog picked up an eye of a child of Hyena [from a pot].'

Omission of the inner space extension produces a grammatical sentence, but it cannot be applied to deictic centers with inner spaces:

- (98) *mbàd' ká krì kà klà-f-tá ìr-á*  
 then COMP dog SEQ take-UP-REF eye-GEN  
*zwáŋ-á pákáwá ghúvì*  
 child-GEN hyena  
 'then Dog picked up an eye of a child of Hyena.' (e.g. from a table)

- (99) *tsá lá xámáyádzi káy kà gì*  
 def COLL Hamman Yaji INTERJ SEQ immediately  
*dzà-gá-p-tá dúlá krámásá.*  
 kill-INN-OUT-REF rifle  
 'the people of Hamman Yaji immediately shot their rifles' <sup>7</sup>

### 7.5. Arguments within the scope of the inner space extension

When the extension is used with non-movement transitive verbs, the verbs could imply the movement of either subject or object. The movement of the subject is coded by the vowel *a* preceding the inner space extension:

- (100) *sà-gá-ghú-sà tá ghzú*  
 drink-INN-D:SO-drink OBJ beer  
 'he drank some beer and came'

The movement of the pronominal object is coded by the verbal root alone, i.e. without the vowel *a* or *u*:

- (101) *xgè-g-ídá-ghà-xgà*  
 call-INN-1SG-D:PVG-call  
 'he called me and I came'

- (102) *tàlá zàŋwá tsá yá tá màrà-n-tá*  
 exorcise demon DEF DEM COM show-3-REF  
*xlá-g-í-n-tá índà ghwáďàk-á skwì mà*  
 gather-INN-AWAY-3-REF all bad-GEN thing PREP  
*xgá yá*  
 home DEM  
 'It is *tàlá zàŋwá* that shows that one has chased away all the bad things from the compound.'

### 7.6. Deictic center with the inner space extension

The default deictic center for movement toward an inner space is the place established by the conversational context. The place itself does not have to be overtly mentioned; it is the inner space extension that provides the proper interpretation:

- (103) *ngá dà-gá-ghà-tà índà grá-xà-ní*  
 NORM cook-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ all friend-PL-3SG  
*tá ghzú*  
 OBJ beer  
 'All of his friends should cook beer and bring it there.'

Cf.:

- (104) *ngá dà-ghá-tà índà grá-xà-ní*  
 NORM cook-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ all friend-PL-3SG  
*tá ghzú*  
 OBJ beer  
 'All of his friends should cook beer and take it away.'

Similarly in the following example, the deictic center is the place where the speaker is:

- (105) *ká tsà m̀ghám yá kàyná dzà'á*  
 COMP DEF chief DEM therefore FUT  
*v̀rà-gá-ná-tá tsá màrkw-í-íŋní ká'á*  
 return-INN-DEM-REF DEF wife-1PL COMP-3SG  
 'The chief said: "I will make my wife return [to us]"' (low tone on the definite marker because it is a part of the subject phrase and follows the complementizer)

Omission of the inner space extension implies the return of the wife to her own place:

- (106) *ká tsá m̀ghám yá kàyná dzà'á*  
 COMP DEF chief DEM therefore FUT  
*vrà-ná tá tsá màrkw-í-íṅní ká-'á*  
 return-DEM OBJ DEF wife-1PL COMP-3SG  
 'The chief said: "I will make my wife return [to her place]"'

And, finally, this example, where the deictic center is the place where the speaker was:

- (107) *kà là-gá-ghà-tá-tsí tá hlréṅ-á fú*  
 SEQ dig-VENT-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ root-GEN tree  
 'She dug and brought a root of a certain tree'

Cf.:

- (108) *kà là-ghá-tá-tsí tá hlréṅ-á fú*  
 SEQ dig-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ root-GEN tree  
 'she dug a root of a certain tree and took it away'

The inner space extension *g* is related to the spatial specifier *gà* coding the inner space in locative adjuncts. In the following sentence, the same morpheme appears in both functions:

- (109) *vrà-gá-f-vrà gà mókóló*  
 return-INN-UP-return PREP Mokolo  
 'he returned from Mokolo' (his place is higher than Mokolo)

## 8. The allative extension *dá*

The extension *dá*, which we gloss as "ALL", for "allative," can be added to both intransitive and transitive verbs. The verb before this extension, like the verb before the inner space extension *gá*, must have low tone. The extension codes movement toward a specific place or presence at a specific place other than the place of speech. The term *specific place* designates a place mentioned in discourse or pointed to in the environment of speech:

- (110) *tsá m̀ndú tá klà-dá-ghá-p-tà yá ná*  
 DEM man COM take-ALL-2SG-OUT-REF DEM DEM  
*grá-ghá yà*  
 friend-2SG COP  
 'the man who took you there is your friend'

Cf.:



- (111) *tsá m̀ndú tá kl-í-g-tà yá (ná)*  
 DEF man COM take-1SG-INN-REF DEM DEM  
*grá-dá yà*  
 friend-1SG COP  
 ‘the man who brought me here is my friend’

The evidence for the hypothesis about the meaning is provided by the fact that the extension occurs when the locative goal is marked as definite, i.e. previously identified in discourse:

- (112) *hli'yà-dá-m-tà-táŋ dà tsá ghùrúm yá*  
 go-ALL-IN-REF-3PL PREP DEF hole DEM  
 ‘when they entered the hole’

The extension *dá* codes the locative function of an unmarked noun phrase following the verb. In the following example there is no locative (or any other) preposition before the word *tví* ‘place’:

- (113) *òxà-dá-gh-í tví tsá wà*  
 pass-ALL-D:PVG-1SG place DEF NEG  
 ‘I did not pass by there’ (referring to a place mentioned before in discourse)

- (114) *òx-í tà tsá wà*  
 pass:NEG-1SG PREP DEF NEG  
 ‘I did not pass there’

Even if the locative argument or adjunct does not have formal markers of definiteness or specificity, the extension alone codes such an adjunct as specific:

- (115) *gàv̀à-dá-p-wá-gàv̀à tà hl̀órpú ká-'á*  
 move-ALL-OUT-PL-move PREP side COMP-3SG  
 ‘“move to the side,” he said’  
 (Squirrel directing Lion and Elephant to move to a previously prepared place)

If the allative extension is omitted, the verb of movement does not mean movement to a specific destination:

- (116) *gàvǎ-p-wǎ-gàvǎ*      *tà*      *hlǎrpú kǎ-'ǎ*  
 move-OUT-PL-move    PREP    side    COMP-3SG  
 ‘“move a bit,” he said’

When used with non-movement verbs, the extension adds directional meaning. The direction is specific, to a place previously identified in discourse:

- (117) *kǎ á*      *kǎ-'ǎ*      *ngǎhǎ-dǎ-tǎ*      *nǎ*  
 SEQ 3SG    COMP-3SG    look-ALL-REF    COMP  
*zwǎn-ǎ-ní*      *mǎ*      *sígǎ*  
 child:PL-GEN-3SG    PREP    pot  
 ‘And he saw that his children were in the pot.’

- (118) *kǎ*      *pǎghǎ-dǎ-tǎ*      *dǎ*      *sígǎ*  
 SEQ    put-ALL-REF    PREP    pot  
 ‘he put them in the pot’      (*dǎ* cannot be omitted)

One can omit a specific locative phrase from a clause with the extension *dǎ*, but one cannot omit the extension *dǎ* from a clause with a specific locative phrase:

- (119) *mbǎd' kǎ-xǎn*      *kǎ*      *wǎ-dǎ-p-tǎ*      *tsǎ*      *vǎrǎ*  
 then    COMP-3PL    SEQ    take.PL-ALL-OUT-REF    DEF    beans  
*yǎ*      *dzǎghǎ*  
 DEM    home  
 ‘they brought the beans home’

- (120) *mbǎd' kǎ-xǎn*      *kǎ*      *wǎ-dǎ-p-tǎ*      *tsǎ*      *vǎrǎ*  
 then    COMP-3PL    SEQ    take.PL-ALL-OUT-REF    DEF    beans  
*yǎ*  
 DEM  
 ‘they brought the beans there’

- (121) \**mbǎd' kǎ-xǎn*      *kǎ*      *wǎ-p-tǎ*      *tsǎ*      *vǎrǎ*  
 then      COMP-3PL    SEQ    take.PL-OUT-REF    DEF    beans  
*yǎ*      *dzǎghǎ*  
 DEM    home  
 for ‘they brought the beans home’ (or any other meaning)

When the extensions *f* ‘movement up’ or *xǎ* ‘movement down’ follow the extension *dǎ*, they code movement toward a higher or lower place:

- (122) *tsghà-dá-f*    *xáxòn tá*    *sàni*    *lá-ghà-ní*  
 put up-ALL-UP 3PL    OBJ    one    go-D:PVG-3SG  
*mbàd' ká*    *kà*    *tsghà-dá-f-tá*    *sàni*    *zlibí*  
 then    COMP    SEQ    send-ALL-UP-REF    one    bag  
 ‘after they sent up one bag, they sent another bag.’

## 9. “Upward movement” extension *f(à)*

### 9.1. The form of the upward movement extension

The extension *f*, glossed as “UP”, is realized as *fâ* in word-final position. The evidence for the segmental and tonal structure of this extension is provided by clauses where it is followed by possessive subjects. Verbal nouns can be derived from verbs followed by the upward extension. From the verb *lá* ‘go’ followed by the extension *f*, the verbal noun is *lá-f-ì* ‘climbing, going up’. When the possessive pronoun is added to this noun, the genitive marker assumes the tone of the last syllable of the noun, which is low:

- (123) *lá-f-à-dá*            *dá mókólò . . .*  
 go-UP-GEN-1SG    to Mokolo  
 ‘When I went to Mokolo . . .’

The extension must be preceded by the goal-oriented marker *a*:

- (124) *kà*    *xlá-f-tá*            *zwàn-à*            *kri*  
 SEQ    gather-UP-REF    child:PL-GEN    dog  
 ‘and he gathered the children of Dog’

- (125) \**kà*    *xlá-f-tá*            *zwàn-à*            *kri*  
 SEQ    gather-UP-REF    child:PL-GEN    dog  
 for ‘and he gathered the children of Dog’

The verb retains its tone before the extension *f*:

- (126) *pghà-f-pghà*  
 accompany-UP-accompany  
 ‘he accompanied him’

- (127) *pghá-f-pghá*  
 pour-UP-pour  
 ‘he poured on something’

### 9.2. The functions of the upward movement extension

The extension *f* adds the meaning of upward movement to verbs of movement and non-movement alike:

- (128) *vrà-gá-f-vrà*            *gà*    *mókóló*  
 return-INN-UP-return    PREP    Mokolo  
 ‘he returned from Mokolo’ (his place is higher than Mokolo)

- (129) *xnà-dá-f-xná-lú*        *tá*    *hlà*  
 cut-ALL-UP-cut-UH        OBJ    cow  
 ‘they slaughtered a cow [and took it to a place at a higher level]’

With the verb *hlà* ‘to fall’ the extension adds the meaning “to fall upon something, to find”:

- (130) *hlà-f-hl-í*  
 fall-UP-fall-1SG  
 ‘I found it by chance’

- (131) *hlà-hl-í*  
 fall-fall-1SG  
 ‘I fell down’

With verbs that involve movement of an object the extension indicates the direction in the movement of the object:

- (132) *kà*    *tsùà-gá-f-tá-tsí*            *tàa,*    *tàa,*    *tàa,*    . . .    *tá*  
 SEQ    pull-INN-UP-REF-3SG    OBJ    OBJ    OBJ                    OBJ  
*rvéré*  
 lion  
 ‘he pulled up Lion’

- (133) *xlá-f-xlà*                    *tá*    *zwàn-à*        *kri*    *kà*  
 pick up-UP-pick up        OBJ    child:PL-GEN    dog    SEQ  
*xwáyá*  
 run  
 ‘he picked up the children of Dog and ran’

With non-movement verbs the extension *f* describes the manner of the verb. Opening a covered object involves movement up:

- (134) *kà gúná-ná-f-tá sígà*  
 SEQ open-DEM-UP-REF pot  
 ‘and he opened the pot’

Opening the door cannot involve the upward movement extension *f*:

- (135) *kà gúná-ná-tá tghà*  
 SEQ open-DEM-REF door  
 ‘and he opened the door’

With some verbs, such as *díyá* ‘germinate’, *hlíyá* ‘get up’, and *hlgà* ‘plant’, the upward movement extension *f* is an obligatory component of the verbal complex:

- (136) *hlògà-f-hlògá-xèn tá hlògú àmá díyá-f á*  
 plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ plant but germinate-UP NEG  
*xìyá wù*  
 corn NEG  
 ‘They planted, but the corn did not germinate.’

- (137) *díyá-f-díyá-tsí yá ná àmá tà*  
 germinate-UP-germinate-3SG DEM DEM but IMPF  
*ghúálá-kú ghúálá-kú*  
 dry-ABS dry-ABS  
 ‘It has germinated; however, it dries up’

- (138) *kà hlíyá-f-tá zvàxw kà d'áwá-f-tá ntfàn*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF bat SEQ ask-UP-REF glue  
*d'áwá-f-xà-tá d'áwrà*  
 ask-UP-ALSO-REF cloth  
 ‘The bat left and asked for glue and also for clothing’

With many verbs, the extension displays a semantic broadening of prepositions “on” or “up”, such as the coding of completeness of the event, achievement of the intended result, or total affectedness of the object, similar to English “up” in “cut up”, “fill up”, “eat up”:

- (139) *ndà-f-ndà*  
swallow-UP-swallow  
'he swallowed everything' (fast)
- (140) *ndà-'á-ndà*  
swallow-PART-swallow  
'he swallowed some'
- (141) *bátá-f-bátá*  
court-UP-court  
'he courted a girl' (and he was successful)
- Cf.:
- (142) *bátà-ŋ-bátà*  
court-TENT-court  
'he tried to court a girl'

A likely source of the grammaticalization of the extension *f* is the verb *fá* 'put':

- (143) *kà fá-t-í tá zlíb-á-dá mà xgá*  
SEQ put-REF-1SG OBJ bag-GEN-1SG in house  
'I put my bag in the house'
- (144) *kà fá-f-t-í tá xàrí tà pàlà*  
SEQ put-UP-REF-1SG OBJ intestine PREP stone  
'I put an intestine on a stone' (a procedure expected to prevent a mishap)

## 10. The "movement into" extension *m*

The extension *m(á)*, which we gloss as "IN", indicates movement inside a locative goal. This is fundamentally different from the inner space extension, which is oriented with respect to the inner space of the source or goal.

The movement-into extension adds the spatial orientation of movement. The reference point for the spatial orientation may be the locative object:

- (145) *vrá-m-vrà dà vlà-ní*  
return-IN-return to place-3SG  
'it returned to its place' (e.g. a dislocated bone)

- (146) *vrá-m-vrà*            *dà lèkól*  
 return-IN-return    to school  
 ‘he returned to school’
- (147) *kǎ́éri kà lá-m-ì dà lwá tsá mǐndú-xà yá*  
 Kderi SEQ go-IN-REF PREP place DEF man-PL DEM  
 ‘and Kderi entered the village of the people’

The reference point of the spatial orientation, however, does not have to be the locative object or adjunct:

- (148) *àw, lá-m-là dá dífâ-úgh-tà*  
 INTERJ go-IN-go PURP hide-SO-REF  
 ‘go in and hide yourself’
- (149) *kà và-m-tá vú*  
 SEQ light-IN-REF fire  
 ‘he lit the fire inside [it]’
- (150) *ngá lá-mà-ní ndá tà zlàṅgwáǎ̀k*  
 NORM go-IN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance broken in the wall  
 ‘She should enter through the back of the compound.’

The extension may follow other extensions, such as *dá* and *gá*:

- (151) *z-ú-zà kà pǎ̀a-dá-m-tà kǐ'yá*  
 eat-SO-eat SEQ leave-ALL-IN-REF small  
 ‘he ate outside and the rest [of the food] was brought inside’
- (152) *sà-w-í tà-gá-m-tà tá ìmí*  
 arrive-SO-REF draw-INN-IN-draw OBJ water  
 ‘fetch the water and bring it here!’

## 11. “Movement out” extension p

### 11.1. The forms of the movement-out extension

Like all locative extensions, the movement-out extension, glossed “OUT”, must be preceded by the goal-orientation marker *a*. The verb retains its underlying tone before the extension *p*.

- (153) *sá-p-sà*  
arrive-OUT-arrive  
'he came out'
- (154) *zá-p-zà*  
eat-OUT-eat  
'he took something out and ate it'
- (155) *sà-p-sà*  
drink-OUT-drink  
'he emptied a container by drinking'

The movement-out extension has two phonetic realizations: voiceless *p* and voiced *b*. Almost all verbs have the extension marked by the voiceless variant. In addition to the examples above, compare the following:

- (156) *skwá-pà á í wà* [*skwápíwà*]  
buy-OUT NEG 1SG NEG  
'I did not sell'

The voiced realization occurs only with the verbs *sá* 'come', and *lá* 'go':

- (157) [*sá-b-í wà*] (← *sá-bà -á-í wà*)  
arrive-OUT:NEG-1SG NEG  
'I did not come out' (syllabic break between *b* and *í*)
- (158) *lá-b-à-í wà* or *lá-bì-í wà*  
go-OUT-NEG:1SG NEG  
'I did not go out'
- (159) \**lá-p-à-í wà*  
go-OUT-NEG:1SG NEG  
for 'I did not go'

The different behavior of these verbs with respect to the extension *p* parallels their different behavior with respect to the referential marker in sequential clauses, which is *i* rather than *ta*. But even with these two verbs, when the extension is followed by a voiceless consonant, it is voiceless, in accordance with the constraint on the feature voice in clusters:



- (160) *sá-b-s-í . . .* [sápsí] *jíbil*  
 arrive-OUT-go-1SG outdoors  
 'I went out'

### 11.2. The functions of the movement-out extension

When used with verbs of motion, the extension indicates movement out from a deictic center, not necessarily an enclosed space:

- (161) *kà gávà-dá-p-tá-xèn*  
 SEQ move-ALL-OUT-REF-3PL  
 'they moved out'

- (162) *ngá sá-bà tsá m̀ndú yá jíbil ndá*  
 NORM go-OUT DEM man DEM outdoors ASSC  
*lgùt-á ngrá tà vghá-ní*  
 cloth-GEN black PREP body-3SG  
 'The man should come out wearing black clothes.'

With transitive verbs that do not involve movement, the extension codes the removal of something from an object:

- (163) *àsé (Hau.) là-p-là tá vól mà xàdík*  
 while dig-OUT-dig OBJ place PREP ground  
 'While he previously dug up a hole in the ground'

The extension also codes the onset of an event:

- (164) *tà xúlá tsá kà ghùbàsá-p-tá lá jíjì*  
 PREP back DEF SEQ laugh-OUT-REF COLL in-laws  
 'Afterwards the in-laws burst out laughing.'

When the "OUT" extension is added to the verb "buy", it codes the notion of "selling", since the object is being taken away from its place:

- (165) *skwá-p-skwà tá hlà tà lúmá*  
 buy-OUT-buy OBJ cow PREP market  
 'he sold a cow at the market'

Cf.:

- (166) *skwá-skwà tá hlà tà lúmá*  
 buy-buy OBJ cow PREP market  
 'he bought a cow at the market'

The extension has become a part of many verbs, where its contribution to the total meaning cannot be easily isolated, e.g. the verb *ndàná* ‘think’:

- (167) *ndàná-p-xà*      *kà*      *màndú-xà* . . .  
 think-OUT-DOWN    like    man-PL  
 ‘he thought about people . . .’

The extension ‘OUT’ also has the non-locative meaning of surpassing a certain norm:

- (168) *xàdú*    *zwaŋ*    *tà*      *màlá-p-tá*      *classe 3*      *wà*  
 NEG    child    IMPF    be big-OUT-REF    grade (Fr.) 3    NEG  
 ‘there is no child who has passed grade 3’ (written source)
- (169) *kà*      *yàwú*    *ndà-kw-à-gá-p-tà*      *xdi* . . .  
 SEQ    time    exist-ABS-INN-OUT-REF    Hdi  
 ‘Ever since Xdi has existed . . .’ (written source)

Like the preverbs coding the direction out of the deictic center in Slavic languages, the extension ‘OUT’ is used with verbs coding change from one object into another:

- (170) *tà*      *xúlá*    *tsá*      *mbad'*    *ká-ŋni*      *kà*  
 PREP    back    DEF    THEN    COMP-1PL.EXCL    SEQ  
*mbàd'á-pá-f-tá*      *xgá*    *tsa*      *gúyá*  
 change-OUT-UP-REF    name    DEF    association  
 ‘afterwards we changed the name of the association’

## 12. The extension *rə*

The extension *rə* occurs as the last in the sequence of all extensions. Since there were only two instances of this extension in our texts, the function of this extension remains to be explained:

- (171) *ksà-dá-p-rə-lú*      *tá*      *tv-á*      *púrkútú ndzúm-á ní*  
 touch-ALL-OUT-XXX-UH    OBJ    road-GEN    story-GEN-3SG  
 ‘following the stages of its history . . .’
- (172) *kábgà*      *nghà-dá-p-rə-tsí*      *tá*      *d'áŋgwà* . . .  
 because    see-ALL-OUT-XXX-3SG    OBJ    poverty  
 ‘because he evaluated the poverty . . .’

### 13. Conclusions

The system of locative extensions serves several functions. One function is to code the directional orientation of movement with respect to the deictic center. For the distal extension there is a distinction between source and goal orientation, and for goal orientation there is an additional distinction between the goal-oriented point of view and the point of view other than the goal, coded by tonal changes. The locative extensions allow for a description of movement along the vertical dimension, from lower to higher or from higher to lower. They also allow for the description of movement from inside out or from outside in. One extension codes movement with respect to the inner space. One extension codes allative movement toward a referential place. Several extensions code the spatial orientation of the movement, such as up, down, and out. Several extensions can occur with the same verb, each extension coding a different parameter with respect to direction, spatial orientation, specificity of the locative goal, and the manner in which the event is performed.

Some extensions derive from prepositions: *dá*, *gá*, *m*. Other extensions derive from verbs: *f* 'up' from the verb *fá* 'put'. We have no information about the possible source of the distal extension *gh*.

Although the primary function of the extensions is locative, many extensions have acquired secondary functions.



# Chapter 11

## Modalities

### 1. Introduction

The speaker's attitude(s) toward a proposition is coded by verbal inflections, including tone; by affixes; and, with respect to the nature of complement clauses, by clausal order. The discussion below is divided into a description of epistemic and deontic modality coding. The issues involved in complement clauses are discussed in chapters dealing with complementation. We consider interrogatives to be a type of epistemic modality, the speaker's desire to find out the truth of the proposition or to obtain an answer about a specific component of the proposition.

### 2. Epistemic modality

Hdi belongs to those languages where the speaker's belief in the truth of the proposition constitutes the default modality of the unmarked indicative clause (cf. Frajzyngier 1985f, 1987b). The evidence for this default meaning is provided by the meaning of marked moods such as hypothetical or interrogative, which express doubt in truth, hedging about truth, or inquiry about truth. The discussion of the marked modalities thus provides evidence for the meaning of the clause in the indicative mood.

### 3. Hypothetical mood

There are several means of marking hypothetical modality. Each means has a different scope and different tense properties.

Hypothetical modality may be coded by the clause-final particle *ɓà*. The scope of the hypothetical may be delimited by the clause-initial marker *bá* (note that the consonant is not glottalized):

- (1)    *bá*      *lá-b-lá*      *ɓá*  
      HYP    go-OUT-go HYP  
      'perhaps he went'

- (2) *ká ùvá mántsá bá tà dzà-í bá . . .*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP IMPF go-1SG HYP  
 ‘Cat said: I might go but . . .’
- (3) *ó ìr-á dzàgùlà mà d-ú’ú bá*  
 INTERJ eye-GEN large white beans father-1DU HYP  
*mántsá ká pákává ghúvi*  
 like that COMP hyena  
 ‘“Oh, perhaps this is the eye of the white beans of our father,”  
 said Hyena.’

The hypothetical mood may also be coded by the verb *kúmà* ‘want’ in the imperfective followed by a complement clause:

- (4) *yáwà mtá tá dá-ní mà mndú tà kúm-ày*  
 well death PREP father-3SG PREP man IMPF want-PO  
*tá màrà-n-tà kà zlày ná ndá gl-íyù*  
 OBJ show-3-REF SEQ COMP COMP STAT grow-1SG  
*támá*  
 already  
 ‘Well, the death of one’s father would mean that he is already an adult.’

Hypothetical modality may also be marked by the form *má*. This form is followed by the referential past tense marker *sí*, followed by the verb:

- (5) *ká ùvá mántsá má sí tà*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP PAST IMPF  
*dzá-í mndán . . .*  
 go-1SG but  
 ‘Cat answered: I might go but . . .’
- (6) *má tà dzà-í mndán ná dún ká*  
 HYP IMPF go-1SG but COMP except 2SG  
*dzà’á xgà-n-tá rvéí ká gwì’yán ndá yàghí*  
 FUT call-3-REF lion COMP elephant ASSC squirrel  
 ‘“I would go, on the condition that you do not invite Lion,” Elephant said to Squirrel.’

#### 4. Epistemic adverbs

Epistemic adverbs, unlike locative adverbs, occur in clause-initial position. The adverb *pàtək* ‘perhaps’ has been recorded only in the hedging function with respect to past events or with respect to some referential proposition (both examples from written sources):

- (7) *pàtək ndá mà ghwà tá bgàhlà,*  
 perhaps ASSC IN river PREP bgahla  
 ‘perhaps in the direction of the river Bgahla’

- (8) *pàtək mà vəkú 1952 pàtək mà vəkú 1958*  
 perhaps PREP year 1952 perhaps PREP year 1958  
*kú-lù*  
 COMP-UH  
 ‘perhaps in the year 1952, perhaps in the year 1958, they say’

The modal phrase *kà wáyà* ‘perhaps’ followed by the complementizer *ká’a* has been recorded only with respect to future, hypothetical propositions:

- (9) *kà wáyà ká-’á mà mú . . .*  
 perhaps COMP exist  
 ‘perhaps there will be . . .’

Some epistemic adverbs have a reduplicated form, indicating that they are derived from another lexical category. We postulate such a derivation on the basis of analogy with other reduplicative derivations, even though our data do not always contain the lexical item from which the adverb is derived. An example is *xótxótà* ‘certainly’:

- (10) *kà xótxótà dzà’á skwá-tà lgùt-á-ní*  
 SEQ certainly FUT buy-REF cloth-GEN-3SG  
*màxtsím*  
 tomorrow  
 ‘certainly he will buy his clothes tomorrow’

- (11) *xár kà ksú-tá-xəŋ tá grá kà*  
 until SEQ touch:SO-REF-3PL OBJ friend LIKE  
*xót xótà ndá gawa*  
 true ASSC Gawa  
 ‘until they became true friends of Gawa’ (written sources)

## 5. Imperative modality

Within the deontic mood, there is a further distinction between a direct order to the second person, singular or plural, and an indirect obligation (subjunctive) with respect to all persons, including the second person.

### 5.1. The imperative stem

The segmental and tonal structure of the simple verb in the imperative is identical with the segmental and tonal structure of the verb in the indicative mood. There are two types of imperative addressees: singular (unmarked) and plural (marked). The only subject pronouns that can be added to the imperative are first-person dual inclusive and first-person plural inclusive. The imperative forms thus make a distinction between second-person singular and plural and first person dual inclusive and first-person plural inclusive. The first-person pronouns can be added to the simple or the reduplicated stem.

Imperative	Gloss
<i>lá</i>	'go!'
<i>klá</i>	'take it!'
<i>zlghà</i>	'take it!'
<i>dà</i>	'cook it!'
<i>kátá</i>	'help!'

Singular addressee:

- (12) *xgà tá krì*  
 call OBJ dog  
 'call the dog!'

Plural addressee:

- (13) *ghl-í-ǰ-ìŋ-wá-ghlá tá tví kà*  
 let-AWAY-1SG-3-PL-let OBJ road SEQ  
*mná-ghúná-t-í*  
 tell-2PL-REF-1SG  
 'Let me tell you . . . '

First-person plural inclusive imperative:



- (14) *z-ú-mà mbízà kùrúkù*  
 eat-SO-1PL.INCL bean dish first  
 'Let us first eat the bean dish.'

Examples of the use of the imperative stem with other persons are given below in the section 6.2.

### 5.2. The perfective in the imperative

The reduplicated form of the verb is used in the imperative to code bounded events. There are different constraints for the use of reduplicated intransitive and transitive verbs in the imperative. Intransitive verbs may be simply reduplicated without any additional morphemes:

- (15) *xnà-xnà*  
 'lie down!'
- (16) *lǎ-lǎ*  
 'go down!' (speaker at the same place as the hearer)
- (17) *là-là*  
 'dig up roots!'
- (18) *sná-snà*  
 'listen!'

Evidence for the perfective meaning of the reduplicated form is provided by the fact that it cannot be used to code durative events. One cannot use the reduplicated form with the plural form *xàná* 'sleep!' of the verb *xnà* 'lie down'. The reduplicated form cannot be used with adverbs coding duration:

- (19) \**dà-dà tá ghzú mà fitík xkón*  
 cook-cook OBJ beer in day three  
 for 'cook beer for three days'

One can use an extended period of time with a reduplicated verb, but the time is treated as one unit:

- (20) *dà-dà tá ghzú mà fitúk xkón*  
 cook-cook OBJ beer in day three  
 'she cooked beer within three days'

Independent pronouns may follow the imperative in contrastive focus constructions. The independent pronouns in the imperative modality, however, constitute a separate phrase, as evidenced by the fact that the verb ends in a low tone before pronouns. Recall that in the indicative mood if the subject follows the verb, the verb ends in high tone, a characteristic of the phrase-internal position.

- (21) *nzànzà kághá kà lá-b-l-ìyù ká kri*  
 remain 2SG SEQ go-OUT-go-1SG COMP dog  
 '“You stay, I should go,” said Dog.'
- (22) *ks-íxà-ksá tá skwì tà kól sárák kà*  
 take-1SG-take OBJ thing PREP take stick SEQ  
*ghzlá-xá mndú,*  
 chase-DOWN man  
 'do for me what sticks do to maintain discipline over man' (written source)

### 5.3. Object coding in the imperative

The imperative differs from the indicative mood in the coding of the object. There is an interesting correlation between the reduplication of the verb, extensions, and the way the object is marked. If there are no extensions to the verb, the object is coded by the preposition *tá*, as in the indicative mood:

- (23) *ghùbá tá sígà*  
 wash OBJ pot  
 'wash the pot!'
- (24) *ksá tá mbàgá*  
 catch OBJ mouse  
 'catch a mouse!'

If the verb is reduplicated, the object is also marked by the preposition *tá*:

*ghùb-í-n-ghùbá tá sígà*  
 wash-AWAY-3-wash OBJ pot  
 'wash the pot!'

If there is an extension to the verb but the verb is not reduplicated, the object is marked only by the position following the verb:

(25) *mbsá-f sígà*  
 cover-UP pot  
 'cover the pot!'

(26) \**mbsá-f tá sígà*  
 cover-UP OBJ pot  
 for 'cover the pot'

(27) *ghùb-ín sígà*  
 wash-AWAY pot  
 'wash the pot!'

\**ghùb-ín tá sígà*  
 wash-AWAY OBJ pot  
 for 'wash the pot'

(28) *ksá-f mbàgá*  
 catch-UP mouse  
 'catch a mouse'

(29) \**ksá-f tá mbàgá*  
 catch-UP OBJ mouse  
 for 'catch a mouse'

The coding of the object in the imperative mood is different from the coding of the object in the subjunctive mood.

#### 5.4. Number distinction in the imperative

The plurality of the addressee in the imperative is marked by the form *wá* (the tone is lowered in phrase-final position) suffixed to the simple form of the verb or infixed in the reduplicated form:

- (30) *s-ù-wá-sà* *sà-wá*  
 drink-SO-PL-drink  
 ‘drink!’ (plural addressee)
- sá-wá-sá* *sá-wá*  
 arrive-PL-arrive  
 ‘come down!’
- (31) *xwáy-wá-xwáyá tá xwáyá ká xəŋ*  
 run-PL-run OBJ run COMP 3PL  
 ‘“Run away,” they said’
- (32) *lá-wá-lá dà ghəŋg-á xədík dá*  
 go-PL-GO PREP head-GEN world PURP  
*kás-ì-dí-k-tá m̀ndú-xà, . . .*  
 catch:PL-AWAY-1SG-INN-REF man-PL  
 ‘Go into the world in order to bring me men . . .’

If the verb has extensions, the plural imperative marker occurs after the extensions:

- (33) *z-ú-wá-zá*  
 eat-SO-PL-eat  
 ‘eat everything, empty your dishes!’ (plural)
- (34) *zá-vá-wá-zá*  
 eat-APPL-PL-eat  
 ‘eat everything, empty your dishes!’ (plural)
- (35) *kl-í-g-í-dá-ghà-wá kdîx-á-dà, ká-’á*  
 take-EP-INN-AWAY-1SG-GO-PL donkey-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“Bring me my donkey,” he said’

In a sequence of verbs in the imperative mood, the plurality of the verb is coded only with the first verb. The second verb is, however, followed by the independent second-person plural pronoun:

- (36) *zá-wá kà dzà’á kúnì*  
 eat-PL SEQ go 2PL  
 ‘eat and go!’

- (37) *zá-wá kà sà kúni*  
 eat-PL SEQ drink 2PL  
 'eat and drink!'

### 5.5. Politeness and the imperative modality

Politeness in the imperative is coded through the clause-final particle *ba*, the same marker that codes the hypothetical mood:

- (38) *nghá t-íí ba*  
 look OBJ-1SG HYP  
 'look at me, wouldn't you'
- (39) *lá-m-là dá nghá-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 go-IN-go PURP look-REF PREP hibiscus  
*xàd yà ba ká'-á ndá ùvá*  
 here DEM HYP COMP-3SG ASSC cat  
 'He told Cat, "Enter into this hibiscus and eat what is inside."'

## 6. Subjunctive modality

### 6.1. The form of the subjunctive construction

Subjunctive modality as used in the present work refers to the coding of obligation with respect to all persons, including the second person. There are two types of subjunctive modality: One codes obligation with respect to a referential subject or a specific situation; the other codes obligation with respect to an unspecified subject or an unspecified situation.

Subjunctive constructions share with sequential clauses the sequential marker *kà*. There are two important differences between the clause marked for subjunctive modality and the sequential clause: The clause with sequential modality coding the mood of obligation may be the first clause in a sequence. A sequential indicative clause cannot be the first clause in a sequence. In sequential clauses in the indicative mood, which also have the marker *kà*, the verb cannot be reduplicated. In the indicative mood the third-person singular subject is unmarked with the reduplicated form of the verb. In addition to the marker *kà*, the subjunctive is marked by the low tone on verbal extensions and on the referential marker *tà*. If the subject pronoun is the first morpheme after the verb, it has low tone. Otherwise subject pronouns have high tone. We gloss *kà* as "SEQ" in order to

maintain the uniformity of glossing in the grammar. The identity of the sequential marker and the marker of obligation obtains also in other Chadic languages (Frajzyngier 1993, 1996).

All arguments in a subjunctive modality clause are marked as in the indicative mood. The subjunctive mood can apply to two aspects: imperfective and perfective.

### 6.2. The subjunctive mood in the independent imperfective aspect

The imperfective subjunctive mood is marked by the goal-oriented marker *a* following the verb. The vowel *a* assumes the tone of the verb. In the indicative mood of the imperfective aspect the verb has no vowel other than the epenthetic schwa:

(40) *kà zá Pghìntà*  
SEQ eat Phinta  
'Phinta should eat'

(41) *kà sà Pghíntà*  
SEQ drink Phinta  
'Phinta should drink'

Compare the sequential clause:

(42) *kà zó Pghìntà*  
SEQ eat Phinta  
'and Phinta ate'

(43) *kà sò Pghìntà*  
SEQ drink Phinta  
'and Phinta drank'

The monosyllabic C(C)V subject pronouns, i.e. third-person singular *tsí*, second-person singular *ká*, first-person plural inclusive *mú*, and first-person exclusive *ɲní*, which have high tone in the indicative mood, have low tone in the subjunctive mood:

(44) *kà zá-tsi (tá skwì)*  
SEQ eat-3SG OBJ food  
'let him eat!'

Cf.:

- (45) *kà zǎ-tsí tá skwì*  
 SEQ eat-3SG OBJ food  
 'and he eats'
- (46) *kà kátá-tsi tá í [tí-í] kǎà ghàlám*  
 SEQ help-3SG OBJ 1SG end dry season  
 'he should help me next year'
- Cf.:
- (47) *kà kát-ixà-tá-tsí*  
 SEQ help-1SG-REF-3SG  
 'and he helped me'

## Second-person singular:

- (48) *kà zǎ-kà (tá skwì)*  
 SEQ eat-2SG OBJ food  
 'let him eat!'
- Cf.:
- (49) *kà zǎ-ká tá skwì*  
 SEQ eat-2SG OBJ food  
 'and he eats'
- (50) *kà kátá-kà tá í kǎà ghàlám*  
 SEQ help-2SG OBJ 1SG end dry season  
 'you should help me next year'
- Cf.:
- (51) *kà kát-ixà-tá-ká*  
 SEQ help-1SG-REF-2SG  
 'and you helped me'

## First-person plural inclusive:

- (52) *kà zǎ-mù*  
 SEQ eat-1PL.INCL  
 'we should eat!'
- Cf.:
- (53) *kà zǎ-mú*  
 SEQ eat-1PL.INCL  
 'and we eat'

The first-person dual subject, which is bisyllabic *úú*, has the second syllable with low tone in subjunctive, but with high tone in the indicative:

(54) *kà zú'ù*  
 SEQ eat-1DU  
 'let us eat!'

(55) *kà zú'ú*  
 SEQ eat-1DU  
 'and we eat'

First-person singular subject:

(56) *kà zí (tá skwì)*  
 SEQ eat-1SG OBJ food  
 'let me eat!'

Cf.:

(57) *kà zí'í*  
 SEQ eat-1SG  
 'and I eat'

The tone of the second-person plural subject, which is always high, is low in the subjunctive:

(58) *kà wùdá-kùni tá wùdá ká-'á*  
 SEQ fight-2PL OBJ fight COMP-3SG  
 'he said that you (PL) should fight.'

(59) *kà wùdá-kúni tá wùdá ká-'á*  
 SEQ fight-2PL OBJ fight COMP-3SG  
 'and he said that you fight'

The tone of the third-person plural subject, which is always low, remains low in the subjunctive mood, but the subjunctive function is coded by the goal-oriented vowel *a* following the verb:

(60) *kà wùdá-xèn tá wùdá ká-'á*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight COMP-3SG  
 'he said that they should fight.'

(61) *kà wùdá-xèn tá wùdá ká-'á*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight COMP-3SG  
 'and he said that they fight'



The evidence that the vowel *a* codes the subjunctive mood in the imperfective aspect and is not simply a part of the verbal stem is provided by the phonetic form of the absolutive marker *kú* in the imperfective subjunctive. It is realized as [kwà], labialization being the evidence of the *kú* + *a* fusion:

- (62) *kà drá-kw-á-tsi mà lúmá*  
 SEQ burn-ABS-3SG in market  
 ‘it should burn for one week’ (the borrowed word *lúmá* ‘market’ [Ful.] is a handy expression for “week” because markets are held weekly)

The negative subjunctive in the imperfective is coded by the form *xàd*, which also codes the negative imperfective in the indicative mood. If there is a referential marker added to the verb, the marker has low tone in the subjunctive mood. The third-person singular is not overtly marked in the negative subjunctive:

- (63) *xàd tà xàn-tà wà*  
 NEG IMPF lie:PL-REF:SUBJ NEG  
 ‘he should not sleep’
- (64) *xàd tà xwáyá-tà wà*  
 NEG IMPF run-REF:SUBJ NEG  
 ‘he should not run it’

If the verb has an extension or an object pronoun, it must have a referential marker *tà*. Unlike in indicative modality, the referential marker has low tone:

- (65) *kà mbèl sanà-n-tà índà mndú-xà*  
 SEQ xxx know:PL-3-REF:SUBJ all man-PL  
 ‘so that everybody knows it [the history of Hdi people]’ (written sources)

Subject pronouns following the sequential marker have high tone:

- (66) *kà hli’á-dá-p-tà-mú mà ná*  
 SEQ leave-ALL-OUT-REF:SUBJ-1PL PREP DEM  
*xgá ná*  
 house DEM  
 ‘we need to leave this house’

- (67) *kà hl-úwá-ghá-tà-tsí*  
 SEQ find-1DU-D:GO-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
 'and he should find the two of us'

Cf.:

- (68) *kà hl-úwá-ghá-tá-tsí*  
 SEQ find-1DU-D:GO-REF-3SG  
 'and he found the two of us'

### 6.3. The perfective aspect and subjunctive modality

The perfective aspect in the subjunctive may be coded solely by the referential marker *ta*. The evidence for the perfective function of the referential subjunctive marker *tà* is provided by the fact that it cannot occur together with an adverb coding a durative situation:

- (69) *kà wùdá-tà-xèn tá wùdá \*(xá vákú xìs)*  
 SEQ fight-REF:SUBJ-3PL OBJ fight during year two  
 'they should fight the fight \*[for two years]'

Cf.:

- (70) *kà wùdá-tá-xèn tá wùdá*  
 SEQ fight-REF-3PL OBJ fight  
 'and they fought the fight'

The perfective aspect may also be coded by the reduplicated verb. The function of the reduplicated verb (as opposed to the referential marker *tà*) is to code the proximity of the event to the time of speech or some other time established in the discourse. The evidence for this hypothesis is provided by the fact that the reduplicated form cannot have a remote time adverb following it, while the simple verb in the subjunctive may have remote time reference:

- (71) *kà kát-ìxà-kátá-tsí \*kǎá ghàlám*  
 SEQ help-1SG-help-3SG end dry season  
 'he should help me'

- (72) *kà kátá-tsí tá í kǎá ghàlám*  
 SEQ help-3SG OBJ 1SG end dry season  
 'he should help me next year'

- (73) *kà ndá ñghá-kà ná kà mná-ná-tà*  
 SEQ STAT see-2SG COMP SEQ tell-DEM-REF  
*ká kà kát-ixà-kátá-tsí káá*  
 OMP SEQ help-1SG-help-3SG COMP  
 ‘when you see him, tell him that he should help me’

Discourse contexts where the reduplicated subjunctive form is used also indicate the time proximity of the action/event:

- (74) *nzànzà kághá kà lá-b-l-íyù ká kri*  
 remain 2SG SEQ go-OUT-go-1SG COMP dog  
 ‘“You stay, I should go,” said Dog.’

#### 6.4. The subjunctive in equational clauses

The subjunctive mood in equational clauses is coded by the predicate followed by the complementizer *ká*, followed by the subject of the clause. If the subject is pronominal, the pronouns are drawn from the verbal set, and they have low rather than high tone:

- (75) *xdí ká-tsì*  
 Hdi COMP-3SG  
 ‘she should be Hdi’ (talking about choosing a wife)
- (76) *xdí ká-xàn*  
 Hdi COMP-3PL  
 ‘they should be Hdi’
- (77) *ndá mbrá ká-tsì*  
 ASSC strong COMP-3SG  
 ‘he/she should be strong’

The subjunctive mood in equational clauses is negated by the frame *á . . . wà*:

- (78) *xdí á ká tsì wà [xdá-á-ká tsù-wà]*  
 Hdi NEG COMP 3SG NEG  
 ‘she should not be Hdi’

## 7. Normative modality

Hdi has grammaticalized a modality that codes activities and norms to be followed as a general rule in pursuit of certain goals, or norms and rules that exist even if no action on the part of the subject is involved. We label this “normative”. This modality is coded by the preposition *ngá* ‘for’ (glossed as “NORM”) in clause-initial position. The verb in the normative mood cannot be reduplicated. Pronominal subjects are drawn from the possessive set:

- (79) *ngá xwáyá-ní ndá zèŋ-zèŋ*  
 NORM run-3SG ASSC curved knife  
 ‘He should run around with a curved knife.’
- (80) *tà xúl-á vákú xìs ngá pgh-ày-ní tá*  
 PREP back-GEN year two NORM pour-PO-3SG OBJ  
*pghù*  
 libation  
 ‘after two years he should pour a libation’

Clauses in the normative mood may have nominal subjects. In our data such subjects are non-referential, although they could be definite, i.e. mentioned in previous discourse, as in following examples describing the general roles of participants in a ceremony to mark becoming the head of a household:

- (81) *ngá sá-bà tsá m̀ndú yá j̀b̀ìl*  
 NORM go-OUT DEM man DEM outdoors  
*ndá l̀g̀ùt-á ngrá tà vghá-ní*  
 ASSC cloth-GEN black PREP body-3SG  
 ‘The man should come out wearing black clothes.’
- (82) *ngá lá-bà m̀ndú-xà ksà-gá-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-OUT man-PL catch-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
 ‘people should go out and catch him’
- (83) *ngá dà-gá-ghà-tà índà grá-xà-ní tá*  
 FOR cook-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ all friend-PL-3SG OBJ  
*ghzú*  
 beer  
 ‘All of his friends should cook beer and bring it there.’

When the subject refers to unspecified humans, it is unmarked, because the unspecified human subject *lú* does not have a possessive counterpart:

- (84) *ngá dà-ghá-tà . . .*  
 NORM cook-D:GO-REF:SUBJ  
 'one should cook and take it away'
- (85) *ngá skál-áy ndá lúwá tá bìdá dèy dèy*  
 NORM dance-PO ASSC sky PREP millet exactly (Hau.)  
*fitík-á imí*  
 time-GEN water  
 'One should celebrate during the year of millet, and only during the rainy season.'

The normative marker is used to code usual events, without any notion of obligation:

- (86) *tà xúlá tsá ngá xgà-f-tá xgà ghúní . . .*  
 PREP back DEF NORM call-UP-REF call 2PL  
 'Afterwards, they would call you up . . .'
- (87) *gá màxtsím-á-ní ngá sá-ghà*  
 PREP tomorrow-GEN-3SG NORM arrive-D:PVG  
*tsá màràkw yá ndá lá dá-ní*  
 DEF wife DEM ASSC COLL father-3SG  
 'the next day the wife shall return together with her parents'

## 8. The prohibitive mood

There are two types of prohibitive constructions: One is formed with the preposition *mà*, and the other is marked by auxiliary verbs. The prohibitive mood is not a part of the negation domain, since it does not have a clause-final negative particle.

### 8.1. The prohibitive through the preposition *mà*

There are two constructions involving the prohibitive *mà*. One construction has the root of the verb or the verb itself ending in schwa. The other construction has the verb ending in one of the stem-forming vowels, *a*, *i*,

or *u*. The stem-forming vowels *i* and *u* are not reduced in interconsonantal position:

- (88) *mà fú-tsí tá rdî*  
 PROH heat-3SG OBJ oil  
 'he should not heat the oil'

The prohibitive form of the verb *dzà'á* 'leave' is identical with the indicative form.

- (89) *mà dzà'á-ká*  
 PROH go-2SG  
 'do not leave'

Our natural discourse data have very few instances of prohibitive modality; moreover, only one type of prohibitive modality is represented.

The subject pronouns have high rather than low tone. The tone of the verb is the same as the underlying tone. Compare the verb *sà* 'drink', which has underlying low tone, and the verb *zá* 'eat', which has underlying high tone:

- (90) *mà sà-ká (tá yà ìmí yá)*  
 PROH drink-2SG OBJ DEM water DEM  
 'do not drink [this water]'

- (91) *mà zà-ká (tá yà dáfá yá)*  
 PROH eat-2SG OBJ DEM food DEM  
 'do not eat [this food]'

The prohibitive form may occur with all persons and numbers. The third-person singular is marked by the form *tsí*:

- |      |  |   |
|------|--|---|
| (92) | <i>mà zà-ká</i><br>PROH eat-2SG<br>'do not eat!'         | <i>mà zà-kúní</i><br>PROH eat-2PL<br>'you (PL) should not eat!' |
| (93) | <i>mà zà-tsí</i><br>PROH eat-3SG<br>'he should not eat!' | <i>mà dè-tsí</i><br>PROH cook-3SG<br>'he should not cook!'      |

In the first person the vowel *i* replaces the last syllable of the verb, and as a result there is a long vowel at the end:

- (94) *mà zí-í*  
 PROH eat-1SG  
 'let me not eat!'

The first-person plural inclusive in the prohibitive is *mú* rather than *má*, which occurs in the imperative mood:

- (95) *mà zé-mú*  
 PROH eat-1PL.INCL  
 'let us not eat'

One cannot have the form *má* in the prohibitive mood:

- (96) \**mà zé-mà*  
 PROH eat-1PL.INCL  
 for 'let us (INCL) not eat'

In the imperative form only the form *má* can be used:

- (97) *zá-má*  
 eat-1PL.INCL  
 'let us eat!'
- (98) \**zá-mú*  
 for 'let us eat!'

Biconsonantal verbs with two obstruents have the prohibitive form CC $\epsilon$ :

- (99) *mà d'vó-ká*  
 PROH love-2SG  
 'do not love'

Biconsonantal verbs with the second consonant sonorant insert a schwa to satisfy the syllabification conditions:

- (100) *mà sán tàdá*  
 PROH know Tada  
 'Tada should not know'

Polyconsonantal verbs do not have a schwa in the prohibitive construction:

- (101) *mà ndàn-kà, ká zwàn-à lázgláftà ndá tsí*  
 PROH think-2SG COMP child:PL-GEN God ASSC 3SG  
 ‘“do not worry,” the children of God told him’
- (102) *mà tágh-ká tá skál-á m̀ndú*  
 PROH learn-2SG OBJ dance-GEN man  
 ‘do not learn the dance of others’ (interpretation: go your own way; do not imitate others.)
- (103) *mà wùdá-kún tá wùdá mà vwàx-á*  
 PROH fight-2PL OBJ fight PREP field-GEN  
*mídz-á-ǎǎ*  
 mother-in-law-GEN-1SG  
 ‘do not fight in the field of my mother-in-law.’
- (104) *mà g̀g̀id-àv́á-ká*  
 PROH move-APPL-2SG  
 ‘do not move’ (INTRANSITIVE)

## 8.2. The prohibitive and the -a form of the verb

Transitive verbs in the prohibitive form may have the vowel *a* added. This marker assumes the tone of the verb. Our hypothesis is that *a* is a referential marker. It is used when the goal is referential, viz. when a specific aim is to be achieved, a specific person affected, a specific place reached. These functions explain the use of *a* with spatial extensions. Additional support for the hypothesis about the referential function of the vowel *a* in the prohibitive construction is provided by its use with the referential past tense, i.e. the tense coding specific past time. This tense is marked by *sí*:

- (105) *sí má sà á ká wà*  
 PAST PROH drink NEG 2SG NEG  
 ‘you should not have been drinking’

The evidence for the proposed function of the marker *a* is provided by the fact that it must be used with the referential object or referential place:

- (106) *mà f-á-ká tá ùdzú xàdì yá*  
 PROH put-2SG OBJ wood place DEM  
 ‘do not put wood there’ (to somebody who intends to do so)



- (107) *mà* *ɓl-á-ká* *tá* *yà* *zligàmà* *yá*  
 PROH break-PART-2SG OBJ DEM branch DEM  
 'do not break that branch off'

Compare the non-referential object:

- (108) *mà* *ɓól-ká* *tá* *tsá* *zligàmà* *yá*  
 PROH break-2SG OBJ DEM branch DEM  
 'do not break the branch'

The marker *a* may be the only marker of the referentiality of the object:

- (109) *mà* *ts-á-ká* *tá* *fú*  
 PROH cut-PVG-2SG OBJ tree  
 'do not cut the branch off that tree'

- (110) *mà* *tsó-ká* *tá* *fú*  
 PROH cut-2SG OBJ tree  
 'do not cut the tree' (at the bottom)

- (111) *mà* *ksá-ká*  
 PROH catch-2SG  
 'do not wound/kill/devour it' (after the animal has been caught)

- (112) *mà* *ksó-ká*  
 PROH catch-2SG  
 'do not catch it'

If a spatial extension is added to the verb, the distinction between the two types of prohibitives is neutralized because all spatial markers require goal-oriented *á* to precede them:

- (113) *mà* *fá-f-ká* *tà* *údzù*  
 PROH put-UP-2SG PREP wood  
 'do not put [it] on wood'

### 8.3. The prohibitive through an auxiliary verb

The prohibitive modality may also be coded by auxiliary verb *yàghá* 'should not'. This form does not allow the negative marker at the end of

the clause. The form is used in complement and in main clauses. Subject pronouns are affixed to the verb, which is then reduced to *yàgh*:

- (114) *àmá yàgh-ká xgà-n-tá ùvá ká-’á*  
 but should not-2SG call-3-REF cat COMP-3SG  
 ‘“but you should not invite Cat,” he said’

- (115) *yàghá-ká zá hlú’wí ndír-ndírì*  
 should not-2SG eat meat raw  
 ‘you should not eat raw meat’

The function of *yàghá* does not necessarily have to be agent oriented. It codes the negation of potential events in the future. In such function *yàghá* is followed by the purpose marker *dá*:

- (116) *kàgbá tsá yá kló xdi-xà kà kà*  
 reason DEF DEM take Hdi-PL SEQ SEQ  
*wúyá skwì ngá dàdà-xà mà xàdík*  
 celebrate thing PREP father-PL PREP earth  
*yàghá-xèŋ dá ghúyá d’àngwà mà xàdík*  
 should not-3PL PURP suffer illness PREP earth  
 ‘that is why Hdi celebrate the cult of ancestors, so as not to suffer calamities on earth’

## 9. Emotive modality, or warning

There is a clause-initial adverb consisting of the marker *wí*, sometimes followed by the middle-distance demonstrative *yà* ‘here’. The function of this marker is to warn the hearer and possibly to express disapproval of hearer’s actions:

- (117) *wí ìmí*  
 ‘watch out: water’ (to somebody who is about to step into water)

The marker *wí* (*yà*) ‘there’ also serves as a marker of surprise and occurs in clause-initial position:

- (118) *wí yà lá- m-là*  
 there go-IN-go  
 ‘he has entered’, ‘he is inside’

- (119) *wí yà yàghá ká xgà-n-tá kòr*  
 there should not 2SG call-3-REF dog  
*kí-ì kày ká ùvá ndá yàghí*  
 COMP-1SG INTERJ COMP cat ASSC Squirrel  
 ‘“Didn’t I tell you not to invite Dog?” Cat said to Squirrel.’

## 10. Conclusions

As in many other languages, the unmarked indicative sentence indicates the speaker’s belief in the truth of the proposition. Doubt in truth and certainty of truth are coded through epistemic adverbs. . The hypothetical modality is coded through the clause-initial marker *má*. There are three deontic modalities coded in the grammatical system: the imperative; the subjunctive, marked through the clause-initial marker *kà*; and the prohibitive, marked through the preposition *mà* and auxiliary verb *yàghá*. The normative modality codes customs or norms to be followed in general, but does not express obligation with respect to the referential situation. The negation of subjunctive in the imperfective involves the use of the verb *xad* ‘not to exist’.



# Chapter 12

## Aspect

### 1. Two aspectual systems

As a working definition, we take “aspect” to mean the temporal characteristic(s) of an event within a certain time frame. In addition to marking the temporal characteristic of the event, however, aspects in Hdi, as in many Chadic languages, carry the function of coding the pragmatic characteristic of a clause, viz. whether the clause should be interpreted on its own or in connection with some other preceding or ensuing propositions (cf. Jungraithmayr 1994, Frajzyngier 1997 MS). There are two aspectual systems: One system occurs in affirmative indicative clauses, in yes/no questions, and in comments on topicalized constituents. These types of clauses do not require any specific presupposition for their proper semantic interpretation. They can be produced at the beginning of conversations or narratives, and when no previous knowledge of a specific context is required. We call them pragmatically independent clauses.

The other aspectual system occurs in comments on the focused constructions, relative clauses, wh-questions, and presentative constructions. All of these clauses are comments on a proposition that has been previously mentioned in discourse (comment on the focused elements, comment on the head of the relative clause), or their proper interpretation involves a specific discourse presupposition, such as the assumption of the truth of the proposition for wh-questions or knowledge of what has been said before for sequential clauses. We call them pragmatically dependent clauses.

There are two aspects that code the pragmatic status of the clause: perfective and imperfective. The other aspect, viz. stative, does not code the pragmatic status.

We have chosen the label *perfective* for the forms that code bounded events, those with a well-determined beginning or end or both. The forms indicate that an event or process, but not a state, is no longer taking place, or in the case of imperative modality, that the event is bounded. The perfective aspect can also be used in the future tense when it codes the

boundedness of an event in the future time. The perfective aspect may also be marked in the deontic mood.

There are two means of coding the perfective, depending on the type of clause. In pragmatically independent clauses, the perfective is coded through reduplication of the verb. In pragmatically dependent clauses, the perfective is coded by the vowel *a* suffixed to the verb before the subject and object. The imperfective aspect is coded by preposition *tà* (identical with the locative stative preposition) in both dependent and independent clauses. In an independent clause the verb is represented by the nominal form, and in a pragmatically dependent clause, the verb is represented by the root when preceding the subject.

The referential marker *-tá* may occur in both the perfective and the imperfective aspects. Table 14 presents an overview of the formal distinctions involving aspect:

*Table 14. Aspectual system*

	Independent	Dependent
Perfective	reduplication	verb- <i>a</i>
Imperfective	<i>tà</i> nominal verb	<i>tà</i> verbal root
Stative	<i>ndá</i> verb- <i>a</i>	

## 2. The perfective aspect in pragmatically independent clauses

In pragmatically independent clauses, the perfective aspect is marked by reduplication of the sentence-initial verb. The final vowel of the second part of the reduplicated verb is always *a*, unless it has been replaced by the vowel of the subject pronoun, such as *i* for the first-person singular and *u* for the first-person dual. We describe first the morphology of reduplication, then the functions of the reduplicated form.

### 2.1. The morphology of reduplication

The form of the reduplicated verb is Verb-(Extension)-(Object)-(Extension)-Verb-(Subject). The first part of the reduplicated verb has the stem-final vowel. The second reduplicated form ends in the vowel *a* regardless of the person of the subject. The third-person singular pronominal subject is unmarked, and the verb ends in low tone, regardless of the underlying tone of the verb:

(1) *s-ù-sà*  
‘he drank up’

*z-ú-zà*  
‘he ate up’

(2) *v-í-n-và*                      *tá*      *vú*  
light-AWAY-3-light      OBJ      fire  
‘he lit a fire’

The final vowel of the verb has high tone if followed by a pronominal or a nominal subject, again regardless of the underlying tone of the verb:

(3) *v-í-n-vá-ká*                      *tá*      *vú*  
light-AWAY-3-light-2SG      OBJ      fire  
‘you lit a fire’

(4) *v-í-n-vá-mú/ɲní*    *tá*      *vú*  
light-AWAY-3-light-1PL.INCL/1PL.EXCL      OBJ      fire  
‘we (INCL/EXCL) lit a fire’

(5) *v-í-n-vá-kúní/xèn*                      *tá*      *vú*  
light-AWAY-3-light-2PL/3PL      OBJ      fire  
‘you (PL)/they lit a fire’

Here are examples of high- and low-tone verbs that must end in high tone when followed by nominal subjects:

(6) *xgà-xgá*    *yàghí*      *tá*      *kri*  
call-call      squirrel      OBJ      dog  
‘Squirrel invited Dog’

(7) *ksá-ksá*      *yàghí*      *tá*      *kri*  
catch-catch      squirrel      OBJ      dog  
‘Squirrel caught Dog’

If the subject begins with a vowel, as is the case for the first-person singular, the vowel of the subject replaces the last vowel of the verb and assumes its tone. The inherently low-tone verbs have high tone in the first-person singular subject marker. This fact provides additional evidence that the verb-final syllable has high tone when followed by the subject:

(8) *s-ù-s-í*  
 drink-SO-drink-1SG  
 'I drank up'

(9) *sá-s-í*  
 arrive-arrive-1SG  
 'I came down'

*zú-z-ì*  
 eat-SO-eat-1SG  
 'I ate up'

The low tone on the third-person singular subject is a marker of the subject, as evidenced by the fact that nominal objects must be preceded by the preposition *tá*:

(11) *xgà-xgà tá kɾì*  
 call-call OBJ dog  
 'he invited Dog'

(12) *ksá-ksà tá kɾì*  
 touch-touch OBJ dog  
 'he wounded/killed a dog' (The verb *ksá* 'touch' is one of many euphemisms for a variety of actions that involve killing.)

In our glosses we leave the third-person singular subject in perfective indicative clauses unmarked.

Object affixes appear after the first occurrence of the verb stem and are followed by extensions, if any. Recall that the distinction between direct and dative objects is marked by the tone preceding the object pronoun and by the tone on the pronoun itself.

## 2.2. The functions of the perfective through reduplication

The reduplicated form of the verb is used to code bounded events in affirmative indicative clauses with no element in focus, and in yes/no interrogative clauses. The reduplicated form of the verb is used at the beginning of paragraphs or narratives, i.e. in those situations where the proposition does not follow other propositions:



- (13) *yáyà-yáyá* *kđerí tá zwàn-ì*  
 give birth:PL-give birth:PL Kderi OBJ child-PL  
*ghwáŋ-á-pďè xìs*  
 ten-GEN-plus two  
 ‘Kderi begot twelve children’

In conversations the reduplicated form is the first form produced by the speaker when taking turns, provided the event described in the proposition is bounded:

- (14) *tò, lá-lá-ká ndá gì íŋní rí*  
 OK go-go-2SG to compound (Mafa) 1PL.EXCL Q  
 ‘Did you go to our place?’
- (15) *vàghà-vàghá-ká rà*  
 spend the day-spend the day-2SG Q  
 ‘did you pass the day well?’ (a greeting in the afternoon/evening)
- (16) *vàghà-vàgh-í pràfé*  
 spend the day-spend the day-1SG Prafe  
 ‘I passed the day well, Prafe’ (reply to the afternoon greeting)

Evidence that the reduplicated form of the verb is an aspectual rather than a tense category is provided by the fact that it may be used with the future tense marker *dzà’á*:

- (17) *dzà’á sá-ghà-sá-xèn ndá wùdà ndá sígà*  
 FUT arrive-D:PVG-arrive-3PL ASSC big pot ASSC pot  
 ‘They will bring a *wuda* and a *sigà* [of beer].’
- (18) *dzà’á skwá-p-skwá nàsàrá-ngrá, ká-xəŋ tà*  
 FUT buy-OUT-buy white man-black<sup>8</sup> COMP 3PL IMP  
*ndàn-áy*  
 think-PO  
 ‘The black boss is going to sell [the child], they thought.’

The perfective through reduplication may be used in the imperative mood:

- (19) *zlá-ŋnà-ŋ-wá-zlá ká-xèn,*  
 leave-1PL.EXCL-3-PL-leave COMP-3PL  
 ‘“Leave (PL) it for us,” they said.’

The reduplicated form may occur after a complementizer:

- (20) *mántsá yá tà má ná ndzà-ndzá lá*  
 like that now COMP remain-remain COLL  
*dáblóm mándá zwáŋ-á táglá gáwá*  
 Diblem like child-GEN adoptive Gawa  
 ‘That is how the Diblem people became like the adoptive children  
 of Gawa.’ (written source)

The perfective may be coded through the reduplicated form only in a pragmatically independent clause. In a pragmatically dependent clause a different means to code the perfective must be used. The following example contains the same verb (in its lexicalized plural and singular forms) in the perfective aspect in the matrix clause and in the comment-on-focus clause:

- (21) *dzà’á phlá-phlá-xèn tá mndú kàbgà*  
 FUT kill.PL-kill.PL-3PL OBJ man because  
*xáxèŋ tá dzà-tá dá-dà ká gawa.*  
 3PL COM kill.SG-REF father-1SG COMP Gawa  
 ‘“They will kill us, because it is they who killed my father,” said  
 Gawa.’ (*phlá* is suppletive plural of *dzà* ‘kill’)

### 3. The perfective aspect in pragmatically dependent clauses

#### 3.1. The forms of the perfective in pragmatically dependent clauses

The perfective aspect cannot be coded through reduplication in pragmatically dependent clauses. Instead of reduplication the perfective in pragmatically dependent clauses is marked by the suffix *a* preceding the pronominal or nominal subject. The verb keeps its underlying tone, whether high or low. We illustrate this form with examples of the perfective in specific interrogative clauses. Note that the third-person singular subject is coded by the form *tsí* when the question is about the object:

- (22) *ná sí dà-tsí*  
 what PAST cook:GO-3SG  
 ‘what did he cook?’ (The action has ended, and the cooking has  
 been done.)

- (23) *ná mágá-ká gà ghúní nà*  
 what do:GO-2M PREP 2PL Q  
 'what did you do at home?'

The root form of the verb cannot be used in the pragmatically dependent perfective:

- (24) \**nú tá zé-xèn (nà)*  
 which thing COM eat-3PL Q  
 for 'What ate them?'

If the adverb of time is fronted, the clause following it is pragmatically dependent. If the subject occurs before the verb, e.g. when it follows the temporal adverb *mbàd' ká* 'then', the object directly follows the verb, which has the goal-oriented vowel *a*:

- (25) *mbàd' ká xdí-xà kày kà phlá tsá*  
 then COMP Hdi-PL INTERJ SEQ kill.PL DEF  
*plis-xà yá . . .*  
 horse-PL DEM  
 'Then Hdi killed the horses . . .'

If the event is referential, the referential suffix *tá* is the only indication of perfectivity:

- (26) *kà kùzà-ná-ghá-tá vli tà tví*  
 SEQ darken-DEM-D:GO-REF space PREP road  
 'and the darkness surprised him on the road' (lit. 'the space darkened around him on the road')

- (27) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká*  
 PREP other-GEN time then COMP  
*ì pákává ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá krì*  
 ASSC.PL hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 'At one time, Hyena and Dog lived together.' (They do not live together anymore.)

Compare the imperfective:

- (28) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká*  
 PREP other-GEN time then COMP  
*ì pákává ghúvì kà nzà-kú ndá krì*  
 ASSC.PL hyena SEQ stay-ABS ASSC dog  
 'At one time, Hyena and Dog lived together.' (no implication that they do not live together anymore)

The third-person singular, which is unmarked in the reduplicated perfective, is marked by the suffix *tsí* if there is a noun phrase other than the subject preceding the verb. Here is an example of the use of the perfective in the relative clause, one of the types of clauses requiring the dependent clause aspectual coding:

- (29) *tsá mndú-xà tà wù-tsí yá*  
 DEF man-PL PREP take:PL-3SG DEM  
 'people that he has gathered'

### 3.2. Types of clauses that require dependent clause perfective coding

#### 3.2.1. Clauses following presentative constructions

The presentative function (originally proposed by Hetzron 1971) involves presenting one element of a proposition to be commented on by the rest of the proposition. Most of such clauses have the referential marker *tá* because they refer to a specific event:

- (30) *mà ghúamá mántsá yá má dzà-tà-xəŋ*  
 in war like DEM even kill-REF:SUBJ-3PL  
*tá Gulu*  
 OBJ Gulu  
 'It is in that type of war that they killed Gulu'

#### 3.2.2. Comment on a focused element

Aspectual coding is one of the means of coding a comment on the focused element. The focused element is always fronted. If the focus is on the subject, the comment on focus is preceded by the particle *tá*, glossed as "COM", for "comment marker *tá*". The verb has the referential marker *tá* in such a case:

- (31) *tsá mǐndú-xà yá tá tàxá-f-tá sá-ghà*  
 DEF man-PL COP COM start-UP-REF arrive-D:PVG  
*tà xàdík ká xdi*  
 PREP land of Hdi  
 ‘These people are the first to arrive in the Hdi land.’
- (32) *kàbgà xáxəŋ tá dzà-tá dá-dá ká gawa*  
 because 3PL COM kill-REF father-1SG COMP Gawa  
 ‘“Because it is they who killed my father,” said Gawa’
- (33) *vàzák tá dífâ-ná-tà*  
 rooster COM hide-DEM-REF  
 ‘it is Rooster that hid him’

If the focused element is the object, there is no comment marker *tá*, and more important, the verb does not have the referential marker *tá*:

- (34) *vàzák dífâ-ná-tsí*  
 rooster hide-DEM-3SG  
 ‘it is Rooster that he hid’

### 3.2.3. Relative clauses

A relative clause is a comment on the head noun. In such a clause only the dependent aspectual forms are used:

- (35) *xùtsà, tá yà-gá-p-tá mǐndrá lá*  
 Xutsa COM give birth-INN-OUT-REF clan COLL  
*xùtsà*  
 Xutsa  
 ‘It is Xutsa who begot the clan of Xutsa’

### 3.2.4. The dependent perfective in specific questions

In specific interrogative clauses the perfective is marked by the vowel *a* ending the verb, unless the event is referential with a referential object, when the verb has the referential suffix *tá*:

- (36) *wá fá-tsí nà*  
 who put-3SG Q  
 ‘whom did he put?’

- (37) *ná fá-tsí nà*  
 what put-3SG Q  
 ‘what did he put?’
- (38) *wá tá fá-tà nà*  
 who COM put-REF Q  
 ‘who put it?’
- (39) *gá fá-tá-tsí nà*  
 where put-3SG-REF Q  
 ‘where did he put it?’

### 3.2.5. The perfective in negative clauses

The perfective in negative clauses is characterized by the non-reduplicated verb stem ending in *a*. This form is different from imperfective in negative clauses. There are no prepositions preceding the verb. Nominal and pronominal subjects follow the verb, and if the verb has extensions, subjects follow the extensions. Since the event did not occur, it is not part of reality, not referential; therefore the referential marker *ta* does not occur in negative clauses:

- (40) *ngà-ná-ngà rí ngà-ná wà á ná*  
 grab-DEM-grab Q grab-DEM NEG 3SG DEM  
*pákáwá ghúvì nà*  
 hyena DEM  
 ‘“Did or didn’t Hyena grab it?”’
- (41) *àmá hlògà-f-hlògá-xòn tá hlògà ré*  
 but plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ plant Q  
*àrí hlògà-f á wà xòn*  
 or plant-UP NEG NEG 3PL  
 ‘But did they plant or didn’t they?’

The tense aspectual value of the unmarked aspect is underspecified. In each case the tense aspect interpretation is computed from the discourse environment. The two preceding sentences had a past tense interpretation. The following sentence has a present tense interpretation:

- (42) à rí sná wá ká tá kòbàrà gwál  
 or Q know NEG 2SG OBJ news (Ful.) people  
 gí ìṣnì nà  
 compound 1PL.EXCL Q  
 'Do you know any news about people from our compound?'

#### 4. The imperfective aspect

Like the perfective, the imperfective also has two forms, one used in pragmatically independent clauses and the other in pragmatically dependent clauses. Both types share as one of their markers the locative preposition *tà* preceding the verb. The use of this preposition suggests that the imperfective originated as an expression of the type 'X be at Y', coded by the form *tà Y X*, where Y represents a location and X represents a subject. The location is the nominal form of the verb. The grammaticalization of *tà* from a locative preposition to a marker of the imperfective aspect came about as a result of a functional extension of the locative expression where the element Y could be filled by one of various verbal forms. We call the imperfective in pragmatically independent clauses the "independent imperfective", and the imperfective in pragmatically dependent clauses the "dependent imperfective".

##### 4.1. The form of the independent imperfective

The form of the independent imperfective is *tà* Verbal noun Subject (*tá* Object). In order to demonstrate the form of the independent imperfective, we present first the verbal nouns derived from various verbs:

(43)	Verb		Verbal noun	
	<i>kátà</i>	'help'	<i>kátù</i>	'help'
	<i>và</i>	'light a fire'	<i>vú</i>	'fire'
	<i>xàná</i>	'sleep'	<i>xàní</i>	'sleep'
	<i>skálà</i>	'dance'	<i>skálù</i>	'dance'
	<i>vàghá</i>	'spend time'	<i>vàghù</i>	'time spent'
	<i>pghá</i>	'spread'	<i>pghù</i>	'libation'
	<i>wàxá</i>	'cry'	<i>wàxú</i>	'cry'
	<i>dǵá</i>	'thresh'	<i>dǵú</i>	'threshing'

(44)	<i>vníxà</i>	'vomit'	<i>vnìxí</i>	'vomit'
	<i>xídà</i>	'bite'	<i>xídì</i>	'bite'
	<i>ghálà</i>	'steal'	<i>ghàlì</i>	'thief, 'theft'
	<i>fidá</i>	'plane (wood)'	<i>fidí</i>	'planing'

Examples of imperfective constructions:

(45)	<i>tà</i>	<i>vàl-ú</i>	<i>mbítsá</i>
	IMPF	jump-NOM	Mbitsa
	'Mbitsa jumps'		

(46)	<i>tà</i>	<i>ghál-ì</i>	<i>mbítsá</i>
	IMPF	steal-NOM	Mbitsa
	'Mbitsa steals'		

(47)	<i>tà</i>	<i>kát-ú</i>	<i>mbítsá</i>
	IMPF	help-NOM	Mbitsa
	'Mbitsa helps'		

If there is an object in the clause, the verb must be followed by the object marker *ay*, which replaces the last vowel of the verb and assumes its tone:

(48)	<i>z-áy</i>	'eat'
	<i>s-ày</i>	'drink'
	<i>d-ày</i>	'cook'

#### Biconsonantal verbs

(49)	<i>kɔ-ày</i>	'finish'
	<i>kát-áy</i>	'help'
	<i>sn-áy</i>	'listen'
	<i>zlgħ-ày</i>	'help'
	<i>mt-ày</i>	'die'
	<i>ngh-áy</i>	'see'

The form of the verb with the object marker *-ay* is the form that native speakers give spontaneously as the citation form of verbs without extensions. Citation forms of verbs with extensions include the referential marker *-tá*.

The marker *-áy* is obligatory if the clause has an object marked by the preposition *tá*. The third-person singular pronominal subject is unmarked:



- (50) *tà s-ày tá ghzú bàd lúmá káwày*  
 IMPF drink-PO OBJ beer day market only (Hau.)  
 'he drinks beer only on market days'

Omission of the suffix *-áy* renders the sentence ungrammatical:

- (51) \**tà sè tá ghzú bàd lúmá káwày*  
 IMPF drink OBJ beer day market only (Hau.)  
 for 'he drinks beer only on market days'

Pronominal subjects other than the third person are added after the suffix *-áy*:

- (52) *tà d-ày-ká tá dâfá*  
 IMPF cook-PO-2SG OBJ food  
 'you cook'

- (53) *tà fs-áy-xən tá hlwí*  
 IMPF grill-PO-3PL OBJ meat  
 'they grill meat'

#### 4.2. The functions of the independent imperfective

The structure *tà* Verbal noun (Object) codes unbounded events. The evidence that this structure codes an aspectual rather than a tense category is provided by the fact that it may occur with different tense markers, e.g. with the specific past tense marker *sí* to produce the past imperfective:

- (54) *sí tà dʋ-áy-xən tá hlí-á-mú*  
 PAST IMPF want-PO-3SG OBJ leave-GEN-1PL.INCL  
 '[at that time] they wanted us to leave'

- (55) *sí tà d-ày tá dâfá kà skwá-p-tà*  
 PAST IMPF cook-PO OBJ food SEQ buy-OUT-REF  
*tà lúmá*  
 PREP market  
 '[at that time] she used to cook food and sell it at the market'

The evidence that the structure *tà* Nominalized verb (Object) represents unbounded events is provided by the fact that it can occur with adverbs coding duration:

- (56) *tà nù'w-ày-lú tá hlà vákú hìs kwá xkón*  
 IMPF fatten-PO-UH OBJ bull year two or three  
 'one fattens a bull for two or three years'

The independent imperfective is used in affirmative indicative clauses with no element in focus, in questions about the truth of a proposition, and in comments on topicalized elements. We first present examples of the use of the independent imperfective in affirmative indicative clauses and in questions about truth:

- (57) *tá tà tsk-áy-lú tá vghá*  
 COM IMPF gather-PO-UH OBJ body  
*tà hldày mántsá ghàlyá rà*  
 PREP often like once Q  
 'Do people gather as they used to?'

- (58) *tà tsk-áy-xèn dé ← dái* (Hau.)  
 IMPF gather-PO-3PL indeed  
 'They gather indeed.'

The independent imperfective cannot be used in a negative clause. The following example has the same verb in two clauses, both coding unbounded events. The affirmative clause has the independent imperfective with the potential object marker -áy, and the negative clause has just the verbal noun form:

- (59) *tsá myí-xà yá ná tà d'v-áy tá yà*  
 DEF wives-PL DEM COMP IMPF like-PO OBJ DEM  
*tùrtúk d'vá á tá yà tùrtúk-ù*  
 one like NEG OBJ DEM one-NEG  
 'Among these wives there, he liked one and did not like the other.'

In addition to the aspectual function, the independent imperfective also codes a clause as pragmatically independent, i.e. not requiring any other information for its proper interpretation. The independent imperfective is the principal means of distinguishing between topicalization and focus, both of which involve fronting of the topicalized or focused element. That the fronted element is the topic (rather than the focus) is marked by the independent imperfective:

- (60) *gàdúrù* *tà* *z-áy* *Mbitsa* *índà* *fitík*  
 pork IMPF eat-PO Mbitsa all time  
 'pork, Mbitsa always eats'

The function of the marker *-áy* requires additional commentary. The marker is not merely a mechanical result of the presence of an object phrase in the clause but rather is by itself a marker of the object in the proposition. The evidence for this hypothesis is provided by the use of *-ay* in clauses without a nominal object, as in the second clause of the following example, where *-áy* codes the same object as the one mentioned in the first clause:

- (61) *tà* *mág-áy* *tá* *kwá* *tá* *ghón* *àmá*  
 IMPF make-PO OBJ calabash PREP head but  
*xàd'* *tà* *kl-áy* *wà*  
 NEG IMPF take-PO NEG  
 'she makes head calabashes, but she does not wear one'

Additional evidence for the object function of the marker *-ay* is provided by the fact that intransitive verbs become transitive once the suffix *-ay* is added:

- (62) *tà* *vr-áy* *tù* *lúmá*  
 IMPF return-PO PREP market  
 'he returns to the market with something'

Cf.:

- (63) *tà* *vrú* *tù* *lúmá*  
 IMPF return PREP market  
 'he returns to the market'

The final piece of evidence for the function of the marker *áy* is provided by the fact that it does not occur in clauses that describe general activities with no specific object:

- (64) *tà* *skú* *bàdú* *lúmá* *káwày*  
 IMPF buy:NOM day market only  
 'she does the shopping only on market days'

- (65) *tà* *táw* *zwán*  
 IMPF cry child  
 'a child cries'



The marker *-kú* must also be used with the verb *nzá* or *ndzá* ‘stay, remain’:

- (70) *kà màmú m̀ndú kúl sá-ghà ndá*  
 SEQ exist man lack arrive-D:PVG ASSC  
*ndzá-kw-á-ní d̀ghwáná*  
 stay-ABS-GEN-3SG health  
*ndá kwálá-ní k̀l d̀ghwáná-kú tàní h̀liá-tán*  
 ASSC lack without health-ABS or go-3PL  
 ‘if somebody did not come, whether he is healthy or not, they will go . . .’

If the subject is not affected, the source-oriented marker is not used:

- (71) *tà b̀arzló ús*  
 IMPF run blood  
 ‘the blood runs’

## 5. The dependent imperfective aspect

### 5.1. The form of the dependent imperfective aspect

In the dependent imperfective clause, if the verb is not preceded by a complementizer, the subject, whether nominal or pronominal, must follow the verb. The verb occurs in its root form, i.e. without the final vowel for monosyllabic verbs, and with the first vowel for polysyllabic verbs. Recall that in the dependent perfective the verb ends in the vowel *a*. If the verb is monosyllabic, schwa is inserted, as required to carry the tone of the verb and for the syllabification needs.

- (72) *né sí d̀-tsí*  
 what PAST cook-3SG  
 ‘what did he want to cook [but did not manage to]? (The action has ended, but the cooking has not been accomplished.)’

Unlike in the perfective aspect, the underlying tone does not become high before nominal or pronominal subjects:

(73)	<i>ghzú</i>	<i>tà</i>	<i>sì-í</i>	<i>xiyá</i>	<i>tà</i>	<i>zí-í</i>
	beer	IMPF	drink-1SG	corn	IMPF	eat-1SG
	'It is beer that I drink'			'It is corn that I eat'		
	2SG		<i>sə-ká</i>			<i>zá-ká</i>
	3SG		<i>sə-tsí</i>			<i>zá-tsí</i>
	1DU		<i>sù-ú</i>			<i>zú-ú</i>
	1PL.INCL		<i>sə-mú</i>			<i>zá-mú</i>
	1PL.EXCL		<i>sə-ɲì</i>			<i>zá-ɲì</i>
	2PL		<i>sə-kúní</i>			<i>zá-kúní</i>
	3PL		<i>sə-xən</i>			<i>zá-xən</i>
	UH		<i>sù-lù</i>			<i>zú-lù</i>

Bisyllabic verbs have the root form only, i.e. consonants and the first vowel. There is no second vowel unless one is required by syllabification rules:

(74) *kà*    *díf*    *krì*  
 SEQ    hide    dog  
 'and the dog is hiding something'

(75) \**kà*    *dífá*    *krì*  
 SEQ    hide    dog  
 for 'and the dog is hiding'

Compare the pragmatically independent imperfective:

(76) *tà*    *dífá*    *krì*  
 IMPF    hiding dog  
 'the dog is hiding'

In the imperfective aspect the marker *a* suffixed to the verb marks the following pronoun or noun as the object rather than the subject:

(77) *ná*    *tà*    *zá-xən*    (*nà*)  
 what    IMPF    eat-3PL    Q  
 'what eats them?' (What is their predator?)

(78) *ní*    *tà*    *zá tsátsí*    (*nà*)  
 what    IMPF    eat 3SG    Q  
 'what eats this thing?'

## 5.2. The imperfective aspect in sequential clauses

In sequential clauses, i.e. clauses beginning with *kà*, the imperfective is marked by the root form of the verb rather than by the stem form:

- (79) *kà zó krì*  
SEQ eat dog  
'and Dog eats'
- (80) *kà sè krì*  
SEQ drink dog  
'and Dog drinks'
- (81) *kà ksó krì*  
SEQ touch Dog  
'And Dog devours'
- (82) *kà wùdà-xèn tá wùdá*  
SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight  
'and they were fighting.'

The independent imperfective cannot be used in sequential clauses:

- (83) \**kà z-ú krì*  
SEQ eat-SO dog  
for 'and the dog eats'
- (84) \**kà s-ù krì*  
SEQ drink-SO dog  
for 'and the dog drinks'

If the sequential clause is preceded by the form *mbàd ká*, the subject follows the complementizer, and the verb in sequential clause has the nominalized form:

- (85) *mbàd ká-'á kà xàní*  
then COMP-3SG SEQ sleep:PL:NOM  
'then he slept'
- (86) *mbàd ká-í kà mbàdá*  
then COMP-1SG SEQ walk  
'then I walked'

## 5.3. Argument coding in pragmatically dependent clauses

In the dependent imperfective the subject role of the argument is marked by the root form or the schwa:

- (87) *kàbgà mándáyà tà mág zwán-ì tà zlày*  
 because like IMPF do child-PL PREP often  
*gà xdí*  
 in Hdi  
 ‘As youngsters often do in Hdi’ (*zwáni* includes young people up to around 20 years old.)

The object following the subject is marked by the preposition *tá*:

- (88) *mà sán-à fitík kà xágè yàghí tá*  
 PREP one-GEN day SEQ invite:PL squirrel OBJ  
*mndú*  
 people  
 ‘One day Squirrel was inviting people . . .’

If the nominal object in the dependent imperfective follows the verb, it is coded by the vowel *á* and high tone at the end of the verb. Recall that the vowel *a* with high tone is the genitive marker. Thus the verb and the object form a genitive construction:

- (91) *kàbgà hámayádzi kál xdí kà bábá*  
 because Hamayadzi take Hdi SEQ build:PL:GEN  
*xgá tà ghwá tà ghwá*  
 house PREP mountain PREP mountain  
 ‘it is because of Hamyadzi that Hdi came to build houses on the mountains’ (written sources)
- (92) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká yàghí kà*  
 PREP one-GEN day then COMP squirrel SEQ  
*xág-á mndú ngá dzà'á dá vàghú*  
 invite:PL-GEN people FOR go PURP spend day  
*mù vwàx-á-ní*  
 PREP field-GEN-3SG  
 ‘One day Squirrel was inviting people to work in the field of his in-laws.’



- (93) *wúyá skwì tà klá-ghá-tà-ηnì*  
 here thing IMPF take-2SG-REF-1PL.EXCL  
 'here is the thing that we give you'

#### 5.4. The functions of the dependent imperfective

The dependent imperfective is used in sequential, comment on focus, and specific interrogative clauses. The preceding examples illustrate the use of the dependent imperfective in sequential clauses. If a constituent of a clause is in focus, the comment on the focus in the imperfective aspect is marked by the dependent imperfective:

- (94) *mándá xiyá tà ngh-íyù tá kághá*  
 like guinea corn IMPF see-1SG OBJ 2SG  
 'I see you as guinea corn'

The dependent imperfective is used in specific questions:

- (95) *nú tà zé-ká*  
 which thing IMPF eat-2SG:Q  
 'which thing do you eat?'

The dependent imperfective must be used in reason clauses. The independent imperfective is disallowed here:

- (96) *kàbgà mándá yà tà mág \*áy zwán-i tá*  
 because like that COP IMPF do child-PL OBJ  
*hlónà gà xdí*  
 work in Hdi  
 'because it is like that that the young men work in Hdi'

The dependent imperfective is in itself a marker of the pragmatically dependent clause. That means that whenever the dependent imperfective is used, the hearer must look for a relevant context for the proper interpretation of the clause. Thus the use of the dependent imperfective alone may induce a temporal protasis interpretation:

- (97) *tà vè mbítsá tá vú*  
 IMPF light Mbitsa OBJ fire  
 'when Mbitsa lights the fire'

Cf.:

(98) *tà v-ày mbítsá tá vú*  
 IMPF light-PO Mbitsa OBJ fire  
 ‘Mbitsa lights the fire’

(99) *tà skálú-lú tá skálú gírvidik*  
 IMPF dance-UH OBJ dance night  
 ‘one dances all night and . . .’

Compare the independent imperfective:

(100) *tà skál-áy-lú tá skálú gírvidik*  
 IMPF dance-PO-UH OBJ dance night  
 ‘They dance all night.’

(101) *tà díf krì*  
 IMPF hide dog  
 ‘while the dog is hiding’

(102) *tà ksá krì*  
 IMPF touch dog  
 ‘while the dog devours’

(103) *tà ksá-tsí*  
 IMPF touch-3SG  
 ‘while he devours’

The dependent imperfective is used in the comment on the focused element. Since both focused and topicalized elements are fronted, the dependent imperfective is the principal means of coding the focus construction:

(104) *skwì dágálá tà màr-tsí mà xídákwá xdí*  
 thing many PREP show-3SG PREP tradition Hdi  
*ká-’á*  
 COMP-3SG  
 ‘these are many things that are included in the civilization of Hdi’

(105) *tsá yà tà xgè xdí kà másélsél.*  
 DEF COP IMPF call Hdi as maselsel  
 ‘That is what Hdi call *maselsel* (*másélsél* ‘the rising of the first smoke while the meat is frying’ has religious significance. *sèlsélsél* is an ideophone about smoke rising.)

- (106) *tà sè bàd lúmá tá ghzú káwày* (Hau.)  
 IMPF drink day market OBJ beer only  
 'it is only on market days that he drinks beer'

Compare a non-focused construction:

- (107) *tà s-ày bàd lúmá tá ghzú káwày*  
 IMPF drink-PO day market OBJ beer only  
 'he drinks beer on market days only'

## 6. The progressive aspect

### 6.1. The form of the progressive aspect

The progressive is formed through the reduplication of the preposition *tà* followed by the reduplicated verbal root. The verb, whether transitive or intransitive, may have the suffix *-ay*. Subject suffixes occur only after the second of the reduplicated forms:

- (108) *tà xwáy-áy-xwáy-áy-xèn*  
 IMPF run-PO-run-PO-3PL  
 'they are running'

- (109) *tà z-áy-z-áy tá dâfá kùl xàd(ú) dâlí*  
 IMPF eat-PO-eat-PO OBJ food without lack sauce  
 'he is eating mush without the sauce' (action going on right now)

Cf.:

- (110) *tà z-áy tá dâfá kùl xàd(ú) dâlí*  
 IMPF eat-PO OBJ food without lack sauce  
 'he eats mush without the sauce'

The third-person singular is unmarked:

- (111) *tà xwáy-áy-xwáy-áy*  
 IMPF run-PO-run-PO  
 'he is running'

The object is marked by the preposition *tá*:

(112) *tà skw-áy-skw-áy tá hlà*  
 IMPF buy-PO-buy-PO OBJ cow  
 'he is buying a cow'

(113) *tà gl-áy-glá-íyù tá gù*  
 IMPF grow-PO-grow-1SG OBJ goat  
 'I am raising a goat'

### 6.2. The functions of the progressive aspect

The progressive form is used only in pragmatically independent clauses, such as affirmative indicative clauses and questions about the truth of a proposition. The progressive is used only with respect to an event going on at the time of speech:

(114) *tà tsgh-áy tsgh-áy-ká rí krí ká*  
 IMPF send-PO send-PO-2SG Q dog COMP  
*pákáwá ghúvì ná*  
 hyena DEM  
 '“Dog, are you sending them up?” said Hyena.'

(115) *tà wùd-áy-wùd-áy-xèn tá wùdá*  
 SEQ fight-PO-fight-PO-3PL OBJ fight  
 'they are fighting'

In pragmatically dependent clauses the reduplicated form does not occur, and instead the dependent imperfective is used:

(116) *kà wùdá-xèn tá wùdá*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight  
 'and they were fighting.'

But the temporal function is neither the only nor the most important function for which the progressive is used. Along with temporal simultaneity, the progressive is also a means of focusing on the verb. It cannot be used if the focus is on an element other than the verb. Consider the following facts: To the question “Where is he?” or “What is he doing?”, the answer could be:

- (117) *tà zá dâfá*  
 IMPF eat food  
 'he is eating'

To the question "is he eating?", the answer could also be:

- (118) *tà zá dâfá*  
 IMPF eat food  
 'he is eating'

or:

- (119) *tà z-áy z-áy tá dâfá*  
 IMPF eat-PO eat-po OBJ food  
 'he is eating'

To the question "What is he eating?", the answer could be:

- (120) *tà zá dâfá*  
 IMPF eat food  
 'he is eating mush'

But not:

- (121) \**tà z-áy z-áy tá dâfá*  
 IMPF eat-PO eat-PO OBJ food  
 for 'he is eating mush'

Here are a few natural discourse examples illustrating the focusing function of the progressive:

- (122) *mà tàbá tsá gùlù nà tà*  
 PREP middle DEF again DEM IMPF  
*dz-ày dz-ày xəj tá sà-n-à xídá-kw-á-táj*  
 weave-PO-weave-PO OBJ another-GEN wise-ABS-GEN-3PL  
 'In the meantime they were preparing another plan'

If the verb is intransitive and the event is non-referential, the verb occurs in the root form:

- (123) *tà vrə-vrə kđéri dzághà-nì*  
 IMPF return-return Kderi home-3SG  
 'and Kderi is returning home'

## 7. The stative aspect

What makes the stative aspect in Hdi interesting is that it may have in its scope either the affected or the controlling argument of the transitive verb. Moreover, the latter may occur with the object present in the clause.

### 7.1. The form of the stative

The stative aspect is marked by the associative preposition *ndá* followed by a non-reduplicated verbal stem ending in the vowel *a*. The presence of the simple rather than the reduplicated form is explained by the fact that the reduplicated form codes bounded events, and the stative is inherently unbounded:

- (124) *ndá xàlá tsá sígà yá*  
 STAT used DEF pot DEM  
 'the used pot'

In the stative aspect verbs cannot take extensions coding the semantic role of arguments or the directionality of the event. Thus the verb *mt* 'die' in the perfective aspect takes the source-oriented extension *u*. In the stative aspect it occurs only with the vowel *á*:

- (125) *ndá mtá dá-ní*  
 STAT die father-3SG  
 'his father is dead'

The absence of extensions again correlates with the stative nature of the event. All extensions add the value of referentiality to the event and hence delimit the event in one way or another.

The stative aspect may be a nominal category, as evidenced by the fact that it does not differ from nominal predicates:

- (126) *xwáyà-n-xwá-í yá ná àmá ndá xúl-í*  
 run-3-run-1SG COMP COMP but STAT back-1SG  
 'Although I ran, I was late'

### 7.2. Object coding in the stative aspect

The stative form may also occur with verbs of perception and of cognition, when the perceiving subject is not agentive. In such cases the verb may be followed by an object. The verb ends in high tone before the object as well. Unlike in other clauses, all objects, whether nominal or pronominal, are preceded by the object-marking preposition *tá*:

(127) *ndá sná tá plis-á-dà*  
 STAT know OBJ horse-GEN-1SG  
 'he knows my horse'

(128) *ndá ñghá tá plis-á-dà*  
 STAT see OBJ horse-GEN-1SG  
 'he saw my horse'

The verb cannot take pronominal object suffixes. Recall that in dynamic aspects the third-person pronominal object of verbs of perception is marked by the form *-n*.

(129) *wúyà ndá sná-ñni tá kághúni*  
 since STAT know-1PL.EXCL OBJ 2PL  
 'since we know you'

(130) *ndá sná-ká rí sárák,*  
 STAT know-2SG Q stick  
 'do you understand me, stick?'

### 7.3. The functions of the stative aspect

The core function of *ndá* is to indicate the existence of a certain state with respect to the subject of the clause. Evidence that the form marked by *ndá* is an aspectual category is provided by restrictions on its occurrence together with other aspectual markers, such as the perfective marked through reduplication. The perfective form indicates a definite end of the event, while the stative form does not:

(131) *ndá ñgh-íyù tá xèn mà vwàx*  
 STAT see-1SG OBJ 3PL PREP field  
 'I saw them in the field'

(132) *ndá sn-í tsátsí tà dzà'á*  
 STAT know-1SG 3SG IMPF go  
 'I knew that he will go'

(133) *ndá bágh-í*  
 STAT satisfy-1SG  
 'I am satisfied'

Compare the perfective:

(134) *bághá-f-bágh-í*  
 satisfy-UP-satisfy-1SG  
 'I was satisfied'

The function of the stative aspect is to code a state resulting from an action, an event rather than a natural state of things. When the verb is transitive and there is only one argument, this argument is interpreted as being in the state evoked by the verb:

(135) *ndá xná hlà*  
 STAT cut cow  
 'the cow is slaughtered'

(136) *ndá sá ìmí*  
 STAT drink water  
 'the water is drunk up'

(137) *ndá skwá hlà*  
 STAT buy cow  
 'the cow is sold'

An additional piece of evidence for the stative meaning is provided by the types of predicates with which *ndá* occurs and by the types of predicates with which it cannot occur. The stative marker occurs in clauses where stativity is compatible with the meaning of the predicate, for example, with the verb *sná* 'know':

(138) *kàbgà m̀ndrá tsá m̀ndú-xà yá yà ndá snà*  
 because clan DEF man-PL DEM DEM STAT know  
*tá tsáf-tá dùvúl yà ngá lmú ndá tsí*  
 OBJ make-REF metal DEM FOR war ASSC 3SG  
 'it is because this clan knows how to make metals to go to war with'



- (139) *ndá sná-ká rí sáràk, hlèv-í-ǵ-í-p-hlèvà*  
 STAT know-2SG Q stick hit.PL-AWAY-1SG-EP-UP-hit.PL  
 ‘you understand, stick, hit them for me’

The subject may be a notional patient or experiencer, as in the examples given above, but it may also be an agent:

- (140) *ndá mágá hlèná ghúní ká kǵérí*  
 STAT make work 2PL COMP Kderi  
 ‘“you worked well,” said Kderi’ (i.e., ‘I thank you’)

The pronominal subject of a stative construction is coded by the subject forms of the pronoun, even though it represents the affected argument, as in the following example where the expression *dzá-ká* ‘hit-2SG’ has the subject rather than the object pronoun:

- (141) *kà lívínà á ká tá ksá hlèná*  
 SEQ be able NEG 2SG OBJ touch work  
*msím msím má wù ndá dzá-ká*  
 fast fast or not STAT hit-2SG  
*ndá xǽrfá-ká má ndá dzá-ká*  
 STAT tiredness-2SG or STAT hit-2SG  
 ‘whether you are able to work fast or not you will be hit; whether you are tired or not, you will be hit’

With punctual verbs of perception, the stative form codes non-punctual characteristics of the event:

- (142) *ndá ngh-íyù tá mbítsá tà lúmá*  
 STAT see-1SG OBJ Mbitsa PREP market  
 ‘I saw Mbitsa at the market’

Compare the perfective:

- (143) *ngà-ng-íyù tá mbítsá tà lúmá*  
 see-see-1SG OBJ Mbitsa PREP market  
 ‘I spotted Mbitsa at the market’

If the subject of the clause is in the scope of the stative, no agent can be added:

- (144) \**hlà ndá xná dà mbítsá*  
 cow STAT slaughter PREP Mbitsa  
 for 'the cow is slaughtered by Mbitsa'

The stative marker cannot occur in a negative clause, and instead the dependent imperfective is used:

- (145) \**ndá sn-í tá gwàdǎ xdí wà*  
 STAT know-1SG OBJ language Hdi NEG  
 for 'I do not know Hdi'

Cf.:

- (146) *sn-ì tá gwàdǎ xdí wà*  
 know-1SG OBJ language Hdi NEG  
 'I do not know Hdi'

The explanation for this constraint on the occurrence of the stative marker and negation lies in the postulated grammaticalization of the stative marker from the associative preposition *ndá* 'with'. The inherent meaning of *ndá* is an affirmative whose logical form is 'be with'. Hdi has a negative equivalent of this affirmative form, which is *xàd* 'lack'. Hence the use of affirmative-only form with negation would constitute an internal contradiction between two forms within the same proposition.

The stative marker cannot occur with predicates that indicate the acquisition of a state. The perfective form of the verb must be used instead:

- (147) *dzà'á snà-n-sná ká tá gwàdǎ xdí*  
 FUT know-3-know 2SG OBJ language Hdi  
 'you should learn Hdi'

The unspecified object form cannot occur with the stative marker:

- (148) \**ndá gl-áy Mbítsá*  
 STAT grow-PO Mbitsa  
 for 'Mbitsa is big'

#### 7.4. The grammaticalization of the stative aspect

The stative aspect grammaticalized in several steps from the associative preposition *ndá*: (1) The associative marker becomes the possessive marker. In the absence of the verb "to have", the possessive clause is realized by the construction Associative Noun phrase Noun phrase NP. The

coding of possession through associative construction is common in African and non-African languages. (2) In the possessive construction, the place of the possessum can be taken by an intransitive or a transitive verb. The notion of “having” is thus extended from having an object to having, or being in, a state expressed by a transitive or intransitive verb.

## 8. Conclusions

The aspectual system of Hdi has two functions: one is to code aspectual distinctions, and the other is to code the pragmatic status of the clause. Two aspects, perfective and imperfective, have two forms each, one for the coding of pragmatically independent clauses and one for the coding of pragmatically dependent clauses. The perfective through reduplication codes the pragmatically independent clause. It is used at the beginning of narratives, conversations, and paragraphs. The perfective through the suffix *tá* codes pragmatically dependent clauses. The distinction between the two types of imperfective is marked through word order and suffixes to the verb. Transitive verbs in the imperfective in pragmatically dependent clauses do not have potential object marker *-áy*. In the progressive aspect (a subclass of the imperfective) and in the stative aspect there is no distinction between pragmatically dependent and pragmatically independent clauses.



## Chapter 13

### Coding the domain of referentiality of an event

#### 1. Introduction

There exists in Hdi a functional domain of referentiality of events, where the distinction is between referential (marked) and non-referential (unmarked) events. The referentiality of the event is coded by the suffix *-ta*, glossed as “REF”, added to the verb in the perfective or imperfective aspect.

The marker *-ta* codes reference to real world phenomena. The event is made referential if it affects a referential object or a referential predicate.

#### 2. Referentiality of object

If the object is hypothetical, i.e. non-referential, the suffix *-áy* is used to code such an object:

- (1) *xìyá*            (*ná*)    *xàd-ká*    *tà*    *dzáw-áy*    *wà*  
guinea corn    COMP    lack-2SG    IMPF    trade:PL-PO    NEG  
'guinea corn, you do not sell'<sup>9</sup>

If the object is referential, made so through a previous mention in discourse or present in the environment of speech, the verb has the suffix *-tá*:

- (2) *tsá*    *xìyá*            *yá*    *ná*    *xàd-ká*    *tà*  
DEF    guinea corn    DEM    COMP    lack-2SG    IMPF  
*dzáwá-tà*        *wà*  
trade:PL-REF    NEG  
'the guinea corn [previously mentioned], you do not sell'

- (3) *yá xiyá yá ná xàd-ká tà*  
 DEM guinea-corn DEM TOP lack-2SG IMPF  
*dzáwá-tà wà*  
 trade:PL-REF NEG  
 'this guinea corn here, you do not sell'

### 3. Referentiality and the perfective

The referential marker *-ta* cannot be added to the reduplicated form of the verb. This constraint follows directly from the proposed function of the marker *tá* and the function of the reduplicated form of the verb. The reduplicated form of the verb codes a bounded event. The boundedness makes it a specific, referential event:

- (4) *ghwálá-ghwálá tá zindín*  
 dry-dry OBJ germinated guinea corn  
 'he dried the germinated guinea corn' (for the brewing of beer)

The marker *-tá* is not used with such a verb, because the referentiality is already coded by the form of the verb. The co-occurrence of the reduplicated verb and the marker *-ta* would result in tautological coding. The co-occurrence of the reduplicated form of the verb and the marker *-ay* would be an internal contradiction of the coding means because the marker *-áy* specifically codes potential objects, hence the occurrence of *ay* with a bounded event would be contradictory.

The marker *-tá* can be used in the dependent perfective and imperfective aspects, with intransitive and transitive events:

- (5) *kà ghwálá-tá zindín*  
 SEQ dry-REF germinated guinea corn  
 'and germinated guinea corn has dried'

Compare the imperfective:

- (6) *tà ghwálá-tá zindín*  
 IMPF dry-REF germinated guinea corn  
 'while germinated guinea corn has been drying'
- (7) *kà ghwálá-tá-tsí tá zindín*  
 SEQ dry-REF-3SG OBJ germinated guinea corn  
 'and he dried the germinated guinea corn'

- (8) *tà ghwálá-tá-tsí tá zindín*  
 IMPF dry-REF-3SG OBJ germinated guinea corn  
 ‘while he was drying the germinated guinea corn’

#### 4. Referentiality of the event and adjuncts

The presence of adjuncts specifying time makes the event referential:

- (9) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká*  
 PREP other-GEN time then COMP  
*ì pákává ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá krì*  
 ASSC.PL hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 ‘At one time, Hyena and Dog lived together.’

The referential marker can be used as a diagnostic of whether the sequence of verbs in a clause represents one event or several events. If it is one event, the referential marker is used only once, with the last verb:

- (10) *kà l-íyù ngás-í-n-tá vú mìstá mbízà*  
 SEQ go-1SG push in-AWAY-3-REF fire under bean dish  
*ká pákáv ghúvì*  
 COMP hyena  
 ‘“I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,” said Hyena.’

The intransitive verbs *lá* ‘go’, *hli* ‘depart’, and *sá* ‘come’ have the referential marker *ì* instead of *tá*. Like the marker *tá*, marker *ì* occurs after extensions:

- (11) *kà lá-úgh-í ùvá dífâ-úgh-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-REF cat hide-SO-REF PREP hibiscus  
 ‘And Cat went and hid in the hibiscus.’

The event is referential if it took place at a well-determined time, e.g. after another event:

- (12) *mbàd' ká krì kà lá-b-ì*  
 then COMP dog SEQ depart-OUT-REF  
 ‘Then Dog went away.’

- (13) *mbàd' ká kɾì kà sá-ghw-í*  
 then COMP dog SEQ arrive-D:SO-REF  
 'Then Dog came.'

### 5. Aspect coding in sequential clauses and in the normative mood

Sequential clauses and normative mood have one feature in common, viz., they are marked by particles preceding the verb. There is a constraint that does not allow more than one particle from the tense-aspect-mood domains to precede the verb. Consequently, if the clause is marked for one of these categories, another category cannot be marked by the particle. The imperfective marker *tà* used in the unmarked clauses cannot be used if the sequential particle *kà* or the normative mood particle *ngá* is used. Consequently, in sequential clauses marked by the particle *kà*, the imperfective of intransitive verbs is coded only by the nominalized form of the verb:

- (14) *mbàd' ká xèn kà xwáyá*  
 then COMP 3PL SEQ run  
 'And they were running.'

Transitive verbs in the perfective aspect have the suffix *-tá* and, in the imperfective aspect, the suffix *-áy*. Consider the following clauses that follow each other in a narrative. The first one is perfective marked by the suffix *-ta* and the second is imperfective marked by the suffix *-áy*:

- (15) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farm  
 'Hyena had already farmed.' (It finished farming.)

- (16) *mbàd' ká xèn kà d'g-áy*  
 then COMP 3PL SEQ thresh-PO  
 'And they were threshing.'

Evidence that the marker *-áy* has the function of coding an unspecified object is provided by the fact that *-ay* cannot be omitted from intransitive verbs unless it is replaced by an object:



- (17) *mbàd' ká-xèn tà má kà dghàd-áy*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew-PO  
 'And now they are chewing.'
- (18) \**mbàd' ká-xèn tà má kà dghàd'á*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew  
 for 'And now they are chewing.'
- (19) *mbàd' ká-xèn tà má kà dghàd'á ùrná*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew peanuts  
 'And now they are chewing peanuts.'

The marker *áy* has acquired the function of coding imperfectivity as evidenced by the fact that it codes temporal simultaneity in a protasis clause:

- (20) *xwáy-áy-tán tá xwáyá*  
 run-PO-3PL OBJ run  
 'While they were running'
- (21) *d'g-áy-tán tá d'gú yá . . .*  
 thresh-PO-3PL OBJ threshing DEM  
 'While they were threshing'

Compare the perfective aspect in the temporal protasis clause:

- (22) *d'gá-tà-tán tá d'gú yá . . .*  
 thresh-REF-3PL OBJ threshing DEM  
 'After they threshed . . .'

Additional evidence for the referential versus non-referential functions of the markers *-ta* and *-ay* is provided by their use in the same mood and the same aspect: The marker *-áy* codes a non-referential event, and the marker *-ta* a referential one:

- (23) *tà xúl-á vákú xìs ngá pgh-ày-ní*  
 PREP back-GEN year two NORM pour-PO-3SG  
*tá pghù*  
 OBJ libation  
 'After two years he should pour a libation.' (i.e. proceed with the initiation)

- (24) *tà xúl-á dà tá ghzú ngá pghá-tá*  
 PREP back-GEN cook OBJ beer NORM pour-REF  
*sifí mà glifin*  
 dregs PREP glifin  
 ‘After the beer has been cooked, one should pour out the dregs into a *glifin*.’ (Dregs are referential, the product that is always associated with beer making. A *glifin* is a clay pot for dregs or inedible animal parts.)

Additional evidence for the imperfective coding of the marker *-áy* and the perfective coding of the marker *tá* is provided by their use when a verb is followed by a complement. The verb has to have an object marker. The marker *áy* is used to code imperfective and the marker *tá* is used to code perfective aspect:

- (25) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà tx-áy kà zlày . . .*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ expel-PO SEQ COMP  
 ‘then, hyena says like that: . . .’
- (26) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà txá-tà . . .*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ expel-REF  
*kà zlày*  
 SEQ COMP  
 ‘then, hyena said like that: . . .’

A predicate followed by a complement must have an object marked. The object markers have a double function: coding the object and coding the referentiality or the aspect of the event:

- (27) *mbàd' ká kà òghà-dá-ghà-tà ná . . .*  
 then COMP SEQ look-ALL-D:PVG-REF COMP  
 ‘he noticed that . . .’
- (28) *tà òghà-dá-ghà-tá-tsí ná . . .*  
 IMPF look-ALL-D:PVG-REF-3SG DEM  
 ‘while he was noticing that . . .’

The potential object marker *-áy* cannot be used if the verb is followed by extensions:

- (29) \*tà ñghà-dá-gh-áy-tsí ná . . .  
 IMPF look-ALL-D:PVG-PO-3SG DEM  
 for ‘while he was noticing that . . .’

A pronominal object added to a verb narrows the scope of the predicate and makes the event referential. Consequently, a verb with an object has the referential marker *-ta* in both the imperfective and the perfective aspects:

- (30) mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlghà-n-tà  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-REF  
 ‘Then Dog helped him.’

- (31) \*mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlghá-n-áy  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-PO  
 for ‘Then Dog helped him.’

If the verb is transitive and there is no other object, the suffix *-áy* must be added:

- (32) mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlghá-áy  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-PO  
 ‘Then Dog was helping.’

Some extensions make the event referential, and the marker *-ta* must occur when those extensions are used. Without such extensions the marker is not required:

- (33) kà l-íyù ngás-í-n-tá vú mìstá  
 SEQ go-1SG push in-AWAY-3-REF fire under  
 mbízà ká pákáv ghúvì  
 bean dish COMP hyena  
 ‘‘I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,’’ said Hyena.’

- (34) kà l-íyù ngásá vú mìstá mbízà  
 SEQ go-1SG push fire under bean dish  
 ká pákáv ghúvì  
 COMP hyena  
 ‘‘I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,’’ said Hyena.’

Not all verbs with extensions have to have the referential marker *-tá*. Two verbs of movement, *lá* 'go, depart' and *sá* 'arrive, come', do not allow the referential marker *-tá* if they have an extension:

- (35) *xàdǎ-xèn tà lá-xà wà*  
 lack-3PL IMPF go-down NEG  
 'they do not go down'

## 6. Conclusions

The language codes the referentiality of the event with the suffix *-tá* added to the verb. The referentiality of the event may involve any of the following: (1) referentiality of the object; (2) boundedness of the event in sequential clauses; and (3) presence of verbal extensions. The referential function of the marker *-ta* allows one to explain why it does not occur in negative clauses. That is because their events did not happen and are therefore inherently non-referential.

The potential object marker *-áy* codes non-referential objects with transitive verbs, and with complements of verbs of saying. It cannot occur if the verb has an extension, but it can follow a verb without extensions.

# Chapter 14

## Tense

### 1. Introduction

We use the term *tense* for the coding of temporal distinctions only. There are only three tense categories: the referential past and two future tenses. If a clause does not have any of the formal characteristics associated with the three tenses, its tense is unmarked, and any interpretation of the actual or relative time of the event has to be made on the basis of discourse configuration of events; aspectual characteristics, adverbs of time and other deictic categories, including extensions; and individual lexical items involved in the discourse. For example, clauses in the perfective aspect and verbs with the affected subject extension *ú* are often interpreted as in the past time, while clauses in the stative and imperfective aspects are often interpreted as involving the time of speech.

### 2. Referential past tense

We postulate the existence of the category *referential past* on the basis of the function performed by the particle *sí*. The form *sí* occurs at the beginning of the clause. We gloss this morpheme as “PAST”, but this designation means “referential past tense” rather than “past tense”. The time so referred to may be recent or remote. The form designates events that happened at some well-determined period of time:

- (1)    *iná*    *gùlí*    *kà*    *snà-n-tà*    *índà*    *m̀ndú-xà*    *tà*  
good    again    SEQ    know-3-REF    all    man-PL    PREP  
*kùmà*    *kàkí*    *sí*    *ndzà-kw-á*    *xdi*  
front    how    PAST    stay-ABS-GEN    hdi  
‘it would be good if the future generation knows how Hdi used to live’

The determination of the period of time can be achieved through an adverb of time or through a reference to an event in the preceding sentence

or discourse. In the following example the time reference occurs in the same clause:

- (2) *ká-xən mántsá, sí ndá gá ká ndá*  
 comp-3PL like that PAST ASSC where 2SG ASSC  
*rvídfík*  
 night  
 ‘and they said: where were you last night?’

In the following example, which in natural discourse follows the preceding one, the time reference is the one mentioned in the preceding example:

- (3) *sí tà zá wlíwá-dí ndá tà’á grà, ká-’á*  
 PAST IMPF eat walk-1SG ASSC PREP-DEM friend COMP-3S  
 ‘I was taking a walk on the other side, my friend, he said’

Similarly in the following example, the specific past refers to a time mentioned in a preceding discourse:

- (4) *sí hlíyá-f dá ráyá-ŋní mà mták*  
 PAST leave-UP PURP hunt-1PL.EXCL in bush  
 ‘we were hunting in the bush’ (answer to the question: Where were you last night?)

The marker *sí* may occur in negative clauses, though only in the ones formed with the auxiliary *xàdú* ‘not to be’:

- (5) *tà xúlá zl-í-n-tà lá dóblóm tá*  
 PREP back chase-AWAY-REF COLL Deblem OBJ  
*gùdòlú gà xdí sí tá xàdú lmú*  
 Gudulu PREP Hdi PAST COM lack war  
*kátá-kátá sí zlày gà xdí wù.*  
 a lot PAST time PREP Hdi NEG  
 ‘After the Diblem people had chased the Gudulu away, there was no dangerous warfare at that time in Hdi.’

The form *sí* may occur in interrogative clauses, again with a specific time in the past as a reference:

- (6) *tíkvá gavli tà mn-áy kà zláy nà sí*  
 Tikva Gavli IMPF say-PO SEQ COMP COMP PAST  
*m̀ndú dzi'í rà*  
 man kill-1SG Q  
 'Tikva Gavli said thus: Is it a man that I killed?' (*sí* describes some specific time preceding the discourse. There is no question that Tikva Gavli killed a man.)

The marker *sí* is also used in conditional protasis clauses:

- (7) *ká ùvá mántsá má sí tà dzá-í*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP PAST IMPF go-1SG  
*m̀ndán ná á dúŋ àká dá xgà-n-tá*  
 but DEM COND except 2SG FUT call-3-REF  
*kri ká ùvá*  
 dog COMP cat  
 'Cat answered, "I might go, but on condition that you do not invite Dog."' (lit. 'but will you invite a dog?')

If the time in the past is non-specific, viz. any past time, the marker *sí* is not used and the past time is not overtly marked.

The referential past marker may occur with verbal and non-verbal clauses alike:

- (8) *sí tà lúm-íyù . . . sí tà lúmá-ká . . .*  
 PAST PREP market-1SG PAST PREP market-2SG  
 'I was at the market . . . ' 'you were at the market . . . '

### 3. Future tenses

There are three structures involved in the formation of the future tense. In two structures the verb *dzà'á* 'go' is used with the perfective aspect, in another with the imperfective aspect, and in the third the simple verb stem is used without the auxiliary verb. This structure is involved in the formation of the negative future tense.

The evidence that *dzà'á* is a tense rather than an aspectual marker is provided by the fact that it cannot occur with the referential past marker *sí* and by the fact that it can occur with different aspectual markers. The auxiliary *dzà'á* can occur with the reduplicated and with the simple form of the verb.

### 3.1. Future tense with the perfective aspect: Pragmatically independent clauses

The reduplicated form of the verb is used in the coding of the perfective aspect in pragmatically independent clauses, e.g. in declarative clauses with no element in focus, and in yes/no questions:

- (9) *dzà'á skwá-p-skwá nàsàrá-ngrá*  
 FUT buy-OUT-buy white-black  
 'the boss will sell it' ('boss' an educated black person)
- (10) *tà xúl-á skálú dzà'á sá-ghà-sá*  
 PREP back-GEN dance FUT arrive-D:PVG-arrive  
*màràkw gá sèrdók ndá lá dá-ní*  
 woman PREP morning ASSC COLL father-3SG  
 'After the dance the woman will come in the morning, together with her parents.'
- (11) *dzà'á phlá-phlá-xəŋ tá m̀ndú,*  
 FUT kill.PL-kill.PL-3PL OBJ man  
 'they will kill [all of us] . . . '

### 3.2. Future tense with the perfective aspect: Pragmatically dependent clauses

The future in pragmatically dependent clauses is formed through use of the auxiliary verb *dzà'á* with the simple stem of the main verb. Here is an example of the future tense in a comment-on-focus clause:

- (12) *tà xúl-á skálú dzà'á sá-ghà màràkw*  
 PREP back-GEN dance FUT arrive-D:PVG woman  
*ndá lá dá-ní gá sèrdók*  
 ASSC COLL father-3SG PREP morning  
 'It is after the dance that the woman will come with her parents in the morning'

If the verb is followed by a subject pronoun, the verb ends in the vowel a:



- (13) *tà ká ghúní dzà'á pghá-ηní tá ngùdùf*  
 PREP on 2PL FUT pour-1PL.EXCL OBJ heart  
*ká xəj*  
 COMP 3PL  
 '“It is on you that we will pour our anger,” they said'

Presentative:

- (14) *tà ná sàràk ná dzà'á zá-ká tá m̀ghám*  
 PREP DEM stick DEM FUT eat-2SG PREP chief  
 'Because of this stick here you will live happily' (lit. 'eat royally')

The verb may be followed by an object, with the subject following the object. This structure is used for contrastive focus on the subject:

- (15) *dzà'á mná púrkútúndzúm-í*  
 FUT say story-1SG  
 '[as for me] I am going to tell a story . . . '

### 3.3. Future tense with the imperfective aspect

In the future imperfective aspect the verb occurs in the nominal form, as it does in the independent imperfective aspect:

- (16) *dzà'á xàní*  
 fut lie.PL:VN  
 'he will sleep'

The referential event in the imperfective is coded by the marker *ta*:

- (17) *dzà'á xnà-tà*  
 FUT lie-REF  
 'he will lie down'
- (18) *dzà'á hlèv-áy tá índà m̀ndú-xà*  
 FUT hit-PO OBJ all man-PL  
 'he will hit everybody'
- (19) *dzà'á ngh-áy-ká tí-í màxtsím*  
 FUT see-PO-2SG OBJ-1SG tomorrow  
 'you will see me tomorrow'

The pronominal object may also be affixed to the verb:

- (20) *dzà'á ngh-í-ká màxtsím*  
 FUT see-1SG-2SG tomorrow  
 'you will see me tomorrow'

### 3.4. The future tense in the negative clause

The future tense in the negative clause is not marked by the auxiliary *dzà'á*. Instead the simple stem of the verb followed by the referential suffix *tà* (with low tone) and by the negative frame *á . . . wà* is used. This construction is limited only to the negative future:

- (21) *ks-ú-tà á krì tá ùvá wà*  
 devour-SO-REF NEG dog OBJ cat NEG  
 'the dog will not devour the cat'

Compare the affirmative future:

- (22) *kà dzà'á krì ks-ú-tá ùvá*  
 SEQ FUT dog devour-SO-REF cat  
 'and the dog will devour the cat'

The referential marker is used regardless of whether the verb has an extension, and regardless of whether the verb is transitive or intransitive:

- (23) *xnà-tà á krì wà*  
 lie-REF:SUBJ NEG dog NEG  
 'the dog will not lie'

If the subject following the verb begins with a vowel, the vowel replaces the negative marker *á* and assumes its tone:

- (24) *xgà-n-tì í tá ùvá wà ká*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ NEG:1SG OBJ cat NEG COMP  
*yàghí*  
 squirrel  
 '“I am not going to invite Cat,” said Squirrel.'

- (25) *skwá-ná-p-tì*                      *í*                      *tá*                      *hlà-dá*                      *wà*  
 buy-3SG-OUT-REF:SUBJ    NEG:1SG    OBJ    cow-1SG    NEG  
 'I will not sell my cow to him'

#### 4. Conclusions

The category *tense* comprises one referential past tense formed by the clause-initial marker *sí* and several forms of future tenses. The affirmative future tenses are all marked by the auxiliary *dzà'á*, which grammaticalized from the verb *dzà'á* 'go'. There is a distinction between the perfective and the imperfective aspect in the future tense, and within the perfective aspect there is a distinction between the future perfective in a pragmatically dependent clause and the future perfective in a pragmatically independent clause.

The negative future is marked by a simple form of the verb followed by the referential marker *tà* (low tone) and the negative frame *á . . . wà*.



# Chapter 15

## Verbless clauses

### 1. Introduction

Verbless clauses in Hdi include clauses with nominal, pronominal, or adjectival predicates. Equational clauses are clauses that assert the identity of two nominal referents or the inclusion of one nominal referent in the set represented by another nominal referent (e.g., John is a soldier). The important question for equational clauses is how the language distinguishes between subject and predicate when both of them are nominal. In Hdi the distinction between the two is marked by word order: The noun phrase at the beginning of the clause is the predicate and the noun phrase following it is the subject. Thus, the order Predicate Subject is not a property of verbs alone but rather is a general property of the predication system in this language.

Verbless clauses cannot be marked for aspect, but they can be marked for tense. This may be explained by the fact that the perfective and imperfective aspects involve different forms of verbs, forms that are not available with nouns. However, tense categories are marked through independent morphemes occurring at the beginning of the clause, and do not depend on the form of the predicate. In the next two sections, we describe clauses that have the order Predicate Subject, and then discuss the function of the order Subject Predicate. In verbless clauses (as well as in verbal clauses with well-determined exceptions) the subject role of the noun phrase is marked by the high tone on the syllable preceding it.

### 2. Coding the predicate and the subject through word order

The distinction between subject and predicate is marked through word order. The predicate is the first noun phrase and the subject the noun phrase that follows:

- (1) *m̀nd-á ráyá mbítsá*  
 man-GEN hunt Mbitsa  
 ‘Mbitsa is a hunter’

If the subject is pronominal, it is drawn either from the verbal set or from the independent set, and it follows the predicate noun phrase:

- (2) *ǀghá-mú/ǀnǀ*  
 blacksmith-1PL.INCL/1PL.EXCL  
 ‘we are blacksmiths’
- (3) *klùgà wú kághá klùgà*  
 plate what thing 2SG plate  
 ‘‘You are a plate of what, Plate?’’
- (4) *klùgà ǀǎf-ǀ ká-’á*  
 plate food-1SG COMP-3SG  
 ‘‘I am a plate of food,’’ he said’
- (5) *xǀí-xǎn*  
 Hǀi-3PL  
 ‘they are Hǀi’

### 3. Identificational clauses

We use the term *identificational clauses* for clauses whose subject has been mentioned in the previous discourse or been present in the discourse environment, but is not overtly marked in the clause. In English, such expressions have the form ‘it is X’. In Hǀi such sentences have the form Noun phrase Copula, where the noun phrase is the predicate. The subject is not overtly marked. The copula could be any of the series of demonstratives, but with low rather than high tone: *à*, *nà*, or *yà*. The last tone of the noun must be high before the copula, regardless of the underlying tone of the noun. This is in accordance with the tone-raising rule before subjects in verbal clauses. Thus the low-tone *hlà* ‘cow’ has high tone before a copula:

- (6) *hlá yà*  
 cow COP  
 ‘it is a cow’

The tone-raising rule also applies to nouns with closed syllables:

- (7) *twák yà/nà/à*  
 sheep COP  
 'it is a sheep' (*twàk* 'sheep')

If the noun is bisyllabic, the tone on the second syllable is raised, but the first tone, if it is low, remains low:

- (8) *mákwá yà/nà/à*  
 girl COP  
 'it is a girl' (*mákwà* 'girl')

*xìyá yà*  
 guinea corn DEM  
 'it is guinea corn'

The high tone of the noun remains high before the copula:

- (9) *lúwá xdí à*  
 village Hdi COP  
 'That is a Hdi village' (talking about a village seen at a distance)

The tonal behavior of nouns before a copula indicates that copulas may in fact be old subjects marked by deictic pronouns, because the raising of the preceding tone is associated with the category *subject*.

The choice of the copula depends on whether the noun phrase was mentioned in the preceding discourse and if the noun phrase is present in the environment of discourse, on the distance between the place of speech and the referent. The copula *yà* is used when the noun phrase has been previously mentioned in discourse, the copula *à* for remote distance, and the copula *nà* for proximate distance:

- (10) *bùkwáà-dá yà*  
 lie-1SG COP  
 'it is my lie' (i.e., 'I lied')

The noun may be followed by a demonstrative. The copula then follows such a group. The noun retains its underlying tone before the demonstrative:

- (11) *twàk yá yà*  
 sheep DEM COP  
 'it is only a sheep'

- (12) *mákwà yá yà*  
 girl DEM COP  
 'it is only a girl'

The predicate could also be a pronoun, and in such a case the independent form of the pronoun is used. Here are examples with demonstrative *yà* referring to participants in a preceding discourse.

- (13) *íí yà ú'ú yà ámú yà*  
 1SG DEM 'it is the two of us' 'it is us'  
 'it is me'
- kághá yà kághúní yà*  
 'it is you' 'it is you (PL)'
- xáxón yà*  
 'it is them'

The proximate copula *nà* may be used only with the second and third persons. This is because the point of reference for the demonstrative is the speaker. If *nà* were to be used for the speaker, the point of reference necessary for the demonstratives would be lost:

- (14) *\*íí-nà kághá nà tsátsí nà*  
 for 'it is me' 'it is you' 'it is him'

The predicate could be a possessive phrase consisting of a possessum and possessor:

- (15) *dá-dá yà*  
 father-1SG COP  
 'he is my father'
- (16) *zwáŋ-á má-dá yà*  
 son-GEN mother-1SG COP  
 'he is my brother' (lit. 'son of my mother')
- (17) *múk-má-dá yà*  
 girl-mother-1SG COP  
 'she is my sister' (*múk-má* is probably a fusion of *mákwá* 'girl' and *má* 'mother')



#### 4. Property concept predicates

Property concept words, just like noun phrases, are marked for the predicative function by the clause-initial position. Recall that there are two types of property concept expressions: inherent adjectives, i.e. a category whose inherent function is to modify a noun, and expressions derived from other lexical categories. The property concept expression in the predicative function occurs in the same form as in the attributive function, i.e. with the categorial markers, if any.

The order Predicate Noun is the pragmatically unmarked order. The predicate must end in high tone, just like verbs and nouns before the subject. Thus the adjective *kítíkw* 'small' ends in high tone before the subject:

- (18) *kítíkw mbítsá*  
 small Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa is small'

High-tone nouns retain their high tone before subjects:

- (19) *təntəngá sígà*  
 hard pot  
 'the pot is hard'

- (20) *dágálá yá mndú yá*  
 big DEM man DEM  
 'that man is big'

The subject pronouns are drawn from the independent set, but the second-person pronoun can be reduced to *ká*, making it identical with the verbal set of pronouns. Again, the last tone of the predicate must be high, regardless of the predicate's underlying tone:

- (21) *kwítíkw î*  
 small 1SG  
 'I am small'

*kwítíkw tsátsí*  
 small 3SG  
 'he is small'

*kwítìkw-ká(ghà)*  
 small-2SG  
 'you are small'

The plural pronouns can be drawn either from the independent set or from the verbal set:

- (22) *kwítìkw (xá)xèn*                      *kwítìkw kúní* or *kághùnì*  
 small            3PL                                      small            2PL  
 'they are small'                                      'you are small'
- (23) *kwítìkw (á)mù*                              *kwítìkw (á)ḡnì*  
 small            1PL.INCL                                      small            1PL.EXCL  
 'we are small'                                      'we are small'
- (24) *ndá ú'wáhlá mbítsá*  
 ASSC big            Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa is large'
- (25) *ndá hlrá mbítsá*  
 ASSC tall            Mbitsa  
 'Mbitsa is tall'

The subject in predicative constructions with a property concept predicate must be definite or generic. This is probably a universal characteristic of adjectival predicative constructions; compare English *\*a cow is white*, ungrammatical in non-generic use. If the subject is a noun, it must be modified by a demonstrative, previous-mention marker, or other modifiers. The previous-mention marker is the frame *tsá . . . yá*:

- (26) *dágálá tsá gù yá*  
 large DEM goat DEM  
 'the goat is large'
- (27) *kí'yá tsá hlà yá*  
 small DEM cow DEM  
 'the cow is small'

## 5. Property concept predicates through a copula

Property concepts derived through the form *kà* 'like, as', such as *kà Ifíd* 'new', *kà dvá* 'red', *kà xótxótà* 'true' (Eguchi 1971), retain this form in the predicative function. These forms must be followed by a copula coding the distance between the referent and speaker:

(28) *kà n̄ghlín yà lgùt yá*  
 PREP white COP cloth DEM  
 'that cloth is white' (for a cloth indicated by a hand gesture, 'middle distance')

(29) *kà kùzún-kùzún nà lgùt ná*  
 PREP green COP cloth DEM  
 'this shirt is green' (for a shirt that one may hold in one's hand)

The negation of predicative constructions with adjectives is realized by the frame *á . . . wà*, which encloses the subject of the clause:

(30) *dágálá á mbítsá wà*  
 big NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa is not big'

## 6. Possessive clauses

The term *possessive clause* refers to a clause whose logical function is to express the function of 'X having/possessing Y'. The possessive clause has the structure *ngá*-Possessor (Copula) Possessum. If the possessum is not followed by a deictic marker, no copula can be used:

(31) *\*ngá-dá yà hlà*  
 FOR-1sg COP cow  
 for '[the] cow is mine' (e.g. when talking about a cow among other animals)

(32) *\*ngá mbítsá yà hlà*  
 FOR Mbitsa COP cow  
 for '[the] cow is Mbitsa's' (e.g. when talking about a cow among other animals)

If the possessum is followed by a deictic marker, a copula must be used. The copula codes the same distance as the deictic marker and has the same segmental structure. It differs in tone: low on the copula and high on the deictic marker. The copula occurs after a possessive phrase:

- (33) *ngá-ghá* à *hlà* á  
 FOR-2SG COP cow DEM  
 ‘that cow over there is yours’
- (34) *ngá mbítsá yà hlà yá*  
 FOR Mbitsa COP cow DEM  
 ‘this cow is Mbitsa’s’
- (35) *ngá mbítsá nà hlà ná*  
 FOR Mbitsa COP cow DEM  
 ‘this cow is Mbitsa’s’

If the possessum is enclosed in an anaphoric frame, no copula is used:

- (36) *ngá-dá tsá hlà yá*  
 FOR-1SG DEF cow DEM  
 ‘the cow is mine’
- (37) *ngá mbítsá tsá hlà yá*  
 FOR Mbitsa DEF cow DEM  
 ‘the cow is Mbitsa’s’

## 7. Existential propositions

Existential propositions are formed with the clause-initial predicate *màmú* ‘exist’ followed by the subject of the clause and complements, if any:

- (38) *màmú sà̀n m̀ghám tá kl-áf-tá mà̀ràk x̀is*  
 exist certain chief COM take-UP-REF wife two  
 ‘There was a chief who married two wives.’

The form *màmú* may be reduced to *màá*:

- (39) *màá skwì*  
 exist thing  
 ‘there is a thing’ (about a place mentioned earlier in discourse)

The existential verb does not code the number of the subject.

- (40) *màmú m̀ghám-xà . . .*  
 exist chief-PL  
 ‘There were chiefs . . . ‘

The existential verb *màmú* does not occur in negative possessive constructions. Instead the verb *xàđú* ‘exist’ is used with a negative marker at the end of the clause:

- (41) *xàđú m̀ghám-xà wà . . .*  
 exist chief-PL NEG  
 ‘There were no chiefs . . . ‘

- (42) *xàđ d̀ tsí wà*  
 lack PREP 3SG NEG  
 ‘she doesn’t have’ (any)

## 8. The possessive through existential constructions

The existential verb may have a locative complement. When the locative complement is a human noun, the construction has a possessive interpretation:

- (43) *màá hlà d̀ íí*  
 exist cow PREP 1SG  
 ‘there is a cow at my place’ (it may or may not be mine)

- (44) *màmú kóbù d̀ tsí*  
 exist money PREP 3SG  
 ‘she has money’

- (45) *màmú d̀ tsí*  
 exist PREP 3SG  
 ‘she has’ (some)

The existential verb *màmú* may be used in a modifying construction:

- (46) *m̀ndú-xà màmú skw-à-táŋ*  
 man-PL exist thing-GEN-3PL  
 ‘rich people’

**9. Locative sentences: X is located at Y**

In locative predicative expressions, the predicate, i.e. the locative phrase, precedes the subject of the clause. The locative phrase has the form Preposition Noun. Prepositions may include *tà* ‘at, in’; *mà* ‘inside’; and *dà* ‘in’; as well as the prepositional complex *mìstá* ‘under, together’:

(47) *tà zlóŋ dèrí*  
 PREP bed hat  
 ‘the hat is on the bed’

(48) *mà zlóŋ dèrí*  
 PREP bed hat  
 ‘the hat is inside the bed’

(49) *mà ìmí dèrí*  
 PREP water hat  
 ‘the hat is in the water’

If the subject is pronominal, it follows the locative argument:

(50) *sí tà kdíx-í*  
 PAST PREP donkey-1SG  
 ‘I was on a donkey’

(51) *sí tà kdíx-ká rà*  
 PAST PREP donkey-2SG Q  
 ‘were you on a donkey?’

The prepositional complex *mìstá* can mean codes presence of an object in the vicinity of another, and can include such meanings as “under”, “behind”, “together”, or “with”:

(52) *mìstá zlóŋ dèrí*  
 PREP bed hat  
 ‘the hat is under the bed’

(53) *mìstá lgùt dèrí*  
 PREP shirt hat  
 ‘the hat is with the shirt’

The phrase *tà vá* means “on the side of”:

- (54) *tà vá tá zlóŋ dèrí*  
 PREP side PREP bed hat  
 'the hat is on the side of the bed'

Pronominal subjects in locative sentences are verbal rather than independent or possessive:

- (55) *tà lúmá-xèn*  
 PREP market-3PL  
 'they are at the market'

*tà lúmá*  
 PREP market  
 'he is at the market'

## 10. Clause-initial deictic particles

A clause-initial deictic particle *wá* 'here, there' must be followed by different deictics coding the distance between the speaker and the referent.

The form with the remote deictic *á* may be used only when the subject is not in the same location as the speaker.

- (56) *wá á gà mókóló*  
 there DEM PREP Mokolo  
 'he is in Mokolo' (The speaker is not in Mokolo.)

- (57) *wá á ká*  
 there DEM 2SG  
 'there you are!'

*wá á kúní*  
 there DEM 2PL  
 'there you (PL) are!'

The form with *ná* may be used only if the speaker is in the same location as the subject:

- (58) *wá ná gà mókóló*  
 here DEM PREP Mokolo  
 'he is in Mokolo' (The speaker is in Mokolo.)

When a demonstrative follows the form *wá* and the subject is pronominal, the subject pronoun follows the demonstrative:

- (59) *wá á xən gà mókóló*  
 there is DEM 3PL PREP Mokolo  
 ‘they are in Mokolo’ (The speaker is not in Mokolo.)

When the particle *wá* is followed by the demonstrative *ná* ‘here’ and the following pronoun begins with a vowel, the vowel of the pronoun may replace the vowel of the demonstrative:

- (60) *wá ná í gà mókóló*  
 here DEM 1SG PREP Mokolo  
 ‘here I am in Mokolo’

- (61) *wá n-í mà mbá*  
 here DEM-1SG PREP kitchen  
 ‘here I am in the kitchen’ (in response to Where are you?)

- (62) *wá n-ámú mà mbá*  
 here DEM-1PL PREP kitchen  
 ‘here we are in the kitchen’

- (63) *wá ná ú’u [wá nú’ú] mà mbá*  
 here DEM 1DU PREP kitchen  
 ‘the two of us are here in the kitchen’

## 11. Conclusions

There are several types of verbless sentences. In equational sentences, the structure consists of the predicate followed by the subject. Identificational sentences lack a subject; the clause consists of the predicate noun followed by a copula. The copula could be the anaphoric *yà*, the proximate deictic *nà*, or the remote deictic *à*. Locatives of the type “X is on/at Y”, etc. do not have a copula. The locative function is coded by prepositions.



# Chapter 16

## Interrogative clauses

### 1. Introduction

The discussion of interrogative clauses is divided into two structurally different domains: questions about the truth of the proposition, often referred to in the literature by the Anglocentric term *yes/no questions*; and questions about a specific element of the proposition, often referred to as *wh-questions*. These questions are called 'specific questions' in the present work. All interrogative clauses in Hdi, whether specific or concerning the truth, have Verb Subject Object word order, the same as indicative clauses. The fundamental difference between questions about the truth and specific questions is in the use of the aspectual systems. Questions about the truth, like indicative affirmative clauses, use the independent aspectual system, viz. reduplication of the verb for the perfective, and the nominal form of the verb for the imperfective. Specific interrogative clauses have the dependent aspectual system characterized by the simple form of the verb with the vowel *a* in the perfective, and the root form of the verb in the imperfective.

### 2. Questions about the truth

There are several means of coding questions about the truth of a whole proposition: One involves tonal changes and the other, clause-final interrogative particles.

#### 2.1. *The interrogative through tonal changes*

The most frequent coding of the interrogative mood is through tonal change, whereby the last high tone occurring in the clause is further raised. This extra-high tone is marked in the following examples in bold-face:

- (1) *vlá-ghá-vlá-xèn tá kób-à d'á*  
 give-2SG-give-3PL OBJ money-GEN 1SG  
 'did they give you my money?'
- (2) *dzà'á gúy-áy-kún tá vghá màxtsím*  
 FUT meet-PO-2PL OBJ body tomorrow  
 'will you meet [each other] tomorrow?'
- (3) *tà lúmá ká*  
 IMPF market 2SG  
 'are you at the market?' (asked of someone who makes a lot of noise at home or in some other inappropriate place)

## 2.2. Clause-final interrogative particles

An interrogative clause may also be marked by clause-final interrogative particles *rà*, *rí*, *rè*, or *r-kè*. The markers *rí* and *rà* are interchangeable, and appear to have a neutral interrogative meaning, i.e., the speaker has no assumption about the truth of the proposition:

- (4) *xànà-xàná-ká rà*  
 spend night-spend night-2SG Q  
 'did you spend the night well?' (greeting in the morning)
- (5) *sí m̀ndú dzì'-í rà*  
 PAST man kill-1SG Q  
 'was it a man I killed?'
- (6) *xdí ká rà*  
 Hdi 2SG Q  
 'are you Hdi?' (genuine question seeking information)

The penultimate syllable of the interrogative clause has high tone, regardless of the inherent tone of the word. Thus the inherently low tone word *kri* has high tone when penultimate in an interrogative clause:

- (7) *krí rà*  
 dog Q  
 'is it a dog?'

Even the interrogative marker itself acquires high tone if it happens to be the penultimate syllable, as when it is followed by the word coding the addressee:

- (8)    *iná*    *vli*    *xàdà*    *rí*    *grà*  
           good    place    there    Q    friend  
           ‘is life good there, my friend?’

### 3. Rhetorical interrogatives

A rhetorical question about the truth may be marked by interjection *kí* or *ké* added to the general interrogative marker *rà*. The vowel *a* of the general interrogative marker is deleted in the process. The marker *ké* is added only if the answer is known or if the speaker is challenging the addressee:

- (9)    *xd-íyù*    *r-ké*                                      cf. *xd-íyù*    *rà*  
         Hdi-1SG    Q-INTERJ                                      Hdi-1SG    Q  
         ‘am I Hdi?’ (sarcastic)                                      ‘am I Hdi?’

- (10)    *xdí*    *ká*    *r-ké*  
         Hdi    2SG    Q-INTERJ  
         ‘are you Hdi?’ (The speaker knows, or wants to assert, that the addressee is not Hdi.)

The form *r-ké* is used in greetings, which have an interrogative form but whose pragmatic function is not a question:

- (11)    *xànà-xàná-ká*    *r-ké*  
         sleep-sleep-2SG    Q-INTERJ  
         ‘how are you?’ (a morning greeting)

## 4. Specific questions

### 4.1. Introduction

A specific question is a question about a constituent of a proposition that, as a whole, is assumed to be true. Specific questions differ from questions about the truth in the aspectual system used, in the presence of clause-final interrogative markers, and in the optional clause-final interrogative marker, which is *nà* rather than *rà*. The following categories are

coded in specific questions: human participant, non-human participant, place, time, reason. For human and non-human participants, the language also codes the grammatical role of the argument, specifically the categories *subject*, *object*, *associative*, and *head* of the modifying construction. In addition, the specific interrogatives code whether the event is referential or not.

Questions about human participants have the clause-initial interrogative pronoun *wá*, and questions about non-human participants have the clause-initial marker *ń* or *ná*, depending on the requirements of syllable structure rules. Unlike questions about human participants, questions about non-human participants distinguish between questions in the domain de dicto and questions in the domain de re. We describe the distinction between human and non-human participants while describing the formation of questions about equational clauses. Subsequent sections are dedicated to the discussion of the referentiality of arguments, aspect coding, and the coding of the grammatical role of the entity that the question is about.

#### 4.2. Questions about participants in equational clauses

Questions about participants of equational clauses differ from questions about participants of verbal clauses in that the former do not have aspectual markers.

Questions about the general identity of the subject have the sentence-final marker *nà*. This marker is related to the proximate demonstrative *ná* and is identical with the proximate copula *nà*:

(12) *wá dghá ná*  
 who blacksmith Q  
 'who is a blacksmith?'

(13) *wá tsítsi ná*  
 who 3SG Q  
 'who is he/she?' (As in many other languages, it is not polite to use demonstratives in the presence of their human referent.)

(14) *wá xáxèn ná*  
 who 3PL Q  
 'who are they?'

Questions about the identity of plural human participants are coded through the associative plural *ì* preceding the marker *wá*:

- (15) *ì wá dghá nà*  
 PL who blacksmith Q  
 ‘who are blacksmiths?’

Questions about the identity of participants can also use the lexeme *xgə* ‘name’ followed by the genitive marker *á* (which assumes the low tone of *xgə̀*) and by possessive pronouns. Such a complex follows the interrogative *wá* (human) or *nə́* (non-human):

- (16) *wá xg-à-ní*  
 who name-GEN-3SG  
 ‘who is he?’

#### 4.3. De dicto and de re domains in specific interrogatives

If the question is about an inanimate subject, there is a distinction between the domain *de dicto*, i.e. when the speaker does not know the potential set from which the subject is drawn, and the domain *de re*, where the set of potential subjects exists and the speaker knows the set (cf. Frajzyngier 1991). Inanimate subjects in the domain *de dicto* are marked by the question word *nə́*. Questions about an inanimate subject from the domain *de re* are marked by the form *nú* ‘which thing’, which most probably represents a fusion of the form *nə́* and the domain *de re* question word *wú*. We write this form as *nú* to represent the phonological changes the two morphemes have undergone.

Compare the two interrogative forms with the same verb *bá* ‘build’:

- (17) *nə́ bà-f-tsí*  
 what build-UP-3SG  
 ‘what did he build?’ (The set of potential objects is wide open.)

- (18) *nú bà-f-tsí*  
 which thing build-UP-3SG  
 ‘which one did he build?’ (The set of potential objects is known to the speaker.)

There is no distinction between the domain *de dicto* and the domain *de re* for human subjects; i.e., the same form is used for questions of the type “who” and “which person out of the set of X”.

Both *de dicto* and *de re* question words can be used with the word *xgə* ‘name’ followed by a possessive pronoun:

- (19) *nó* *xg-à-ní*  
 what name-GEN-3SG  
 'what is it?'
- (20) *nú* *xg-à-ní*  
 which thing name-GEN-3SG  
 'what is it?'
- (21) *nó* *xg-à-tán*  
 what name-gen-3PL  
 'what are they?'

#### 4.4. The copula in specific interrogatives

Questions about identity may have a copula other than *nà*. Asking about the identity of a person at a distance or invisible, one can say:

- (22) *wá* *yà*  
 who COP  
 'who is there?'
- (23) *nó* *xg-à-ní* *yà*  
 what name-GEN-3SG COP  
 'what is it?' (for a thing lower down and close to the speaker)
- (24) *nó* *xg-à-ní* *à*  
 what name-GEN-3SG COP  
 'what is it?' (for a thing far away)

#### 4.5. Aspect coding in specific questions

Specific interrogatives are characterized by the selection of the dependent perfective and imperfective aspects. The coding of the perfective aspect depends on which argument the question is about. If the question is about the subject, the perfective is coded by the comment clause marker *tá* preceding the verb and by the simple form of the verb with the final suffix *a*. If the question is about other arguments, there is no comment clause marker *tá*. The imperfective for all arguments is characterized by the preposition *tà* preceding the simple root form of the verb. None of the above-mentioned distinctions applies to questions about arguments of

equational clauses. Table 15 presents the system of aspectual distinction configured with the coding of the argument role in the clause:

*Table 15. Aspectual distinctions in specific interrogatives*

	Perfective	Imperfective
Subject	<i>tá</i> verb + <i>a</i>	<i>tà</i> verb root (- <i>ay</i> )
Object	verb + <i>a</i>	verb root

One cannot use the potential object marker -*ay* in specific questions in the imperfective aspect:

- (25) \**wá* *tà* *dz-à-y-tsí*  
 who IMPF hit-PO-3SG  
 for 'who is he hitting?'

#### 4.6. Questions about the subject in verbal clauses

The clause-final question marker *nà* is optional with interrogative verbal clauses. If the question is about a human subject, the form *wá* is used; if the question is about a non-human subject, the forms *ń* or *nú* are used, depending on the domain to which the subject belongs. Either *wá* or *ń* may occur in clause-initial position. The role of the interrogative word as subject of the clause is computed from the absence of other subjects in the clause and the potential presence of objects in the clause.

Questions about the subject in the perfective aspect are characterized by the comment clause marker *tá* preceding the simple form of the verb and complements, if any. The perfective aspect indicates that the event has ceased, not necessarily the completion of an action. If the verb has extensions, the referential marker must be used in questions about the subject:

- (26) *wá* *tá* *phlà-ná-p-tà*  
 who COM break:PL-DEM-UP-REF  
 'who broke it into pieces?'<sup>10</sup>

- (27) *wá* *tá* *phlà-ŋ-tà*  
 who COM break:PL-TENT-REF  
 'who tried to break it into pieces?'

- (28) *nó tá klà-gá-ghá-f-tà tà ná fú*  
 what COM take-INN-2SG-UP-REF PREP DEM tree  
*ná nà ká-’á*  
 DEM Q COMP-3SG  
 ‘“what brought you here to this tree?” he asked’

If the speaker presupposes that the human subjects are plural, the question word *wá* is preceded by the associative plural marker *ì*:

- (29) *ì wá tá phlà-ná-p-tà*  
 ASSC.PL who COM break-DEM-UP-REF  
 ‘who were the people who broke it?’

In questions about the subject, if there is an object following the verb, the referential marker *tá* cannot be used if the verb does not have any extensions:

- (30) *nú tá bádzá xgà*  
 which thing COM spoil house  
 ‘which thing has spoiled the house?’

#### 4.7. Questions about the object

The role of the interrogative *wá* ‘who’, *nó* ‘what’, or *nú* ‘which thing’ as object is marked by the fact that the subject of the clause must follow the verb:

- (31) *nó tà mág-ká ndá ná nà*  
 what IMPF do-2SG ASSC DEM Q  
 ‘what do you do now?’
- (32) *wá tà nègh-ká*  
 who IMPF look-2SG  
 ‘who do you see?’
- (33) *wá dzú-n-ká nà*  
 who hit-SO-3-2SG Q  
 ‘who did you hit?’

The third-person singular pronominal subject must always be overtly marked if the question is about the object:



- (34) *wá ghùnà-gá-p-tsí*  
 who send-INN-OUT-3SG  
 'who did he send here?'
- (35) *wá ghùnà-dá-p-tsí*  
 who send-ALL-OUT-3SG  
 'who did he send there?'
- (36) *wá kú-lù dzá'á mág-áy ndá*  
 who COMP-UH FUT make-PO ASSC  
*tsá skwi tá vrá mndú ndá xúl ndá xúl*  
 DEF thing COM return man ASSC back ASSC back  
 'What should one do with respect to things that turn the man [s  
 education] back?'

In the imperfective aspect, the referential marker *-tà* (low tone) must be added if the verb has extensions:

- (37) *nó tà nágh-gəl-tà-ká gùlí*  
 what IMPF see:PL-AGAIN-REF-2SG again  
*ká zwànà lázgláftà ndá kđerí*  
 COMP child:PL.GEN God ASSC Kderi  
 '“what are you looking for again?” said the children of God to  
 Kderi'<sup>11</sup>
- (38) *wá tà ghùnà-gá-p-tà-tsí*  
 who IMPF send-INN-OUT-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
 'who does he send here?'
- (39) *wá tà ghùnà-dá-p-tà-tsí*  
 who IMPF send-ALL-OUT-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
 'who does he send there?'

If the question is about plural human objects, that is marked by the associative plural form *ì*:

- (40) *ì wá mbá-ná-f-tsí nà*  
 ASSC.PL who cure-3SG-UP-2SG Q  
 'who (PL) did he cure?'

- (41) *nə sí dá-tsí*  
 what PAST cook-3SG  
 'what did she cook?' (The object is not there anymore.)
- (42) *nú z-ú-ká*  
 what eat-SO-2SG  
 'what did you eat?'

Questions about objects of inherently intransitive verbs, i.e. verbs that require the marker *na* in affirmative clauses, require this marker also in interrogative clauses:

- (43) *wá mbá-ná-f-tsí nə*  
 who cure-DEM-UP-3SG Q  
 'who did he cure?'
- (44) *wá mbàzá-ná-tsí tá mbàzá*  
 who wash-DEM-3SG OBJ wash  
 'who did she wash?'

Omission of the pronoun *ná* from the verb is ungrammatical:

- (45) \**wá mbàzá-tsí tá mbàzá*  
 who wash-3SG OBJ wash  
 for 'who did she wash?'

#### 4.8. The role of referential marker *tá*

The referential marker *tá* may be used in specific interrogative clauses, whether with a transitive or an intransitive verb. In specific interrogative clauses the referential marker has low tone if it is followed by the subject or if it occurs in phrase-final position:

- (46) *nə dzà'á dà-ná-tà-tsí*  
 what FUT cook-DEM-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
 'what will he cook for him?'

If the verb has extensions and there is also a nominal object, the referential marker must have high tone; it is realized as *tá*:

(47) *nú tá bádz-í-n-tá xgà*  
 which thing COM spoil-AWAY-3-REF house  
 ‘which thing has spoiled the house?’

(48) *wá tá ñghà-n-tá dúlà*  
 who COM see-3-REF Dula  
 ‘who saw Dula?’

The marker *tá* codes referentiality of the event even if the verb has no extensions or object pronouns. The referential marker directs the hearer to look for an additional interpretation of the event, without necessarily specifying what this interpretation should be:

(49) *wá tá gúná-tá tghà*  
 who COM open-REF door  
 ‘who opened the door?’ (completely or in such a manner)

Cf.:

(50) *wá tá gúná tghà*  
 who COM open door  
 ‘who opened the door?’

(51) *wá tá drá-tá xàsú’ùwà-dà*  
 who COM burn-REF wood-1SG  
 ‘who burned my wood?’ (e.g. for his own benefit, completely)

Cf.:

(52) *wá tá drá xàsú’ùwà-dà*  
 who COM burn wood-1SG  
 ‘who burned my wood?’ (The wood is not completely burned.)

The referential marker cannot be used if the object of the verb does not undergo any change:

(53) *wá tá ñghà hlà*  
 who COM see cow  
 ‘who watched the cow?’

If the same verb is used with the referential marker, it means “devour”:

(54) *wá tá ñghà-tá hlà*  
 who COM see-ref cow  
 ‘who devoured the cow?’

Similarly, with the verb *tsúká* ‘to sweep’, one cannot use a referential marker, because the integrity of the object is not affected:

(55) *wá tá tsúká wì tghà*  
 who COM sweep mouth house  
 ‘who swept the front of the house?’

(56) \**wá tá tsúká-tá wì tghà*  
 who COM sweep-REF mouth house  
 for ‘who swept the front of the house?’

An example with an intransitive verb:

(57) *nú tá xwáyá-úgh-tà*  
 which thing COM RUN-SO-REF  
 ‘which animal escaped from here?’

If an intransitive verb does not have an extension, no referential marker is added:

(58) *nú tá xwáyá*  
 which thing COM run  
 ‘which animal ran away?’

#### 4.9. Use of the copula in specific interrogatives

The question word may be followed by a copula. If the question word is in the *de re* domain, the copula selected is the one that codes the distance between the speaker and the object that the question is about:

(59) *nú nà skwì xábá-f kághúní tà*  
 which thing COP thing attach:PL-UP 2PL PREP  
*xúl ná*  
 back DEM  
 ‘what is that thing you have attached to your back?’

For a slightly more distant object:

- (60) *nú*                    *yà*      *skwì*   *xábá-f*            *kághúní*   *tà*  
 which thing    COP    thing    attach:PL-UP    2PL            PREP  
*xúl*    *yá*  
 back    DEM  
 'what is that thing you have attached to your back?'

For a remote object but still in sight, the same question has the form:

- (61) *nú*                    *à*        *skwì*   *xábá-f*            *kághúní*   *tà*  
 which thing    COP    thing    attach:PL-UP    2PL            PREP  
*xúl*    *á*  
 back    DEM  
 'what is that thing you have attached to your back?'

If the question is about an object in the domain *de dicto*, the question word *wá* for humans and *ná* for non-humans is used. The copula chosen must be the middle-distance demonstrative *yà*, and the clause-final demonstrative is *ná*. Thus when people talk about their adventures, one could ask them:

- (62) *ná*                    *yà*      *skwì*   *xábá-f*            *kághúní*   *tà*  
 which thing    COP    thing    attach:PL-UP    2PL            PREP  
*xúl*    *ná*  
 back    DEM  
 'what did you attach to your back?'

The copula may occur in equational clauses as well:

- (63) *wá*    *yà*    *nà*  
 who    COP    Q  
 'who is he (or she)?'

Across languages, specific interrogatives and focus constructions quite often have similarities. There have even been claims about the pragmatic or semantic identity of the two constructions (cf. Schuh 1982 for other Chadic languages). The data in Hdi point to categorial differentiation between focus constructions and specific interrogatives. The optional use of copulas in interrogative clauses, with both human and non-human participants and with both subjects and objects, argues against equating the interrogative with the focus construction.

## 4.10. Questions about the dative/benefactive

There are three means of coding questions about the dative. One has the third-person dative marker *ná* following the verb. This complex can be used with different configurations of objects and with interrogative marker *wá*:

- (64) *blá-ná-p-blà tá sárák-á wá*  
 break-DEM-OUT-break OBJ stick-GEN who  
 'for whom did he break the club?'

If the verb has extensions, the referential marker and the object marker are reduced to one, represented here by the referential marker:

- (65) *dà-ná-tá wá-ká tá d'áfá*  
 cook-DEM-REF who-2SG OBJ food  
 'for whom did you cook?'

- (66) *bà-ná-f-tá wá tá xgà*  
 give-DEM-UP-REF who OBJ house  
 'for whom did he build the house?'

- (67) *vlá-ná-tá wá-ká tá kóbù*  
 give-DEM-REF who-2SG OBJ money  
 'to whom did you give the money?'

Another means for coding questions about the benefactive is through the preposition *ngá* followed by the form *wá* in clause-initial position. The verb does not have high tone before the dative argument. In pragmatically independent clauses, high tone on the verb indicates the dative function of the following pronoun. Note also that like verbs that do not raise their tone before the subject in specific interrogatives, the referential particle *tá* does not have high tone either:

- (68) *ngá wá dà-ná-tà-ká tá d'áfá*  
 FOR who cook-DEM-REF-2SG OBJ food  
 'for whom did you cook?'

If the potential benefactive is plural, the associative plural marker precedes the question word *wá*: Since the associative plural is vocalic, the associative plural *ì* replaces the vowel of the benefactive marker *ngá*:

- (69) *ngí-ì wá dà-tà-ká*  
 FOR-ASSC.PL who cook-REF-2SG  
 ‘for whom (PL) did you cook?’

#### 4.11. Questions about the genitive modifier

Questions about the modifier that in affirmative clauses is preceded by a genitive construction have the head followed by the genitive marker and then by the question word. The question word is *wú* if the potential modifier is non-human:

- (70) *kùlgà wú kághá kùlgà*  
 plate Q 2SG plate  
 ‘you are a plate for what [kind of food]?’ (*kùlgà* an old variety of plate carved of wood, of a higher quality than a calabash.)
- (71) *kdíx-á wú kághá kdíx?*  
 donkey-GEN Q 2SG donkey  
 ‘you are a donkey of what?’ (What is your purpose?)
- (72) *sárák-á wú kághá sárák?*  
 stick-GEN Q 2SG stick  
 ‘you are a stick of what?’

If the potential modifier is human, the question word is *wá*:

- (73) *mìyá wá xèn*  
 women Q 3PL  
 ‘Whose wives are they?’
- (74) *ìr-á-w ná nà*  
 eye-GEN-who DEM Q  
 ‘Whose eye is this?’
- (75) *sl-á-w ná nà*  
 leg-GEN-who DEM Q  
 ‘Whose leg is this?’

The evidence that it is the potential feature of modifier rather than the head noun that determines the choice of the interrogative marker is pro-

vided by the fact that the question about a non-human modifier of the human head requires the marker *wú*:

- (76) *mìyá wú xèn*  
 women Q 3PL  
 ‘what is the role of these women?’

#### 4.12. Questions about the locative

There are two types of questions about location, depending on whether the question involves the directional or the stative locative. Both types involve the interrogative particle *gá* ‘where’. Questions about the stative locative have the form *gá* Subject:

- (77) *sí ndí gá-ká ndá rvídík*  
 PAST toward where-2SG ASSC night  
 ‘where were you last night?’

- (78) *gá kdíx-á-dá nà ká-’á*  
 where donkey-GEN-1SG Q COMP-3SG  
 ‘“where is my donkey?” he said’

- (79) *gá lwá tà lwá*  
 where world PREP world  
 ‘where is the world in the world!’ (an exclamation of amazement)

Questions about the direction of movement have the preposition *ndí* ‘toward’ with the preposition *gà* and a verb of movement:

- (80) *ndí gà sá-ghà mbítsá*  
 toward where arrive-D:PVG Mbitsa  
 ‘where does Mbitsa come from?’

It is also possible to begin a question about location with the verb. The locative argument is marked by the locative prepositions *gá* or *dà* followed by the appropriate interrogative particle, *wá* or *né*:

- (81) *són dà wá ká*  
 hear PREP who 2SG  
 ‘at whose place did you hear that?’



Questions about the object of a locative preposition have the prepositional phrase at the beginning:

- (82) *tà wá dzà ká*  
 PREP who hit 2SG  
 'on whom did you hit?'

#### 4.13. Questions about the time of the event

Questions about time are marked by the clause-initial interrogative complex *yà-wú*. The marker *yà* is cognate with the demonstrative *yá* and identical with the copula *yà*. The form *wú* is the same marker that occurs in questions about *de re* non-human participants. Like other specific questions, questions about time can end in the marker *nà*:

- (83) *yà-wú sá-ghà tsí*  
 when arrive-D:PVG 3SG  
 'when did he come?'

- (84) *yà-wú ñghà-n-tà-tsí tí-í*  
 when see-3-REF-3SG OBJ-SG  
 'when did he see me?'

#### 4.14. Questions about manner

There are several means of asking questions about manner. One is through the marker *kí* 'how' in clause-initial position, with or without the interrogative marker *nà* in clause-final position:

- (85) *kí tà dà-lú tá dáfá (nà)*  
 how IMPF cook-UH OBJ mush Q  
 'how does one cook mush?'

The other means is through the sequence *kí nà wú*, in which the first element corresponds to "how"; the second element is the specific interrogative marker, which in other interrogatives occurs in clause-final position; and *wú* is the *de re* question word:

- (86) *kí nà wú tà dà-lú tá dáfá*  
 how Q Q IMPF cook-UH OBJ food  
 'how does one cook mush?'

If the subject is nominal, it is placed after the verb. The instances with the nominal subject provide the evidence that the final vowel *a* is indeed the marker of *realis* modality rather than an integral part of the verb, as it occurs after the distal cum source-oriented extension:

(87) *kí nà wú lá-ghú-á Mbitsa dá Nigeria*  
 how Q Q go-D:SO-REAL Mbitsa PREP Nigeria  
 ‘how did Mbitsa go to Nigeria from here?’

(88) *kí nà wú sá-b-á Mbitsa dá Nigeria*  
 how Q Q arrive-OUT-REAL Mbitsa PREP Nigeria  
 ‘how did Mbitsa come from Nigeria?’

The other means is through the clause-initial interrogative marker *wá*, followed by complementizer *ká*, and then followed by the subject:

(89) *wá ká Mbitsa lá-gh-ú-í dá Nigeria*  
 how COMP Mbitsa go-D:SO-REF PREP Nigeria  
 ‘how did Mbitsa go to Nigeria from here?’

(90) *wá kí-ì dzà’á mág-áy ká-’á*  
 what COMP-1SG FUT do-PO COMP-3SG  
 ‘“what shall I do?” he said’<sup>12</sup>

(91) *wá ká-á sí tà bàs-áy*  
 how COMP-3SG PAST IMPF suffer-PO  
 ‘how did he suffer?’

All pronominal subjects except the third-person *á* have low tone when they follow the complementizer. This property can in fact be generalized to all situations where pronominal subjects precede the verb:

(92) *wá ká-kà sá-ghà*  
 how COMP-2SG arrive-D:PVG  
 ‘how did you come?’

(93) *wá ká-xèn mágá-tà*  
 how COMP-3PL work-REF  
 ‘how did they work?’

The vowel of the complementizer changes under the influence of the following subject pronoun in predictable situations, viz. when followed by a vocalic suffix or a syllable with a liquid at its onset:

- (94) *kà wá kǐ-ì dzà'á mág-áy ndá nàná ù*  
 SEQ how COMP-1SG FUT do-PO ASSC DEM NBG  
 'and now I do not know what I am going to do'

The presence of the interrogative *wá* in questions about manner indicates that an analysis of *wá* as coding a human participant is too narrow. It is possible that *wá* is the most general interrogative marker, and that *nó* represents a specific marker used only for non-human participants.

#### 4.15. Questions about the reason

There are several means of coding questions about the reason why. One is through the clause-initial sequence consisting of *nó* 'what' followed by the copula *yà*, realized as *ní-yà*. This form may be used independently in the sense of "what's the matter?". It may also be used at the beginning of a longer interrogative:

- (95) *ní-yà kwál-kúní kwàl sá-ghà d'áxàwú*  
 why-COP refuse-2PL refuse arrive-D:PVG yesterday  
 'why didn't you come yesterday?'  
 (96) *ní-yà tà xwáy ká*  
 why-COP IMPF run 2SG  
 'why are you running?'

The second means of asking questions about the reason why is through the clause-initial marker *kàbgà* 'because' followed by the particle *wú*. The form *kàbgà* may be a complex structure consisting of preposition *kà*, followed by the noun *bgà* 'pile, a determined quantity' (Fr. *tas*), followed by the genitive marker *á*. The reason for postulating it as a complex structure is the fact that the preposition *kà* may be replaced in this expression by the preposition *dà*, producing *dà bgà wú*. The form *kàbgà* also codes adverbs of reason in indicative clauses. The form *wú* is the same that is used in questions about non-human *de re* participants:

- (97) *kàbgà wú sá-ghà-tsí nà*  
 because Q arrive-D:PVG-3SG Q  
 'why has he come?'

The third means of asking about the reason is through the clause-initial sequence *ngá wú* 'for Q' realized as [ngú]. In the perfective aspect the subject of the clause may follow the verb or it may follow the interrogative marker in a focus-like construction. When the subject follows the verb, it behaves like all other subjects in this position. For pronominal subjects that means high tone:

- (98) *ngú zú-tà ká*  
 why eat-REF SG  
 'why did you eat?' (can be said while pointing at the object)

In the independent imperfective aspect, the nominal or pronominal subject must occur after the question word if the verb is followed by an object, including the potential object marker *-áy*:

- (99) *ngú mbítsá tà zá hlú'wí*  
 why Mbitsa IMPF eat meat  
 'why does Mbitsa eat meat?'
- (100) *ngú mbítsá tà z-áy*  
 why Mbitsa IMPF eat-PO  
 'why does Mbitsa eat it?'

When the pronominal subject occurs before the verb, it has low rather than high tone:

- (101) *ngú kà tà z-áy*  
 why 2SG IMPF eat-PO  
 'why do you eat it?'
- (102) *ngú kà tà zá hlú'wí*  
 why 2SG IMPF eat meat  
 'why do you eat meat?'

If the verb in imperfective is not followed by an object, the subject must occur after the verb:

(103) *ngú tà zá ká*  
 why IMPF eat 2SG  
 ‘why do you eat?’ (if you were told not to eat)

(104) *ngú tà zá mbítsá*  
 why IMPF eat Mbitsa  
 ‘why does Mbitsa eat?’

The marker *ngú* may follow the verb:

(105) *ká ùvá mántsá xgà ngú ká tá kři*  
 COMP cat COMP invite why 2SG OBJ dog  
*kày*  
 INTERJ  
 ‘Cat says, “Why did you invite Dog?”’

The form *ngú* is most likely a fusion of two markers: the preposition *ngá* ‘for’ and the marker *wú*, which occurs in the interrogative complex asking for the reason, as described above. Support for the hypothesis about the composition of this marker is provided by the form of the interrogative when it occurs in clause-final position, where apparently the fusion did not take place and the form is realized as *ngáw*. Pronominal subjects following the clause-final *ngáw* have high rather than low tone:

(106) *tà zá ngáw-ká*  
 IMPF eat why-2SG  
 ‘why are you eating?’

(107) *tà zá ngáw*  
 IMPF eat why  
 ‘why is he eating?’

#### 4.16. The coding of the perfective in questions about the reason

When a question about the reason why is marked by *kàbgà wú*, the perfective aspect is coded by a construction consisting of a verb *klá* ‘take’, followed by a nominal or pronominal subject, and then the sequential marker *kà*, followed by the main verb with the referential marker:

- (108) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá ùvá*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF cat  
*kày*  
 INTERJ  
 'Why did you invite Cat?'

- (109) *kàbgà wú kól-tsí kà zú-tà*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ eat:SO-REF  
 'why did you eat?'

The form *kól* derives from the verb *klá* 'take' through the reduction of the final vowel and schwa insertion, as dictated by syllabification rules. The main verb of the clause is marked by a sequential clause.

The evidence for the perfective function of *klá* is provided by the fact that this verb does not occur in clauses in the imperfective aspect:

- (110) *kàbgà wú tà zá-ká*  
 because Q IMPF eat-2SG  
 'why do you eat?'

- (111) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kà sá-ghà*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ arrive-D:PVG  
 'why did you come?'

The negative counterpart of a question about cause or reason is marked by the form *kùl* preceding the main verb:

- (112) *kàbgà wú kól mbitsa kùl sá-ghà*  
 because Q take Mbitsa NEG arrive-D:PVG  
 'why didn't Mbitsa come?'

- (113) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kùl sá-ghà*  
 because Q take-2SG NEG arrive-D:PVG  
 'why didn't you come?'

- (114) *kàbgà wú kól-tsí kùl sá-ghà*  
 because why take-3SG NEG arrive-D:PVG  
 'why didn't he come?'

## 4.17. Questions about the kind

Questions about type or kind consist of the noun phrase that is the target of the question, followed by the possessive marker *á*, followed by the morpheme *wú*:

(115) *ùv-á wú*  
 cat-GEN Q  
 'what kind of cat?'

*vdz-á wú*  
 monkey-GEN Q  
 'what kind of monkey?' (cf. *vdzí* 'monkey')

## 4.18. Questions about instrumental and associative arguments

Questions about instrumental and associative participants are formed through the addition of the marker *wá* or *ná* to the associative preposition *ndá*. Unlike questions about the subject and the object, the interrogative phrase about the associative follows the verb. The aspectual coding in the verb is like that in other specific questions:

(116) *sá-ghà ndá-wá ká*  
 arrive-D:PVG ASSC-WHO 2SG  
 'with whom did you come?'

(117) *sá ndá-wá ká*  
 arrive ASSC-WHO 2SG  
 'with whom did you come?'

(118) *sá-ghà ndá-w ngálày nà*  
 arrive-D:PVG ASSC-who Ngale Q  
 'by what means did Ngalay come?'

## 4.19. Questions about purpose

Questions about purpose have the form of a complex sentence where the matrix clause is followed by the purpose-marking preposition *dá*, then the verb *mágá* 'do', followed by the interrogative marker *wú*.

- (119) *sá-ghà*            *dá*    *mágá*   *wú*  
 arrive-D:PVG    PURP   do    Q  
 'why did he come?' (lit. 'he came to do what thing?')

#### 4.20. Questions about the predicate

Questions about the predicate are formed with the verb *mágá* at the beginning of the clause, followed by the preposition *kà* 'like', followed by the interrogative *wú*:

- (120) *mágá*   *kà-wú*   *tá*        *xìyá*  
 do        FOR-Q   OBJ        guinea corn  
 'what did he do with the guinea corn?'

### 5. Conclusions

There are several means of coding interrogative modality. One is through the raising of the last tone in clause-final position. Another is through interrogative particles. The third is through the use of clause-initial specific question words. Raised tone can, and most often is, used along with a clause-final interrogative particle. Questions about the truth of the proposition (yes/no questions) are formed through the interrogative particle *nà*. Questions asking for the confirmation of an assumption are formed through the clause-final particle *rí*. Specific questions about participants in an event are formed with clause-initial question words and with the optional clause-final particle *nà*. Hdi makes a distinction between human and non-human participants and also codes questions about place, time, manner, reason, possessor, and instrument/associative. When a question is about a non-human argument, the language makes a distinction between the domain *de dicto* and the domain *de re*. The marker of the domain *de re* *wú* is also used in questions about adjuncts.



# Chapter 17

## Negation

### 1. Introduction

The markers *a* and *wà* are glossed as “NEG”. The forms *wà* and *wù* are free variants, although some speakers show preference for *wà* and others for *wù*. The marker *wà* is most often reduced in normal speech to [w].

### 2. Negation of pragmatically independent clauses

Pragmatically independent clauses are coded by means of the negative frame *a . . .wà*, with the marker *a* following the predicate and preceding the subject, and the marker *wà* following the object and adjuncts, if any.

#### 2.1. Negation of identificational and equational clauses

In identificational clauses, the particle *á* occurs immediately after the predicate, and if there is nothing else in the clause, it is followed by the negative particle *wà* (examples excerpted from natural discourse):

- (1) *xámáyádzi á wà*  
Hamayadzi NEG NEG  
'it is not Hamayadzi'

If the negative marker follows a morpheme ending in a vowel, the vowel of the preceding morpheme is replaced in accordance with the rules of vowel replacement:

- (2) *kdîx-á-ní á wà* → [kdîx-á-ná á wà]  
donkey-GEN-3SG NEG NEG  
'it is not his donkey'

(3) *dèrí á wà* → [dèrá á wà]  
 hat NEG NEG  
 'it is not a hat' (elicited)

(4) *dzvù á wà* → [dzvà á wà]  
 hand NEG NEG  
 'it is not a hand' (elicited)

In equational clauses with a subject and a predicate, the first component of negation *á* follows the predicate and precedes the subject, and the second component *wà* follows the subject and its modifiers, if any:

(5) *ndghà 'á zwàn-à xdí ndá*  
 numerous NEG child:PL-GEN Hdi ASSC  
*dzángá dzángá táŋ wà*  
 education education 3PL NEG  
 'the educated Hdi are not numerous' (written sources)

## 2.2. Negation of verbal clauses

Negation of pragmatically independent verbal clauses is similar to the negation of equational clauses, in that the negative particle *a* comes after the verb and before the subject. The negative marker *wà* must occur at the end of the clause. The verb cannot be reduplicated in the negative clause. The verb has the simple form followed by the thematic vowels and extensions, if any. The temporal and aspectual interpretation of such clauses is determined by the discourse environment, and it could be past, present or future, perfective or imperfective. Here are a few examples that describe permanent states:

(6) *d'và 'á xdí-xà tá l'école wù,*  
 like NEG Hdi-PL OBJ school (Fr.) NEG  
*ká-'á*  
 COMP-3SG  
 '“Hdi do not like school,” he said'

(7) *snà á índà xdí tá màxtsím wà*  
 know NEG all Hdi OBJ next day NEG  
 'Not all Hdi know the future' (written sources)

And here is an example that describes usual activities:

- (8) *zá á zwáj tá tsá wà ká-’á*  
 eat NEG child OBJ DEF NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“Children do not eat it,” he said’

Here are examples where frame *á . . . wà* is used in clauses describing past events, whose affirmative equivalent would have been in the perfective aspect:

- (9) *lává-ná á yàghí lává-ná á*  
 be able-DEM NEG squirrel be able-DEM NEG  
*tá gí ks-ú-tá gwì’yán wà*  
 COM immediately touch-SO-REF elephant NEG  
 ‘Squirrel did not manage to devour Elephant immediately.’

- (10) *òxà-dá-gh-íyù tví tsá wà*  
 arrive-ALL-D:PVG-1SG road DEF NEG  
 ‘I did not arrive there.’

- (11) *lá á ká ndá tà tsá wù*  
 go NEG 2SG ASSC PREP DEF NEG  
 ‘You did not go there?’

The coding of the future tense in negative clauses differs considerably from the coding of negation of other types of clauses and also from the coding of future in affirmative clauses. The verb in the negative future has referential marker *tà* (with low tone) followed by the frame *a . . . wà*:

- (12) *mántsá yá gúlí xár màxtsím snà-n-tà ’á*  
 like that also till future know-3-REF NEG  
*xəŋ tá skwì tá ràntá-xəŋ wà ká-’á*  
 3PL OBJ thing COM right-3PL NEG COMP  
 ‘In this way Hdi will never know their rights’ (written sources)

- (13) *xgà-n-tì í tá ùvá wà*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ NEG-1SG OBJ cat NEG  
*ká yàghí*  
 COMP squirrel  
 ‘“I am not going to invite Cat,” said Squirrel.’

If there is a clause-initial noun other than the subject, the third-person singular subject is marked by the suffix *tsí* following the negative marker:

- (14) *fitík dr-ú á tsí wù*  
 time burn-SO NEG 3SG NEG  
 ‘since it did not burn’

The negative interrogative clause does not have the marker *á*:

- (15) *tà tsk-áy-xón wà*  
 IMPF gather-PO-3PL NEG  
 ‘They gather, don’t they?’

### 2.3. Negation and referentiality

In the process of elicitation, and only in elicitation, we have noticed a phenomenon worth reporting, despite the fact that it has not been attested in natural discourse data. There appears to exist an interaction between extensions to the verb and the form of the negative marker *a* in the coding of referentiality. The interaction is as follows: If the verb does not have extensions, the negative marker with low tone, *à*, codes the referentiality of the event. The high-tone variant *á* codes non-referentiality. In order to distinguish between the two negative markers, we gloss the low-tone *à* as “NEG:REF” for negative referential. The non-referential negative marker *á* is glossed simply as “NEG”.

If the verb has extensions, which determine the event with respect to spatial orientation or in some other way, the negative marker *á* is used. Note that the verb does not have the referential marker *tá*:

- (16) *ndúk ndá tsá klè-gá-ná-ghà á xòn wà*  
 all ASSC DEF take-INN-DEM-D:PVG NEG 3PL NEG  
 ‘despite all that, they didn’t bring [it] to him’
- (17) *dr-ín á xáyághù (tá xàsú’ùú) wà*  
 burn-AWAY NEG Hayahu OBJ wood NEG  
 ‘Xayahu did not burn (the wood) away’
- (18) *xvá-f á xdí tá vàrà kďá wà*  
 plant-UP NEG Hdi OBJ beans last year NEG  
 ‘Hdi did not plant beans last year’

If the verb does not have the extensions, referentiality is coded by the low-tone marker *à* (all data elicited):

- (19) *xvá à xdí tá v àrà k d á w à*  
 plant NEG:REF Hdi OBJ beans last year NEG  
 'Xdi did not plant beans last year'

The non-referential events are valid for any period of time:

- (20) *gw à d á à m b í t s á w à*  
 speak NEG:REF Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa did not say anything' (during a specific conversation)

Cf.:

- (21) *gw à d á á m b í t s á w à*  
 speak NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa does not speak'
- (22) *z ú à t á h l ú ' w í w à*  
 eat:SO NEG:REF OBJ meat NEG  
 'he did not eat the meat'
- (23) *z á á t á h l ú ' w í w à*  
 eat NEG OBJ meat NEG  
 'he does not eat meat'

Consider the use of the two markers with the applicative marker *v á* and the source-oriented marker *ú*, both of which code the affectedness of the subject. The applicative does not imply completion, or totality, of the event. The source-oriented marker codes completion of the event. With the applicative *v á*, the negative marker has the form *á*. With the source-oriented marker *ú*, the negative marker is only *à*:

- (24) *dr à -v á á / \* à x à s ú ' ù ú n d á l b á w à*  
 burn-APPL NEG wood ASSC wet NEG  
 'wet wood does not burn'
- (25) *dr -ú à / \* á x à s ú ' ù ú w à*  
 burn-SO NEG:REF wood NEG  
 'the wood did not burn completely'

Compare also the following:

- (26) *s ù à t á g h z ú w à*  
 drink:SO NEG:REF OBJ beer NEG  
 'he did not drink the beer'

- (27) *sà á tá ghzú wà*  
 drink NEG OBJ beer NEG  
 'he does not drink beer'

All events that involve the speaker are automatically referential, and the negative form used is always *á*, because the referentiality is coded by the nature of the subject (the first-person singular marker *i* replaces the vowel of the negative and assumes its tone):

- (28) *sá-bì dá l má í wà*  
 arrive-OUT:REF PURP war:NEG 1SG NEG  
 'I did not come in order to wage war'

- (29) *dr-ín í tá nívú wà*  
 burn-AWAY NEG:1SG OBJ wood NEG  
 'I did not burn wood'

- (30) *dr-ín á-í (tá nívú) wà → [dríná]*  
 burn-AWAY NEG-1SG OBJ wood NEG  
 'I did not burn the wood'

When the verb has an extension, the scope of the negation is the extension with the verb. Thus the tentative extension *ŋ*, which often means "try to do X", in a negative clause means "did not try to do X":

- (31) *tsà-ŋá á mbítsá wà*  
 cut-TENT NEG Mbitsa NEG  
 'Mbitsa did not try to cut'

### 3. The dependent negative clause: The auxiliary *xàdú*

The pragmatically dependent negative clauses are negative relative clauses, negative conditional protases, and negative conditional and temporal apodoses. The negative dependent clause is coded by a frame beginning with the clause-initial form *xàdú* 'lack, not to exist'. The form *xàdú* is the opposite of *màmú* 'exist', which also occurs in clause-initial position. As is the case with *màmú*, subjects, whether nominal or pronominal, must follow *xàdú*. Pronominal subjects are taken from the verbal set (Table 10). Since the clause-initial position is the one occupied by the predicate, we take *xàdú* to be an auxiliary. The auxiliary *xàdú* has variants *xàd* and *xwàdú*. In the mperfective aspect the auxiliary is followed by the prepo-

sition *tà*, a nominal form of the verb, and the clause-final negative particle *wà*. Pronominal subjects (natural discourse data):

- (32) *xàd' áŋni tà mtà-kú ndá mtà wà*  
 lack 1PL:EXCL IMPF die-ABS ASSC die NEG  
 'we do not die [when we are old]'
- (33) *xàdú-lú tà mág-áy mándá tsá tà zlày*  
 NEG-UH IMPF do-PO like DEF PREP before  
*bàdú lúmá gòzú lúmá gòz yá-w*  
 day market Gozu market Gozu DEM-NEG  
 'Is it not done as before on Wednesdays?' (Wednesday = market day at Gosi in Nigeria)

The negative dependent clause may also be a concluding clause, after another statement has been made:

- (34) *àmá xàdú-lú tà táw-áy*  
 but lack-UH IMPF cry-PO  
 'but one does not complain about it'
- (35) *xàd' xəŋ tà ksá-f-tà dágálá wà*  
 lack 3PL IMPF catch-UP-REF many NEG  
 'they do not catch many'

Negative comment on a focused element:

- (36) *matakam gúli ná, xàdú lú tà ndzúv-tà*  
 Matakam also DEM lack-UH IMPF tie-REF  
*ndá xəŋ wà*  
 ASSC 3PL NEG  
 'Also the Matakam [Mafa], one didn't get along with them either'

Negative clause in the temporal apodosis:

- (37) *sá-fā lá xamáyádzì xàd' xəŋ*  
 arrive-UP COLL Hamayadzi lack 3PL  
*tà ksá-f-tà dər turtúk wà*  
 IMPF catch-UP-REF even one NEG  
 'When Hamayadzi's people arrived, they didn't even catch a single person'

The negative relative clause involves the negative relative clause marker *kùl* and the auxiliary *xàdú*:

- (38) *bá imí yá yà kùl xàdú sá-ghà*  
 except water DEM COP which:NEG lack arrive-D:PVG  
 ‘... except for rains that do not come.’
- (39) *xàdík kùl xàdú kdà-và-k-tà-ní*  
 world without lack finish-APPL-INN-REF-3SG  
 ‘world that does not end’ (written source)

The evidence that the form *xàdú* is a verb ‘lack, not to have’ is provided by the clauses where it is the only predicate:

- (40) *xàdú skwì mùtsá-f-tsì wà*  
 lack thing get-UP-3SG NEG  
 ‘there is nothing that he got’ (i.e., ‘he did not get anything’)
- (41) *xád im gà xdí wù kó*  
 NEG water in Hdi NEG Q (Hau.)  
 ‘So, there are no rains in Hdi?’

The structure corresponding to “there is no such thing . . .” is also used for the coding of dependent future:

- (42) *xád skwì dzà’á ksà-n-tà wà*  
 lack thing FUT touch-3-REF NEG  
 ‘[if certain offerings have been made] nothing will happen to him’

#### 4. Negation of possessive clauses

Negation of possessive clauses, i.e. verbless clauses coding possession, is also marked by *xàdú . . . wà*, with the possessor marked by the locative preposition *dà*:

- (43) *xád kób dà tsí wà*  
 lack money PREP 3SG NEG  
 ‘she does not have any money’



When the object of possession is not coded overtly, the sentence is construed as meaning that the possessor has no member of a set mentioned in previous discourse:

(44) *xàd dà tsí wà*  
 lack PREP 3SG NEG  
 'she does not have any'

(45) *xàd kóbù dì-í wà*  
 lack money PREP-1SG NEG  
 'I do not have money'

### 5. Negation through the auxiliary *kwálá* 'lack, fail'

An alternative negation form consists of the use of the verb *kwálá* 'lack, fail', combined with the form *kùl* 'without', which is also used as a negative relative clause marker. One of the structures in which the auxiliary is used consists of the full verb *kwálá* 'fail', followed by the subject, then the form *kùl*, followed by the main verb with extensions, if any, and then followed by the object. There is no negative marker *á* or *wá*:

(46) *mántsá dzà'á kwálá-xèn kùl ghál-gəl tá*  
 like that FUT lack-3PL without steal-AGAIN OBJ  
*kághá*  
 2SG  
 'like that, they will not rob you again'

The short form *kùl*, which precedes the verb, is used as a negative marker:

(47) *nə kùl zə-ká*  
 why without eat-2SG  
 'why don't you eat?'

(48) *nə kùl zə-tsí*  
 why without eat-3SG  
 'why doesn't he eat?'

(49) *nə kùl sə-ká*  
 why without drink-2SG  
 'why don't you drink?'

## 6. Conclusions

The form of the negative clause codes the pragmatic status of the clause. The negation of pragmatically independent clauses involves the negative frames *á . . . wà* for non-referential events and *à . . . wà* for referential events. The negation of pragmatically dependent clauses is marked by the auxiliary verb *xàdú* 'lack'. The pragmatically independent clauses do not display aspectual distinctions, while the pragmatically dependent clauses do.

# Chapter 18

## Topicalization

### 1. Introduction

*Topicalization*, as understood in this chapter, means changing the topic of a sentence or a fragment of discourse. Topicalization has different forms for different arguments and adjuncts. If the topicalization involves movement into the clause-initial position, there is a perceptible pause between the topicalized argument and the rest of the clause. Clauses in which the pause is artificially eliminated are considered ungrammatical. In addition to the pause, the demonstrative *ná*, serving as the background marker, may precede the comment-on-the-topic clause. The fundamental syntactic distinction between topicalization and focus is that in topicalization constructions the clause that follows the topicalized element is pragmatically independent, i.e., the perfective is coded through reduplication and the imperfective retains its thematic vowel. In focus constructions, the clause that follows the fronted element is pragmatically dependent, i.e., the perfective cannot be coded by reduplication and the imperfective is marked just by the verbal root. In what follows we discuss the topicalization of various arguments and the role of particles that may follow the topicalized argument.

There are different means for topicalizing arguments of verbal clauses versus arguments of equational clauses, and different means for topicalizing nominal arguments versus pronominal arguments. The first part of the discussion is dedicated to the description of the formal means available, and the second part is a description of the functions of topicalization. The description of the formal means is based on elicited expressions in order to minimize extraneous material that may obscure the grammatical patterns involved. The description of the functions is based on natural discourse data only.

### 2. Topicalization of the pronominal subject

The pronominal subject in the imperfective clause is topicalized through the independent pronoun occurring after the verb. The verb occurs in nominalized form, as always in the independent imperfective aspect:

- (1) *tà xwáyá íí*  
 IMPF run 1SG  
 'I run'
- (2) *tà xàní kàghá*  
 IMPF sleep 2SG  
 'you sleep'
- (3) *tà yáwì tsàtsí*  
 IMPF chatter 3SG  
 'he chatters'

If the verb is transitive, the potential object marker -áy must occur:

- (4) *tà zl-áy íí*  
 IMPF chase-PO 1SG  
 'I chase it'

The difference between topicalized and non-topicalized pronominal subjects is in the form of the subject pronouns. The non-topicalized subject pronouns are affixes; the topicalized subject pronouns are independent:

- (5) *tà zl-áy-í*  
 'I chase it'

Here is the illustration of other topicalized pronouns in the imperfective aspect:

- (6) *tà zl-áy kághá*  
 'you chase'
- (7) *tà zl-áy tsátsí*  
 'he chases'
- (8) *tà zl-áy ú'ú*  
 'the two of us chase'
- (9) *tà zl-áy ámù*  
 'we (INCL) chase'
- (10) *tà zl-áy áḡní*  
 'we (EXCL) chase'

(11) *tà zl-áy kághúní*  
‘you chase’

(12) *tà zl-áy xèn*  
‘they chase’

### 3. Topicalization of the nominal subject

The topicalized nominal subject may be followed by the comment clause marker *ná*, identical with the proximate demonstrative, and glossed as “DEM”. The topicalized nominal subject is put at the beginning of the clause. Given the function of topicalization, such a subject, unless it is a proper name, must be marked by one of the demonstratives *ná*, *yá*, or *á*. The choice of demonstrative depends on: (1) the distance between the speaker and the subject and (2) the discourse status of the subject. Recall that the rule about the tones on deictics is as follows: A deictic following the noun always has high tone. A deictic preceding the noun always has high tone. If there are two deictics preceding the noun, the first deictic has low tone. Thus the following schemes are possible: Demonstrative-High tone Noun Demonstrative-High and Demonstrative-Low Demonstrative-High Noun Demonstrative-High:

(13) *nà ná gwì'yán ná (ná) dágálá*  
DEM DEM elephant DEM DEM large  
‘this elephant here is large’

(14) *yà yá gwì'yán yá dágálá*  
DEM DEM elephant DEM large  
‘that elephant is large’

(15) *à á gwì'yán á dágálá*  
DEM DEM elephant DEM large  
‘that elephant over there is large’

Putting an ordinary noun before the predicate without a demonstrative results in an ungrammatical expression:

(16) *\*gwìyán dágálá*  
elephant large  
for ‘an elephant is large’ (even for generic statements)

Topicalized proper names are not enclosed in the demonstrative frame. The demonstrative that follows the proper name is the comment clause marker. A transitive verb in the imperfective aspect must have the potential object marker *-áy*:

- (17) *pghìntà ná tà s-ày tá ìmí*  
 Phinta DEM IMPF drink-PO OBJ water  
 'as for Phinta, she drinks water'

In the perfective negative, the verb has only goal-orientation vowel *a*:

- (18) *pghìntà ná sà á tá ìmí wà*  
 Phinta DEM drink NEG OBJ water NEG  
 'as for Phinta, she did not drink water'

In the prohibitive mood, the background marker *ná* is not used. The fronted nominal subject is followed by a pause. The verb must have the third-person singular subject *tsi* or the third-person plural subject *xən*:

- (19) *tádá [pause] mà zé-tsí tá mbízà*  
 Tada PROH eat-3SG OBJ bean dish  
 'Tada, he should not eat the bean dish'

Cf.:

- (20) *mà zé tádá tá mbízà*  
 PROH eat Tada OBJ bean dish  
 'Tada should not eat the bean dish'

The clause that follows the topicalized subject is coded as a pragmatically independent clause. The evidence for this conclusion is provided by the fact that the verb in the perfective aspect is reduplicated:

- (21) *pghìntà ná sà-á-sà tá ìmí*  
 Phinta DEM drink-PART-drink OBJ water  
 'as for Phinta, she drank some water' (while other people drank other things)

Negation with a topicalized subject is marked as in indicative clauses, viz. by the particle *a* following the verb and by the clause-final particle *wà*. Since the subject is in clause-initial position, the two negative markers are in sequence if there are no other elements in the clause:

- (22) *pghìntà ná sá-ghà á wà*  
 Phinta DEM arrive-D:PVG NEG NEG  
 'as for Phinta, she did not come'
- (23) *pghìntà ná sà á tá ìmí wà*  
 Phinta DEM drink NEG OBJ water NEG  
 'as for Phinta, she does not drink water'

In the stative aspect, topicalization involves placing the subject in clause-initial position:

- (24) *hlà ndá xná*  
 cow STAT cut  
 'the cow, it is slaughtered'

#### 4. Topicalization of the subject in equational clauses

In equational clauses, when the subject occurs in clause-initial position for topicalization, the predicate is followed by the copula *yà*. The topicalized element is followed by the comment clause marker *ná*:

- (25) *màl-á-dá ná dghá yà*  
 older sibling-GEN-1SG DEM blacksmith COP  
 'my older brother is a blacksmith'
- (26) *yà yá dghá yá ná*  
 DEM DEM blacksmith DEM DEM  
*màl-á-dá yà*  
 older sibling-GEN-1SG COP  
 'that blacksmith is my older brother'

The topicalization marker *ná* may be omitted:

- (27) *pghìntà màl-á-dá yà*  
 Phinta older sibling-GEN-1SG COP  
 'Phinta is my older sister'

If the topicalized argument of an equational clause is pronominal, the pronoun is drawn from the independent set, and like a nominal subject, it may be followed by the demonstrative *ná*. Instead of the clause-final copula *yà*, different pronouns serve as copulas:

- (28) *kághá ná kítikw ká*  
 2SG DEM small 2SG  
 'as for you, you are small'

Topicalization of the subject of possessive clauses has the form: Noun Phrase *ná ngá* Noun Phrase Copula. The copula is an obligatory component of the possessive clause. Any of the three deictic markers and the anaphor *tsá* can serve as the copula. The choice of copula is dictated by the deictic marker determining the possessum. But unlike the deictic marker, the copula always has low tone. The definite marker *tsá* serving as copula is unique among copulas in that it has high tone:

- (29) *tsá hlà yá ná ngá-dá tsá*  
 DEF cow DEM DEM FOR-1SG COP  
 'the cow is mine'

The other copulas always have low tone:

- (30) *tsá hlà yà ná ngá-dá yà*  
 DEF cow DEM DEM FOR-1SG DEM  
 'the cow is mine'
- (31) *nà (ná) hlà ná ná ngá-dá nà*  
 DEM DEM cow DEM DEM FOR-1SG COP  
 'this cow here is mine'
- (32) *yà (yá) hlà yá ná ngá-dá yà*  
 DEM DEM cow DEM DEM FOR-1SG COP  
 'this cow here is mine'
- (33) *à (á) hlà á ná ngá-dá à*  
 DEM DEM cow DEM DEM FOR-1SG COP  
 'that cow over there is mine'

## 5. Topicalization of the object

The topicalized object is also fronted, and like all fronted objects and unlike the object in postverbal position, it is not preceded by the object marker *tá*. The topicalized object may also be followed by the background marker *ná*.

In the imperfective aspect, the verb following the topicalized object must have the potential object marker *-áy*:



- (34) *hlú'w-á hlà (ná) tà d'v-áy-í*  
 meat-GEN cow DEM IMPF like-PO-1SG  
 'beef, I like'

In the perfective aspect, the verb does not have an object marker, which is the usual situation for perfective in pragmatically independent clauses:

- (35) *mbízà z-ú-zà pghìntà*  
 bean dish eat-SO-eat Phinta  
 'the bean dish, Phinta ate it'

- (36) *hlú'w-á krì ná d'và á wà*  
 meat-GEN dog DEM like NEG NEG  
 'dog meat, he does not like it'

The demonstrative/copula *ná* is optional, provided there is a pause between the topicalized noun phrase and the following sentence:

- (37) *hlú'w-á krì (ná) d'vì í wà*  
 meat-GEN dog DEM like NEG-1SG NEG  
 'dog meat, I do not like'

If the demonstrative is deleted and the pause is suppressed, the sentence is ungrammatical:

- (38) \**hlú'w-á krì d'vá-í wà*  
 meat-GEN dog like-1SG NEG  
 for 'dog meat I do not like'

## 6. Topicalization of the dative

Topicalization of the dative involves the fronting of the dative argument without any preposition preceding it. The verb has a dative pronoun, which codes the person and number of the fronted argument:

- (39) *pghìntà ná dá-nà-d-í tá skwì*  
 Phinta DEM cook-DEM-cook-1SG OBJ food  
 'as for Phinta, I cooked food for her'

Similarly to the direct object, when the dative argument occurs in clause-initial position, it is not preceded by the object-marking preposition *tá*:

- (40) *pghìntà vlá-n-vl-í tá gù*  
 Phinta give-3-give-1SG OBJ goat  
 ‘as for Phinta, I gave her a goat’

If the dative argument is a conjoined noun phrase, the clause following the topicalized phrase has to have a resumptive plural pronoun marked by the preposition *tá*:

- (41) *ì pghìntà ndá rákú vlá-n-vl-í tá*  
 ASSC.PL Phinta ASSC Raku give-3-give-1SG OBJ  
*gù tá xèn*  
 goat OBJ 3PL  
 ‘as for Phinta and Raku, I gave them a goat’

If the dative argument is pronominal, the independent form of the pronoun is placed in clause-initial position. The verb has a pronominal suffix coding the same person and number:

- (42) *kághá vlá-ghá-vl-í tá gù*  
 2SG give-2SG-give-1SG OBJ goat  
 ‘as far as you are concerned, I gave you a goat’

- (43) *kághúní vlá-ghúná-vl-í tá gù*  
 2PL give-2PL-give-1SG OBJ goat  
 ‘as far as you are concerned, I gave you a goat’

## 7. Topicalization of the adjunct

Some adverbs of time always occur in clause-initial position. The topicalization of the adverb of time is marked by the comment clause marker *ná*:

- (44) *índà dimanche ná mà mú marriage ndánà*  
 every Sunday (Fr.) COMP exist marriage now  
 ‘Every Sunday there is a marriage now’

## 8. The functions of topicalization

Topicalization establishes a new topic of a sentence or a paragraph. If the topic has not been mentioned before in discourse, it is introduced in clause-initial position without any demonstratives or deictics:

- (45) *xìyá xìyá skwì txàf-í tà ná*  
 corn corn thing expel-1SG PREP DEM  
*dèlèwèr ná ná skwì 3 nghá-nà-ghá-tsí*  
 notebook DEM DEM thing three look-DEM-D:GO-3SG  
 ‘What I have written about in this notebook regards three things.’  
 (written sources)

The demonstrative *ná* marks the material that is a comment on the topicalized element:

- (46) *kàbgá ndùsá ná rvèrì ndá lùwá gítà6*  
 because be close DEM lion ASSC village today  
 ‘because there is a lion close to the village today’

A topicalized element previously mentioned in discourse is marked by the frame *tsá . . . yá* followed by the background marker *ná*:

- (47) *tsá Gulu yá ná yà-yà*  
 DEF Gula DEM DEM give birth-give birth  
*tá zwàni ndá ndghà*  
 OBJ child:PL ASSC many  
 ‘that Gulu begot many children’

The definite marker *tsá* may follow the topicalized subject if the subject is also in focus:

- (48) *pghù tsá yá dzà'á màrà-n-tá*  
 libation DEF DEM FUT show-3-REF  
*snà-n-tà-ní tá dàdá-xà-ní ndá mtá dàgà*  
 know-3-REF-3SG OBJ father-PL-3SG STAT dead PREP  
*dá-ní dá-ní mà dá-ní dá-ní mà*  
 father-3SG father-3SG PREP father-3SG father-3SG PREP  
*dá-ní xá gùlú*  
 father-3SG until Gulu  
 'It is the libation that will make him know his dead parents, back to Gulu.' (Gulu is a forefather whom the *xùtsá*, *kdáy*, *lálúwá*, and *dáblám* clans claim as their ancestor. There are several dozen clans in Hdi.)

If the topicalized element is present in the environment of speech, it is enclosed in an appropriate demonstrative frame (Situation: after the children of God gave the protagonist a donkey, they said):

- (49) *nà-ná kdìx ná ná, kdìx-á xìyá yà*  
 DEM-DEM donkey DEM DEM donkey-GEN corn COP  
 'This donkey here it is a donkey of corn'

The adjunct also may be topicalized when it has been previously mentioned in the clause. Thus in a story:

- (50) *tsá myí-xà yá ná tà d'v-áy tá yà*  
 DEF wives-PL DEM COMP IMPF like-PO OBJ DEM  
*tùrtúk d'và á tá yà tùrtúk-ù*  
 one like NEG OBJ DEM one-NEG  
 'Among these wives there, he likes one and does not like the other.'

A clause itself may be topicalized, i.e. may be commented on by the material following it. The comment is marked by the demonstrative *ná*:

- (51) *sáàlá-xà ká-xèn tà tská kóbù ná*  
 other-PL COMP-3PL IMPF search money DEM  
*hlíy-á-tán/\*xè dá ghúá kzún*  
 go-GEN 3PL PURP cut grass  
 'Others, they find money like that: by going to cut grass'

In a way, all topicalized material, whether lexical or clausal, represents an instantiation of backgrounding whereby the topic is considered background for the comment. We return to the notion of backgrounding when discussing the issues of complementation.

## 9. Conclusions

Topicalization is coded through the placement of the topicalized noun phrase in clause-initial position. Either a pause or the demonstrative *ná* must follow the topicalized element. The clause that follows the topicalized element is pragmatically independent. That is the main element that distinguishes between topicalization and focus in Hdi.



# Chapter 19

## Focus and relative clauses

### 1. Introduction

The term *focus* in the present work designates the highlighting of one element of a proposition as either important or new, including an element that corrects an erroneous assumption of the hearer.

We use the term *relative clause* for a structure in which a noun or a noun phrase (the head) is modified by a clause. The reason the two functions are described in the same chapter is that the coding means for the two functions are identical. A clause with a focused element may serve as an argument of another clause, in which case the comment on the focused element is the relative clause. In what follows we briefly describe the characteristics of the constructions coding the two functions. We then we describe how arguments and adjuncts are put into focus and relativized.

Focus may be coded by various means, depending on what element of the clause is in focus and whether the clause has a nominal or a verbal predicate. There are two main means of coding focus and relativization: (1) placing the focused or relativized element before the predicate, i.e., in clause-initial position if there are no adverbs, and (2) for verbal clauses, use of a dependent aspect. Unlike in topicalization constructions, the fronted element is not followed by the demonstrative *ná*. Thus, several independent means distinguish between focused/relativized and topicalized elements, although both the topic and the focus occur in clause-initial position. Aspect coding in focus and relative constructions is intertwined with the coding of the grammatical role of the focused noun phrase. Hence, in the description that follows, the categories of aspect and grammatical role are discussed at the same time.

The order of components is always Head Relative clause. The head may be preceded by a definite or a demonstrative marker. When it is preceded by such a marker, the relative clause must also be followed by a demonstrative marker. The potential structure of the relative clause is therefore as follows: (Definite/Demonstrative) Head Relative clause (Demonstrative). The choice of the definite or one of the demonstrative markers depends on the existential status of the head. If the head has been previously mentioned in discourse, it is preceded by the definite marker

*tsá* and the relative clause ends with the demonstrative *yá*. The choice of remaining demonstratives depends on the distance between the speaker and the head of the relative clause. The relative clause may, but does not have to, be enclosed in a demonstrative frame:

- (1) *tsá sígà tá gún-ú-tà yá*  
 DEF pot COM open-SO-REF DEM  
 'the pot that opened'

Just like focus constructions, relative clauses are pragmatically dependent, as shown by the use of the dependent aspectual markers.

The negation of relative clauses differs significantly from the negation of other clauses. When the head is modified by a negative relative clause, the relative clause is preceded by the marker *kùl*, regardless of the grammatical role and the semantic function of the head.

## 2. Focus on the subject in verbless clauses

The order Subject Predicate is the coding means for focus on the subject in equational clauses:

- (2) *mákwà yá yà*  
 girl DEM COP  
 'it is only a girl'

Pronominal subjects for focus in clause-initial position are drawn from the independent set:

- (3) *íí m̀nd-á ráyá*  
 1SG man-GEN hunt  
 'it is me who is a hunter'
- (4) *íí zwán*  
 1SG child  
 'I am the child'
- (5) *íí [pause] m̀lí*  
 1SG older sibling  
 'I am the older brother'



- (6) *kághá* [pause] *màlí*  
 2SG DEM older sibling  
 ‘you are the older brother’

### 3. The copula in focus and relative clause constructions

The copula *yà* can be used in focus and relative clause constructions. Its function appears to be linked to contrastive focus, i.e. providing correct information in contrast to the incorrect presupposition that the hearer may have:

- (7) *ghódxì ndá ghàvà mìdá yà ká-xèn*  
 quiver ASSC arrow inside COP COMP-3PL  
 ‘“it is a quiver and an arrow that are inside,” they said’
- (8) *kà m̀ndú yà dágálá*  
 SEQ man COP important  
 ‘and the man is the most important person’

The copula occurs less often in relative clause constructions, but it is found there nevertheless:

- (9) *fitík yà nzi-í gà mókólò [nzà+i]*  
 time COP live-1SG in Mokolo  
 ‘the time I lived in Mokolo’

### 4. Focus on and relativization of the subject in verbal clauses

The fundamental difference between the coding of a focused/relativized subject and the coding of any other focused or relativized argument or adjunct is that the perfective aspect with a focused subject is coded by the particle *tá* (note the high tone) preceding the predicate, i.e. the comment on the focused/relativized subject. This is the same particle that marks the object. We gloss it, however, as “COM” for “comment” in order to distinguish its function in focus constructions. Other arguments in focus do not have a comment clause marked by the particle *tá*. The particle *tá* does not occur in the imperfective aspect:

- (10) *tíkvá gávli tá mn-áy kà-zlày ná sí m̀ndú*  
 Tikva Gavli COM say-PO SEQ-COMP COMP PAST man  
*dzi'í rà tá krì dzi'í*  
 kill-1SG Q OBJ dog kill-1SG  
 'It is Tikva Gali who said: is it a man that I killed? I killed a dog'
- (11) *màmú tsòmák-xà kđerí tà ìrì ndà tsí*  
 exist enemy-PL:GEN Kderi IMPF envy ASSC 3SG  
 'there were enemies of Kderi who envied him'
- (12) *tsátsí tá yà-gá-p-tá lá lùwà gà*  
 3SG COM beget-INN-OUT-REF COLL Luwa PREP  
*xdí yá gùlí*  
 Hdi DEM also  
 'it is he who started the clan of Luwa among the Hdi'

One of the most important structural elements coding the element in focus is the comment clause. The comment clause after a focused element is pragmatically dependent. The perfective aspect in such clauses is coded by the simple form of the verb rather than by reduplication. The object of the verb, if any, is not marked by the preposition *tá* but rather follows the verb directly:

- (13) *yàghí tá xágá m̀ndú ngá dzà'á*  
 squirrel COM invite:PL people FOR go  
*vàgh mù vwàx-á mídz-á-ní*  
 spend day PREP field-GEN mother-in-law-GEN-3SG  
 'it is Squirrel who invited people for the common work in the field of his mother-in-law.'

A focused subject followed by a reduplicated verb is ungrammatical:

- (14) *\*i mbítsá ndá pghìntà skwá-p-skwá tá*  
 ASSC.PL Mbitsa ASSC Phinta buy-OUT-buy OBJ  
*hlà-tán*  
 cow-3PL  
 for 'it is Mbitsa and Phinta who sold their cow'

If the verb has extensions, it must have the referential marker *tà* with low tone:

- (15) *tàlá zàŋwá tsá yá tá màrà-n-tá*  
 exorcise demon DEF DEM COM show-3-REF  
*xlá-g-í-n-tá índà ghwáďàk-á skwì mà*  
 gather-INN-AWAY-3-REF all bad-GEN thing PREP  
*xgá yá*  
 home DEM  
 ‘It is *tàlá zàŋwá* that shows that one has chased away all the bad things from the compound.’

- (16) *kàbgá áŋni tá dzà-f-tá justice*  
 because IPL.EXCL COM build-UP-REF justice  
*gà mokolo yá ká-’á*  
 PREP Mokolo DEM COMP-3SG  
 ‘“because it is we who built justice in Mokolo,” he said’

There is no difference between the focus construction for the subject and the relative clause construction with the subject as its head. Both are illustrated in the following example, consisting of three clauses: the first, a clause with a relativized subject; the second, a sequential clause; and the third, a clause with the subject in focus:

- (17) *zwán tá kď-í-ŋ-tá dzáŋgá gà xđí*  
 child COM finish-AWAY-3-REF studies PREP Hdi  
*kà lá-ghw-í dá mokolo ná*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-REF PREP Mokolo COMP  
*zwán tá lá-ghw-í ráná-kw-á-ní*  
 child COM go-D:SO-REF copulate:PL-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 ‘a child who finished his studies in Hdi and went to Mokolo is a child who went to prostitute himself’

A relative clause with the subject as its head does not differ from the focus-on-subject clause:

- (18) *zindín tà w-à lúwá*  
 zindin PREP mouth-GEN top  
 ‘it is *zindin* that is on the top of the shed’

Relativization of the subject of verbal clauses obeys the same rules that apply in focus constructions. In the perfective aspect the relativized subject is followed by the comment clause marker *tá*, and the verb has to have the referential marker if it has any extensions:

- (19) *màmú sà̀n m̀ghám tá kl-áf-tá màràkw xìs*  
 exist certain chief COM take-UP-REF wife two  
 ‘There was once a chief who married two wives.’
- (20) *skwì tá ngà-ná-f-tá gám tá tv-á*  
 thing COM close-DEM-UP-REF barrier PREP road-GEN  
*xíǎkú xǎí*  
 civilization Hdi  
 ‘thing that closed the road to civilization of Hdi’
- (21) *ghùrúm tá lá-ghw-í ndá mà xàǎík*  
 hole COM go-D:SO-REF ASSC in ground  
 ‘a hole that went deep into the ground’
- (22) *índà m̀ndú-xà tá kwá-lá-úgh-tà kà dzúlá*  
 all man-PL COM refuse-SO-REF as pray  
*tá dzúlá*  
 OBJ prayer  
 ‘all people who refused to get converted to Islam’<sup>13</sup>

Here are examples of a relativized subject serving as the object of a matrix clause:

- (23) *kà lá-m ùvá hlà-ná-ghá-tá vàzák tá*  
 SEQ enter-IN cat find-DEM-D:GO-REF rooster COM  
*díǎ-ùgh-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 hide-SO-REF PREP hibiscus  
 ‘When Cat entered, he found Rooster hiding in the hibiscus.’

In the future tense the form *dzà’á* is used:

- (24) *skwì dzà’á mágá-kú màxtsím*  
 thing FUT make-ABS tomorrow  
 ‘the thing that will happen in the future’

The head of the relative clause may be accompanied by various anaphoric and deictic markers, depending on whether it has been previously mentioned in discourse and on the distance between the head of the relative clause and the speaker. The frame *tsá . . . yá* indicates that the head of the relative clause has been previously identified in discourse:

- (25) *tsá m̀ndú-xà yá tá tàxá-f-tá sá-ghà*  
 DEF man-PL DEM COM start-UP-REF arrive-D:PVG  
*tà xàdík-á xdí*  
 PREP ground-GEN Hdi  
 'It is those people that started to settle the Hdi land.'

The focused subject may be preceded by an adverb of time, but that does not affect its coding. What is important is that the subject precedes the verb:

- (26) *tíngìl v̀azák tá lá-ghà tántán*  
 first rooster COM go-D:PVG first  
 'It is Rooster that arrived first.'

## 5. Subject focus in the imperfective

The subject in focus in the imperfective aspect precedes the predicate. The predicate is marked by the marker *tà*. The verb in the imperfective aspect is in nominalized form:

- (27) *mbítsá tà x̀aní*  
 Mbitsa IMPF sleep:NOM  
 'it is Mbitsa who sleeps'

- (28) *mántsá yá ká mndrá mndú tà sábi dàgà*  
 like that COMP bottom man IMPF leave from (Hau.)  
*mà mndrá xgá*  
 PREP bottom house  
 'It is like that that the soul of the man leaves the bottom of the house'

If the verb has an extension, it must be followed by the referential marker *tà*:

- (29) *mbítsá tà bà-dá-f-tà*  
 Mbitsa IMPF build-ALL-UP-REF  
 'it is Mbitsa that builds it up'

The verbs that can occur with or without objects may have the potential object marker *-ay* added:

(30) *tsá íí yá tà gwàd'á*  
 DEF 1SG DEM IMPF talk  
 'it is me who is talking'

(31) *tsá íí yá tà gwàd'-áy*  
 DEF 1SG DEM IMPF talk-PO  
 'it is me who is saying this'

Inherently transitive verbs must have the potential object marker -áy added if no object follows:

(32) *mbítsá tà b-ày*  
 Mbitsa IMPF build-PO  
 'it is Mbitsa that builds'

The focused subject may also occur in the stative aspect:

(33) *kábgà mndrá tsá mndú-xà yá yá ndá snà*  
 because clan DEF man-PL DEM DEM STAT know  
*tá tsáf-tá dùvúl yà ngá lmú ndá tsí*  
 OBJ make-REF metal COP PREP war ASSC 3SG  
 'Because this clan knows how to make metal for war'

## 6. Focus on and relativization of the object

A focused and relativized object is placed in preverbal position and is not preceded by the object marker *tá*. The potential ambiguity regarding the role of a focused or a relativized argument is resolved by at least two coding means: the overt coding of all subjects and the use of pragmatically dependent aspectual markers.

In the imperfective aspect, the verb in the comment clause has the root form, which may end in schwa if syllabification rules require vowel insertion (examples with focused objects were obtained by eliciting the contrastive focus function):

(34) *ghùz-á xìyá yà tà sə mbítsá*  
 beer-GEN guinea corn DEM IMPF drink Mbitsa  
 'it is the corn beer that Mbitsa drinks' or 'is drinking'

- (35) *hlà tà xn-é mbítsá gù á wà*  
 cow IMPF slaughter Mbitsa goat NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that Mbitsa is slaughtering, not a goat'

The schwa becomes *u* when it follows a labial consonant:

- (36) *hlá yà tà mbú mbítsá gù á wà*  
 cow COP IMPF treat Mbitsa goat NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that Mbitsa is treating, not a goat'

- (37) *hlà tà dzáwú mbítsá gù á wà*  
 cow IMPF buy Mbitsa goat NEG NEG  
 'it is cow that Mbitsa is buying, not a goat'

The schwa also becomes *u* when followed by the unspecified human subject *lu* because the consonant *l* is transparent with respect to vowel harmony:

- (38) *tsá yá skwì tà xgù-lù kà zègláftà*  
 DEF DEM thing IMPF call-UH as zègláftà  
 'this is the thing that one calls zègláftà'

If the verb has extensions, it is followed by the referential marker *ta*:

- (39) *hlú'wí tà fá-m-tù-lú mà kùwá*  
 meat IMPF put-IN-REF-UH PREP dish  
 'it is meat that one puts into a dish'

If a locative object is in focus, it is not preceded by a locative preposition:

- (40) *mókólò tà hl-í índà fitík*  
 Mokolo IMPF go-1SG every day  
 'it is to Mokolo that I go everyday'

There is no distinction between a focused object and a relativized object:

- (41) *wúyá skwì tà klá-ghá-tà-ηnì, ká-xəŋ*  
 here thing IMPF take-2SG-REF-1PL.EXCL COMP-3PL  
 'here is the thing that we give you'

- (42) *tsá mndúxà dzà'á zbà-f-tù-lú yá*  
 DEF man-PL FUT look for-UP-REF-UH DEM  
*ná zə'əl-á pghù ndá màrkw-á*  
 COMP husband-GEN libation ASSC wife-GEN  
*pghù yà*  
 libation COP  
 'the people that one will look for will be the father and the  
 mother of libation'

An object that is the head of a relative clause is fronted, i.e., it occurs before the predicate, and therefore it does not have the object marker *tá* unless it is also the object of the matrix clause (see below). The function of the object as head of the relative clause is assured by the presence of subject markers on the verb. Relativization of the object differs from relativization of the subject in that there is no focus marker *tá* following the head in the perfective aspect:

- (43) *xìyá-xìyá skwì txá-f-ì tà ná d'élèwèr ná*  
 corn-corn thing expel-UP-1SG PREP DEM book DEM  
*ná . . .*  
 DEM  
 'The general themes that I have written about in this book . . .'

In the perfective aspect the verb has the completive marker *a* if followed by the subject:

- (44) *gítà kàm ná dzà'á plá-ghá-m-plá-ηní*  
 today then DEM FUT return-2SG-IN-return-1PL.EXCL  
*tá dá-ghá dzà-xəŋ*  
 OBJ father-2SG kill-3PL  
 'today, then, we will avenge your father whom they have killed.'

Compare the focus construction:

- (45) *sán-à mghám-á lá dzúlá tà xgù-lù kà*  
 certain-GEN chief-GEN COLL prayer IMPF call-UH as  
*xámáyádzi tá tx-áy kà zlày ná . . .*  
 Hamayadzi COM expel-PO SEQ COMP DEM  
 'a certain Moslem chief called Hamayadzi said that . . .'



- (46) *skwì tà kúmì-yí tá mn-áy xàdà ná,*  
 thing IMPF want-1SG OBJ say-PO here DEM  
*mndú tà fá-ná-tá ngùdúf-á-ní dém*  
 man IMPF put-DEM-REF importance-GEN-3SG all  
*dém ngá skwì mná-nú-lú ndá mná, kà*  
 all FOR thing say-DEM-UH ASSC say like  
*skwì tà nghá-tsí . . .*  
 thing IMPF see-3SG

‘What I want to say is that a person who gives more importance to the things that he is told rather than to the things that he sees . . .’

If the head of the relative clause has been mentioned before in discourse, the whole relative clause is enclosed in the definite frame *tsá . . . yá*:

- (47) *tsá [PAUSE] tsá màràkw kùl d'vó-tsí yá*  
 DEF DEF woman REL:NEG like-3SG DEM  
*tsá yá tá yà-tá zón kà mndú*  
 DEF DEM COM give birth-REF son SEQ man  
*yà dágálá*  
 DEM big

‘The wife he did not like gave birth to a son who became a great personality.’

- (48) *ngá tsúk-wá-f-tà índà skwì sí zà-n-lú*  
 NORM sweep-IMP:PL-UP-REF all thing PAST eat-3-UH  
*mà tsá fitík yá*  
 PREP DEF time DEM

‘one should sweep up everything one ate during those times’

If the head of the relative clause is present in a discourse environment, even in a speech, it is enclosed in a demonstrative frame coding the distance between the speaker and the object:

- (49) *nà-ná gwàd'á dzà'á gwàd'-í ná ná tà*  
 DEM-DEM word FUT tell-1SG DEM DEM IMPF  
*gháŋ-à ndzà-kw-á-d'á kd'á*  
 head-GEN happen-ABS-1SG last year

‘The story that I am going to tell is about what happened to me last year’

In the future tense formed with the auxiliary *dzà'á*, the second-person pronoun follows the auxiliary rather than the verb. The verb ends in the third-person potential object marker *-áy*:

- (50) *tsá gwàd'á dzà'á ká gwàd'-áy yá ná tà*  
 DEM word FUT 2SG tell-PO DEM COMP PREP  
*gháŋ-à*  
 head-GEN  
 'The story that you are going to tell is about . . . '

The perfective aspect with a focused object is coded by the completive form of the simple verb, i.e. the form ending in the vowel *a*:

- (51) *hlà (yà) xáná mbítsá gù á wà*  
 cow DEM slaughter.PL Mbitsa goat NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that Mbitsa slaughtered, not a goat'

Pronominal subjects in comment clauses have low rather than high tone. This is the marker of the clause's being a comment clause. Recall that in pragmatically independent clauses, pronominal subjects have high tone. Like other focused elements, the object in focus may be followed by the copula *yà*. Recall that if a low-tone noun is followed by a copula, its tone becomes high. Thus *hlà* becomes *hlá* when followed by a copula:

- (52) *hlá yà xná-lù gù-á wà*  
 cow COP slaughter-UH goat-NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that was slaughtered, not a goat'

If there is no copula, the tone on the focused object remains the same as the underlying tone:

- (53) *hlà xná-lù gù-á wà*  
 cow slaughter-UH goat-NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that was slaughtered, not a goat'

If the subject is vocalic, it assumes the tone of the preceding verb and does not carry the tonal marking of the comment clause. The verb *skwá* has high tone, and therefore the first-person object is realized as *í* rather than *ì*:

- (54) *hlá yà skw-í gù à wà*  
 cow COP buy-1SG goat NEG NEG  
 'it is a cow that I bought, not a goat'

The third-person singular subject must be overtly marked when the object is in focus. The explanation for this fact is straightforward: Without a pronominal subject, the grammatical system would not be able to identify the role played in the clause by the fronted argument. Thus if a pronominal object is in focus, its form, drawn from the independent set, is the same as that used for a pronominal subject in focus. Therefore, the coding of the subject in the position after the verb is a disambiguating means. If the verb belongs to a class where the presence of an object must be marked through suffix *ná*, such a suffix is also present in an object-focus construction:

- (55) *íí dífá-ná-tsi*  
 1SG hide-DEM-3SG  
 'it is me that he hid'

## 7. The topicalized subject and focused object

The following discussion, as well as the discussion in section 8, is based solely on elicited data. Topicalization of the subject and focus on the object can be combined in one clause. The construction consists of the subject in clause-initial position, followed by the object, followed by the preposition *ngá*, followed by a possessive pronoun coding the features person and number of the subject of the clause, followed by the verb: Subject Object *ngá* Pronoun Verb. The noun preceding the preposition *ngá* must have high tone. Thus the words *hlá* 'cow' and *gù* 'goat' both occur as *hlá* and *gù* before the preposition *ngá*. A clause with a topicalized subject and an object in focus has the same coding as a topicalized clause rather than as a comment on the element in focus:

- (56) *tsátsí hlá ngá-ní tà dzáw-áy gù á wà*  
 3SG cow FOR-3SG IMPF sell.PL-PO goat NEG NEG  
 'as for him, it is cattle that he sells, not a goat'

If the subject is not overtly marked, the pronoun following *ngá* is independent rather than possessive:

- (57) *íí hlá ngá-dá tà dzáw-áy gú ngá tsátsí*  
 1SG cow FOR-1SG IMPF buy-PO goat PREP 3SG  
 'I am selling cows; he is selling goats'

### 8. The topicalized adverb and focused object

If an adverb is topicalized and the object is in focus, the adverb occurs first and the object precedes the verb. The subject is marked through the possessive construction *ngá*-Pronoun, where the pronoun codes the subject of the clause. The verb cannot have a subject clitic. The sentence thus has the structure of a topicalized rather than a focus clause:

- (58) *bàdú lúmá ghùz-á kwálábá ngá-ní tá*  
 day market beer-GEN bottle FOR-3SG COM  
*dzáwá-p-tà*  
 sell.PL-OUT-REF  
 'on the day of the market, it was bottled beer that she sold'

- (59) *bàdú lúmá ghzú ngá-tán tá dzáwá-p-tà*  
 day market beer FOR-3PL COM sell.PL-OUT-REF  
 'on the day of the market, it was beer that they sold' (the form *ghzú* represents a product of the first vowel omission)

The possessive construction, which is obligatory when the object is in contrastive focus, is not allowed in pragmatically neutral clauses:

- (60) *bàdú lúmá tà skwá-p-tà ghùz-á kwálábá*  
 day market IMPF buy-OUT-REF beer-GEN bottle  
 \*(*ngá-ní*)  
 FOR-3SG  
 'on the day of the market she sells bottled beer'

### 9. Focus on the dative

One means of coding focus on datives involves the fronting of the dative argument preceded by a preposition marking the argument as different from the subject and the object. Two prepositions are used for this purpose, *ngá* 'FOR' and the object-marking preposition *tá*, plus an independent pronoun or noun. The fronted dative phrase is followed by the prag-

matically dependent clause, as evidenced by low tone on the subject pronouns:

- (61) *ngá pghintà vlá-n-t-ì tá gù*  
 FOR Phinta give-3-REF-1SG OBJ goat  
 'it is to Phinta that I gave a goat'

If the verb does not take dative arguments in its unmarked form, the third-person dative marker *ná* must be added:

- (62) *ngá mbítsá dà-ná-tà-tsì*  
 FOR Mbitsa cook-DEM-REF-3SG  
 'it is for Mbitsa that he cooked'

- (63) *ngá-ghà vlá-ghá-t-ì tá gù ngá-ní á*  
 FOR-2SG give-2SG-REF-1SG OBJ goat FOR-3SG NEG  
*wà*  
 NEG  
 'it is to you that I gave a goat, not to him'

- (64) *ngá-ní vlá-n-t-ì tá gù ngá ghá á*  
 FOR-3SG give-3-REF-1SG OBJ goat FOR 2SG NEG  
*wà*  
 NEG  
 'it is to him that I gave a goat, not to Raku'

- (65) *ngá pghintà vlá-n-t-ì tá gù ngá*  
 FOR Phinta give-3-REF-1SG OBJ goat FOR  
*ràkù á wà*  
 Raku NEG NEG  
 'it is to Phinta that I gave a goat, not to Raku'

The dative argument in focus may also be coded by the preposition *tá*, the same marker that codes the direct and dative object in pragmatically independent clauses:

- (66) *tá tsátsí vlá-n-t-ì tá gù tá kághá*  
 OBJ 3SG give-3-REF-1SG OBJ goat OBJ 2SG  
*á wà*  
 NEG NEG  
 'it is to him that I gave the goat, not to you'

- (67) *tá*     *pghìntà*     *vlá-n-t-ì*             *tá*     *gù*     *tá*     *ràkù*  
 OBJ     Phinta     give-3-REF-1SG     OBJ     goat     OBJ     Raku  
*á*     *wà*  
 NEG     NEG  
 'it is to Phinta that I gave the goat, not to Raku'

One can also put the dative argument after the verb, and the subject pronoun is then affixed to the dative argument. The dependent aspectual coding is used. The subject pronouns, except for the third-person subject, are drawn from the verbal set. The third-person subject pronoun is drawn from the independent set. The tone of the syllable to which the subject pronouns are added becomes high, as is the case with verbs when subject pronouns are added. Thus the noun *pghìntà* becomes *pghìntá* before subject pronouns:

- (68) *vlá-n-tà*     *pghìnt-í*     *tá*     *gù*  
 give-3-REF     Phinta-1SG     OBJ     goat  
 'I gave a goat to Phinta'
- (69) *vlá-n-tà*     *pghìntá-ká*     *tá*     *gù*  
 give-3-REF     Phinta-2SG     OBJ     goat  
 'you gave a goat to Phinta'
- (70) *vlá-n-tà*     *pghìntá*     *tsátsí*     *tá*     *gù*  
 give-3-REF     Phinta     3SG     OBJ     goat  
 'he gave a goat to Phinta'

The language assistants did accept a non-human recipient:

- (71) *vlá-n-tà*     *hlá-ká*     *tá*     *kzún*  
 give-3-REF     cow-2SG     OBJ     grass  
 'you gave grass to the cow'

## 10. Dative as the head of the relative clause

The identity of the head of the relative clause as a dative argument is assured by the dative markers on the verb in the perfective and by the possessive markers in the imperfective aspect. The verb *vlá* 'give' requires the marker *n* when there is a dative argument. Since our texts do not have relativized datives, the examples below have been elicited:

(72) *tsá màràkw vlá-n-í tá pítsákw yá*  
 DEF woman give-3-1SG OBJ hoe DEM  
 ‘the woman to whom I gave a hoe’

(73) *sá-ghà á m̀ndú vlá-n-í tá*  
 arrive-D:PVG NEG man give-3-1SG OBJ  
*cédì wà*  
 money (Ful.) NEG  
 ‘the man to whom I gave money did not come’

The dative marker on the verb cannot be used in the imperfective aspect. Instead, the dative role of the head of the relative clause must be coded by possessive pronouns:

(74) *tsá m̀ndú tà b̀-̀lú tá xgá-ní yá*  
 DEF man IMPF build-UH OBJ house-3SG DEM  
 ‘the man for whom the house is being built’

(75) *tsá m̀ndú bà-ná-f-lú tá xgá yá*  
 DEF man build-DEM-UP-UH OBJ house DEM  
 ‘the man for whom the house was built’

(76) *tsá m̀ndú tà nuà-lú [nù-̀lú] tá hlà-ní yá*  
 DEF man IMPF fatten:PVG-UH OBJ cow-3SG DEM  
 ‘the man for whom his cow is fattened’

(77) *tsá m̀ndú nuà-ná-f-lú tá hlà yá*  
 DEF man fatten:PVG-DEM-UP-UH OBJ cow DEM  
 ‘the man for whom the cow was fattened’

## 11. Focus on and relativization of adjuncts

An adjunct is marked for focus by clause-initial position or by the position following the verb but preceding the subject, whether nominal or pronominal.

### 11.1. Focus and relativization of locatives

The focus on the locative adjunct has the locative preposition present in clause-initial position. Subject pronouns in the comment clause have low tone:

(78) *tà ná sáràk ná dzà'á zá-kà tá mghám*  
 PREP DEM stick DEM FUT eat-2SG COM chief  
 'because of this stick [lit. 'on this stick'] you are going to eat well'

(79) *tà kághúní dzà'á pghá-ηni tá ngùdúf*  
 PREP 2PL FUT pour-1PL.EXCL OBJ suffering  
*ká-xəŋ*  
 COMP-3PL  
 '“it is on you that we will pour the suffering,” they said'

The following example illustrates the use of the independent imperfective in a pragmatically independent clause and the dependent imperfective in the comment on focus construction:

(80) *tà mág-áy-xəŋ àmá ndá mà nìžéryà tà*  
 IMPF do-PO-3PL but ASSC IN Nigeria IMPF  
*mágú-lú bàd tsá*  
 do-UH day DEF  
 'they do it, but it is in Nigeria that they do it on those specific days.'

Relativization of the locative head has the same form as focus on the locative adjuncts. The distinction between stative and directional locatives is coded through the lexical meaning of the verbs of the relative clause and through the system of extensions on the verb. Thus if the locative is stative, that is marked by a stative verb such as *nzá* 'to be, to exist':

(81) *dágálá tsá lúwá tà nzà-kù-tsí yá*  
 big DEF village IMPF live-ABS-3SG DEM  
 'the village where he lives is large'

(82) *dágálá tsá lúmá lá-ghú-tsí yá*  
 big DEF village go-D:PVG-3SG DEM  
 'the market to which he went is large'

The difference between the element in focus and the relativized element is that the relativized element together with the relative clause is considered as one entity, as evidenced by the way the demonstrative frame is added. The demonstrative frame encloses both the head of the relative clause and the relative clause. Such an entity may be marked as the topic of the sentence through the topic-marking demonstrative *ná*:



- (83) *tsá xgá hlà-gh-í mídà yá ná dágálá*  
 DEF house find-2SG-1SG inside DEM DEM big  
 'the house where I found you is big'
- (84) *tsá xgá xàná-ghá yá ná dágálá*  
 DEF house sleep-2SG DEM DEM big  
 'the house where you sleep is big' (lit. 'the house of your sleep is big')

### 11.2. Focus and relativization of time adjuncts

The focus and relativization of time adjuncts is similar to the focus/ relativization of the locative adjuncts. The dependent aspect markers indicate that the fronted element is the focus rather than the topic of the clause. In addition to the dependent imperfective coding, the evidence for the focus function is also provided by the contrast with the time phrase in the second clause:

- (85) *mándá ghàlyá kúní tà ghúyá d'àngwà ngá-d'á*  
 since long time 2PL IMPF make illness FOR-1SG  
*àmá, gítà fitík-á ngá ghúní gúlí*  
 but today time-GEN for 2PL still  
 'You have made me suffer for a long time, but today it is your turn'  
 (written sources)

Several adverbs of time occur in clause-initial position for both topicalization and focus. The distinction between the topicalized and the focused adverb is coded by the form of the clause following the adverb. The focused adjunct is coded only by the dependent aspect:

- (86) *gítà dzáwá-p-tà-tsí tá gù-xà*  
 today buy.PL-OUT-REF-3SG OBJ goat-PL  
 'today, he/she sold goats'

Compare the pragmatically neutral:

- (87) *gítà dzáwá-p-dzáwá tá gù-xà*  
 today buy.PL-OUT-buy.PL OBJ goat-PL  
 'he/she sold goats today'

- (88) *màxtsím dzà'á dzáwá-p-tà-tsí tá*  
 tomorrow FUT buy.PL-OUT-REF:SUBJ-3SG OBJ  
*índà xìyá-ní*  
 all corn-3SG  
 'it is tomorrow that she will sell all her guinea corn'

Cf.:

- (89) *dzà'á dzáwá-p-dzáwá tá índà xìyá-ní*  
 FUT buy.PL-OUT-buy.PL OBJ all guinea corn-3SG  
*màxtsím*  
 tomorrow  
 'she will sell all her guinea corn tomorrow'

### 11.3. The associative as head of the relative clause

If the head of the relative clause is an argument that is coded through an associative preposition in a pragmatically independent clause, the role of such an argument is coded by a resumptive prepositional phrase consisting of the associative preposition *ndá* followed by the anaphoric pronoun *tsí*. The demonstrative, if any, comes after the prepositional phrase:

- (90) *ìnà á tsá pìtsákw tà xv-ì tá xvá*  
 good NEG DEF hoe IMPF work-1SG OBJ work  
*ndá tsí yá wà*  
 ASSC 3SG DEM NEG  
 'the hoe that I work with is not good'

- (91) *ngá-dá tsá kálèk là-p-tsí tá skwì ndá*  
 FOR-1SG DEF spade dig-OUT-3SG OBJ thing ASSC  
*tsí yá*  
 3SG DEM  
 'the spade that he dug with is mine'

Focus on the associative phrase is achieved through the placement of the associative phrase with its marker in clause-initial position:

- (92) *ndá mndr-á pàlà mántsá yá*  
 ASSC bottom-GEN stone like that  
*dghà-f-tà-lú tá mndrá ní*  
 establish-UP-REF-UH OBJ bottom-3SG  
 'it is with this type of foundation stone that they laid its foundation'

## 11.4. Focus on the adverb of manner

The adverb of manner is fronted for focusing:

- (93) *àmmá kà ghúvà zwán tà ghùdz-í tá*  
 but like yellow IMPF urinate-1SG OBJ  
*kwàní*  
 urine  
 ‘but I urinated yellow urine’ (verb *ghùdzá* ‘urinate’)

Focus on the anaphor referring to the manner of event, one of the most frequent types of focus constructions in natural discourse, has the phrase *mántsá yá* ‘like that’ in clause-initial position. Such a construction is followed by the complementizer and the subject of the clause. The verb follows the subject:

- (94) *mántsá yá ká-xèn mb-ì-dí-f-tà*  
 like that DEM COMP-3PL cure-AWAY-1SG-EP-UP-REF  
 ‘That is how they cured me.’

Focus on the reason is different from other focus constructions in that it requires the auxiliary verb *klá* ‘take’ to code the perfective aspect. The auxiliary is followed by the sequential marker and the main verb. The auxiliary verb occurs in its root form:

- (95) *kàbgà hámayádzì kól xdí kà bábá*  
 because Hamayadzi take Hdi SEQ build:PL  
*xgá tà ghwá tà ghwá*  
 house PREP mountain PREP mountain  
 ‘it is because of Hamayadzi that Hdi build their houses on the mountains’ (written sources)

- (96) *mántsá yá kló-ɲí kà sá-ghà dá*  
 that DEM take-1PL.EXCL SEQ arrive-D:PVG PREP  
*xàd-nà*  
 here-DEM  
 ‘that is why we came here’ (written sources)

In the imperfective aspect the form *klá* is preceded by the preposition *tà*:

- (97) *kábgà mántsá tà klá-í kà zba skwì*  
 reason like that IMPF take-1SG SEQ search thing  
*ngá z-áy-ηní ká-'á*  
 FOR eat-PO-1SG COMP-3SG  
 'it is because of this that I allow myself to look for something  
 for us to eat' (written sources)

### 11.5. The possessor as head of the relative clause

The identification of the head of the relative clause as the possessor is coded through the use of the singular or plural possessive pronouns on possessums:

- (98) *tsá mindú skw-í tá pitsákw-á-ní yá*  
 DEF man buy-1SG OBJ hoe-GEN-3SG DEM  
 'the man whose hoe I bought'
- (99) *tsá mindú-xà skw-í tá pitsákw-á-táη yá*  
 DEF man-PL buy-1SG OBJ hoe-GEN-3PL DEM  
 'the men whose hoe I bought'
- (100) *tsá mindú ñghá-n-í tá dá-ní yá*  
 DEF man see-3-1SG OBJ father-3SG DEM  
 'the man whose father I saw'

The focus on possessor is coded by the use of the preposition *ngá* for followed by the possessor:

- (101) *ámá, gítà fitíká ngá ghúni gúlí*  
 but today time FOR 2PL already  
 'But today, it is your time already'

## 12. Focus on the predicate

A verb phrase may be placed in focus through the formation of a cognate object and the placement of the cognate object in clause-initial position. The subject is coded either through the dative preposition *ngá* followed by the possessive pronoun or through subject suffixes:

(102) *dzángá ngá-ní tà dzáng-áy*  
 learn (Ful.) FOR-3M IMPF learn-PO  
 'his job is to go to school'

*dzángá ngá-dá tà dzáng-áy*  
 learn (Ful.) FOR-1SG IMPF learn-PO  
 'my job is to go to school'

The subject cannot be coded by verbal subject markers:

(103) *mà fitúk-á zàvád skálú ngá tán tà*  
 PREP time-GEN zàvád dance PREP 3PL IMPF  
*skál-áy-\*(xòn)*  
 dance-PO-3PL  
 'during the *zavad* season it is dancing that they do'  
 (*zàvád* 'flute')

Focus on the predicate can be combined with topicalization of an argument or adjunct. The cognate object follows the topicalized constituent, and the subject of the clause is coded through the preposition *ngá* plus a possessive pronoun:

(104) *tsá tsí záv zává ngá ní*  
 DEF 3SG play play FOR 3M  
 'as for him, he just plays'

(105) *mà fitúk-á zàvád skál ngá dá tà skál-áy*  
 PREP time-GEN zàvád dance FOR 1SG IMPF dance-PO  
 'during the *zavad* season it is dancing that I do'

(106) *mà fitúk-á zàvád skálú ngá tán tá*  
 PREP time-GEN zàvád dance FOR 3PL COM  
*skál-ú-tà*  
 dance-SO-REF  
 'during the *zavad* season it is dancing that they did'

### 13. Negation, focus, and relativization

Where the focus and relativization constructions differ is in negation. Negation of a focused element does not differ substantially from negation of other clauses, but negation of relative clauses has a form of its own. Therefore, the negative relative clause represents a construction different from the focus construction.

#### 13.1. Negation of focused arguments

The negation of the focused element has the form of the negation of an equational clause, and it consists of enclosing the comment clause in the negative frame *á . . . wà*. The negative marker *á* occurs after the focused constituent and the marker *wà* at the end of the comment clause. The comment-on-focus clause does not change when the focused element is negated:

- (107) *mbítsá á tá skwá-tá hlà wà kóftá yà*  
 Mbitsa NEG COM buy-REF cow NEG Kofta DEM  
 ‘it is not Mbitsa that bought a cow, it is Kofta’ or possibly  
 ‘it is Mbitsa that did not buy a cow, it is Kofta’

- (108) *mbítsá á tà skwá hlà wà kóftá yà*  
 Mbitsa NEG IMPF buy cow NEG Kofta COP  
 ‘It is not Mbitsa that is buying a cow, it is Kofta’

If the focused element is the object, the third-person subject must be overtly coded in the comment clause:

- (109) *hlà á skwá-tsí wà gú yà*  
 cow DEM buy-3SG goat goat COP  
 ‘it is not a cow that he bought, it is a goat’

- (110) *hlà á tà skwá-tsí wà gú*  
 cow NEG IMPF buy-3SG NEG goat  
*yà [skwù-tsú-wà]*  
 COP  
 ‘it is not a cow that he bought, it is a goat’

- (111) *hlà á tà skwá mbítsá wà gú*  
 cow NEG IMPF buy Mbitsa NEG goat  
*yà [skwù-tsó-wà]*  
 DEM  
 'it is not a cow that Mbitsa bought, it is a goat'

### 13.2. Negation of relative clauses

If the relative clause is negative, the predicate is preceded by the marker *kùl* 'without', but it does not end with the negative marker *wà* or *wù*, as other negative clauses do:

- (112) *mántsá dzà'á kwálá xèn kùl ghál-gèl-tá*  
 like that FUT xxx 3PL without steal-AGAIN-REF  
*kághà*  
 2SG  
 'In this way they will not rob you again.' (written sources)

- (113) *kábgá tsáyá kál lá dáblám ndá lá kdày*  
 reason DEM take COLL Diblem ASSC COLL Kday  
*kùl xàd' tà dgó vghá-xá gitá ná nà*  
 without lack IMPF divide body-PL today DEM COP  
 'That is why the Diblem people and the Kday people have not separated from each other until today.'

In the imperfective aspect the marker *kùl* may be followed by the auxiliary verb *xàd'(ú)*, the same coding means as the one occurring in other negative imperfective clauses:

- (114) *zwán-ì kùl xàd'ú-lù tà d'váy*  
 child-PL without lack-UH IMPF love-PO  
 'children that are not loved'
- (115) *tá d'ghwáná-lú ndá náná*  
 IMPF be well-UH PREP now  
*bá ìmí yá yà kùl xàd'ú sá-ghà*  
 except water DEM COP which:NEG lack arrive-D:PVG  
 'They are well, except for rains that do not come.'

- (116) *ndàná-p-xà*      *gúí*      *tà*      *ghóng-à*      *xàdík*  
 think-OUT-DOWN    also    PREP    head-GEN    world  
*kùl*      *xàdí*      *kđà-và-k-tà-ní*  
 without    lack    end-APPL-INN-REF-3SG  
 'He also thought about the world, which has no end.'

#### 14. Conclusions

The form of focus and relative clause constructions depends on which component of the clause is in focus or is relativized, and on whether another component of the clause is also topicalized. Focus and relativization of subjects differ from focus and relativization of other elements in that in the former case, the perfective aspect is coded by the particle *tá* following the focused subject. When some other element is in focus or relativized, the perfective aspect is coded through the simple (non-reduplicated) form of the verb with the suffix *a*, followed by the subject. The imperfective aspect is coded through the imperfective marker *tà* and the verb in the root form. The subject is coded by a possessive construction when the focus construction also contains a topicalized element. The verb may be coded for focus through the formation of a cognate object placed in clause-initial position.

Relative clause constructions are identical with focus constructions, with the only substantial difference in the form of negative clauses. The previous mention of the head is coded by the definite marker *tsá*, which precedes the head, and the demonstrative *yá*, which follows the relative clause. Similarly, the deictic nature of the head is coded by the appropriate deictic frame. When the head of the relative clause is the matrix clause object, the object noun phrase, like other object noun phrases in clause-initial position, is not marked by the preposition *tá*. Relativization of locative and instrumental phrases may involve an anaphoric locative expression following the verb.

Negation with the focused element in its scope involves the negative frame *á . . . wà*, which encloses the comment clause. Negation of the relative clause involves the preposition *kùl* 'without', followed by the verb in perfective aspect, and then by the auxiliary *xàdí* and the form *tà* in the imperfective aspect.



## Chapter 20

### Paratactic, conjoined, sequential, and counterexpectation clauses

#### 1. Introduction

This chapter describes several types of constructions encoding relations between clauses within a sentence, constructions in which one clause is not a complement of another or a modifier of a constituent of another clause. Each type of construction proposed has specific structural characteristics that distinguish it from all other types.

*Paratactic* constructions have no conjunction between clauses. The semantic characteristic of this type of sentence is that the proposition of one clause does not depend on the proposition of another, either temporally or in a cause-effect relationship.

*Conjoined* clauses are clauses with a specific construction indicating both the goal as stated in the first clause has been achieved.

*Disjoined* clauses have a conjunction indicating an either/or relationship between the two clauses.

*Sequential* clauses code a temporal sequence or a result of the preceding clause. Sentences with sequential clauses differ from paratactic sentences both functionally and formally. There are also sentences denying hearer's or speaker's presupposition and supplying what the speaker believes to be a correct information, corresponding to "instead of S1, S2."

*Negative sequential* sentences in which the second clause is a semantic negation of the possible consequences of the preceding proposition.

*Counterexpectation* clauses are clauses where the proposition of second clause is in some way unexpected or is not an obligatory effect of the proposition of the first clause.

#### 2. Paratactic asyndetic constructions

A paratactic construction has no conjunction between the clauses. The existence of parataxis as a special type of construction, separated from any sequence of separate clauses in a discourse, is provided by the fact that in the paratactic construction the subject is overtly coded only once, usually

after the first predicate. Although no coordination is used and no specific relationship between the clauses is coded, the clauses are arranged in a temporal sequence:

- (1) *ngá lá-bà m̀ndú-xà ksà-gá-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-OUT man-PL catch-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
 ‘People should go out, catch him, and bring him back.’
- (2) *ngá xgá-x-tá màlá mà m̀ndrá ghúní*  
 NORM call-DOWN-REF older PREP clan 2PL  
*wúyá-f-tá tsá tsú’úŋ yá hlrá-f-xà-tá*  
 worship-UP-REF DEF stone DEM pierce-UP-DOWN-REF  
*tsá ghùzú yá t̀dà*  
 DEF beer DEM on it  
 ‘One would call the elder of your clan to worship the stone, and to pour beer upon it’ (The use of the verb *hlrá* ‘pierce’ for “pour” remains obscure)

If each of the clauses in a paratactic construction has a normative modality, the modality is overtly coded on each clause:

- (3) *bàdú xú’ú-á tsá źíndíŋ yá*  
 day pound-GEN DEF germinated corn DEM  
*ngá dà tá mbízà ngá làbà-ná-f-tà*  
 NORM cook OBJ bean dish NORM mix-DEM-UP-REF  
*ndá ghúv-à gù ngá vlá-n tá zwàn-ì*  
 ASSC excrement-GEN goat NORM give-3 OBJ child-PL  
 ‘On the day of pounding the corn, one would cook a bean dish, mix it with goat excrement, and give it to children.’ (written sources)

### 3. Clauses conjoined by the verb *lá* ‘go’

The coordinated clausal conjunction may be formed through the use of the verb *lá* ‘depart, go’. The verb is used in its nominalized form, as evidenced by the fact that the subject pronouns are drawn from the possessive set. The verb *lá* may have extensions. Often the nominalized form of the verb *lá* is followed by the form *mbàd’ká* ‘then’. The function of the auxiliary *lá* is to indicate separation and temporal sequentiality of events, when the event of the second clause has been completed. Events of the second clause are not the necessary consequence of the events of the first clause:

- (4) *tsghà-dá-f*    *xáxèn*    *tá*    *sàni*    *lá-ghà-ní*  
 put up-ALL-UP 3PL    OBJ    one    go-D:PVG-3SG  
*mbàd'*    *ká*    *kà*    *tsghà-dá-f-tá*    *sàni*    *zlibí*  
 then    COMP    SEQ    send-ALL-UP-REF    one    bag  
 'They sent up one bag, then they sent another bag.'

The reason we postulate that the verb *lá* has grammaticalized into a conjunction is the frequency of its use as well as its meaning and the context in which it is used. In a text of 40 sentences ("Dog and Hyena") the verb *lá* is used 22 times. Some of these uses are lexical, i.e., on the face value of the sentence in isolation they may indeed code movement:

- (5)    *tà*    *d'g-áy-d'g-áy*    *tùrtúk-w-á-ní*  
 PREP    thresh-PO-thresh-PO    alone-GEN-3SG  
 'he was threshing it alone'
- (6)    *mbàd'*    *ká*    *kri*    *kà*    *lá-ghà*    *zlgá-n-tà*  
 then    COMP    dog    SEQ    go-D:PVG    help-3-REF  
 'Then Dog came and helped him.'

In other usages, however, no movement is involved. Compare the next fragment, where the verb *lá* is used twice. Whereas the first use can be conceived of as representing the lexical verb 'go', the second use cannot:

- (7)    *mbàd'*    *ká*    *kri*    *kà*    *d'g-áy*  
 then    COMP    dog    SEQ    thresh-PO  
 'And Dog kept threshing.'
- (8)    *lá-ghà*    *pákáw ghúvì*    *kà*    *kà*    *lá-b*  
 go-D:PVG    hyena    thus    SEQ    go-OUT  
*díngá-f-tá*    *tsá*    *mbízà*    *yà*  
 put on fire-UP-REF    DEF    bean dish    DEM  
 'After Hyena<sub>1</sub> went, he<sub>1</sub> put the bean dish on the fire.'

Crucial evidence is provided by sentences where the verb *lá* is used with a subject that does not move, as in the second clause of the following example:

- (9)    *mbàd'*    *ká*    *kri*    *kà*    *lá-b-i*  
 then    COMP    dog    SEQ    go-OUT-REF  
 'Then Dog went away.'

- (10) *lá-ghà pákáw ghúvì kà mná-n-tá krì*  
 go-D:PVG hyena SEQ tell-3-REF dog  
 ‘And Hyena said to Dog.’

It is very likely that grammaticalization from the verb “to go” to clausal conjunction went through a stage whereby the verb “to go” served as a bridge between one event and another. The subject moved to another location and a new action or event began there. All stages of grammaticalization are represented by the synchronic data. In the following sentence the “bridge” function of the verb *lá* is in evidence:

- (11) *mbàd ká-’á kà w-í-g-í-n-tà kà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ take.PL-AWAY-INN-3-REF SEQ  
*lá-ghw-í dífā-ná-tá zwàn-à-ní*  
 go-D:SO-REF hide-3-REF child:PL-GEN-3SG  
 ‘He took his children and hid them.’

Additional evidence for the grammaticalization of the verb *lá* as a conjunction implying completion of the action of the following verb is provided by the fact that the following verb cannot be negated. Consider the following sentence:

- (12) *kà lá-b-l-í dínghà-f-tá mbízà*  
 SEQ go-OUT-go-1SG put on the fire-UP-REF bean dish  
 ‘I have to go put the bean dish on the fire’

This sentence cannot be followed by a clause negating the second verb:

- (13) *\*àmá dínghà-f-tì-ì wà*  
 but put on the fire-UP-REF-1SG NEG  
 for ‘but I will not put it on fire’

Instead a different construction must be used for the expression “X has to do Y, but will not do it”. One of the constructions used for this meaning involves the obligation marker *má*:

- (14) *má dzà’á dínghá mbíz-í àmá*  
 HYP go put on the fire bean dish-1SG but  
*dínghì-í wà*  
 put on the fire-1SG NEG  
 ‘I should put the bean dish on the fire, but I will not do it’

In certain cases it is difficult to draw a precise line between the category of clausal conjunction and the lexical meaning “to go”:

- (15) *mbàd' ká-'á kà w-í-g-ì-n-tà kà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ take.PL-AWAY-INN-3-REF SEQ  
*lá-ghú-í dífà-ná-tá zwàn-à-ní*  
 go-D:SO-REF hide-3-REF child:PL-GEN-3SG  
 ‘He took his children out and hid them.’

The second conjunct may have a different modality from the first conjunct:

- (16) *lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàṅgwàd'ák ngá*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance NORM  
*lá-m-à-ní hlà-ná-ghà-tà zò'ál-á-tàn*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG search-DEM-D:PVG-REF husband-GEN-3PL  
 ‘Having entered through the back of the compound, she should find her husband.’

The evidence that the form *lá-m-à-ní* has a grammatical function despite its lexical characteristics is provided by the fact that one cannot insert any other lexical verb there.

#### 4. Disjoined clauses

Clausal disjunction is coded by the form *á nà*, which we gloss as “or”. The form *nà* is probably identical with the interrogative marker *nà*. The form *nà* can occur in identificational clauses:

- (17) *twák rí gù á nà*  
 sheep Q goat or  
 ‘is it a sheep or a goat?’

The form *á nà* is a clausal disjunction only. Nominal disjunction is marked by the form *kó* occurring between disjoined noun phrases.

If a negative clause is combined with an interrogative in conjoined clauses, presenting a choice between two propositions, the affirmative clause ends in the interrogative marker *rí*, but the negative clause, in addition to the negative marker *wà*, also has the sequence *á nà* following *wà*:

- (18) *mágá-mágá rí mágà à wà á nà*  
do-do Q do NEG NEG Q  
‘did he do it or did he not?’ (note the low tone on the negative *à*, coding specific reference)

If there is a nominal subject, it occurs between the marker *á* and the marker *nà*:

- (19) *ngà-ná-ngá rí ngà-ná á wà á ná*  
grab-DEM-grab Q grab-ADD NEG 3SG DEM  
*pákáwá ghúvì nà ká-’á kà zl-í-n-tá-tsí*  
hyena Q COMP-3SG SEQ leave-AWAY-3-REF-3SG  
*kà ghwáyá-úgh-tà*  
SEQ run-SO-REF  
‘“Did Hyena grab it or not?” he thought. He left [a bag] and ran away.’ (The high tone on *ngá* in *ngà-ná-ngá rí* is result of tone raising on the penultimate syllable in the interrogative clause.)

## 5. Sequential clauses

### 5.1. Forms of sequential clauses

The term *sequential* clause refers to the clause marked by *kà* or, more rarely, *tà*. Such a clause may be the second or third in a sequence of clauses, but it also may be the first in a sequence of clauses if it is preceded by an adverb of time. Sequential clauses are characterized by the choice of the dependent aspectual system. In the imperfective aspect the verb has the root form if it is not followed by the object:

- (20) *sá-bà pákáwá ghúvì kà xvá-tsí*  
leave-OUT hyena SEQ work-3SG  
‘Having come out [of the hibiscus], Hyena works’
- (21) *mbàd ká-xèn kà d’gú*  
then COMP-3PL SEQ threshing  
‘They kept threshing.’

Before the object the verb ends in *á*:

- (22) *mbàd' ká-xèn kà dǵá xiyá*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ threshing guinea corn  
 'They kept threshing guinea corn.'

The verb may also have the absolutive suffix *kú* to code the affectedness of the subject:

- (23) *mándá díyá-f-tà-ní kà ghúálá-kwá-tsi*  
 after germinate-UP-REF-3SG SEQ dry-ABS-3SG  
 'after it has germinated, it is drying up'

The perfective in a sequential clause is marked by the *realis* marker *á* if there are no extensions:

- (24) *sá-bà pákává ghúvì kà xvá*  
 arrive-OUT hyena SEQ work  
 'Having come out [of the hibiscus], Hyena worked.'

The imperfective with an anaphoric object is coded by the potential object marker *áy*:

- (25) *mbàd' ká-xèn tàamá kà dghàd'áy*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew-PO  
 'And now they are chewing it [the beans].'

The perfective with an anaphoric object is coded by the referential marker *ta*:

- (26) *mbàd' ká-xèn tàamá kà dghàd'á-tà*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew-REF  
 'And afterwards they chewed it [the beans].'

The imperfective without an anaphoric object is coded by the verbal noun:

- (27) *mbàd' ká-xèn tàamá kà dghàd'ú*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew  
 'And now they are chewing' (no implication of an object)

When both clauses have a first- or second-person subject, the sequential clause does not code the subject overtly in the perfective or the imperfective aspect.

Imperfective:

- (28) *xlá-f-xl-í tá zwàn-á krì kà xwáyá*  
 take-UP-take-1SG OBJ child-GEN dog SEQ run  
 'I picked up the children of Dog and I was running'

The third-person singular subject pronoun is overtly marked in the sequential imperfective aspect:

- (29) *sá-bà pákává ghúvì kà xvá-tsí tá xvá*  
 arrive-OUT hyena SEQ work-3SG OBJ work  
 'Having come out [of the hibiscus], Hyena worked.'

The progressive formed through the reduplication of the verb cannot be used in sequential clauses:

- (30) *\*dà-dà tá dáfá kà dzáw-áy-dzáw-áy tà*  
 cook-cook OBJ food SEQ sell-PO-sell-PO PREP  
*lúmá*  
 market  
 for 'she cooked food and is selling it at the market'

Instead, a simple form of the verb must be used:

- (31) *dà-dà tá dáfá kà dzáw-áy tà lúmá*  
 cook-cook OBJ food SEQ sell-PO PREP market  
 'she cooked food and sells it at the market'

## 5.2. Functions of sequential clauses

The sequential marker *kà* has one principal function: to indicate that the event takes place after a specified time. The reference time can be determined through an adverb of time or through a preceding clause. The clause following the sequential marker is pragmatically dependent, i.e. marked by dependent aspectual forms. It may have the perfective or the imperfective aspect, and its time reference can be either past or present.

When the adverb of time occurs in clause-initial position, the following clause is marked by the sequential marker *kà*:



- (32) *kďá mántsá kà ksá-tá-ŋní tá hlóná . . .*  
 last year then SEQ work-REF-1PL.EXCL OBJ work  
 ‘Last year, we worked . . .’
- (33) *bít ná gúlí kà hlíyá-f-t-í kà sáx-í*  
 year DEM again SEQ leave-UP-REF-1SG SEQ go-down  
 ‘This year again, I left [the village] and came down’
- (34) *mbàď ká-xən tà má kà dghàď-áy*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew-PO  
 ‘And now they are chewing it [the beans].’

If the main clause has the future tense, there is no sequential marker:

- (35) *bàďú pghù dzà’á hlíyá-f-hlíyá màràkw kà . . .*  
 day initiation FUT get up-UP-get up woman SEQ  
 ‘On the day of the initiation the wife will get up . . .’

The sequential marker *kà* may occur at the beginning of a sentence in discourse to code that the event, state, etc., marked by the sequential marker follows the event, state, etc., of the preceding sentence:

- (36) *kà lá-ghá vázák ndzďà-vá-tà vázák*  
 SEQ go-D:GO rooster spend time-APPL-REF rooster  
*tà xvá tà ñghó-tsó ná kà sá-ghá*  
 PREP work IMPF see-3SG COMP SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*ùvá*  
 cat  
 ‘Rooster came. After having done some work, he sees Cat coming.’
- (37) *tà xúl-á ngàtsá-f-tà-ní tá m̀ndó-xà*  
 PREP after-GEN gather-UP-REF-3SG OBJ man-PL  
*vàghú mà vwàx-á-ďá ká’-á*  
 work collectively PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG  
*kà xlyá-f-tú-lú kà lá-ghú-lú*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF-UH SEQ go-D:SO-UH  
 ‘Having gathered people, he said, “There is work at my in-laws’.”  
 Each got up and went.’

The following fragment contains three instantiations of the sequential marker *kà*. The first codes a sequential sentence within a discourse; the

second codes a clause within a sentence, and the third again codes a sequential sentence within a discourse:

- (38) *kà ndàná-p-tá n.n. kà zlày ná, má*  
 SEQ think-OUT-REF N.N. SEQ COMP DEM HYP  
*ìná gúyá ghóŋ ká-'á kà tskàná tá índà*  
 good meet head COMP-3SG SEQ gather OBJ all  
*grá-xà-ni tà dzánǵá.*  
 friend-PL-3SG IMPF study  
 'And n.n. thought it would be good to get organized, and he gathered all his friends who were in school.' (written sources)
- (39) *kà tsà-f-tá-xèŋ tá xgà tsá gúyá*  
 SEQ design-UP-REF-3PL OBJ name DEF meet  
*tá ghóŋ-á-táŋ yá kà: AERT*  
 PREP head-GEN-3PL DEM as AERT  
 'And they named their association AERT [Association des élèves resortissants de Tourou]' (written sources)
- (40) *tsátsá-f á wà kà gí*  
 recognized-UP NEG NEG SEQ immediately  
*zl-í-n-tá-tsí tá tsá mà xís-á*  
 chase-AWAY-REF-3SG OBJ DEF PREP second-GEN  
*màrkwá-tán yá*  
 wife-3PL DEM  
 'She did not recognize him<sub>1</sub> and he<sub>2</sub> immediately chased away the second wife' (object and subject of the two clauses have different referents)

### 5.3. Clauses corresponding to "instead of proposition 1, proposition 2"

The sequential clause is also used after the marker *mángá* 'instead'. The verb of the first clause is nominalized, its subject being possessive rather than verbal. The sequential clause is coded as a temporal apodosis, viz., its referential marker *tà* has low tone, even if followed by the subject:

- (41) *mágá-tà-dá tá lèkól kày mántsá mángá*  
 do-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ school then instead  
*dzáng-áy-dá tá dzángá kà ksá-f-tà*  
 study-PO-1SG OBJ study SEQ catch-UP-REF:SUBJ  
*dángwà t-û*  
 illness OBJ-1SG  
 ‘When I was going to school, instead of studying, I caught an illness’ (lit. an illness caught me’)

## 6. Negative sequential clauses

Negative sequential clauses code the negative outcome of an event, state, etc. These clauses deny the expectations or presuppositions resulting from the proposition of the first clause or deny the expectations that the hearer might have with respect to the situation. The formal marker of these clauses is the preposition *kùl* ‘without’, the same marker that is used in negative relative clauses:

- (42) *z-ú-zà tá hlúí dímdím kùl pďá-ná-tà*  
 eat-SO-eat OBJ meat all without leave-DEM-REF  
 ‘he ate all the meat and did not leave anything’

Negative sequential clauses are similar to other clauses with the form *kùl* ‘without’ in that they do not have the negative frame *á . . . wà*.

## 7. Counterexpectation clauses

The counterexpectation clause is marked by the conjunction *má*, *àmmá* or *àmá*, ‘but’ (borrowed from either Hausa or Fula but originally coming from Arabic):

- (43) *ksá-f-tà dǎngwà t-û kày mántsá*  
 catch-UP-REF:SUBJ illness OBJ-1SG then then  
*lá-x-à-dá tà lòpitál má xàďú kwóbù*  
 go-DOWN-1SG PREP hospital (Fr.) but lack money  
*wà*  
 NEG  
 ‘When I caught the illness, I went to a hospital, but there was no money then’

The background clause may also be coded by the markers *yá ná*. Recall that the form *ná* marks the backgrounded material:

- (44) *díyá-f-díyá-xèn*                      *yá*    *ná*    *àmá*    *tà*  
 germinate-UP-germinate-3PL    DEM    DEM    but    IMPF  
*ghúálá-kú-ghúálá-kú-xèn*  
 dry-ABS-dry-ABS-3PL  
 ‘they germinated, but they are drying up’

In concessive clauses the perfective aspect is marked by the reduplicated form of the verb. However, unlike in independent clauses, the third-person singular subject is overtly marked by the form *tsí*, the same form that otherwise marks the third-person singular subject in the imperfective aspect:

- (45) *díyá-f-díyá-tsí*                      *yá*    *ná*    *àmá*    *tà*  
 germinate-UP-germinate-3SG    DEM    DEM    but    IMPF  
*ghúálá-kú*  
 dry-ABS  
 ‘It has germinated; however, it dries up.’

## 8. Discourse conjunctions

The term *discourse conjunction* refers to those markers whose function is to connect independent sentences in discourse. The role of sequential marker *kà* as a discourse conjunction has already been described in a preceding section. Now we shall concentrate on the most frequently used discourse conjunction, *mbàd ká*.

The conjunction *mbàd ká* is a complex structure consisting of the form *mbàd* ‘walk’ and the complementizer *ká*. The evidence that the second element is a complementizer is supported by the fact that subjects of the following clause, whether nominal or pronominal, follow the complementizer. Moreover, pronominal subjects after *ká* have low rather than high tone, again a characteristic of complementizers.

The discourse conjunction *mbàd ká* has all the features of an adverb of time, as evidenced by the fact that it must be followed by a sequential clause. The function of the marker is to connect various elements in discourse. The marker does not necessarily code the sequence of events in time. Compare sentences (2), (3), and (4) in the text “Dog and Hyena”. These sentences are marked by *mbàd ká*, and yet they do not indicate sequentiality in time:

- (46) *mà sán-à fítík mbàd' ká ì*  
 PREP other-GEN time then COMP ASSC.PL  
*pákáwá ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá krì*  
 hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 'At one time, Hyena and Dog lived together.'
- (47) *mbàd' ká pákáwá ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farm  
 'Hyena had already farmed.'
- (48) *mbàd' ká xèn kà d'gú*  
 then COMP 3PL SEQ thresh  
 'when they were threshing'

The discourse function is a means to build a narrative rather than to indicate the semantic relationship between the elements of discourse.

## 9. Conclusions

Hdi has grammaticalized several types of relationships between clauses. Paratactic asyndetic constructions, characterized by the absence of any conjunction, imply a relationship between the clauses without specifying the nature of the relationship. Conjoined clauses, marked by the auxiliary verb *lá* 'go', code the perfectivity of the events of the first and second clause. Sequential clauses marked by *kà* imply a temporal or logical sequence between the event of the first clause and the event of the second clause. Negative sequential consecutive clauses, marked by the conjunction *kùl*, indicate that an event that would be expected to follow the first clause did not occur. Counterexpectation clauses are marked by the form *má* or *àmmá* and deny the potential consequence of the preceding proposition. The sequential marker *kà* and the form *mbàd' ká* can function as discourse conjunctions.



# Chapter 21

## Clausal complements of verbs of saying

### 1. Introduction

Clausal complements of verbs of saying are important for the study of complementation because the formal means used in such clauses have been grammaticalized in several other functions. We begin with a description of the coding means, followed by the description of the functions of complementation for individual verbs. Three components are relevant: the complement-taking verbs, the complementizers, and the form of the complement clause.

The verbs of saying include *mná* 'say', *lmá* 'forbid', *dáwá* 'ask', *txá* 'expel' [words], and *gwàdǎ* 'talk'. The complementizers occurring with verbs of saying are *ká mántsá* 'like that', and *kà zlày*, consisting most probably of the sequential marker *kà* and the quotation form of the verb *zl* 'talk'.

The discussion of complements of verbs of saying is divided into the following sections: the *de dicto* complementizer *ká*; the omission of verbs of saying; the *de dicto/de re* distinction with complements of verbs of saying; and deontic complements of verbs of saying.

### 2. The complementizer *ká*

#### 2.1. *The form and syntactic position of the complementizer*

The complementizer *ká* is interesting because of its syntactic position, the morphological properties connected with it, and its function. All these properties can be explained by the proposed sources of its grammaticalization. The complementizer occurs after the complement clause. It is followed by the subject of the verb of saying. The verb of saying, if any, occurs after the subject of the matrix clause.

The evidence that *ká* is a complementizer rather than simply a verb of saying is provided by the fact that the matrix clause may have its own verb:

- (1) *m̀nd-á ráyá Mbákà ká mbítsá mná-tà*  
 man-GEN hunt Mbaka COMP Mbitsa say-REF  
 ‘Mbitsa said that Mbaka is a hunter’

The possible claim that *ká* is in fact a verb of saying has only two arguments in its favor. The first is that it is followed by subjects, nominal or pronominal, and the second is that in specific interrogative clauses *ká* could be said to be a predicate:

- (2) *wá ká'-à*  
 what COMP-3SG  
 ‘what did he say?’
- (3) *wá kí-ì*  
 what COMP-1SG  
 ‘what did I say?’

The argument against *ká* being a verb is the fact that it cannot be used as a predicate in the future tense:

- (4) \**wá dzà'á ká*  
 what FUT COMP  
 for ‘what will he say?’

Instead, the verb *mná* ‘say’ must be used:

- (5) *nú dzà'á tsí mn-áy*  
 ‘what FUT 3SG say-PO  
 ‘what will he say?’

Moreover, the form *ká* may occur as a complementizer with the future tense:

- (6) *wá ká-à dzà'á mn-áy*  
 what COMP-3SG FUT say-PO  
 ‘what will he say?’

For other questions where a verb of saying is part of the background, one must also use the verb *mná*:



- (7) *wá tá mná-tà*  
 who COM say-REF  
 'who said that?'
- (8) *gá mná-tà-tsí nà*  
 PREP say-REF:SUBJ-3SG Q  
 'where did he say that?'
- (9) *kà mná-tá-tsí*  
 SEQ say-REF:SUBJ-3SG  
 'and he said'

The form *ká* cannot be used as a predicate in negative clauses. Instead negation of the verb *mná* 'say' must be used:

- (10) *xáɗ skwì mná-tsì wà*  
 lack thing say-3SG NEG  
 'he did not say anything'

In natural discourse, the verb of saying is often omitted and the complementizer is the only indicator of what type of matrix predicate the sentence has.

## 2.2. Subject pronouns and the complementizer

Pronominal subjects of the matrix clause follow the complementizer:

- (11) *lá ká-xèn mná-ná-tà*  
 go COMP-3PL tell-3SG-REF  
 'they told him to go'

All subject pronouns following the complementizer except for the third-person singular *á* have low tone. Recall that in pragmatically independent clauses, subject pronouns except for the third-person plural have high tone.

The third-person singular pronoun is *á*, as opposed to the zero-marking of the perfective aspect of verbs or the *tsí* of the dependent imperfective aspect. The form *á* occurs as a third-person subject marker in several other environments, such as sequential clauses. The evidence that *á* is the third-person singular is provided by its complementary distribution with other pronominal forms following *ká*:

- (12) *sá-ghá-sá*                      *ká-xən*      *ndá*      *íí*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive      COMP-3PL ASSC      1SG  
 ‘they told me to come’
- (13) *sá-ghà-sá*                      *ká-ì/kà*                      *ndá*      *tsí*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive      COMP-1SG/2SG      ASSC      3SG  
 ‘I/you told him to come’

When the pronoun *á* follows the complementizer *ká*, the complementizer is often reduced to *kə* or *kə̃*:

- (14) *sá-ghà-sá*                      *kə̃-á*                      *ndá*      *íí*  
 arrive-D:PVG-arrive      COMP-3SG ASSC      1SG  
 ‘he told me to come’

The complementizer may be further reduced to the consonant *k* when followed by the third-person singular *a*. Evidence for this reduction is that the vowel following *k* is articulated separately, producing the sequence *k’á*. In our transcription, we represent each instance of the complementizer as it actually occurred, rather than trying to use one conventionalized transcription.

### 3. The coding of the addressee

If a verb of saying is used, the nominal addressee is coded as an object, viz. by the position following the verb. If the addressee is pronominal, it is coded by the appropriate pronoun affixed to the verb. For the third person this pronoun is *ná*. Both types of coding of the addressee are illustrated in the following fragment:

- (15) *lá-ghà*      *pákáw ghúvì*      *kà*      *mná-n-tá*      *kri*  
 go-D:PVG      hyena                      SEQ      tell-3-REF      dog  
 ‘And Hyena said to Dog!’
- yàgh-ká*                      *dá*      *ngh-ú*      *dà*      *sígà*      *yá*  
 should not-2SG      PURP      look-SO      PREP      pot      DEM  
*ká-’á*                      *mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 ‘“Do not look inside the pot,” he said to him.’

- (16) *yàgh-ká dá ògh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-’á mná-ghá-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-2SG-REF  
 ‘“Do not look inside the pot,” he told you.’
- (17) *yàgh-ká dá ògh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-’á mn-íxà-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-1SG-REF  
 ‘“Do not look inside the pot,” he told me.’

If the verb of saying is omitted, the addressee is marked by the associative preposition *ndá*:

- (18) *wá-ná-f-wá ná máhláká-dá ná,*  
 walk around-DEM-UP-walk around DEM wall-1SG DEM  
*ká-’á ndá dùxwál-xà-ní*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC youngster-PL-3SG  
 ‘“Surround [my house] with a wall,” he told his people.’
- (19) *ká-xèn ndá tsá m̀ndú-xà yá mántsá*  
 COMP-3PL ASSC DEF man-PL DEM like that  
 ‘they were saying thus to the people’

#### 4. The order of clauses in complementation

##### 4.1. The problem

In complements of verbs of saying, nominal complements follow the matrix clause and clausal complements precede the matrix clause. Nominal complements such as “word”, “news”, “story” follow the matrix verb. If there is a subject following the verb, the object is preceded by the preposition *tá*:

- (20) *m̀n-íxà-mná tá p̀urkútú ndzúm*  
 tell-1SG-tell OBJ story  
 ‘he/she told me a story’
- (21) *m̀n-íxà-mná tá lfíd-á gwàd́á*  
 tell-1SG-tell OBJ new-GEN word  
 ‘he/she told me the news’

Clausal complements precede the matrix clause. When the verb of saying is omitted, the complementizer and the subject are the only traces of the matrix clause:

- (22) *tà dzà-'í ká rvéí*  
 IMPF go-1SG COMP lion  
 ‘“I will go,” said Lion.’
- (23) *xgà-n-tí-'í tá gwì'yán wà ká'-á*  
 call-3-REF-1SG OBJ elephant NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“I will not invite Elephant,” he said.’
- (24) *yàgh-ká dá ngh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká'-á mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 ‘“do not look inside the pot,” he told him.’

The addressee phrase occurs after the complementizer:

- (25) *lá-ghà-ní dà gwì'yán kədákədák tà*  
 go-D:PVG-3SG PREP elephant please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú mà*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PREP work PREP  
*vwàx-á-d'á ká ndá gwì'yán*  
 field-GEN-1SG COMP ASSC elephant  
 ‘Having arrived at Elephant’s, he said, “Please, I would like you to come to work in the field of my in-laws.”’

#### 4.2. An explanation of clausal order

In order to explain the different behavior of the nominal and the clausal complements, it is useful to invoke the distinction between *de dicto* and *de re* complements (cf. Frajzyngier 1991). The complement of the verb of saying is in the domain *de re* if it represents a specific object, including the specific result of the performing of a speech act. The *de re* complements follow the matrix verb.

The complement is in the domain *de dicto* if it refers to something that has been said. *De dicto* complements precede the matrix clause.

The same utterance may be presented as being in the domain *de re* (what actually has been uttered) or in the domain *de dicto* (the sense of what has been uttered). The clause may be presented as being in the do-

main *de re*, i.e., it may follow the complementizer with its subject. But in such a case, it must be preceded by a complementizer marking it as actual utterance, e.g. *mántsá* ‘like that’:

- (26) *ká yàghí mántsá, lá-m-là dífà-ùgh-tà*  
 COMP Squirrel COMP go-IN-go hide-SO-REF  
*xàdí yà mà tùghwázàk xàdí yà bá*  
 here DEM PREP hibiscus here DEM please  
 ‘Squirrel said, “Go hide yourself here in the hibiscus, here in this very place, won’t you?”’

Most often, the complement clause that follows the complementizer with its subject also ends with a complementizer and its subject:

- (27) *ká yàgh mántsá dífà-ùgh- dífà xád yà*  
 COMP Squirrel COMP hide-SO-hide here DEM  
*ká yàghí*  
 COMP squirrel  
 ‘Squirrel said, “Hide yourself here.”’

- (28) *ká ùvá mántsá má sí tà dzá-í*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP PAST IMPF go-1SG  
*mndán ná á dúŋ à ká dá xgà-n-tá*  
 but DEM COND except 2SG FUT call-3-REF  
*kri ká ùvá*  
 dog COMP cat  
 ‘Cat answered, “I might go, but on condition that you do not invite Dog.”’ (lit. ‘but will you invite Dog?’)

In the second instantiation, the subject may be represented by a pronoun rather by a full noun:

- (29) *ká yàghí mántsá xgà-n-tì-í tá kri*  
 COMP squirrel COMP call-3-REF-1SG OBJ dog  
*wà k’-á*  
 NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘Squirrel answered that he would not invite Dog.’

- (30) *ká-'à ná: [pause] kùlá xtsíng-á skw-à pǎú*  
 COMP-3SG DEM grave nose-GEN thing-GEN poverty  
*ká-'à*  
 COMP-3SG  
 'he said thus: a grave on the nose of poverty' (i.e. 'may poverty be cursed')

The addressee phrase can occur after both instantiations of the sequence complementizer-subject:

- (31) *ká'-á ndá ùvá mántsá kèdákèdák tà*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC cat like that please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vǎghú*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PURP work  
*mù vwàx-á-dǎ ká'-á ndá ùvá*  
 PREP-at field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG ASSC cat  
 'He said to Cat: "I beg you to go and work in the field of my in-laws."'

The evidence for the distinction between *de dicto* and *de re* complements is provided by complements of the same verb, which, depending on the position of the complement clause, are interpreted as being either in the domain *de dicto* or the domain *de re*. For example, the verb *Imá* 'forbid' may take a *de re* complement, which follows the matrix clause verb. The *de re* complement is preceded by the sequential marker *kà* rather than by the complementizer *ká*:

- (32) *Imá-f-Imá tí-í kà zá skwì*  
 forbid-UP-forbid OBJ-1SG SEQ eat thing  
 'she prevented me from eating' (by refusing me food, etc.)
- (33) *Imá-f-Imá-lú tí-í kà dzà'á dá maroua*  
 forbid-UP-forbid-UH OBJ-1SG SEQ go PREP Maroua  
 'I was forbidden to go to Maroua' (I did not go)
- (34) *Imá-f-Im-í kà zá skwì*  
 forbid-UP-forbid-1SG SEQ eat thing  
 'I prevented him from eating' (he did not eat)

The complement clause may also be preceded by the imperfective marker *tà*. In such a case the interdiction came during the event and the event was not completed:

- (35) *lmá-f-lmá t-íí tà zá skwì*  
 forbid-UP-forbid OBJ-1SG IMPF eat thing  
 ‘While I was eating, she forbade me to eat and I stopped eating’

The same verb may also take *de dicto* complements, which precede the matrix verb:

- (36) *mà zá-tsí kí-í lmá-f-tà*  
 PROH eat-3SG COMP-1SG forbid-UP-REF  
 ‘I told him not to eat’ (he might have eaten or not)

More evidence for the coding of the distinction between the *de dicto* and *de re* domains is provided by the complements of the verb *grá* ‘think, calculate’. The complement clause is fronted if the object of belief is a proposition whose truth may be in doubt. In this case the complementizer occurs at the end of the complement clause, i.e. between the complement and the matrix clause:

- (37) *mìnd-á ráyá mbáká ká mbítsá tà gr-ày*  
 man-GEN hunt Mbaka COMP Mbitsa IMPF think-PO  
 ‘Mbitsa thinks that Mbaka is a hunter’

If, however, the sentential complement is in the domain *de re*, it is not fronted and it does not end in a complementizer. Instead, the verb is nominalized and its subject appears as a possessive suffix:

- (38) *grá-f-ndá-grá mbítsá tá*  
 think-UP-ASSC-think Mbitsa OBJ  
*zà-ná-p-t-á-ní tá pìtsákw-á-ní*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-REF-GEN-3SG OBJ hoe-GEN-3SG  
 ‘Mbitsa realized that he had forgotten his hoe’

## 5. Complements of cognitive verbs

The complement of the verb *sná* ‘know, hear’ may be marked by the complementizer *ká-’á* following the complement clause. In addition, the complement of cognitive verbs may also be marked by the complementizer *kà zì-áy* and the background marker *ná* following the matrix clause. First examples with the complementizer *ká-’á* alone:

- (39) *ndá sn-í zà-ná-p-zá-xèn tá*  
 STAT know-1SG forget-DEM-OUT-forget-3PL OBJ  
*pìtsákw-á-tán ká-'á*  
 hoe-GEN-3PL COMP  
 'I know that they forgot their hoe'
- (40) *zlá-ná-zlá-ká ká-'á ndá sn-í*  
 leave-DEM-leave-2SG COMP-3SG STAT hear-1SG  
 'I know that he said that you left it'
- (41) *zlá-ná-zlá-ká tá pìtsákw ká-'á ndá*  
 leave-DEM-leave-2SG OBJ hoe COMP-3SG STAT  
*sn-í*  
 hear-1SG  
 'I know that you left your hoe'

The coding of the clausal complement differs from the coding of the nominal complement in that the nominal complement is preceded by the object marker *tá*:

- (42) *ndá sná-ŋní tá xùrgw-á-ní gá sèrdák*  
 stat hear-1PL.EXCL OBJ roar-GEN-3SG PREP morning  
 'we have heard its [lion's] roar this morning'

In negative clauses, there is no complementizer and instead the complement clause is preceded by the sequential marker:

- (43) *snà í kà wá tsí wà*  
 know 1SG SEQ who 3SG NEG  
 'I do not know who he is' (In negative clauses the verb has low tone before the subject.)

Putting the complement clause in sentence-initial position results in an ungrammatical sentence:

- (44) \**wá tsí kà snà-í wà*  
 who 3SG SEQ know-1SG NEG  
 for 'I do not know who he is'

The complement of the cognitive verb may be marked by the complementizer *kà zlà y ná* to code the *de re* domain of the complement clause:



- (45) *kà yàwú ndzà-kw-à-gá-p-tà xdí màndá*  
 SEQ when settle-ABS-GO-INN-OUT-REF Hdi since  
*ghàlǵyá ndá sná-xəŋ kà zlày ná índà*  
 old time STAT know-3PL SEQ COMP DEM all  
*m̀ndú-xà tá mtú-tà ná ngá dékdek á*  
 man-PL COM die-REF DEM FOR finish NEG  
*wù ká-'á*  
 NEG COMP  
 'ever since the Hdi exist, they know that when people die, it is not forever' (i.e., the spirits of the ancestors do not die)

## 6. The imperative mood in complements of verbs of saying

The imperative mood of the complement clause is coded by the imperative form of the verb in the *de re* domain, i.e. when the complement clause follows the complementizer. The verb is reduplicated:

- (46) *ká yàgh mántsá dífà-úgh-dífà xád yà ká*  
 COMP squirrel COMP hide-SO-hide here DEM COMP  
*yàghí*  
 squirrel  
 'Squirrel said, "Hide yourself here."'

In the *de dicto* domain, i.e. when the complement clause precedes the complementizer, the simple form of the verb is used (in elicited sentences the verb *mná* 'say' is most often present):

- (47) *lá ká-xən m̀n-íxà-tà*  
 go COMP-PL tell-1SG-REF  
 'they told me to go'
- (48) *lá ká-xən mná-ghá-tà*  
 go COMP-3PL tell-2SG-REF  
 'they told you to go'
- (49) *lá ká mbítsá mná-ná-tá mbáká*  
 go COMP Mbitsa tell-DEM-REF Mbaka  
 'Mbitsa told Mbaka to go'

If the addressee is plural, the verb of the complement clause has the plural imperative form:

(50) *lá-wá-lá ká-xèn mnà-ɲá-tà*  
 go-PL-go COMP-3PL tell-1PL:EXCL-REF  
 'they told us to go'

(51) *lá-wá-lá ká xèn mnà-má-tà*  
 go-PL-go COMP 3PL tell-1PL:INCL-REF  
 'they told us to go'

If the verb *mná* is omitted, the addressee of the matrix clause is marked by the associative preposition *ndá*:

(52) *lá ká mbítsá ndá tsí*  
 go COMP Mbitsa ASSC 3SG  
 'Mbitsa told him to go'

(53) *lá-wá-lá ká íyù ndá xèn*  
 go-PL-go COMP 1SG ASSC 3PL  
 'I told them to go'

## 7. Backgrounding and complementation

The matrix clause with verbs of saying or with cognitive verbs may be followed by the proximate demonstrative marker *ná*. Recall that the proximate demonstrative marks comments on the topicalized element. The matrix clause is not a topic in the sentence with a verb of saying, but rather is background for the following clause, providing the information about the source from which the following clause comes. Thus the matrix clause may have a cognitive verb *sná* 'hear, know', *grà* 'measure, think'.

The verb *grá* 'think' is used mainly to code the speaker's uncertainty about the truth of the proposition. The matrix clause is backgrounded through the sentence-initial position and, crucially, through the use of the demonstrative *ná*.

(54) *tà grá-f-t-í ná mà zlírmà Gùdálù*  
 IMPF think-UP-REF-1SG DEM PREP branch Gudalu  
 'I should think it is from one of the branches of the Gudalu clan'

- (55) *wàtú kǐ-í tà gr-ày ná kà*  
 according COMP-1SG IMPF think-PO DEM like  
*mìdrímímì tà nghá-tsi*  
 surprise IMPF see:PVG-3SG  
 ‘according to what I think, he sees it as a surprise’

The matrix clause may also be followed by the sequence *kà zlày*, which marks the following clause as being in the domain *de re*:

- (56) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà tx-áy*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ expel-PO  
*kà zlày ná z-ú-mà mbízà kùrúkù . . .*  
 SEQ COMP COMP eat-SO-1PL bean dish first  
 ‘Then Hyena said, “Let us first eat the bean dish.”’

The connection between backgrounding and verbs of saying is that the second clause is very often followed by the complementizer *ká-'á* regardless of the subject of the matrix clause:

- (57) *zà-ná-p-z-í kà zlày ná mà*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-forget-1SG SEQ COMP DEM PREP  
*zlrímà gùdálù ká-'á*  
 branch Gudalu COMP  
 ‘I forgot that it is from one of the branches of the Gudalu clan’
- (58) *ndá sn-í kà zlày ná mà zlrímà*  
 STAT know-1SG SEQ COMP DEM PREP branch  
*gùdálù ká-'á*  
 Gudalu COMP  
 ‘I know that it is from one of the branches of the Gudalu clan’

## 8. Prohibition in the complement clause

Prohibitive sentential complements precede the matrix clause. They are marked in one of four ways. In one, the complement clause is preceded by the prohibitive particle *mà*, which serves as the prohibitive marker in simple sentences. The matrix clause may consist of the complementizer and subject only. The coding of the prohibitive mood in the complement clause is the same as in matrix clauses, viz., the verb occurs in the root form before the subject:

- (59) *mà zǎ-í ká-'á*  
 PROH eat-1SG COMP-3SG  
 'he forbade me to eat'

The second means of coding prohibition in the complement clause is through the use of the verb *yàghá*, followed by a nominalized form of the verb in the complement clause; the matrix clause is represented by the complementizer followed by the subject:

- (60) *yàgh-ká dá ñgh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-'á mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 '“Do not look inside the pot,” he said to him.'

- (61) *yàgh-ká ñgh-ú dìdà ká-'á m̀n-íxà-tà*  
 should not-2SG look-SO inside COMP tell-1SG-REF  
 '“You should not look inside;” that’s what he told me.'

- (62) *yàghá-tsí xgà-n-tá ùvá kǎ-'í*  
 should not-3SG call-3-REF cat COMP-1SG  
 'I told him not to invite Cat.'

The verb *yàghá* cannot be used in the matrix clause if that is the only clause of the sentence:

- (63) \**yàgh-ì dá ñgh dìdà*  
 should not-1SG PREP look inside  
 for 'I should not look inside'

## 9. Cross-reference and disjoint-reference coding

Unlike many other Chadic languages (cf. Frajzyngier 1985d, 1985e), Hdi has no logophoric pronouns. Nevertheless, the language does have a means to indicate whether the third-person subject of the complement clause is co-referential with the third-person subject of the matrix clause. Co-referentiality is coded through the use of the first-person subject in the complement clause. Disjoint reference is coded through the use of the third-person subject *á* in the complement clause:

- (64) *xgà-n-tù-ì*                      *tá*      *ùvá*      *wà*      *ká*      *yàghí*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG    OBJ    cat      NEG    COMP   squirrel  
 ‘“I am not going to invite Cat,” said Squirrel.’
- (65) *xgà-n-tà-á*                      *á*      *tá*      *ùvá*      *wà*      *ká*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-3SG    NEG    OBJ    cat      NEG    COMP  
*yàghí*  
 squirrel  
 ‘“He<sub>1</sub> is not going to invite Cat,” said Squirrel<sub>2</sub>.’
- (66) *zà-ná-p-z-í*                      *tá*      *pìtsákw-á-ghá*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-forget-1SG    OBJ    hoe-GEN-2SG  
*ká*      *mbítsá*      *ndá*      *mbáká*  
 COMP    Mbitsa      ASSC    Mbaka  
 ‘Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told Mbaka<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>1</sub> forgot his<sub>2</sub> hoe’

The reference to the speaker and the addressee of the on-going discourse is ruled out in (66) because the sentence initial position of the complement clause marks it as being in the *de dicto* domain, and its arguments refer to the reported discourse situation.

If the verb has an unmarked subject, then the third-person subject is not co-referential with either of the participants in the discourse.

- (67) *zà-ná-p-zà*                      *tá*      *pìtsákw-á-ní*  
 forget-DEM-OUT-forget    OBJ    hoe-GEN-3SG  
*ká*      *mbítsá*      *ndá*      *mbáká*  
 COMP    Mbitsa      ASSC    Mbaka  
 ‘Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told Mbaka<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>3</sub> forgot his<sub>3</sub> hoe’
- (68) *lá-ghú-là*      *ká-’á*                      *ndá*      *tsì*  
 go-D:SO-go      COMP-3SG                      ASSC    3SG  
 ‘he<sub>1</sub> told him<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>3</sub> went’

In the preceding example, if the subject of the complement clause were the same as the addressee of the matrix clause, the verb of the complement clause would be marked by the second-person singular subject pronoun. If the subject of the complement clause were the same as the subject of the matrix clause, the verb of the complement clause would be marked by the first-person singular subject pronoun.

In deontic complements, the overt coding of both the third-person addressee in the matrix clause and the third-person subject in the comple-

ment clause through the third-person pronoun *-tsí* indicates disjoint reference:

- (69) *kà lá-tsi ká mbítsá ndá tsí*  
 SEQ go-3SG COMP Mbitsa ASSC 3SG  
 'Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told him<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>3</sub> should go'

If the prohibition is directed at the addressee, then the embedded clause has the second-person singular pronoun. The order to the addressee is coded through the imperative form in the embedded clause:

- (70) *lá ká mbítsá ndá tsí*  
 go COMP Mbitsa ASSC 3SG  
 'Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told him<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>2</sub> should go'

Cross-reference between the subject of the matrix clause and the subject of the embedded clause is coded through the use of the first-person subject pronoun in the embedded clause:

- (71) *tà dzà-í ká mbítsá ndá tsí*  
 IMPF go-1SG COMP Mbitsa ASSC 3SG  
 'Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told him<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>1</sub> should go' or 'Mbitsa told him that I should go'

Cross-reference with the addressee is coded through the second-person subject pronoun in the complement clause:

- (72) *tà dzà-á-kà ká mbítsá ndá tsí*  
 IMPF go-2SG COMP Mbitsa ASSC 3SG  
 'Mbitsa<sub>1</sub> told him<sub>2</sub> that he<sub>2</sub> should go'

## 10. Conclusions

Four means are used in complementation of verbs of saying and cognitive verbs: clausal order, complementizers *ká*, *kà zlày*, and the demonstrative *ná*. Clausal order is used to distinguish between *de re* and *de dicto* complements. If the complement is in the domain *de dicto*, the complement clause precedes the matrix clause. If the complement is in the domain *de re*, the matrix clause precedes the complement clause.

The verb of saying is usually omitted in natural discourse, leaving the *de dicto* complementizer *ká*, followed by a nominal or pronominal subject,

as the only marker of the matrix clause. The third-person singular subject following the complementizer *ká* is *á*. With verbs other than verbs of saying the form *ká-á* has been grammaticalized as the complementizer for all persons and numbers.

Deontic complements of verbs of saying are marked by the imperative form of the complement clause verb. Second-person pronouns are suffixed to the verb of the complement clause.

Co-referentiality of the third-person subject of the complement clause and the third-person subject of the matrix clause is coded through the use of the first-person subject pronoun in the complement clause. Although this means eliminates potential ambiguity among the participants in the events described in the sentence, it creates ambiguity between the participants in the conversation and participants in the events of the sentence.





## Chapter 22

### Interrogative complements

#### 1. Introduction

Interrogative complements may follow non-interrogative verbs of saying as well as the interrogative verb *dáwá* 'ask'. There are two possible orders for complements of the interrogative verb *dáwá*: Questions concerning the truth of the proposition (yes/no questions) and specific questions (wh-questions) occur in sentence-initial position followed by the matrix clause. They behave thus like complements in the *de dicto* domain. Requests for a thing or action occur after the matrix clause, thus behaving like *de re* complements:

- (1) *sí* *kà* *dáwà-f-tá-ŋní* *tá* *tví* *dà*  
PAST SEQ ask-UP-REF-1PL.EXCL OBJ way PREP  
*sous-prefet*  
*sous-prefet*  
'at that time we asked permission from the *sous-prefet*'

The clausal complement of the verb *dáwà* may be marked by the complementizer *kà zlày* and the comment clause marker *ná* and introduced as a *de re* complement, i.e. one that is not a request for an answer. This is one of the means to ask a question in an indirect way:

- (2) *sá-b* *dá* *dáwà-n-tà* *dà* *kághùní* *kà*  
arrive-OUT PURP ask-3-REF:SUBJ PREP 2PL SEQ  
*zlày ná, sá-ghà ndígá kàghùní nà, ká-'á*  
COMP DEM arrive-D:PVG FROM 2PL Q COMP  
*î*  
1SG  
'I came to ask you where you come from'

The general structure of a sentence with an interrogative *de dicto* complement is Complement clause Matrix clause. The interrogative clause xends in an appropriate interrogative marker, i.e. *rà* for yes/no questions and *nà* for specific questions. The interrogative particle may be followed

by the *de dicto* complementizer *ká*, which is followed by the subject of the matrix clause and then by the matrix verb. The third-person singular pronoun after the complementizer is *á*. The addressee, if any, occurs at the end of the matrix clause and is marked by the preposition *dà* ‘from’:

- (3) *ìr-á-w ná nà dá ká'-á d'áwà-n-tà*  
 eye-GEN-who DEM Q father COMP-3SG ask-3-REF  
*dà tsí*  
 PREP 3SG  
 ‘“Whose eye is this, father?” he asked him.’

Recall that addressees of non-interrogative verbs, such as *mná* ‘say’, are coded by the associative preposition *ndá*. Since the complement interrogative clause has the same form as the simple interrogative clause, the discussion in the present chapter focuses on the interaction among the means of subordination, clausal order, and modality.

## 2. Yes/no interrogative complements

Complement questions concerning the truth of the proposition, like simple yes/no questions, are marked by the clause-final interrogative marker *rà* or *rì*. The complementizer *ká* follows the interrogative marker. The subject of the matrix clause follows the complementizer *ká*, even if there is an overt verb in the matrix clause:

- (4) *iná vli xàdá rí grá kú-lù d'áwà-η-tà yá*  
 good place there Q friend COMP-UH ask-3-REF DEM  
 ‘One asked, “Is everything fine there, friend?”’

The verb *d'áwá* ‘ask’ may be omitted, like other verbs of saying. The identity of the omitted verb is assured by the clausal order Complement Matrix and by the interrogative markers occurring at the end of the complement clause:

- (5) *iná vli xàdá rí grá kú-lù*  
 good place there Q friend COMP-UH  
 ‘One asks, “Is everything fine there, friend?”’

Rhetorical questions are formed by the marker *káy*, often realized as [*ké*], at the end of the sentence, i.e. at the end of the matrix clause when the order is Embedded Matrix. The rhetorical marker is inherently an inter-

jection, as evidenced by the fact that it also occurs in non-interrogative clauses, and also by the fact that it does not replace the interrogative marker *rà* but rather occurs with it:

- (6) *kwítìkw-í ká-'á r-káy*  
 small-1SG COMP-3SG Q-INTERJ  
 'does he think that I am small?'

### 3. Specific interrogative complements (wh-questions)

The formation of embedded specific interrogatives (wh-questions) consists of putting the interrogative clause in sentence-initial position. The embedded interrogative clause has the same structure as the simple interrogative clause. Specific interrogative complements may end in the low-tone particle *nà*. The matrix clause begins with the complementizer *ká*, followed by the subject of the matrix clause. The matrix clause thus has the same form as the matrix clause with a verb of saying, providing an additional piece of evidence that interrogative complements are in the domain *de dicto*.

#### 3.1. Interrogative complements about human participants

The marker *wá* 'who' occurs in clause-initial, hence sentence-initial, position. When the interrogative *wá* has the function of the subject of the clause, it behaves like a subject in focus constructions. Aspect and tense markers follow the interrogative *wá*. The complement clause has the aspectual/tense system that codes pragmatically dependent clauses. The perfective aspect is marked by the suffix *tá* after the interrogative marker. Recall that the perfective aspect in contrastive focus constructions is also marked by the particle *tá*. The pronouns following the complementizer have low tone:

- (7) *wá tá s-ù tá ghzú kí-'ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 who COM drink-SO OBJ beer COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked who drank beer'

- (8) *wá tà sá ghzú kí-'ì tà d'áw-áy*  
 who IMPF drink beer COMP-1SG IMPF ask-1SG  
 'I am asking who drinks beer'

- (9) *wá dzà'á kátí-í nà kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 who FUT help-1SG Q COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked who could help me'

Questions about a human object have also *wá* in clause-initial position. The grammatical function of *wá* is marked by the presence of the subject following the verb of the embedded clause:

- (10) *wá dzà-p-tsí kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 who hit-OUT-3SG COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked who he hit'
- (11) *wá mbá-ná-f-tsí kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 who treat-DEM-UP-3SG COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked who he cured'

Questions about the associative argument have the associative preposition *ndá* followed by interrogative *wá*:

- (12) *sá-ghà ndá-wá kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 arrive-D:PVG ASSC-who COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked with whom he came'

Questions about the dative are formed through the preposition *ngá* 'FOR' followed by the interrogative *wá*:

- (13) *kà ngá wá sá-ghà-tsí kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 SEQ FOR whom arrive-D:PVG-3SG COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked for whom he came'

Other arguments that are marked by prepositions in the indicative clause and in simple interrogative clauses are also marked by prepositions in embedded interrogative clauses:

- (14) *dà-wá sá-ghà-tsí kí-ì d'áwà-n-tà*  
 PREP-who arrive-D:PVG-3SG COMP-1SG ask-3-REF  
 'I asked to whom he came'

## 3.2. Interrogative complements about non-human participants

Interrogative complements about non-human participants are marked as in the indicative and matrix interrogative clauses. The complement clause may follow a backgrounded matrix clause or precede the matrix clause:

- (15) *ká-kà dá zlày ná, sárák-á wú*  
 COMP-2SG FUT COMP DEM stick-GEN which thing  
*kághá sárák*  
 2SG stick  
 'you are a stick of what?'

The interrogative clause may be a complement of a non-interrogative verb of saying. The following example does not have a matrix clause verb, but the evidence that it was a non-interrogative verb that was omitted is provided by the fact that the addressee is coded by the associative preposition *ndá* rather than by the locative preposition *dà*:

- (16) *nó xgà-ní mágè-glá-ghá-lù kày gùlí kđerí*  
 what name-3SG do-again-2SG-UH INTERJ again Kderi  
*ká zwàn-à lázgláftà ndá kđerí*  
 COMP children-GEN God ASSC Kderi  
 '“What have they done to you again, Kderi?” said the children of God'

The interrogative complement may be represented as direct speech, i.e. as a *de re* complement, by the complementizer *mántsá* 'like that' following the matrix clause:

- (17) *ká gáwá ndá xòn mántsá, nò nà*  
 COMP Gawa ASSC 3PL like that what Q  
*skwì xáb-áf kághúní tà xúl ná bá?*  
 thing attach-UP 2PL PREP back DEM POL  
 'Gawa asked them: what do you have on your back?'

The complementizer *mántsá* cannot be replaced by the sequence *kà zlày ná* in the preceding clause.

### 3.3. Interrogative complements about the place

The interrogative complement about place starts with the particle *gá* ‘where’. The complement clause may follow the matrix clause or precede it. If the complement clause follows the matrix clause, the complementizer *mántsá* is used.

- (18) *ká xèn mántsá, sí ndí gá ká ndá*  
 COMP-3PL like that PAST toward where 2SG ASSC  
*rvídík*  
 night  
 ‘They asked: “Where were you last night?”’

If the complement clause precedes the matrix clause, the complementizer *mántsá* cannot be used. Instead, the matrix clause begins with the complementizer *ká*:

- (19) *gá kdíx-á-dá nà ká-'á ndá tsá*  
 where donkey-GEN-1SG Q COMP-3SG ASSC DEF  
*m̀ndù-xà yá*  
 man-PL DEM  
 “‘Where is my donkey?’” he asked them’

### 3.4. Interrogative complements about the time

The interrogative complement about time has the complement clause in sentence-initial position. The interrogative particle is *yàwú* ‘when’.

- (20) *yàwú dzà'á hldrá-kà tá hlná ká íí*  
 when FUT start-2SG OBJ work COMP 1SG  
*dáwà-n-tà dà mbítsá*  
 ask-3-REF PREP Mbitsa  
 ‘I asked Mbitsa when he could start work’

- (21) *yàwú hldrá-f-tà-ká tá hlná ká íí*  
 when start-UP-REF-2SG OBJ work COMP 1SG  
*dáwà-n-tà dà mbítsá*  
 ask-3-REF PREP Mbitsa  
 ‘I asked Mbitsa when he started work’

### 3.5. Interrogative complements about the possessor

Interrogative complements about the possessor have an interrogative possessive phrase with the structure Noun phrase Genitive-*wà* in clause-initial position. The marker *wà* is reduced to *w* in phrase-internal position. The complement clause ends in the interrogative particle *nà* and it precedes the matrix clause:

- (22) *sl-á-w ná nà dá ká krì klà-gá-f*  
 leg-GEN-who DEM Q father COMP dog take-INN-UP  
*tá slá zwàn-à pákává ghúvì*  
 OBJ leg child:PL-GEN hyena  
 ‘Dog picked up a leg of Hyena’s children and asked: “Whose leg is this, Father?”’

### 3.6. Interrogative complements about the reason

The scope of the interrogative is marked by one of the expressions that mark reason why questions in the simple clause, viz. *kàbgà wù* ‘reason’:

- (23) *kàbgà wú ghúná-ghá-tì-í tá zwán-á-dá nà*  
 why sent-D:PVG-REF-1SG OBJ son-GEN-1SG Q  
*ká ráakú d’áwà-n-tà dà tsí*  
 COMP Raku ask-3-REF PREP 3SG  
 ‘Raku asked him why I sent my son’

### 3.7. Interrogative complements about the manner

Interrogative complements about manner are sentence-initial and are preceded by the interrogative particle *wá* followed by the *de dicto* complementizer *ká*. Subject pronouns of the complement clause are suffixed to the complementizer. The complement clause may end in the question marker *nà*.

- (24) *wá ká-kà dà tá kwà tá ghén*  
 how COMP-2SG prepare OBJ calabash PREP head  
*ká íí d’áwà-n-tà dà rákú*  
 COMP 1SG ask-3-REF PREP Raku  
 ‘I asked Raku how she made her calabash’

- (25) *wá k-íyù sá-ghà dá túrú nà*  
 how COMP-1SG arrive-D:PVG PREP Tourou Q  
*ká rákú d'áwà-n-tà dà íí*  
 COMP Raku ask-3-REF PREP 1SG  
 'Raku asked me how I came to Tourou'

When the subject of the complement clause is third-person singular, the consonant *k* of the complementizer *ká* becomes the syllabic coda of the interrogative *wá*. The third-person singular marker *á* is rearticulated as a separate syllable:

Perfective:

- (26) *wá-k-'á sá-ghà dá túr nà*  
 how-COMP-3SG arrive-D:PVG PREP Tourou Q  
*ká ráakù (d'áwà-n-tà) dà íí*  
 COMP Raku ask-3-REF PREP 1SG  
 'Raku asked me how he came to Tourou'

Imperfective:

- (27) *wá-k-'á tà sá-ghà dá túr nà*  
 how-COMP-3SG IMPF arrive-D:PVG PREP Tourou Q  
*ká rákú (d'áwà-n-tà) dà íí*  
 COMP Raku ask-3-REF PREP 1SG  
 'Raku asked me how he comes to Tourou'

Nominal subjects follow the complementizer as well:

- (28) *wá ká mbitsa sá-ghà dá túrú*  
 how COMP Mbítsa arrive-D:PVG PREP Tourou  
*ká ráakù d'áwà-n-tà dà íí*  
 COMP Raku ask-3-REF PREP 1SG  
 'Raku asked me how Mbítsa came to Tourou'
- (29) *wá ká mbitsa g'ámá-tà nd-íí ká ráakù*  
 how COMP Mbítsa meet-REF ASSC-1SG COMP Raku  
*d'áwà-n-tà*  
 ask-3-REF  
 'Raku asked Mbítsa how he met me'



#### 4. Non-propositional addressees

The term *non-propositional addressee* refers to an addressee who is not a participant in the proposition of the clause (cf. Frajzyngier 1989b) but rather a participant in the discourse in which the clause is uttered. The non-propositional addressee may be nominal or pronominal. A non-propositional addressee in Hdi is coded outside of the proposition and at the end of the clause. In the following example, the addressee *krì* ‘dog’ occurs after the interrogative marker and before the complementizer. It has high tone because it occurs in the interrogative clause:

- (30) *tà tsgh-áy tsgh-áy-ká rí krí ká*  
 IMPF send-PO send-PO-2SG Q dog COMP  
*pákáwá ghúvì ná*  
 hyena DEM  
 ‘“Dog, are you sending them up?” said Hyena.’

The addressee may also be coded by the form *gá*, a variant of *grá* ‘friend’, which follows the specific interrogative marker *nà*. The resulting sequence is reduced in normal speech to *nó gá* (note the change of tone from low to high when *nà* becomes *nó*). The plural form of the non-propositional addressee is *gá-xà*, further supporting the hypothesis that *gá* is a nominal form. The coding of the addressee is independent of the coding of the subject of the clause:

- (31) *nó tà mág-ká nə grá*  
 what IMPF do-2SG Q friend  
 ‘what are you doing?’

The addressee of the interrogative is independent of the subject of the clause:

- (32) *nó tà mág-xən nó gá*  
 what IMPF do-3PL Q friend  
 ‘what are they doing?’

#### 5. Conclusions

Interrogative complements are marked by the same markers as independent interrogative clauses. The matrix clause is marked by the complementizer *ká*, which provides evidence that interrogative clauses are con-

strued as complements of verbs of saying. Embedded questions about the truth of the proposition are marked by the clause-final particle *rà*. Specific questions are marked by clause-initial specific question words and the optional clause-final particle *nà*. Interrogative complements provide additional evidence for the proposed distinction between *de dicto* and *de re* complements. *De dicto* complements of the verb *dáwá* 'ask' precede the matrix clause, while *de re* complements of the same verb (requests for a thing or an action) follow the matrix clause.

## Chapter 23

### Complements of verbs of perception

#### 1. Introduction

We devote this chapter to the complements of verbs *nghá* ‘see’ and *sná* ‘hear, know’. Only one clausal order—Matrix clause-Complement clause—is allowed with these verbs. This constraint is consistent with the hypothesis that *de re* complements follow the matrix clause.

The relevant question for verbs of perception is the distinction between direct and indirect perception. There are three constructions coding evidential modalities with complements of verbs of perception. Direct perception is coded through the use of complementizers; nominalization of the complement clause; and matrix coding of the subject of the complement clause (sometimes called “subject-to-object raising”). Indirect perception is coded through several complementizers.

#### 2. Direct perception

##### 2.1. Complementizer *kàwák*

One means of encoding direct perception is through the structure *kàwák*-Subject pronoun(s). The form *kàwák* behaves similarly to the complementizer *ká*. We gloss *kàwák* as ‘how’ (all examples elicited):

- (1) *nghá kàwák-íyù sí tà vàlá vli*  
look how-1SG PAST IMPF jump place  
‘look how I jumped’
- (2) *nghá kàwák-á sí tà vàlá vli*  
look how-3SG PAST PREP jump place  
‘look how he jumped’

The third-person plural suffix *xən* is added to the third-person singular pronoun rather than to the complementizer, resulting in the form *á-xən*:

- (3) *nghá kàwák-á-xòn sí tà vâlá vî*  
 look how-3SG-3PL PAST PREP jump place  
 'look how they jumped'

## 2.2. Nominalization

Direct perception of the event can be coded though the nominalization of the complement clause. The complement clause follows the matrix clause. The evidence for the nominalization of the complement clause is the fact that the subject pronouns are possessive and are preceded by the possessive marker *á*:

- (4) *snà-n-snà tá dzà'á-dá*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ go-1SG  
 'he heard me go', 'he heard my departure'
- (5) *ndá sná-ηní tá xùrgw-á-ní gá sàrdák,*  
 STAT hear-1PL.EXCL OBJ roar-GEN-3SG PREP morning  
 'we have heard his roar this morning'
- (6) *nghá-ngh-íyù tá hlí'yá-ní*  
 see-see-1SG OBJ leave-3SG  
 'I saw him go'

The nominalized clause may refer to events in the past or in the future:

- (7) *snà-n-snà tá dzà'á-dá kdá*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ go-1SG year  
 'he knew about my departure last year'

If the verb of the complement clause is transitive and has no extensions, the possessive suffix is added to the verb stem after the potential object marker *-áy*:

- (8) *snà-n-sn-í tá ghw-ày-tán tá ngúrdùη-á*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG OBJ slaughter-PO-3PL OBJ neck-GEN  
*hlà*  
 cow  
 'I heard them slaughter cattle'

- (9) *snà-n-snà tá ks-áy-dá tá hlná mù*  
 know-3-know OBJ stop-PO-1SG OBJ work PREP  
*vwàx*  
 field  
 'he knows that I work in the field'

The role of the subject of the embedded clause is coded by the suffixes to the verb. If there is the potential object suffix *-ay*, the subject of the verb is controlling. If there is the absolutive suffix *-kú*, the subject of the embedded clause is affected:

- (10) *zì-á-n-zí-íyù tá drá-kú-á-ní*  
 smell-PART-3-1SG OBJ burn-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 'I smelled it burn'
- (11) *snà-n-sn-íyù tá drá-kú-á-ní*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG OBJ burn-ABS-GEN-3SG  
 'I heard it burning'
- (12) *snà-n-sn-íyù tá dr-áy-ní*  
 hear-3-hear-1SG OBJ burn-PO-3SG  
 'I heard him burn [something]'

The complementizer *ná* may be used if direct perception is involved. This is the case when the subject is surprised by the event. Consider the following sentence where the complementizer *nà* is followed by the sequential marker *kà*:

- (13) *tà nghá-tsí ná kà sá-ghà ùvá*  
 IMPF see-3SG COMP SEQ arrive-D:PVG cat  
 'he sees that Cat is coming!'

### 2.3. Matrix coding

The term *matrix* refers to the coding of the subject of the complement clause as the object of the matrix clause. The complement clause of a verb of perception may be nominalized to code direct perception. The nominalized clause is marked by the locative preposition *tà* and obligatorily receives an imperfective interpretation. The sentence has the structure: X [verb of perception] Y at Z, where Z is represented by the nominalized verb. For the third-person singular the object is unmarked:

- (14) *ndá ñgh-í tà xàní*  
 STAT see-1SG PREP sleep  
 'I saw him/her sleep'

The logical subject of the complement proposition is marked as the object of the complement clause either through affixation or through the object-marking preposition *tá*. The first-person singular object can only be *í-xà*:

- (15) *ñgh-ixà-ñghà tà dzà'á*  
 see-1SG-see IMPF go  
 'he saw me go'

The use of the movement-away (distal) extension with the object marker *d'* gives a quite different meaning, viz. one with the subject of the matrix clause being also the subject of the embedded clause:

- (16) *ñgh-ì-d'-á-ghá-ñghà tà dzà'á*  
 see-AWAY-1SG-GO-D:GO-see IMPF go  
 'while leaving he paid me a visit' (he was leaving)

If the object is marked by the preposition *tá*, the matrix verb in the perfective aspect must have the definite object marker *n*:

- (17) *ñghà-n-ñghà tíí tà dzà'á*  
 see-3-see OBJ-1SG IMPF go  
 'he saw me go'

In the stative aspect the verb does not have the object marker:

- (18) *ndá ñghá tá íí tà dzà'á*  
 STAT see OBJ 1SG IMPF go  
 'he saw me go'

In the imperfective aspect of the matrix clause, the verb must have the potential object marker *-áy*:

- (19) *tà ñgh-áy tíí tà dzà'á*  
 IMPF see-PO OBJ-1SG IMPF go  
 'he sees me go'

The subject of the complement clause cannot be coded in both the matrix and the embedded clauses:

- (20) \**sn-ixà-snà* *tà* *dzà'-í*  
 hear-1SG-hear IMPF go-1SG  
 for 'he heard me go'
- (21) \**snà-n-sná* *t-íí* *tà* *dzà'-í*  
 hear-3-hear OBJ-1SG IMPF go-1SG  
 for 'he heard me go'

### 3. Coding indirect perception

Indirect perception is coded through the sequence *kà zlày* preceding the complement clause and the complementizer *ká-'á* following the complement clause. The complement clause must follow the verb of perception, like other *de re* complements. In a way, the structure codes direct perception of a statement, rather than than direct perception of an event:

- (22) *sná-ghá-sná* *kà zlày* *tà* *dzà'-í* *ká-'á*  
 hear-D:PVG-hear SEQ COMP IMPF go-1SG COMP  
 'he heard that I should go'

Without the complementizer *kà zlày*, the above sentence is ungrammatical:

- (23) \**sná-ghà-snà* *tà* *dzà'á-íyù* *ká-'á*  
 hear-D:PVG-hear IMPF go-1SG COMP  
 for 'he heard that I should go'

The subject of the complement clause may be coded in the matrix clause even if the sentence contains a complementizer. But in this case the raised subject represents the subject of a verb of saying. Again the sequence *kà zlày* is an obligatory element:

- (24) *sn-ixà-snà* *kà* *zlày* *tà* *dzà'-í* *ká-'á*  
 hear-1SG-hear SEQ COMP IMPF go-1SG COMP  
 'he heard me say that I should go'

The matrix clause may be backgrounded. This operation does not code modality but rather has a discourse function, setting the background for what follows. The backgrounding is realized through the use of the

demonstrative *ná*. The demonstrative must be preceded by the sequence *kà zlày*:

- (25) *ndá òghá kà zlày ná mà sígá*  
 STAT see SEQ COMP DEM PREP pot  
*zwàn-á-ní ká-á*  
 child-GEN-3SG COMP  
 'he saw that his children were in the pot'
- (26) *yáwà mtá tá dá-ní mà m̀ndú tà kúm-ày*  
 well death PREP father-3SG PREP man IMPF want-PO  
*tá màrà-n-tà kà zlày ná ndá gl-íyù*  
 OBJ show-3-REF SEQ COMP COMP STAT grow-1SG  
*támá*  
 already  
 'The death of the man's father would mean that I am already an adult.' (The referent here is unspecified human, which in possessive constructions is coded by the form *m̀ndú* 'man')

The demonstrative *ná* may be followed by the expression *mbàd ká*, which otherwise marks temporal sequentiality. The use of this expression after the demonstrative *ná* may serve a temporal function as well, relating the proposition to a clause preceding the matrix clause of which it is a complement:

- (27) *ndzdà-vá-tà pákává ghúvì tá xvá tà òghó-tsó*  
 last-APPL-REF hyena PREP work IMPF see-3SG  
*ná mbàd ká zingá kà sá-ghà*  
 DEM then COMP Zinga SEQ arrive-D:PVG  
 'Having worked for some time, he sees that Zinga is coming.'
- (28) *kà lá-ghá v̀zák ndzdà-vá-tà v̀zák*  
 SEQ go-D:GO rooster remain-APPL-REF:SUBJ rooster  
*tà xvá tà òghó-tsí ná kà sá-ghá*  
 PREP work IMPF see-3SG DEM SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*ùv́á*  
 cat  
 'Rooster came. After having done some work, he sees Cat coming.'

The *de dicto* complementizer *ká* also codes the modality of uncertainty:



- (29) *ndá sn-í ká tsá mariage-xà yá tà*  
 STAT hear-1SG COMP DEF marriage (Fr.)-PL DEM IMPF  
*mággá-kú gà xdí ká-xèn mándí bángál-xà*  
 make-ABS PREP Hdi COMP-3PL like marriage (Ful.)-PL  
*yá*  
 DEM  
 'I have heard that marriages are being made in Hdi.'

Compare the modality of certainty in which the complement clause is marked as object:

- (30) *àmá ná sná-xèn tá d-ày góngàgóngà*  
 but DEM know-3PL OBJ cook-PO well (Ful.)  
*wù*  
 NEG:Q  
 'But they do not know how to cook very well.'

The complementizer *kà zlày* may also be used to mark indirect perception. The complementizers *ká-'á* and *kà zlày* may be combined in the same clause:

- (31) *nghà-ná-tà-ní kà zlày [ná] zwánj á*  
 see-DEM-REF:SUBJ-3SG SEQ COMP DEM child GEN  
*dá yà ká-'á nà*  
 1SG DEM COMP DEM  
 'Having seen that it was his child' (lit. 'this is my child')

#### 4. Conclusions

The complement clause follows the matrix clause if the verb of the matrix clause is a verb of perception, providing additional evidence for the hypothesis that the *de dicto/de re* distinction in Hdi is coded by the clausal order. There are two types of modalities possible after verbs of perception: direct perception of the event and indirect perception of the event. Direct perception is coded through the complementizer *kàwák*, nominalization, and the matrix clause coding of the subject of the complement clause (subject-to-object raising). Indirect perception is coded through the *de dicto* complementizer *ká-'á* and by complementizer *kà zlày*, in connection with the background marker *ná*.



## Chapter 24

### Complements of volitional verbs

#### 1. Introduction

There are at least two volitional verbs: *kúmà* and *d'vá*; The latter has a variant with a non-glottalized stop, *dvá*. Volitional complements are interesting because there are two possible clausal orders, matrix-complement and complement-matrix, and because some volitional complements involve matrix coding of the subject of the complement clause (subject-to-object raising), and complement coding of the subject of the matrix clause (subject lowering). In addition to the two volitional verbs, in this section we also describe the complements of the verb *kwál* 'refuse'.

In addition to the above issues the present chapter also deals with the coding of co-referentiality of subjects of the matrix and embedded clause and the coding of the modality of the complement clause.

#### 2. Same-subject complements

If the complement clause follows the matrix clause, the complement clause is marked by the object-marking preposition *tá*. When the subject of the matrix clause is the same as the subject of the complement clause, the subject is overtly coded only once, either in the matrix or in the complement clause:

- (1) *kabgà*    *tà*    *kúm-ày-ì*    *tá*    *wàná-f-tá*    *ná*  
because    IMPF    want-PO-1SG    OBJ    build-UP-REF    DEM  
*máhláká-dá*    *ná*  
wall-1SG    DEM  
'because I want to build my wall here'

The verb of volition occurs with or without the potential object marker *-ay*, depending on the type of clause. In a pragmatically independent clause, as in the preceding example, the potential object marker does occur. Compare also the following example:

- (2) *sí* *tà* *dʋ-áy-ɲí* *tá* *hlíí*  
 PAST PREP want-PO-1PL:EXCL OBJ leave  
 ‘we wanted to leave’

In comment-on-focus constructions, the verb occurs in the root form and the subject pronouns are suffixed directly to the root:

- (3) *àmá* *ká* *xámáyádzì* *mántsá* *ndá* *mndú* *tà*  
 but COMP Hamayadzi like that ASSC man IMPF  
*kùm-í* *tá* *b-ì-dí-f-tá* *ná* *mùxúlá-dá*  
 want-1SG OBJ build-AWAY-1SG-UP-REF DEM wall-1SG  
*ná,* *ká-’á*  
 DEM COMP-3SG  
 ‘but Hamayadzi said, it is with the people that I want him to build me my wall here’

The root form also occurs in relative clauses:

- (4) *skwì* *tà* *kúmì-yí* *tá* *mn-áy* *xàdà* *ná . . .*  
 thing IMPF want-1SG OBJ say-PO here DEM  
 ‘what I want to say is that . . .’

In negative clauses, the subject form is suffixed directly to the verb root as well:

- (5) *dʋì-’í* *tá* *dzà’á* *dà* *lèkól* *wà*  
 want-1SG OBJ go PREP school (Fr.) NEG  
 ‘I do not want to go to school’

### 3. Subject lowering

There are some complement clauses in which the subject of the matrix clause is coded in the complement clause rather than in the matrix clause. We call this phenomenon “subject lowering”:

- (6) *tà* *dʋá* *sá-ghá-xèn*  
 IMPF want arrive-D:PVG-3PL  
 ‘they want to go’

- (7) *tà* *dʋá* *hlíí-xèn*  
 IMPF want leave-3PL  
 ‘they want to leave’

(8) *tà d'vá hlí'í-mú*  
 IMPF want leave-1PL.INCL  
 'we want to leave'

(9) *tà d'vá xàn-íyù*  
 IMPF want sleep-1SG  
 'I want to sleep'

Subject lowering can occur also with transitive verbs of the complement clause. The subject pronoun occurs after the potential object marker *-áy*:

(10) *tà d'vá z-áy-xèn tá d'àfá*  
 IMPF want eat-PO-3PL OBJ food  
 'they want to eat'

(11) *tà d'vá s-áy-xèn tá ghzú*  
 IMPF want drink-PO-3PL OBJ beer  
 'they want to drink *bilbi*'

As an explanation for the phenomenon, we propose that the verb *d'vá* has a lowered subject when it functions as an auxiliary verb coding a hypothetical modality, something similar to “would” in English. Unfortunately, this must remain just a hypothesis, as we have no natural language data to support it.

#### 4. Different subjects

If the subject of the matrix clause and the subject of the complement clause are different, the latter must be overtly coded. There are two possibilities for coding the subject of the complement clause. One is to code it in the complement clause, and the other is to code it as an object of the matrix clause. In Hdi, as in many other languages (cf. Frajzyngier 1996), the raised subject of a volitional complement codes a *realis* wish, i.e. a wish that the speaker knows can be realized. The non-raised subject codes an *irrealis* wish, i.e. a wish that the speaker knows cannot be realized. All instances of raised subjects in our texts involve *realis* wishes.

Because volitional verbs do not allow pronominal object suffixes, the raised subject is marked by the object-marking preposition *tá*:

- (12) *ká'-á ndá ùvá mántsá kədókədák tà*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC cat COMP please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PURP work  
*mù vwàx-á-dá ká'-á ndá ùvá*  
 PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG ASSC cat  
 'He told Cat: "I beg you to go and work in the field of my in-  
 laws."'

Evidence that subject raising is an independent coding device is provided by the fact that it is not obligatory:

- (13) *tà kúm-ày-í tá vàghà-tà ghá mà*  
 IMPF like-PO-1SG OBJ spend the day-REF 2SG IN  
*màrwà*<sup>14</sup>  
 Maroua  
 'I would like you to spend a day in Maroua'

The complement clause may be nominalized, as evidenced by the fact that it takes possessive rather than verbal suffixes, preceded by the genitive marker:

- (14) *tà d'v-áy tá hlí'-á-dá*  
 IMPF want-PO OBJ leave-GEN-1SG  
 'he wants my departure'
- (15) *sí tà d'v-áy-xèn tá hlí'y-á-mú*  
 PAST IMPF want-PO-3PL OBJ leave-GEN-1PL.INCL  
 'they wanted us to leave' (lit. 'they wanted our leaving')

When the complement clause occurs in sentence-initial position, it, like any other fronted object, is not marked by the object-marking preposition *tá*. The focus function of the fronted noun phrase is coded by the dependent aspectual form:

- (16) *hlí'yá-dá tà d'v-é-tsí*  
 leave-GEN-1SG IMPF want-SO-3SG  
 'he wants my departure'

### 5. Complements of the verb *kwálá* 'refuse'

The complement of the verb *kwálá* 'refuse' may be marked in two ways, depending on the type of clause in which the verb *kwálá* occurs. If the verb *kwálá* occurs in a pragmatically independent clause, the complement of the verb is marked by the object marker *tá*:

- (17) *kwálá-ghú-kwál-í tá ldghá céedi*  
 refuse-D:PVG-refuse-1SG OBJ receive money (Ful.)  
 'I refused to receive money'
- (18) *kàbgà wú kwálá-úgh-tà-ká tá z-áy*  
 because Q refuse-SO-REF-2SG IMPF eat-PO  
 'why do you refuse to eat?'

In clauses with focused elements, whether interrogative or not, the complement of the verb *kwálà* is followed by the preposition *kùl* 'without', the same marker that codes the negative relative clause:

- (19) *nó yà kwál-ká kùl z-áy*  
 what COP refuse-2SG without eat-PO  
 'why are you not eating?'
- (20) *ghzú dzà'á kwál-í kùl d'v-áy*  
 bilbil FUT refuse-1SG without like-PO  
 'it is the beer that I do not like'

If a clause with the verb *kwálà* has the negative marker *wà*, the modality of the clause is affirmative:

- (21) *kwálà-úgh-tà-á-lú tá klà-g-tá ìmí wà*  
 refuse-SO-REF-NEG-UH OBJ take-INN-REF water NEG  
 'one cannot refuse to bring water' (i.e., 'one should bring water')

The complement clause may be marked by the sequential marker *kà*:

- (22) *kwálá-ú-tà-í kà hl'í dà lèkól wà*  
 refuse-SO-REF-1SG SEQ leave PREP school (Fr.) NEG  
 'I will not refuse to go to school'

## 6. Conclusions

Complements of volitional verbs distinguish between *realis* wishes, which are coded by subject-to-object raising, and *irrealis* wishes, which are characterized by the absence of raising. Complements of volitional verbs are also characterized by the phenomenon of subject lowering, whereby the subject of the matrix clause is marked only on the verb of the complement clause.

Complements of volitional verbs with the same subject have no complementizers, and the subject is coded only once, either in the matrix or the embedded clause. If the complement clause has a different subject from the matrix clause, the subject of the complement clause must be overtly coded and the complement clause is marked as an object by the form *tá*.



# Chapter 25

## Adverbial and adjunct clauses

### 1. Introduction

The term *adverbial clause* refers to a clause coding a specific semantic function. The term *adjunct clause* refers to a clause that can be added to any other clause, i.e. an element whose addition does not depend on the properties of the verb. The functions of the two types of clauses overlap. The means used to code adjunct clauses of time, purpose, manner, and reason as well as to code conditional clauses include: clausal order, tenseaspectual systems, subordinating particles, adverbs, prepositions, and the sequential marker *kà*. We begin with a discussion of temporal clauses.

### 2. Temporal sentences

There are several coding means whose function is specifically to code the temporal clause. These means are the use of the predicate with possessive subjects and the use of demonstratives, subordinating particles, and adverbs of time. In the following discussion, we use the term *protasis* for the antecedent event or temporal background event, and *apodosis* for the subsequent event or an event contemporaneous with the background event. Those terms do not imply any specific order of clauses within the sentence. In most temporal sentences, however, the protasis precedes the apodosis, but the reverse order is also possible.

#### 2.1. The protasis clause

Temporal protasis clauses are coded by several means. One means involves the suppression of the rule of tone raising before nominal subjects, or to put it differently, tone lowering on the verb-final morpheme. The rule applies to the referential marker as well as to extensions, if any:

- (1) *ndzdà-vá-tà ùvá tà xvá tà nghó-l*  
 last-APPL-REF cat PREP work IMPF see-UH  
*ná kà sá-ghá kɾì*  
 DEM SEQ arrive-D:PVG dog  
 'When Cat had spent some time working on the field, one sees  
 Dog coming.'

Cf.:

- (2) *kà ndzdà-vá-tá ùvá tà xvá*  
 SEQ stay-APPL-REF cat PREP work  
 'and the cat spent some time working'
- (3) *xlyá-f-tà-tán gà zíngá kà lá-ú-xèn dà*  
 leave-UP-REF-3PL PREP Zinga SEQ go-SO-3PL PREP  
*gwi'yán*  
 elephant  
 'having left Zinga, they went to Elephant'

The fundamental means of coding the temporal protasis is through the nominalization of a clause. When this is the case, the subject is coded by possessive rather than verbal subject pronouns. Nominal subjects are also coded as possessors. The protasis clause has the form Verb-(Extensions) Possessive Subject. The verb occurs in the simple, i.e. non-reduplicated, form. No temporal particles of any kind have to be used:

- (4) *lá-mà kɾì dá xàd-à kà*  
 go-IN dog PREP here-DEM SEQ  
*hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí t-úvá*  
 find-DEM-D:PVG-REF-3SG OBJ-cat  
 'When Dog entered there, he found Cat.'
- (5) *sá-bà pákává ghúvi kà xvá*  
 arrive-OUT hyena SEQ work  
 'Having come out [of the hibiscus], Hyena worked.'
- (6) *lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàngwàdák ngá*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance NORM  
*lá-m-à-ní hlà-ná-ghà-tà zò'ál-á-tàn*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG search-DEM-D:PVG-REF husband-GEN-3PL  
 'Having entered through the back of the compound, she should  
 find her husband.'

If the protasis clause has an object, the object occurs after the possessive subject:

- (7) *tà xúl-á ngà tsá-f-tà-ní tá*  
 PREP back-GEN PREP gather-UP-REF-3SG OBJ  
*m̀ndú-xà v̀aghú mà vwàx-á-d́á ká-’á*  
 man-PL work PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG  
 ‘Having gathered people, he said, “There is work at my in-laws”’

The temporal protasis may also be marked as background information through the demonstratives *yá* or *ná* that follow the protasis clause:

- (8) *lá-bà kri yá mbàd’ ká-’á kà*  
 go-OUT dog DEM then COMP-3SG SEQ  
*g̀nà-ná-f-tá sígà*  
 open-DEM-UP-REF pot  
 ‘When Dog<sub>1</sub> went, he<sub>1</sub> opened the pot.’

- (9) *ndá kdà-kw-á-ní yá ná ndá*  
 ASSC finish-ABS-GEN-3SG COP COMP ASSC  
*sá-ghà-ní*  
 arrive-D:PVG-3SG  
 ‘when that thing ended, he came’

The function of the demonstratives is to mark the comment clause, a phenomenon attested in other constructions in Hdi and in temporal and conditional apodosis clauses in other Chadic languages (cf. Frajzyngier 1996).

If the verb has the absolutive marker coding the affectedness of the subject, in addition to the nominal subject, a pronominal possessive pronoun is added to the verb:

- (10) *tà wáwà-kú-á-ní kri*  
 IMPF walk around-ABS-GEN-3SG dog  
*mbàd’ ká kà ñghà-dá-ghà-tà ná*  
 then COMP SEQ look-ALL-D:PVG-REF COMP  
*tà d́g-áy d́g-áy t̀rtúk-w-á-ní*  
 PREP thresh-PO thresh-PO alone-GEN-3SG  
 ‘When Dog was taking a walk he noticed that he [Hyena] was threshing alone.’

The third means of coding the temporal protasis clause is through the sequential marker *kà* and the dependent aspectual markers:

- (11) *kà lá-m ùvá hlà-ná-ghá-tá vázák tá*  
 SEQ enter-IN cat find-DEM-D:GO-REF rooster COM  
*dífà-ùgh-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 hide-SO-REF PREP hibiscus  
 ‘When Cat entered, he found Rooster hiding in the hibiscus.’

In temporal protasis clauses, the referential marker has low rather than high tone, a characteristic of the pragmatically dependent clause:

- (12) *yáwà hlyá-f-tà-ní gàaa,*  
 well (Hau.) leave-UP-REF-SUBJ-3SG PREP [hesitation]  
*gà kri kà lá-ùgh-tsí dà pákává ghúvì*  
 PREP dog SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP hyena  
 ‘Well, when he left Dog, he went to Hyena.’

- (13) *sá-ghà ùvá xvà-n-tà-ní tá*  
 arrive-D:PVG cat work-TENT-REF:SUBJ-3SG OBJ  
*xvá kítìkw . . .*  
 work a little  
 ‘When Cat came, and after he worked a little . . .’

- (14) *sá-ghà ùvá xvá-nà-n-tà ùvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG cat work-DEM-TENT-REF:SUBJ cat  
*tá xvá kwítìk*  
 OBJ work a little  
 ‘after Cat came and worked a little for him’

The imperfective aspect in the temporal protasis clause is coded by the potential object form *-ay*, followed by possessive subject pronouns. The importance of this fact rests in the absence of the preposition *tà*, which codes the imperfective aspect in pragmatically independent clauses:

- (15) *dǵ-áy-tán tá dǵú yá [dǵí yà]*  
 thresh-PO-3PL OBJ threshing DEM  
*mbàd ká pákává ghúvì kà klà-á-tá vàrà*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ take-PART-REF beans  
 ‘While they were threshing Hyena took some beans’

- (16) *wùd-áy-tán tá wùdá mántsá ká yàghí*  
 fight-PO-3PL OBJ fight like that COMP squirrel  
*ndá-xèn mántsá mà wùdá-kùn tá wùdá*  
 ASSC-3PL COMP PROH fight-2PL OBJ fight  
*mà vwàx-á mídz-á-dá*  
 PREP field-GEN mother-in-law-GEN-1SG  
 ‘While they were fighting like that, Squirrel told them, “Do not  
 fight in the field of my mother-in-law.”’

## 2.2. Overt coding of temporal priority and posteriority

The temporal priority of the protasis clause is coded by the verb *mág* ‘do, make’ followed by the unspecified human subject marker *lú*. This is a highly grammaticalized construction, as it is used with subjects in any person and number:

- (17) *màgú-lú ká dá tsghá tsá vərə yá tù*  
 make-UH SEQ PURP send DEF beans DEM PREP  
*ùbú mbàd ká pákává ghúvi ká tx-áy*  
 small granary then COMP hyena SEQ expel-PO  
*ká zlay ná z-ú-má mbízà kùrúkù*  
 SEQ COMP COMP eat-SO-1PL bean dish first  
 ‘Before sending the beans up to the granary, Hyena said: “Let us  
 first eat the bean dish.”’
- (18) *màgú-lú ká dá tsghá tsá vərə yá tù*  
 make-UH SEQ PURP send DEF beans DEM PREP  
*ùbú mbàd ká-ì ká tx-áy ká*  
 small granary then COMP-1SG SEQ say-PO SEQ  
*zlay . . .*  
 COMP  
 ‘Before sending the beans up into the granary, I said . . .’

Subordinating markers that code temporal protasis clauses include: *mándá* ‘like, when/after, since’, *mà fitík-á* ‘when’, *àgə* ‘before’, *tàwà* ‘when’, and *tà xúl-á* ‘after’. Most of these are complex constructions. For example, the expression *mà fitík-á* is literally “at the time of”.

The subordinator always occurs at the beginning of the temporal protasis clause. The subject of the temporal protasis clause follows the verb. When a clause begins with a temporal adverb, this adverb must be followed by a temporal apodosis marker, such as the sequential *ká* or the phrase *mbàd ká*, glossed as “then COMP”:

- (19) *tántán mbàd' ká kà xgà-n-tá vàzák*  
 first then COMP-3SG SEQ call-3-REF rooster  
 'First he invited Rooster.'
- (20) *tántán mbàd' ká xèn kà xgà-n-tá vàzák*  
 first then COMP 3PL SEQ call-3-REF rooster  
 'first they invited Rooster'
- (21) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká yàghí*  
 PREP one-GEN day then COMP squirrel  
*kà xág-á mndú ngá*  
 SEQ invite:PL-GEN people FOR  
*dzà'á dá vàghú mù vwàx-á-ní*  
 go PURP work PREP field-GEN-3SG  
 'One day Squirrel got into inviting people to work in the field of his in-laws.'

The evidence that *ká* is a complementizer is that other subjects, whether nominal or pronominal, must follow *ká*, a characteristic of the complementizer *ká* with verbs of saying.

Temporal posteriority is specifically coded by the expression *tà xúl-á* 'at the back of', followed by the nominalized form of the verb:

- (22) *tà xúl-á hlyá-f-tà-ní gà ùvá kà*  
 PREP back-GEN leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP cat SEQ  
*lá-úgh-tsí xgà-n-tá krì*  
 go-D:SO-3SG call-3-REF dog  
 'Having left Cat, he went to invite Dog.'

The particle *gúlí* 'not yet, still' occurs at the end of the protasis clause. The particle is followed by the negative marker *wà*:

- (23) *z-ú-zá xànà-n á tá xàní gúlí wà*  
 eat-SO-eat sleep-3 NEG OBJ sleep yet NEG  
 'he ate and he did not sleep yet'

The absence of *á*, the first component of the negative frame *á . . . wà*, suggests that the function of *gúlí* overlaps with the function of *á*.

## 2.3. The temporal apodosis clause

The temporal apodosis clause can be marked by several means. One involves the form *mbàd'* followed by the complementizer *ká* and by a nominal or pronominal subject. The subject pronouns following the complementizer are drawn from the independent set. This complex may be followed only by a sequential clause, marked by *kà*:

- (24) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farm  
 'Hyena had already farmed.'
- (25) \**mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì tà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena IMPF farm-REF farm  
 for 'and then Hyena has been farming.'
- (26) *dghàd'-áy-tàn dghàd'-áy-tàn mbàd' ká krì kà*  
 chew-PO-3PL chew-PO-3PL then COMP dog SEQ  
*klà-gá-f-tá ìr-á zwán-á pákává ghúvì*  
 take-INN-UP-REF eye-GEN child-GEN hyena  
 'While they were chewing it, Dog picked up an eye of a child of Hyena.'
- (27) *mbàd' ká'-á kà d'g-áy*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ thresh-PO  
 'and then he was threshing'
- (28) *mbàd' ká xèn kà d'g-áy*  
 then COMP 3PL SEQ thresh-PO  
 'And they were threshing.'

The other means is simply by the sequential marker *kà*:

- (29) *sá-bà zíngá kà xvá*  
 arrive-OUT Zinga SEQ work  
 'When Zinga got out, he worked.'
- (30) *xwáyá-úgh-tà krì kà sá-ghá pákávú-ghúvì*  
 run-SO-REF:SUBJ dog SEQ arrive-D:GO hyena  
 'after Dog escaped, Hyena came'

If the first clause is not marked as a temporal protasis, then the sequential clause is not interpreted as an apodosis:

- (31) *tò, kà hlí'ya-f-tá-tsí gá vázák*  
 well, SEQ leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP rooster  
*kà lá-ghá-tsí dà ùvá [→wvá]*  
 SEQ go-D:GO-3SG PREP cat  
 'Well, he left the Rooster's [household] and went to Cat.'

#### 2.4. Subject coding in protasis and apodosis clauses

If the subject of the protasis clause is the same as the subject of the apodosis clause, the subject is coded only once, with the protasis clause:

- (32) *kà lá-ghá vázák ndzdà-vá-tà vázák*  
 SEQ go-D:PVG rooster remain-APPL-REF:SUBJ rooster  
*tà xvá tà ñghó-tsí ná kà sá-ghá*  
 PREP work IMPF see-3SG DEM SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*ùvá*  
 cat  
 'Rooster came. After having done some work, he sees the Cat coming.'

- (33) *kfà-kw-á-tán tà ghwá kzún ngá*  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3PL IMPF cut grass PURP  
*tská-f-tà-tán tá zwáŋ-á kwóbò kítikw*  
 gather-UP-REF:SUBJ-3PL OBJ child-GEN money little  
 'After they will have finished cutting grass, they will have put aside a little money'

If the subjects of the protasis clause and apodosis clause are different, the subject is overtly marked in both clauses:

- (34) *tà xúlá klá-ùgh-tà-ní kà tsírá-tá*  
 PREP back take-SO-REF:SUBJ-3SG SEQ defecate-REF  
*zvàxw tá tsírá-kú tà vghá kàbgà tá*  
 bat OBJ defecate-ABS PREP body because COM  
*xàdú ntfán ngá núwá-f-tá mdùrá-ní wà*  
 lack glue FOR close-UP-REF anus-3SG NEG  
 'When he took this, the bat defecated on himself because there was no glue to close his anus.'



### 3. Purpose clauses

Purpose clauses may be marked in three ways. One is through the preposition *ngá* 'FOR', the same that marks the nominal purpose in the simple sentence, preceding the purpose adjunct. The proposition expressing purpose follows the matrix clause. The verb of the complement proposition ends in a vowel à (low tone). If it is followed by an object, the object may, but does not have to be, marked by the object marker *tá*. The marker *ngá* codes the purpose clause in which the subject does not exercise control over the event:

- (35) *xàdú kóbù ngá mágà lèkól wà*  
 lack money FOR do school NEG  
 'There was no money to go to school.'

The second means to code the purpose clause is with the subordinator *dá*. The function of the purpose marker *dá* is to code events when the subject exercises control over them:

- (36) *lá-m-là dá nghá-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 go-IN-go PURP look-REF PREP hibiscus  
*xàdí yá bá*  
 here DEM please  
 'Go inside and look at [eat] what's in this hibiscus here.'

- (37) *ká-'á ndá ùvá mántsá kèdákèdák tà*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC cat COMP please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PURP work  
*mù vwàx-á-d'á ká-'á ndá ùvá*  
 PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG ASSC cat  
 'He told Cat: "I beg you to go and work in the field of my in-laws."'

The preposition *ngá* cannot replace the preposition *dá*, nor can the preposition *dá* replace the preposition *ngá* in any of the above sentences.

The evidence for the proposed distinction between the two purpose markers is provided by a sentence where one can replace one marker by the other:

- (38) *bit ná gúlí kà hlí'ya-f-t-í kà*  
 year DEM again SEQ leave-UP-REF-1SG SEQ  
*sá-x-í*  
 go-DOWN-1SG  
 'This year again, I left [the village] and came down.'

*dá ksá hlná ngá mùtsá-f tá kóbò*  
 PURP catch work FOR have-UP OBJ money  
 'I came to get a job in order to find money.'

The second line of this example may imply that the task of finding work has already started. Here one can replace the purpose marker *dá* by *ngá*. But if that is done, the sentence rules out the possibility that the task of finding the work has already started:

- (39) *ngá ksá hlná ngá mùtsá-f tá kóbò*  
 FOR catch work FOR have-UP OBJ money  
 'I came to find work in order to find money.'

In agreement with the proposed hypothesis, one cannot replace the marker *ngá* in the above example or in its original form by the marker *dá*, because having money does not involve the subject's control over the event. Nor can one replace the marker *ngá* in the following sentence:

- (40) *ngá ksá hlná yà mà lèkól kóda ghálám*  
 PREP find work which in school year dry  
 'in order to do the school work next year'

The reason one cannot replace *ngá* by *dá* is that the expression means "attend school" (*fréquenter* in colloquial French in Cameroon) rather than "do school work".

- (41) *kďà-kw-á fitík-á zI-í-n-tá zwàn-ì*  
 finish-ABS-GEN time-GEN free-AWAY-3-REF child-PL  
*ngá mbi'ya vghá hlí'ya-f-tà-tán kà dzà'á*  
 FOR rest body leave-UP-REF:SUBJ-3PL SEQ go  
*dá tská kóbù*  
 PURP search money  
 'in the end [the beginning] for vacation they leave in search of money' (this is an attempt to render the notion of "vacation")

#### 4. Manner clauses

The adverbial clause of manner is coded by the associative preposition *ndá*, followed by a nominalized verb:

- (42) *sá-wá ndá xwáyá*  
 arrive-PL ASSC run  
 ‘come running!’ (plural addressee)

#### 5. Reason clauses

Adverbial clauses of reason are marked in several ways. One is through the form *kàbgà* which may well be composed of the word *bgà* ‘pile’, preceded by the marker *kà*. Recall the sequence *kà bgà wú* marking interrogative reason clauses. The coding of sentential adverbs of reasons is the same as the coding of nominal adverbs of reason:

- (43) *dzà’á phlá-phlá -xèn tá m̀ndú, kàbgà xáxàŋ tá*  
 FUT kill-kill-3PL OBJ man because 3PL COM  
*dzà-tá dá-dá ká gawa*  
 kill-REF father-1SG COMP Gawa  
 ‘“they will kill us all, because they killed my father,” said Gawa’

- (44) *tà xúlá klá-ù-tà-ní kà tsírá-tá*  
 PREP back take-SO-REF:SUBJ-3SG SEQ defecate-REF  
*zvàxw tá tsírá-kú tà vghá kàbgà*  
 bat OBJ defecate-ABS PREP body because  
*tá xàdú ntfàŋ ngá núwá-f tá m̀ndrá-ní wà*  
 COM lack glue FOR close-UP OBJ anus-3SG NEG  
 ‘When he took this, the bat defecated on himself because there was no glue to close his anus.’

The referential past time marker *sí* is also used as a clausal subordinator, following the sequential marker *kà* or *kàbgà* in clause-initial position:

- (45) *kà sí màl ndá mà xàdík ná vli*  
 SEQ PAST surface ASSC PREP ground DEM space  
*ká’-á yá*  
 COMP-3SG DEM  
 ‘Since he said that the space in the ground is larger [than in the sky]’

- (46) *kàbgà sí tà mn-áy*  
 because PAST IMPF say-PO  
 ‘Because one used to say’

## 6. The auxiliary verb *klá* ‘take’ and reason clauses

The auxiliary verb *klá* ‘take’ has the pronominal subjects suffixed to it. The verb *kól* is most probably a reduced form of the verb *klá* ‘to take’. The final vowel is deleted before the suffix is added, and the schwa is inserted to syllabify the disallowed consonant cluster [klC] that would otherwise have resulted. The rest of the complement clause, viz. its main verb and complement, is preceded by the sequential marker *kà*.

- (47) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá ùvá kày*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF cat INTERJ  
 ‘why did you invite Cat?’

The sequence *kól . . . kà* may be omitted, but then the subject of the clause is suffixed to main verb:

- (48) *kàbgà wú xgà-n-ká tá ùvá kày*  
 because Q call-3-2SG OBJ cat INTERJ  
 ‘why did you invite Cat?’

The function of the verb *kól* in such constructions is to mark an unexpected event or action. The fact that the event is unexpected is the reason for posing the question about its cause. Evidence for this hypothesis is provided by the use of the form *kól*, which occurs when the speaker did not expect the event to happen and yet the event does happen:

- (49) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá kày yàghá ká xgà-n-tá ùvá*  
 cat INTERJ should not 2SG call-3-REF cat  
*ká-ì [kí-í] kày-ní nî kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 COMP-1SG Q-RHET why take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá ká-á ndá yàghí*  
 cat COMP-3SG ASSC Squirrel  
 ‘“Why did you invite Cat, despite the fact that you should not invite Cat, as I told you?” he said to Squirrel.’

When the form *kól* is added to a present or future construction, the meaning carries an inceptive function:

- (50) *kàbgá wú kól-ká kà xgá ùvá kày*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ invite cat INTERJ  
 'why did you decide to invite Cat?' or 'why did you up and invite Cat?'

Cf.:

- (51) *kàbgá wú xgà-ká tá ùvá kày*  
 because Q call-2SG OBJ cat INTERJ  
 'why do you invite Cat?'

## 7. Conditional clauses

There are two types of conditional protasis clauses: one that codes *realis* conditions, i.e. conditions that could be met, and the other, *irrealis* conditions. The two types of clauses differ in conditional markers and also in the coding of aspect.

### 7.1. Realis conditionals

In *realis* conditionals, the protasis clause is marked by the sequential marker *kà* in clause-initial position:

- (52) *kà tà òv-áy lázgláftà*  
 SEQ IMPF like-PO God  
 'If God allows'

Cf.:

- (53) *tà òv-áy lázgláftà*  
 IMPF like-PO God  
 'God allows'

Each of the first two clauses in the following example is coded as a temporal protasis, and the third clause is coded as a conditional protasis of a reported speech:

- (54) *sá-ghà kri, ndzdà-vá-tà kri tà xvá,*  
 arrive-D:PVG dog last-APPL-REF:SUBJ dog PREP work  
*kà ndá xèrfá ká ná lá-m-là*  
 SEQ ASSC tiredness 2SG DEM go-IN-go  
*nghá-tà mà tùghwázàk xàdí yá yá*  
 see-REF:SUBJ PREP hibiscus here DEM DEM  
*ká yàghí ndá kri*  
 COMP squirrel ASSC dog  
 ‘When Dog came, after he had worked for some time, Squirrel  
 said to Dog, “If you are tired, enter to see [something] in the hi-  
 biscus here.”’

Most often, the conditional protasis is coded as a background clause, marked by the demonstrative *ná*. There are no restrictions on aspect or tense in the conditional protasis clause; examples in the perfective, imperfective, and future have been recorded. The conditional protasis clause differs from the temporal protasis clause in that the perfective aspect in the conditional protasis is coded by reduplication of the verb.

- (55) *kà ndá xèrfá ká ná lá-m-là nghá-tà*  
 SEQ ASSC tiredness 2SG DEM go-IN-go see-REF  
*mà tùghwázàk xàdí yá yà*  
 PREP hibiscus here DEM DEM  
 ‘If you are tired, enter to see what is in the hibiscus here’
- (56) *à kà tà dzà’á kághá ná xgà-n-tì-í*  
 INTERJ SEQ IMPF go 2SG DEM call-3-REF-1SG  
*tá pákává ghúv wà ká yàghí ndá tsí*  
 OBJ hyena NEG COMP squirrel ASSC 3SG  
 ‘“If you will go, I will not invite Hyena,” Squirrel told him.’
- (57) *kà dz-ú-dzá kághá ná xgà-n-tà-ì*  
 COND go-SO-go 2SG DEM call-3-REF-1SG  
*tá gwì’yán wà ká-’á*  
 OBJ elephant NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“If you show up, I will not invite Elephant,” he said.’

The conditional protasis may also be unmarked if the apodosis clause is marked:

- (58) *lívín á ká tá kàpá pàlà wù*  
 be able NEG 2SG OBJ raise stone NEG  
*má ndá dzá ká*  
 then STAT hit 2SG  
 'if you cannot raise the stone you are whipped'

The third-person singular subject in protasis clauses is marked by the pronoun *tsì*. This is a characteristic shared by consecutive clauses, which are also marked by the sequential marker *kà*. The difference between the conditional protasis clause and the sequential clause is that the verb of the conditional protasis clause may be reduplicated even if the third-person singular subject is marked by the pronoun *tsì*:

- (59) *kà kɔ́-í-n-kɔ́-á-tsí tá hln-á-ní*  
 SEQ finish-AWAY-3-finish-3SG OBJ work-GEN-3SG  
*lív-í-n-lívá tá mbì'yá vghá*  
 be able-AWAY-3-be able OBJ rest body  
 'if he has finished his work, he can rest'

The conditional protasis with prohibitive modality follows the apodosis clause and is marked by the form *dúṅà* in the third-person singular, and by *dúṅà* plus subject pronouns for other persons:

- (60) *ká ùvá mántsá má sí tà dzá-í*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP PAST IMPF go-1SG  
*mndán ná á dúṅà ká*  
 but DEM COND except 2SG  
*dá xgà-n-tá krì ká ùvá*  
 FUT call-3-REF dog COMP cat  
 'Cat answered, "I might go, but on condition that you do not invite Dog."' (lit. 'but will you invite a dog?')

## 7.2. Irrealis conditionals

The *irrealis* conditional can be marked by two means: the hypothetical marker *má* and the past tense marker *sí*. The protasis clause has the independent imperfective aspect marked by the preposition *tà*. But, interestingly, the verb has the referential marker *ta* even if it does not have any extensions:

- (61) *má* *tà* *kúm-tá-ká* *tá* *nzà-kú* *mà* *túró . . .*  
 HYP IMPF want-REF-2SG OBJ stay-ABS PREP Tourou  
 ‘if you wanted to live in Tourou . . .’

The referential marker *ta* suffixed to the verb codes the conditional modality. If one were to replace it with the potential object marker *-áy*, the clause with the marker *má* would be simply hypothetical:

- (62) *má* *tà* *kúm-ày-ká* *tá* *nzà-kú* *mà* *túró*  
 HYP IMPF want-PO-2SG OBJ stay-ABS PREP Tourou  
 ‘you wanted to live in Tourou . . .’ (but it did not happen)

Compare the *realis* conditional:

- (63) *kà* *tà* *kúm-ày-ká* *tá* *nzà-kú* *mà* *túró . . .*  
 SEQ IMPF want-PO-2SG OBJ stay-ABS PREP Tourou  
 ‘if you want to live in Tourou . . .’

The apodosis clause for *irrealis* wishes is also coded by the marker *má*:

- (64) *má* *tà* *hlgà-f-tá-tsí* *kǎá* *má* *màmú*  
 HYP IMPF plant-UP-REF-3SG last year HYP exist  
*skw-à z-áy*  
 thing-GEN eat-PO  
 ‘had he planted last year, he would have had food’

## 8. The negative conditional mood

The hypothetical clause may be marked by rhetorical interrogative forms *á dún* or *sún*, glossed as “except”, expressing the minimal condition(s) under which the hypothetical will become real:

- (65) *á dún* *ká* *dá* *sá-ghà* *màxtsím*  
 except 2SG FUT arrive-2SG tomorrow  
 ‘except do not come tomorrow’
- (66) *sún* *ká* *dá* *sá-ghà* *màxtsím*  
 except 2SG FUT arrive-2SG tomorrow  
 ‘except do not come tomorrow’



The protasis clause is in the future tense, marked through the periphrastic *dzà'á* or *dá*:

- (67) *ká kàr mántsá má tà dzà-í mndán*  
 COMP dog COMP PREP PREP go-1SG but  
*ná súṅà ká dá xgà-n-tá pákáwá ghúvì,*  
 DEM except 2SG FUT call-3-REF hyena  
*ká krì*  
 COMP dog  
 'Dog replied, "I will go, on condition that you do not invite Hyena."'

- (68) *má tà dzà-í mndán ná dúṅà ká dzà'á*  
 HYP IMPF go-1SG but COMP except 2SG FUT  
*xgà-n-tá rvérí ká gwì'yán ndá yàghí*  
 call-3-REF lion COMP elephant ASSC squirrel  
 "I would go, on the condition that you did not invite Lion," Elephant said to Squirrel.'

## 9. Conclusions

In temporal clauses, the protasis clause usually precedes the apodosis clause, though the reverse order is also possible. One of the means of coding temporal protasis clauses is by use of nominalized clauses with possessive subjects. Protasis clauses may also be marked through the use of various subordinating particles and temporal adverbs. When such a clause is sentence-initial, the apodosis clause is marked by the sequential marker *kà*. The sequential marker can also function as the protasis marker in conditional clauses, which differ from other protasis clauses in having the perfective aspect coded by reduplication and the overt coding of the third-person singular subject through the marker *tsì*.

A number of particles code adverbial clauses of purpose, manner, and reason.



## Chapter 26

### Comparative constructions

#### 1. Equal predicates

Our data include examples of equal comparative clauses with adjectival or verbal predicates. With both types of predicates the equal predicate structure has the form Predicate Target *mándá* Standard:

- (1) *ndá glá mbítsá mándá pghìntà*  
ASSC big Mbitsa like Phinta  
'Mbitsa is as big as Phinta'
- (2) *tà mágá hlèná mbítsá mándá pghìntà*  
IMPF do work Mbitsa like Phinta  
'Mbitsa works as well as Phinta'
- (3) *mándá xìyá tà ñgh-í tá kághá*  
like guinea corn IMPF see-1SG OBJ 2SG  
'You are very beautiful' (lit. 'I see you like [I see] guinea corn')

#### 2. Unequal predicates

To express an unequal comparison a sentence with an adjectival or verbal predicate starts with the property concept word *màl* 'big', followed by the demonstrative plural marker *ì*, and then by the target, which is the superior argument of the comparison. The standard, or inferior, is marked by the preposition *kà* 'like':

- (4) *màl ì mbítsá kà pghìntà*  
big ASSC.PL Mbitsa than Phinta  
'Mbitsa is larger than Phinta'
- (5) *màl tsátsí tà mágá hlónà kà íí*  
big 3SG IMPF do work than 1SG  
'he works better than me'

- (6) *má màl xídákú kà mbrákú ká-’á*  
 HYP big wisdom SEQ strength COMP-3SG  
 ‘intelligence should surpass strength’

The grammaticalization of *màl* as a marker of an unequal predicate is at an early stage, as evidenced by the fact that different lexemes are used to express the notion “smaller”:

- (7) *kì’yá mbítsá kà pghìntà*  
 small Mbitsa like Phinta  
 ‘Mbitsa is smaller than Phinta’

Further evidence for the early stage of grammaticalization of *màl* lies in the fact that other adjectives may be used to express the notion expressed by *màl*:

- (8) *dórán mbítsá ká pghìntà mà mágá hlóná*  
 surpass Mbitsa PREP Phinta PREP do work  
 ‘Mbitsa works better than Phinta’

In comparisons of size, Hdi sometimes uses the word *ghón* ‘head’ followed by the genitive marker. The order of elements in such clauses is: Predicate Target *tà ghón-à* Standard. The most interesting fact about these constructions is that when the standard is first or second person, the sequence *ghón-à*, realized as [ghónà] ‘head-GEN’, is followed, not by the possessive form of the pronoun, but rather by the independent pronoun:

- (9) *sàgón mbítsá tà ghón-à pghìntà*  
 small Mbitsa PREP head-GEN Phinta  
 ‘Mbitsa is smaller than Phinta’

- (10) *màl tsátsí tà ghón-à íí*  
 big 3SG PREP head-GEN 1SG  
 ‘he is taller than me’

- (11) *\*màl tsátsí tà ghòñ-à d’á*  
 big 3SG PREP head-GEN 1SG  
 for ‘he is taller than me’

- (12) *màl tsátsí tà ghòñ-à kághá*  
 big 3SG PREP head-GEN 2SG  
 ‘he is taller than you’

Evidence that the word *ghón* 'head' has been grammaticalized as a component of the comparative construction is that it may be used for comparisons involving properties other than size:

- (13) *màl*      *mbítsá*      *tà*      *mágá*      *hlóná*      *tà*      *ghón*      *ngá*  
 surpass      Mbitsa      PREP      do      work      PREP      head      FOR  
*pghìntà*  
 Phinta  
 'Mbitsa works better than Phinta' (lit. 'Mbitsa is better at work than Phinta')

### 3. Conclusions

In equal comparison constructions, the standard is marked by *mándá*, possibly a prepositional sequence *má ndá*. In unequal comparisons, the superior is marked by the adjective *màl* 'big' and the inferior by one of several other adjectives. The inferior cannot be marked by *màl*. The standard in an unequal comparison is marked by the preposition *kà* 'like' or by the lexeme *ghón* 'head', which forms a genitive, but not a possessive construction, with the standard.



## Chapter 27

### Texts

#### 1. Proverbs and sayings

- (1) *mándá xiyá            tà      ñgh-í      tá      kághá*  
like   guinea corn   IMPF   see-1SG   OBJ   2SG  
'You are very beautiful.' (lit. 'I see you as guinea corn.')
- (2) *mà      tágh-ká      tá      skál-á      m̀ndú*  
PROH   learn-2SG   OBJ   dance-GEN   man  
'Do not learn the dance of others' (interpretation: 'go your own way; do not imitate others.')

#### 2. Dog and Hyena

The text is a folktale whose various versions may last several hours. The recorded version is about 30 minutes. We present here the first 40 sentences of the recorded text. The division into sentences was dictated by context, and each division was confirmed by language assistants. The main characters in the tale are anthropomorphized. There is never a definite article or a demonstrative added to the words *krì* 'dog' and *pákáwá ghúvì* 'hyena' (lit. 'leopard-GEN feces', spelled as *pákáwá ghúvì* and glossed in all sentences as 'hyena'.) In order to be faithful to this aspect of the text, we treat the two nouns as proper names, omitting articles from the English text and capitalizing the words Dog and Hyena.

- (1) *ì            krì      ndá      pákáwá ghúvì*  
ASSC.PL   dog   ASSC   hyena  
'Dog and Hyena.'

- (2) *mà sán-à fitík mbàd' ká ì*  
 PREP other-GEN time then COMP ASSC.PL  
*pákáwá ghúvì kà nzà-tà ndá krì*  
 hyena SEQ stay-REF ASSC dog  
 'At one time, Hyena and Dog lived together.'
- (3) *mbàd' ká pákáwá ghúvì kà xvá-tá xvá*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ farm-REF farm  
 'Hyena had already farmed.' (i.e. finished farming)
- (4) *mbàd' ká-xèn kà d'g-áy*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ thresh-PO  
 'And they were threshing.'
- (5) *tà wáwà-kú-á-ní krì*  
 IMPF walk around-ABS-GEN-3SG dog  
 'When Dog was taking a walk'
- mbàd' ká kà nghà-dá-ghà-tà ná*  
 then COMP SEQ look-ALL-D:PVG-REF COMP  
 'he noticed that'
- tà d'g-áy d'g-áy turtúkw-á-ní*  
 IMPF thresh-PO thresh-PO alone-GEN-3SG  
 'he [Hyena] was threshing alone.'

Probably an error. The corrected clause is:

- tà d'g-ó turtúkw-á-ní*  
 PREP thresh alone-GEN-3SG  
 'he was threshing alone.'
- (6) *mbàd' ká krì kà lá-ghà zlghà-n-tà*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-D:PVG help-3-REF  
 'Then Dog came and helped him.'
- (7) *d'g-áy-tán tá d'gú yá [d'gí yà]*  
 thresh-PO-3PL OBJ threshing DEM  
 'While they were threshing'



*mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kà klà-á-tá v̀àrà*  
 then COMP hyena SEQ take-PART-REF beans  
 'Hyena took some beans'

*kà z̀l̀ay ǹà kà l-í d̀à-t̀à*  
 SEQ COMP COMP SEQ go-1SG cook-REF:SUBJ  
*ká-'á*  
 COMP-3SG  
 'and said: "I have to go to cook these."'

(8) *yỳàw ká krì*  
 OK COMP dog  
 'Dog said: "OK"'

(9) *mb̀ad' ká krì kà d̀g-áy*  
 then COMP dog SEQ thresh-PO  
 'And Dog kept threshing.'

(10) *lá-gh̀à pákává ghúvì k̀ay*  
 go-D:PVG hyena thus  
*kà lá-b̀ì d̀íng̀à-f-tá tsá mb̀ízá yá*  
 SEQ go-OUT put on fire-UP-REF DEF bean dish DEM  
 'After Hyena<sub>1</sub> went, he<sub>1</sub> put fire under the bean dish on the fire.'

(11) *mb̀ad' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá zẁan-à krì*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF child.PL-GEN dog  
 'And then he gathered the children of Dog'

*kà pgh-í-n-t̀à gúđúk d̀istá-ní*  
 SEQ put-AWAY-3-REF together in-3SG  
 'and put them all inside it [the beans].'

(12) *mb̀ad' ká kà v-í-n-tá vú kí'yá ndá*  
 then COMP SEQ light-AWAY-3-REF fire small ASSC  
*m̀istá-ní*  
 under-3SG  
 'Then he lit the fire under it.'

(13) *mb̀ad' ká-x̀èn kà d̀gú*  
 then COMP-3PL SEQ threshing  
 'They kept threshing.'

- (14) *kà l-ìyù ngás-í-n-tá vù mìstá mbízà*  
 SEQ go-1SG push in-AWAY-3-REF fire under bean dish  
*ká pákáw ghúvì*  
 COMP hyena

‘“I have to push in the fire under the bean dish,” said Hyena.’

- (15) *á kà lá-b-l-ìyù kághá á màlí rí*  
 INTERJ SEQ go-OUT-go-1SG 2SG NEG older Q  
 ‘“Oh, shouldn’t I go. Aren’t you older?”’

*nzànzà kághá kà lá-b-l-ìyù ká krì*  
 remain 2SG SEQ go-OUT-go-1SG COMP dog  
 ‘“You stay, I should go,” said Dog.’ (low tone on *ì* in *ìyù*)

- (16) *mbàd’ ká krì kà lá-b-ì*  
 then COMP dog SEQ go-OUT-REF  
 ‘Then Dog went away.’

- (17) *lá-ghà pákáw ghúvì kà mná-n-tá krì*  
 go-D:PVG hyena SEQ tell-3-REF dog  
 ‘And Hyena said to Dog,’

*yàgh-ká dá ngh-ú dà sígà yá*  
 should not-2SG PURP look-SO PREP pot DEM  
*ká-’á mná-ná-tà*  
 COMP-3SG tell-DEM-REF  
 ‘“Do not look inside the pot,” he said to him.’

- (18) *yáw ká krì*  
 yes COMP dog  
 ‘Dog agreed.’

- (19) *lá-bà krì yá mbàd’ ká-’á kà*  
 go-OUT dog DEM then COMP-3SG SEQ  
*gùnà-ná-f-tá sígà*  
 open-DEM-UP-REF pot  
 ‘When Dog<sub>1</sub> went, he<sub>1</sub> opened the pot.’

- (20) *kà á ká-'á ñghà-dá-tà ná*  
 SEQ 3SG COMP-3SG look-ALL-REF COMP  
*zwàn-à-ní mà sígà*  
 child:PL-GEN-3SG PREP pot  
 'And he saw that his children were in the pot.'
- (21) *mbàd' ká-'á kà w-í-g-í-n-tà kà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ take.PL-AWAY -INN-3-REF SEQ  
*lá-ghw-í dífà-ná-tá zwàn-à-ní*  
 go-D:SO-REF hide-3-REF child:PL-GEN-3SG  
 'He took his children out and hid them.'
- (22) *mbàd' ká-'á kà xlá-f-tá zwàn-à*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ gather-UP-REF child:PL-GEN  
*pákáwá ghúvì kày kà pghà-dá-tà dà*  
 hyena INTERJ SEQ put-ALL-REF PREP  
*sígà kà v-ì-n-tá vú gúk mìstá-ní*  
 pot SEQ put-AWAY-3-REF fire a lot under-3SG  
 'And then he gathered the children of Hyena, put them in the pot,  
 and put a lot of fire under it.'
- (23) *mbàd' ká mbízà kà dà-tà [->kàddàtà] mbúúlùk*  
 then COMP bean dish SEQ cook-REF very well  
 'Then the bean dish cooked very well.'
- (24) *kfá-kú-á-tán tà víxá-p-tá vərə mbàd'*  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3PL PREP sift-OUT-REF beans then  
*ká-xòn kà wà-dá-p-tá tsá vərə*  
 COMP-3PL SEQ take.PL-ALL-OUT-REF DEF beans  
*yá dzághà*  
 DEM home  
 'When they finished sifting the beans, they brought them home.'
- (25) *màgú-lú kà dá tsghá tsá vərə yá tù*  
 make-UH SEQ PURP send DEF beans DEM PREP  
*ùbú mbàd' ká pákáwá ghúvì kà tx-áy*  
 small granary then COMP hyena SEQ expel-PO  
*kà zlay ná z-ú-má mbízà kùrúkù*  
 SEQ COMP COMP eat-SO-1PL bean dish first  
 'Before sending the beans up to the granary, Hyena said: "Let us  
 first eat the bean dish."''

- (26) *mbàd' ká-lù kà pghà-gá-p-tá mbízá*  
 then COMP-UH SEQ pour-INN-OUT-REF bean dish  
 'Then, the bean dish was poured out and brought.'
- (27) *mbàd' ká pákává ghúvì kày kà klà-á-tà*  
 then COMP hyena INTERJ SEQ take-PART-REF  
*ngá zwàn-à-ní*  
 FOR child:PL-GEN-3SG  
 'Hyena took some of it for his children.'
- (28) *mbàd' ká-xèn tà má kà dghàd'-áy*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ chew-PO  
 'And now they are chewing it [the beans].'
- (29) *dghàd'-áy-tàn dghàd'-áy-tàn mbàd' ká kri*  
 chew-PO-3PL chew-PO-3PL then COMP dog  
*kà klà-gá-f-tá ìr-á zwáŋ-á pákává ghúvì*  
 SEQ take-INN-UP-REF eye-GEN child-GEN hyena  
 'While they were chewing it, Dog picked up an eye of a child of Hyena.'
- (30) *ìr-á-w ná nà dá ká-'á d'áwà-n-tà*  
 eye-GEN-who DEM Q father COMP-3SG ask-3-REF  
*dà tsí*  
 PREP 3SG  
 '“Whose eye is this, Father?” he asked him.'
- (31) *ó ìr-á dzàgùlámà d-ú'ú bá*  
 INTERJ eye-GEN large white beans father-1DU HYP  
*mántsá ká pákává ghúvì*  
 like that COMP hyena  
 '“Oh, perhaps this is the eye of the white beans of our father,”  
 said Hyena.'
- (32) *zá á zwáŋ tá tsá wà ká-'á kà*  
 eat NEG child OBJ DEF NEG COMP-3SG SEQ  
*klá-úgh-tà kà f-ù-d-ú-tà*  
 take-D:SO-REF SEQ put-SO-ALL-EP-REF  
 '“Children do not eat it,” he [Hyena] said [and he] took it and ate  
 it up.' (lit. 'put it in himself')

- (33) *sl-á-w ná nà dá ká kri*  
 leg-GEN-who DEM Q father COMP dog  
*klà-gá-f-tá slá zwàn-à pákává ghúvi*  
 take-INN-UP-REF leg child:PL-GEN hyena  
 ‘Dog picked up a leg of Hyena’s children and asked: “Whose leg is this, Father?”’
- (34) *ó ghàláká ká vərə d-ú’ú ghàlyá tà*  
 INTERJ like this COMP beans father-1DU earlier IMPF  
*yá-kú ndá slá ndá slá [tsá]*  
 yield-ABS ASSC leg ASSC leg DEM  
 ‘“Oh, it is like this: The beans of our forefathers earlier were born with feet.”’
- (35) *zá á zwàn-i tá tsá wà ngá mndó rxà*  
 eat NEG child-PL OBJ DEF NEG FOR person good  
*tsá ká á kà klá-úgh-tà kà*  
 DEF COMP 3SG SEQ take-D:SO-REF SEQ  
*f-ù-dú-tà*  
 put-SO-ALL-REF  
 ‘“Children do not eat it. It is for respectable persons,” he said, and he took it and ate it.’
- (36) *mbàd’ ká-xòn tà má kà hl-i tsghá vərə*  
 then COMP-3PL finally SEQ go-REF put up beans  
*tà ùbú*  
 PREP small granary  
 ‘Finally they went to put the beans into the granary.’
- (37) *tsghà-dá-f xáxòn tá sàni lá-ghà-ní*  
 put up-ALL-UP 3PL OBJ one go-D:PVG-3SG  
*mbàd’ ká kà tsghà-dá-f-tá sàni zlíbí*  
 then COMP SEQ send-ALL-UP-REF one bag  
 ‘After they sent one bag, then they sent another.’

- (38) *ngà-ná-ngá rí ngà-ná á wà á ná*  
 hold-DEM-hold Q hold-ADD NEG NEG 3SG DEM  
*pákáwá ghúvì nà ká-’á kà zl-í-n-tá-tsí*  
 hyena Q COMP-3SG SEQ leave-AWAY-3-REF-3SG  
*kà ghwáyá-úgh-tà*  
 SEQ run-SO-REF  
 ‘“Did Hyena grab it or not?” he thought. He left [a bag] and ran away.’ (Dog intends to run away. In order to do that he needs to keep Hyena busy. One of the means to do so is to have Hyena try to grab the bag with the beans.)
- (39) *mbàd’ ká-’á kà xwáyá-úgh-tà lá-ghú dà*  
 then COMP-3SG SEQ run-SO-REF go-D:SO PREP  
*zwán-ì*  
 child-PL  
 ‘Then he fled and he went to his children.’
- (40) *tà tsgħ-áy tsgħ-áy-ká rí krí ká*  
 IMPF send-PO send-PO-2SG Q dog COMP  
*pákáwá ghúvì ná*  
 hyena DEM  
 ‘“Dog, are you sending them up?” said Hyena.’

### 3. *ghùz-á dùxwál* ‘Beer of Adulthood’

The ceremony *ghùz-á dùxwál* ‘beer of adulthood’ was characterized by one of the language assistants as a “pre-initiation.” The text contains some borrowings from Fula (Ful.) and Hausa (Hau.). A few sentences show an unintentional shift in subject from third to second and even to first person. Guinea corn beer is the most important alcoholic drink, used daily as well as for celebrations. Hence the shift from “beer” to “celebration”.

- (1) *ghùz-á dùxwál tà fúfàkú mándá*  
 beer-GEN adult IMPF begin (Ful.) when  
*mtá-tá dá-ní mà mndú*  
 death-REF father-3SG PREP man  
 ‘The beer of adulthood begins after the death of one’s father.’

- (2) *yáwà mtá tá dá-ní mà mindú tà kúm-ày*  
 well death PREP father-3SG PREP man IMPF want-PO  
*tá màrà-n-tà kà zlày ná ndá gl-íyù*  
 OBJ show-3-REF SEQ COMP COMP STAT grow-1SG  
*támá*  
 already  
 ‘Well, the death of one’s father would mean that he is already an adult.’
- (3) *ngá dà-gá-ghà-tà índà grá-xà-ní*  
 NORM cook-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ all friend-PL-3SG  
*tá ghzú*  
 OBJ beer  
 ‘All of his friends should cook beer and bring it there.’
- (4) *ngá fá-m-tà dàgà gítà ndá glá-ká*  
 NORM put-IN-REF:SUBJ PREP today STAT grow-2SG  
 ‘From that day on he should be considered an adult person.’ (lit. ‘from that day they will call you an adult person’)
- (5) *ngá zá tá tsá vákú yá*  
 NORM eat OBJ DEM year DEM  
 ‘He should wait out this year.’ (In the next sentence the speaker corrects himself.)
- (5a) *ngá zá-tà-ní tá vákú xìs*  
 NORM eat-REF-3SG OBJ year two  
 ‘He should wait two years.’
- (6) *tà xúl-á vákú xìs ngá pgh-ày-ní tá*  
 PREP back-GEN year two NORM pour-PO-3SG OBJ  
*pghù*  
 libation  
 ‘After two years he should pour a libation.’ (i.e. proceed with the initiation)

- (7) *pghù tsá yá dzà'á màrà-n-tá*  
 libation DEF DEM FUT show-3-REF  
*snà-n-tà-ní tá dàdá-xà-ní ndá mtá dàgà*  
 know-3-REF-3SG OBJ father-PL-3SG STAT dead PREP  
*dá-ní dá-ní mà dá-ní dá-ní mà*  
 father-3SG father-3SG PREP father-3SG father-3SG PREP  
*dá-ní xá gùlú*  
 father-3SG until Gulu  
 'It is the libation that will make him know his dead ancestors, back to Gulu.'
- (8) *bàdú pghù dzà'á hlí'yá-f-hlí'yá màràkw kà*  
 day initiation FUT get up-UP-get up woman SEQ  
*lá-úgh-í dà lá dá-ní*  
 go-SO-REF PREP COLL father-3SG  
 'On the day of the initiation the wife will get up and go to her family.'
- (9) *tà skálú-lú tá skálú gírvidìk*  
 IMPF dance-UH OBJ dance night  
 'One dances all night, and . . .'
- (10) *tà xúl-á skálú dzà'á sá-ghà-sá màràkw*  
 PREP back-GEN dance FUT arrive-D:PVG-arrive woman  
*gá sèrdák ndá lá dá-ní*  
 PREP morning ASSC COLL father-3SG  
 'After the dance the woman will come in the morning, together with her parents.'
- (11) *dzà'á sá-ghà-sá-xèn ndá wùdà ndá*  
 FUT arrive-D:PVG-arrive-3PL ASSC big pot ASSC  
*sígà*  
 smaller pot  
 'They will come with a *wùdà* and *sígà* of beer.' (Another version states that they bring beer and guinea corn.)
- (12) *ngá lá-mà-ní ndá tà*  
 NORM enter-IN-3SG ASSC PREP  
*zlàngwàdák*  
 back entrance broken in the wall  
 'She should enter through the back of the compound.'



- (13) *lá-m-à-ní ndá tà zlàṅwàd'ák*  
 go-IN-GEN-3SG ASSC PREP back entrance  
*ngá lá-m-à-ní hlà-ná-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-IN-GEN-3SG search-DEM-D:PVG-REF  
*zè'ál-á-tàn*  
 husband-GEN-3PL  
 'Having entered through the back of the compound, she should find her husband.'
- (14) *ngá sá-bà tsá m̀ndú yá j̀b̀ìl ndá*  
 NORM arrive-OUT DEM man DEM outdoors ASSC  
*lg̀ùt-á ngrá tà vghá-ní*  
 cloth-GEN black PREP body-3SG  
 'The man should come out wearing black clothes.'
- (15) *ngá xwáyá-ní ndá zèṅ-zèṅ*  
 NORM run-3SG ASSC curved knife  
 'He should run around with a curved knife.'
- (16) *ngá lá-bà m̀ndú-xà ksà-gá-ghà-tà*  
 NORM go-OUT man-PL catch-INN-D:PVG-REF:SUBJ  
 'People should go out, catch him, and bring him back.'

#### 4. skál-á hlà 'Festivity of the Bull'

- (1) *skál-á hlà tà nw-áy-lú tá hlà v̀àkú*  
 festivity-GEN bull IMPF fatten-PO-UH OBJ bull year  
*x̀ìs kwá x̀kón*  
 two or three  
 'Festivity of the bull: One fattens the bull for two or three years.'  
 (skálú-á hlà → [skálá hlà])
- (2) *ngá skál-áy ndá lúwá tá b̀ìdá*  
 NORM dance-PO ASSC sky PREP small millet  
*déy déy fitík-á imí*  
 exactly (Ful.) time-GEN rain  
 'One should celebrate during the year of the millet, and only during the rainy season.' (Hdi plant guinea corn one year and millet and beans the next year. This practice allows regeneration of the soil and protection against weeds, especially strega.)

- (3) *tà xúl-á skál-á tá hlà mà mú tàlá*  
 PREP back-GEN dance-GEN COM bull exist exorcise  
*zàṅwá*  
 demon  
 ‘In addition to the festivity of the bull there is the exorcising of demons.’
- (4) *tàlá zàṅwá tsá yá tá màrà-n-tá*  
 exorcise demon DEF DEM COM show-3-REF  
*xlá-g-í-n-tá índà ghwáḍàk-á skwì mà*  
 gather-INN-AWAY-3-REF all bad-GEN thing PREP  
*xgá yá*  
 home DEM  
 ‘It is *tàlá zàṅwá* that shows that one has chased away all the bad things from the compound.’

## 5. Work for Squirrel’s In-laws

This story, told by Njidda Kassie Abel on July 1, 1994, is a traditional Hdi folktale. In addition to the main character, Squirrel, it usually features twelve other animals. In the version presented here, the order of events departs from the usual order with respect to one character, Zinga, the mythical king of animals. Zinga is considered a paragon of nastiness, hence the expression “nasty as Zinga”. The story contains a few mistakes that were corrected, either immediately or later, by the narrator or by other speakers who collaborated in the analysis. We have retained both the mistakes and the corrections because of their linguistic and literary interest. The transcription is phonemic after the application of morphophonological rules. A comma in the Hdi text indicates a pause.

- (1) *mà sán-à fitík mbàḍ ká yàghí*  
 PREP one-GEN day then COMP squirrel  
*kà xág-á mndú ngá*  
 SEQ invite:PL-GEN people FOR  
*dzà’á dá vàghú mù vwàx-á-ní*  
 go PURP work PREP field-GEN-3SG  
 ‘One day Squirrel got into inviting people to work in the field of his in-laws.’ (*vàghá* ‘spend the day’; *vàghú mà vwàx* ‘collective work in the field’. Can be done at the field of one’s in-laws, as part of the payment for a wife. The preposition *mà* is realized as *mù* under the influence of the following labial consonant.)

- (2) *tántán mbàd' ká kà xgà-n-tá vàzák*  
 first then COMP SEQ call-3-REF rooster  
 'First he invited Rooster.'
- (3) *lá-ghà-ní xgà-n-tá vàzák ká vàzák ndá*  
 go-D:PVG-3SG call-3-REF rooster COMP rooster ASSC  
*tsì mántsá tà dzà-í àmá yàgh-ká*  
 3SG COMP IMPF go-1SG but should not-2SG  
*xgà-n-tá ùvá ká-'á*  
 call-3-REF cat COMP-3SG  
 'When he went to invite Rooster, Rooster answered him, "I will go, but you should not invite Cat," he said.'
- (4) *xgà-n-tì í tá ùvá wà ká yàghí*  
 call-3-REF NEG:1SG OBJ cat NEG COMP squirrel  
 '“I am not going to invite Cat,” said Squirrel.'
- (5) *tò, kà hlí'yá-f-tá-tsí gà vàzák*  
 well, SEQ leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP rooster  
*kà lá-ghá-tsí dà ùvá [→wvá]*  
 SEQ go-D:GO-3SG PREP cat  
 'Well, he left the Rooster's [household] and went to Cat.'
- (6) *ká-'á ndá ùvá mántsá kèdákèdák tà*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC cat COMP please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà-'á dá*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PURP  
*vàghú mù vwàx-á-dá ká-'á ndá ùvá*  
 work collectively PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG ASSC cat  
 'He said to Cat: "I beg you to go and work in the field of my in-laws."'
- (7) *ká ùvá mántsá má sí tà dzá-í*  
 COMP cat COMP HYP PAST IMPF go-1SG  
*mndán ná á dúŋ à ká dá xgà-n-tá*  
 but DEM COND except 2SG FUT call-3-REF  
*kri ká ùvá*  
 dog COMP cat  
 'Cat answered, "I might go, but on condition that you do not invite Dog." (lit. 'but will you invite a dog?')

- (8) *ká yàghí mántsá xgà-n-tì í tá krì*  
 COMP squirrel COMP call-3-REF NEG:1SG OBJ dog  
*wà k'-á*  
 NEG COMP-3SG  
 'Squirrel answered that he would not invite Dog.'
- (9) *tà xúl-á hlyá-f-tà-ní gà ùvá*  
 PREP back-GEN leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP cat  
*kà lá-úgh-tsí xgà-n-tá krì*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-3SG call-3-REF dog  
 'Having left Cat, he went to invite Dog.'
- (10) *k'á ndá krì mántsá vàghú mù*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC dog COMP collective work PREP  
*vwàx-á-dá màxsim kèdákèdák tà kúm-ày-í*  
 field-GEN-1SG tomorrow please PREP like-PO-1SG  
*tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá tsá vàghú*  
 OBJ 2SG FOR go PREP DEF collective work  
*mù vwàx-yá ká-á ndá krì*  
 PREP field-DEM COMP-3SG ASSC dog  
 'He said to Dog: "Tomorrow there is work at my in-laws'. I would like you to go for this work."
- (11) *ká kèr mántsá má tà dzà-í mndán*  
 COMP dog COMP PREP PREP go-1SG but  
*ná súṅà ká dá xgà-n-tá pákává ghúvì,*  
 DEM except 2SG FUT call-3-REF hyena  
*ká krì*  
 COMP dog  
 'Dog replied, "I will go, on condition that you do not invite Hyena."
- (12) *à kà tà dzà'á kághá nà*  
 INTERJ SEQ IMPF go 2SG DEM  
*xgà-n-tì í tá pákává ghúvì*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ NEG:1SG OBJ hyena  
*wà ká yàghí ndá tsì*  
 NEG COMP squirrel ASSC 3SG  
 "If you will go, I will not invite Hyena," Squirrel said to him.'

- (13) *yáwà hlyá-f-tá-ní gáaa,*  
 well (Hau.) leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP  
*gà kɾì kà lá-úgh-tsí dà pákáwá ghúvì*  
 PREP dog SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP hyena  
 'Well, when he left Dog, he went to Hyena.'
- (14) *ká'-á ndá pákáwá ghúvì mántsá kódákdák*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC hyena COMP please  
*vàghú mù vwàx-á-dá màxtsím*  
 spend the day PREP field-GEN-1SG tomorrow  
*ká'-á ndá pákáwá ghúvì*  
 COMP-3SG ASSC hyena  
 'He said to Hyena, "There is work tomorrow at my in-laws'."
- (15) *ká pákáwá ghúvì mántsá má sí tà dzà-í*  
 COMP hyena COMP HYP PAST PREP go-1SG  
*dúŋà ká dá xgà-n-tá zíngá ká*  
 except 2SG FUT call-3-REF zinga COMP  
*pákáwá ghúvì ndá yàghí*  
 hyena ASSC squirrel  
 '“I would like to go, on condition that you do not invite Zinga,”  
 Hyena said to Squirrel.’ (*zíngá* ‘mythical king of animals’. Here the  
 storyteller mistakenly introduces Zinga instead of some other  
 animal larger than the hyena but smaller than the next animal in the  
 hierarchy.)
- (16) *ká yàghí mántsá xgà-n-tì-í tá*  
 COMP squirrel COMP call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ  
*zíngá wà kà dz-ù-dzà kághá ná*  
 zinga NEG COND go-SO-go 2SG DEM  
*xgà-n-tì-í tá zíngá wà*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ zinga NEG  
*ká pákáwá ghúvì*  
 COMP hyena [error]  
 'Squirrel answered, “I will not invite Zinga. If you show up, I will  
 not invite Zinga, said Hyena [error].’
- (17) *tà xúl-á hlyá-f-tà-ní gà zíngá gà*  
 PREP after-GEN leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP Zinga PREP  
*pákáwá ghúvì kà lá-ghú-tsí dà zíngá*  
 hyena SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP Zinga  
 'Having left Zinga [error] Hyena [correction], he went to Zinga.'

- (18) *lá-ghà-ní dà zíngá mántsá lá-ghà-ní*  
 go-D:PVG-3SG PREP Zinga COMP go-D:PVG-3SG  
*dà zíngá mántsá, kèdákèdák tà kúm-ày-í*  
 PREP Zinga COMP please IMPF like-PO-1SG  
*tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú mà*  
 OBJ 2SG FOR go PREP work collectively PREP  
*vwàx-á-dá ká ndá zinga*  
 field-GEN-1SG COMP ASSC Zinga  
 'Having arrived at Zinga's, he said, "Please, I would like you to come work in the field of my in-laws."
- (19) *má tà dzà-í ná dúṅà ká dá*  
 HYP IMPF go-1SG DEM except 2SG FUT  
*xgà-n-tá gwì'yán*  
 call-3-REF elephant  
 "I will go, on the condition that you do not invite Elephant."
- (20) *xgà-n-tì-í tá gwì'yán wà kà*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ elephant NEG COND  
*dz-ú-dzá kághá ná xgà-n-tà-ì*  
 go-SO-go 2SG DEM call-3-REF-1SG  
*tá gwì'yán wà ká-'á*  
 OBJ elephant NEG COMP-3SG  
 "I will not invite Elephant. If you show up, I will not invite Elephant," he said.'
- (21) *hlyá-f-tà-ní gà zíngá kà lá-ghú-tsí*  
 leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP Zinga SEQ go-D:SO-3SG  
*dà gwì'yán*  
 PREP elephant  
 'Having left Zinga, he went to Elephant.'
- (22) *lá-ghà-ní dà gwì'yán kèdákèdák tà*  
 go-D:PVG-3SG PREP elephant please IMPF  
*kúm-ày-í tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú mà*  
 like-PO-1SG OBJ 2SG FOR go PREP work PREP  
*vwàx-á-dá ká ndá gwì'yán*  
 field-GEN-1SG COMP ASSC elephant  
 'Having arrived at Elephant's, he said, "Please, I would like you to come to work in the field of my in-laws."

- (23) *má tà dzà-í mndán ná dúṅà ká dzà'á*  
 HYP IMPF go-1SG but COMP except 2SG FUT  
*xgà-n-tá rvéí ká gwì'yán ndá yàghí*  
 call-3-REF lion COMP elephant ASSC squirrel  
 "I would go, on the condition that you do not invite Lion," Elephant said to Squirrel.'
- (24) *xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wà kà*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ lion NEG SEQ  
*dz-ù-gù-dú-dú-s-dzá kághá nà*  
 go-SO-INN-ALL-1SG-INV-go 2SG DEM  
*xgà-n-tì-í tá rvér wà ká-á*  
 call-3-REF:SUBJ-1SG OBJ lion NEG COMP-3SG  
 "I will not invite Lion. If you come there for me, I will not invite Lion," he said.'
- (25) *tà xúl-á xlyá-f-tà-ní gà gwì'yán*  
 PREP after leave-UP-REF-3SG PREP elephant  
*kà lá-ghú-tsí dà rvéí*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-3SG PREP lion  
 'Having left elephant, he went to Lion.' (*rvéí* 'lion' and 'king', e.g. *rvèrá-dá* 'my king')
- (26) *yáwà ká-á ndá rvéí mántsá tà kúm-ày-í*  
 well COMP-3SG ASSC lion COMP IMPF like-PO-1SG  
*tá kághá ngá dzà'á dá vàghú*  
 OBJ 2SG NORM go PREP collective work  
*mà vwàx-á-dá ká-á.*  
 PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG  
 "Well," he said to Lion, "I would like you to come work in the field of my in-laws."
- (27) *tà dzà-í ká rvéí*  
 IMPF go-1SG COMP lion  
 "I will go," said Lion.'

- (28) *tà xúl-á ngàtsá-f-tà-ní tá mindó-xà*  
 PREP after-GEN gather-UP-REF-3SG OBJ man-PL  
*vàghú mà vwàx-á-dá ká'-á*  
 work collectively PREP field-GEN-1SG COMP-3SG  
*kà xlyá-f-tú-lú kà lá-ghú-lú*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF-UH SEQ go-D:SO-UH  
 'Having gathered people, he said, "There is work at my in-laws'."  
 Each got up and went.'
- (29) *túngìl vázák tá lá-ghà tántán*  
 first rooster COM go-D:PVG first  
 'It is Rooster that arrived first.'
- (30) *kà lá-ghá vázák ndzďà-vá-tà vázák*  
 SEQ go-D:GO rooster remain-APPL-REF:SUBJ rooster  
*tà xvá tà nghó-tsí ná kà sá-ghá*  
 PREP work IMPF see-3SG DEM SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*ùvá*  
 cat  
 'Rooster came. After having done some work, he sees Cat coming.'
- (31) *kàbgà wú kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 because Q take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá kày yàghá ká xgà-n-tá ùvá*  
 cat INTERJ should not 2SG call-3-REF cat  
*ká-ì [kí-í] kày-ní nîà kól-ká kà xgà-n-tá*  
 COMP-1SG Q-RHET why take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF  
*ùvá ká-á ndá yàghí*  
 cat COMP-3SG ASSC Squirrel  
 "Why did you invite Cat, despite the fact that you should not invite Cat, as I told you?" he said to Squirrel.'
- (32) *àw, lá-m-là dá dífà-ùgh-tà*  
 INTERJ go-IN-go PREP hide-SO-REF  
*xàdí yà ká-'á ndá vázák*  
 here DEM COMP-3SG ASSC rooster  
 ' "Oh, go hide yourself here," he said to Rooster.'
- (33) *kà lá-ghú vázák dífà-úgh-tà*  
 SEQ go-D:SO rooster hide-SO-REF  
 'And Rooster went and hid himself.'



- (34) *sá-ghà* *ùvá* *xvà-n-tà* *ùvá* *tá* *xvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG cat work-3-REF cat OBJ work  
*kítikw lá-m-là dá nghá-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 a little go-IN-go PURP look-REF PREP hibiscus  
*xàdí yà bá ká'-á ndá ùvá*  
 here DEM HYP COMP-3M ASSC cat  
 'When Cat arrived, and after he worked little, he [Squirrel] said to him [Cat], "Enter in this hibiscus and eat what is inside." (a saying that means: go and eat what is there)
- (35) *kà lá-m ùvá hlà-ná-ghá-tá vázák tá*  
 SEQ enter-IN cat find-DEM-D:GO-REF rooster COM  
*dífa-ùgh-tà mà tùghwázàk*  
 hide-SO-REF PREP hibiscus  
 'When Cat entered, he found Rooster, who had hidden in the hibiscus.'
- (36) *kà ks-ú-tá ùvá tá vázák*  
 SEQ touch-SO-REF cat OBJ rooster  
 'And Cat devoured Rooster.'
- (37) *ndzèdà-vá-tà ùvá tà xvá*  
 last-APPL-REF cat PREP work  
*tà nghá-l ná kà sá-ghá kři*  
 IMPF see-UH DEM SEQ arrive-D:GO dog  
 'When Cat had spent some time working on the field, one sees Dog coming.'
- (38) *ká ùvá mántsá xgà ngú-ká tá kři*  
 COMP cat COMP invite why-2SG OBJ dog  
*kày*  
 INTERJ  
 'Cat says, "Why did you invite Dog?"'
- (39) *wíyà yàghá ká xgà-n-tá kèr*  
 there should not 2SG call-3-REF dog  
*kí-í kày ká ùvá ndá yàghí*  
 COMP-1SG INTERJ COMP cat ASSC Squirrel  
 "Didn't I tell you not to invite Dog?" Cat said to Squirrel.'

- (40) *ká yàghí mántsá, lá-m-là dífâ-ùgh-tà*  
 COMP Squirrel COMP go-IN-go hide-SO-REF  
*xàdí yà mà tùghwázàk xàdí yà bá*  
 here DEM PREP hibiscus here DEM please  
 ‘Squirrel said, “Go hide yourself here in the hibiscus, here in this very place, won’t you?”’
- (41) *kà lá-úgh-wí ùvá dífâ-ù-tà*  
 SEQ go-D:SO-REF cat hide-SO-REF  
*mà tùghwázàk*  
 PREP hibiscus  
 ‘And Cat went and hid in the hibiscus.’
- (42) *sá-ghà krì, ndzďâ-vá-tà krì tà xvá,*  
 arrive-D:PVG dog last-APPL-REF:SUBJ dog PREP work  
*kà ndá xərfá ká ná lá-m-là*  
 SEQ ASSC tiredness 2SG DEM go-IN-go  
*̀nghá-tà mà tùghwázàk xàdí yá yá*  
 see-REF:SUBJ PREP hibiscus here DEM DEM  
*ká yàghí ndá krì*  
 COMP squirrel ASSC dog  
 ‘When Dog came, after he had worked for some time, Squirrel said to Dog, “If you are tired, enter to see [something] in the hibiscus here.”’
- (43) *lá-mà krì dá xàd-á kà hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí*  
 go-IN dog PREP here-DEM SEQ find-DEM-D:GO-REF-3SG  
*t-’úvá*  
 OBJ-cat  
 ‘When Dog entered there, he found Cat.’
- (44) *kà ks-ú-tá krì t-’úvá*  
 SEQ touch-SO-REF dog OBJ-cat  
 ‘And Dog devoured Cat.’
- (45) *ndzďâ-vá-tà krì tà xvá, kà sá-ghá*  
 last-APPL-REF dog PREP work SEQ arrive-D:GO  
*pákáwá ghúvì*  
 hyena  
 ‘After Dog had worked for some time, Hyena came.’

- (46) *ká krè mántsá xgà ngú ká tá*  
 COMP dog COMP invite why 2SG OBJ  
*pákáwá ghúvì kày tàamá*  
 hyena INTERJ after all  
 ‘Dog said, “Why did you invite Hyena [despite what I told you]?” (*tàamá* may be replaced by *gúlí*, with the same meaning.)
- (47) *kà dz-ú-dzá kághá ná xàd-í [error]*  
 SEQ agree-SO-agree 2SG DEM NEG-1SG  
*dzà’á xgá pákáwá ghúvì ká-ká kày,*  
 go call hyena COMP-2SG INTERJ  
*ká krì ndá yàghí*  
 COMP dog ASSC squirrel  
 ‘“If you accept, I will not invite Hyena,’ you told me,” Dog said to Squirrel.’
- (48) *ká yàgh mántsá dífà-ú-dífà xàdì yà ká*  
 COMP squirrel COMP hide-SO-hide here DEM COMP  
*yàghí*  
 squirrel  
 ‘Squirrel said: “Hide yourself here.”’
- (49) *sá-ghà pákáwá ghúvì ndzdâ-vá-tà pákáwá ghúvì*  
 arrive-D:PVG hyena last-APPL-REF hyena  
*tà xvá ká yàghí mántsá*  
 PREP work COMP squirrel COMP  
*lá-m-là dá xàd yà bá màá skwì*  
 go-IN-go PREP here DEM please exist thing  
*dzà’á hlà-ná-ghá-tà-ká xàdà ká-’á*  
 go find-DEM-D:GO-REF:SUBJ-2SG here COMP-3SG  
*ndá pákáwá ghúvì*  
 ASSC hyena  
 ‘When Hyena came, and after he worked for some time, Squirrel said to him: “Go in there, won’t you;, there is something that you are going to find there,” he told Hyena.’
- (50) *lá-mà pákáwá ghúvì dá xàdà mà tughwázàk*  
 go-IN hyena PREP here PREP hibiscus  
*kà hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí tá krì*  
 SEQ find-DEM-D:GO-REF-3SG OBJ dog  
 ‘When Hyena entered the hibiscus, he found Dog.’

- (51) *kà ks-ú-tá pákává ghúvì tá kɾì*  
 SEQ touch-SO-REF hyena OBJ dog  
 ‘Hyena devoured Dog.’
- (52) *sá-bà pákává ghúvì kà xvá*  
 arrive-OUT hyena SEQ work  
 ‘Having come out [of the hibiscus], Hyena worked.’
- (53) *ndzdâ-vá-tà pákává ghúvì tá xvá*  
 last-APPL-REF hyena PREP work  
*tà ñghá-tsó nà mbàd' ká zíngá kà*  
 IMPF see-3SG DEM then COMP Zinga SEQ  
*sá-ghà*  
 arrive-D:PVG  
 ‘Having worked for some time, he sees that Zinga is coming.’
- (54) *wá-'à mándá zíngá xgà ngú ká tá zíngá*  
 who-3SG like Zinga invite why 2SG OBJ Zinga  
*kày yàghá-ká xgà-n-tá zíngá k-í*  
 INTERJ should not-2SG call-3-REF Zinga COMP-1SG  
*kày ká-'á ndá yàghí*  
 INTERJ COMP-3SG ASSC squirrel  
 ‘“Who appears there like Zinga? Why did you invite Zinga?  
 Haven’t I told you not to invite Zinga?” he said to Squirrel.’
- (55) *ó, lá-m-lá dífâ-ù-tà xàd yà*  
 INTERJ go-IN-go hide-SO-REF here DEM  
*ká yàghí ndá pákává ghúvì*  
 COMP squirrel ASSC hyena  
 ‘“Oh, go hide yourself here,” Squirrel said to Hyena.’
- (56) *sá-ghà zíngá xvá-n-tà zíngá tá xvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG Zinga work-3-REF Zinga OBJ work  
*lá-m-là ñghá-tà xàd yà bá ká yàghí*  
 go-IN-go look-REF here DEM please COMP squirrel  
*ndá zíngá*  
 ASSC Zinga  
 ‘When Zinga arrived, he worked in the field. “Go look here,”  
 Squirrel said to him.’

- (57) *lá-mà zíngá dà tùghwázàk kà*  
 go-IN Zinga PREP hibiscus SEQ  
*hlà-ná-ghá-tá-tsí tá pákává ghúvì kà*  
 find-DEM-D:GO-REF-3SG OBJ hyena SEQ  
*ks-ú-tá-tsí*  
 touch-SO-REF-3SG  
 ‘When Zinga entered the hibiscus, he found Hyena and devoured him.’
- (58) *sá-bà zíngá kà xvá*  
 arrive-OUT Zinga SEQ work  
 ‘When Zinga got out, he worked.’
- (59) *ndzdà-vá-tà zíngá tà ñghé-tsí tà*  
 last-APPL-REF:SUBJ Zinga PREP see-3SG IMPF  
*sá-sà gwì’yán*  
 arrive-arrive elephant  
 ‘After Zinga has spent some time, he sees Elephant coming.’
- (60) *kàbgà-wú kál-ká kà xgà-n-tá gwì’yán*  
 why take-2SG SEQ call-3-REF elephant  
*kày yàghá-ká xgà-n-tá gwì’yán*  
 INTERJ should not-2SG call-3-REF elephant  
*k’-í ká zíngá ndá yàghí*  
 COMP-1SG COMP zinga ASSC squirrel  
 “‘Why did you invite Elephant? I told you not to invite Elephant,’  
 Zinga said to Squirrel.’
- (61) *lá-m-là dífà-ù-tà xàd yà ká yàghí*  
 go-IN-go hide-SO-REF here DEM COMP squirrel  
*ndá tsí*  
 ASSC 3SG  
 “‘Enter and hide yourself here,” Squirrel said to him.’

- (62) *kà sá-ghá gwì'yán ndzdà-vá-tà*  
 SEQ arrive-D:GO elephant pass time-APPL-REF  
*gwì'yán tà xvá*  
 elephant PREP work  
*kà ghùnà-dá-m-tá-tsí hlà-ná-ghá-tá zíngá*  
 SEQ send-ALL-IN-REF-3SG find-DEM-D:GO-REF Zinga  
*kà ks-ú-tá gwì'yán tá zíngá*  
 SEQ touch-SO-REF elephant OBJ Zinga  
 'After Elephant came, after he had worked some, he [Squirrel] sent him inside. He [Elephant] found Zinga, and Elephant devoured Zinga.'

- (63) *sá-bà gwì'yán kà ndzdà-vá-tà*  
 arrive-OUT elephant SEQ pass time-APPL-REF  
*tà xvá báts ndzdà gwì'yán ná*  
 PREP work little time spend time elephant DEM  
*kà nghó-tsí tà sá-ghá rvéré*  
 SEQ see-3SG IMPF arrive-D:GO lion  
 'After Elephant got out of the hibiscus and spent some time at work, Elephant sees Lion coming.'

- (64) *sá-ghà rvér ná á kàbgà wú kál-ká*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion COMP INTERJ why Q take-2SG  
*kà xgà-n-tá rvér kày [kè] wà á sá-ghà*  
 SEQ call-3-REF lion INTERJ there arrive-D:PVG  
*rvér tà má xgà ngú ká tá rvéré yàgh*  
 lion still invite why 2SG OBJ lion should not  
*ká xgà-n-tá rvér kí-'í kè [kày] ká*  
 2SG call-3-REF lion COMP-1SG INTERJ COMP  
*gwì'yán*  
 elephant  
 'While Lion was coming, Elephant said, "Why did you invite Lion, when I told you not to invite him? There is Lion coming, haven't I told you not to invite Lion?"'

- (65) *o, lá-m-là dífá-ù-tà xàd yá mà*  
 INTERJ go-IN-go hide-SO-REF here DEM PREP  
*tùghwázàk ká yàghí ndí tsí /ndá tsí/*  
 hibiscus COMP squirrel ASSC 3SG  
 "Oh, go and hide yourself here in the hibiscus," Squirrel said to him.'

- (66) *kà lá-m-tsí dífā-ù-tà*  
 SEQ go-IN-3SG hide-SO-REF  
 'And he went in and hid himself.'
- (67) *sá-ghà rvéré ndzdā-vá-tà rvéré tà xvá*  
 arrive-D:PVG lion last-APPL-REF lion PREP work  
*kà ghúnà-dá-m-tá yàghí tá rvéré*  
 SEQ send-ALL-IN-REF squirrel OBJ lion  
 'When Lion arrived and had worked for some time, Squirrel sent Lion in.'
- (68) *kà lá-m yàghí hlà-n-á-tá gwì'yán*  
 SEQ go-IN squirrel find-3-PVG-REF elephant  
 '[Lion] entered and found Elephant.' (should have been *rvéré*, not *yàghí*)
- (69) *kà wùdǎ-xèn tá wùdǎ*  
 SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight  
 'And they were fighting.'
- (70) *lává-ná á yàghí lává-ná á*  
 be able-DEM NEG squirrel be able-DEM NEG  
*tá gí ks-ú-tá gwì'yán wà*  
 COM immediately touch-SO-REF elephant NEG  
 'Squirrel [error: Lion] did not manage to devour Elephant immediately.'
- (71) *lává-ná á rvér tá gí ks-ú tá*  
 be able-3 NEG lion COM immediately touch-SO OBJ  
*gwì'yán wà kà wdǎ-xèn tá wdǎ, kà*  
 elephant NEG SEQ fight-PL OBJ fight SEQ  
*wdǎ-xèn tá wdǎ, kà wdǎ-xèn tá wdǎ, mù*  
 fight-PL OBJ fight SEQ fight-3PL OBJ fight PREP  
*vwàx-á mídz-á yàghí*  
 field-GEN mother-in-law-GEN Squirrel  
 'Lion does not manage to devour Elephant quickly. They fight, they fight, they fight, in the field of Squirrel's mother-in-law.'

- (72) *wùd-áy-tán tá wùdá mántsá ká yàghí*  
 fight-PO-3PL OBJ fight like that COMP squirrel  
*ndá-xèn mántsá mà wùdá-kùn tá wùdá*  
 ASSC-3PL COMP PROH fight-2PL OBJ fight  
*mà vwàx-á mídz-á-dá*  
 PREP field-GEN mother-in-law-GEN-1SG  
 ‘While they were fighting like that, Squirrel said to them, “Do not  
 fight in the field of my mother-in-law.”’
- (73) *gàvâ-dá-p-wá-gàvâ tà hlórpú ká-’á*  
 move-ALL-OUT-PL-move PREP side COMP-3SG  
*àsé (Hau.) lá-p-là tá vú mà xàdíík [error]*  
 while dig-OUT-dig OBJ fire PREP ground  
*tá vèl mà xàdíík kà và-m-tá vú*  
 OBJ place PREP ground SEQ light-IN-REF fire  
 ‘He said, “Move to the side,” while he dug up the hole in the  
 ground and lit the fire’
- (74) *kà gàvâ-dá-p-tá-xèn [kà yarp (Ful.)]*  
 SEQ move-ALL-OUT-REF-3PL [error]  
*kà gàvâ-dá-ná-p-tá-tsí tá xèn*  
 SEQ move-ALL-DEM-OUT-REF-3SG OBJ 3PL  
*kà gàvâ-dá-ná-p-tá-xèn hár kà*  
 SEQ move-ALL-DEM-OUT-REF-3PL until (Hau.) SEQ  
*lá-b-i tà ghóŋ-á tsá và tá vú*  
 go-OUT-REF PREP top-GEN DEM light OBJ fire  
*mà xàdíík yá kà ddâ-dá-tá-xèn*  
 PREP ground DEM SEQ fall-ALL-REF-3PL  
 ‘They moved, he pushed them, he pushed them, they moved to the  
 place where he had lit the fire in the ground, and they fell in.’
- (75) *dàgà rvéré, dàgà gwì’yán kà*  
 CONJ (Hau.) lion CONJ (Hau.) elephant SEQ  
*ddâ-dá-tá-xèn dà vú mà xàdíík*  
 fall-ALL-REF-3PL PREP fire PREP ground  
 ‘Lion and Elephant fell into the fire in the ground.’



- (76) *kà tsùà-gá-f-tá-tsí tàa, tàa, tàa, tá*  
 SEQ pull-INN-UP-REF-3SG OBJ OBJ OBJ OBJ  
*rvéré kà xná-tà kà xútá ngá dzà'á*  
 lion SEQ skin-REF as hide FOR go  
*skál-á-ní*  
 dance-GEN-3SG  
 'He [Squirrel] pulled up Lion and slaughtered it for its skin, so that he could go to the dance with [it (the skin)].'

- (77) *mántsá yá ká tsá púrkútú ndzúm-ní yá*  
 COMP DEM COMP DEM story-3M DEM  
*kúf-ú-tà ghàlyá mà tàbí ì yàghí*  
 finish-SO-REF earlier IN between DEM.PL squirrel  
*tá xágá mndú ngá dzà'á vagh mù*  
 COM invite:PL people FOR go common work PREP  
*vwàx-á mídz-á-ní*  
 field-GEN mother-in-law-GEN-3SG  
 'It is like that, that this story finished a long time ago between Squirrel and the people he invited to work in the field of his mother-in-law.'

- (78) *kfà-kú-á-n tsá xadà*  
 finish-ABS-GEN-3SG DEF here  
 'It ends here.'

- (79) *hládá-hlád-íyù*  
 stop-stop-1SG  
 'I stopped.'

## 6. Conversation between two speakers

- (1) *vaghà-vaghá-ká rà*  
 spend the day-spend the day-2SG Q  
 'did you pass the day well?' (a greeting in the afternoon/evening. The high tone on the subject pronoun codes the interrogative modality.)
- (2) *vaghà-vagh-í pràfé*  
 spend the day-spend the day-1SG Pràfé  
 'I passed the day well, Pràfé'

- (3) *kí vli sí lá-lá-ká dá xdí*  
 [Error] PAST go down-go down-2SG to Hdi  
*k-úl ná tà dghwáná vli gà xdí*  
 COMP-UH DEM IMPF be well area PREP Hdi  
 'I was told that you went to Hdi. Is Hdi OK?'
- (4) *tá dghwáná-lú ndá náná*  
 IMPF be well-UH PREP now  
*bá imí yá yà kùl xàdú sá-ghà*  
 except water DEM COP which:NEG lack arrive-D:PVG  
 'They are OK, except for rains that do not come.'
- (5) *xád im gà xdí wù kó*  
 NEG water in Hdi NEG Q (Hau.)  
 'So, there are no rains in Hdi?'
- (6) *xàdú imí wà* → [xàd-ím-ú wà]  
 lack water NEG  
 'There are no rains.'
- (7) *wà káx [error] àmá hlègà-f-hlègá-xèn tá*  
 how 2SG but plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ  
*hlègè ré àrí hlègà-f á wà xèn*  
 plant Q or plant-UP NEG NEG 3PL  
 'But did they plant or didn't they?'
- (8) *hlègà-f-hlègá-xèn tá hlègú àmá dīyá-f á*  
 plant-UP-plant-3PL OBJ plant but germinate-UP NEG  
*xìyá wù*  
 corn NEG  
 'They planted, but the corn did not germinate.'
- (9) *dīyá-f á wú*  
 germinate-UP NEG NEG  
 'It did not germinate?' (high tone on *wú* as marker of interrogative)
- (10) *fitúk dīyá-f á w . . .* [incomprehensible]  
 time germinate-UP NEG NEG  
 'since it did not germinate . . .'

- (11) *díyá-f-díyá-tsí*                      *yá*    *ná*    *àmá*    *tà*  
 germinate-UP-germinate-3SG    DEM    DEM    but    IMPF  
*ghúálá-kú ghúálá-kú*  
 dry-ABS    dry-ABS  
 'It has germinated; however, it dries up'
- (12) *tà ghúálá-kú é*  
 IMPF    dry-ABS    eh  
 'So it dries up?'
- (13) *kí gól*                      *míntghà*                      *tà*                      *dghwáná*  
 how    people (Mafa)    compound                      IMPF    be well  
*mà-má*                      *rkè*  
 mother-1PL.INCL    Q  
 'And how are things at home? Is your mother well?'
- (14) *ndá sn-í*                      *ká tsá marriage-xà*                      *yá*                      *tà*  
 STAT    hear-1SG    COMP    DEF    marriage (Fr.)-PL    DEM    IMPF  
*mággá-kú gà*                      *xdí ká-xèn*                      *mándí bángál-xà*  
 make-ABS    PREP    Hdi    COMP-3PL    like    marriage (Ful.)-PL  
*yá*  
 DEM  
 'I have heard that marriages are being made in Hdi.'
- (15) *tà mággá-kú á*                      *tsá wà*  
 IMPF    do-ABS    NEG    DEF    NEG  
 'It is being done, isn't it?'
- (16) *índà dimanche*                      *ná*                      *màmú marriage*                      *ndánà*  
 every    Sunday (Fr.)    COMP    exist    marriage    now  
 'Every Sunday there is a marriage now.'
- (17) *índà dimanche*                      *tà*                      *mággá-xèn*  
 every    Sunday    IMPF    do-3PL  
 'They do it every Sunday?'
- (18) *ə*  
 'Yes.'

(19) *xáǎú-lú* *tà* *mág-áy* *mándá tsá* *tà* *zlày*  
 NEG-UH IMPF do-PO like DEF PREP before  
*bàǎú lúmá gòzú lúmá gòz yá-w*  
 day market Gozu market Gozu DEM-NEG  
 'It is not done as before on Wednesdays?' (Wednesday = market day at Gosi in Nigeria)

(20) *tà* *mág-áy-xèn* *àmá ndá mà nìžéryà* *tà*  
 IMPF do-PO-3PL but ASSC IN Nigeria IMPF  
*mágú-lú bàǎ tsá*  
 do-UH day DEF  
 'They do it, but it is in Nigeria that they do it on those specific days.'

(21) *ndá mà nìžéryá*  
 ASSC N Nigeria:Q  
 'In Nigeria?'

(22) *ǎà*  
 'Yes'

(23) *tò, lá-lá-ká ndá gí*  
 O.K. go-go-2SG to compound (Mafa)  
*íŋ ní*  
 1PL.EXCL Q  
 'Did you go to our place?'

*à ní sná wá ká tá kòbàrà gwál*  
 or Q know NEG 2SG OBJ news (Ful.) people  
*gí íŋnì ná*  
 compound 1PL.EXCL Q  
 'Do you know any news about people from our compound?'

(24) *ǎxà-dá-gh-íyù tví tsá wà*  
 arrive-ALL-D:PVG-1SG road DEF NEG  
 'I did not get there.'

(25) *lá á ká ndá tà tsá wù*  
 go NEG 2SG ASSC PREP DEF NEG  
 'You did not go there?'

- (26) *lá-í wà*  
go-1SG NEG  
'I did not go.'
- (27) *kí vli tà bèrèk*  
how space PREP Berek  
'How are things at Berek?' (Berek < --- barrack [Eng.] adminis-  
trative quarter of town)
- (28) *tá dghwánà gól-xà tà bèrèk*  
COM be well people-PL PREP Berek  
'People at Berek are all well'
- (29) *tá tà tsk-áy-lú tá vghá*  
COM IMPF gather-PO-UH OBJ body  
*tà hldày mántsá ghàlyá rà [ghàlyé rà]*  
PREP often like once Q  
'Do people gather as they used to?'
- (30) *tà tsk-áy-xèn dé ← dái (Hau.)*  
IMPF gather-PO-3PL indeed  
'They gather indeed.'
- (31) *tà tsk-áy-xón wà*  
IMPF gather-PO-3PL NEG  
'They gather, don't they?'
- (32) *èè*  
'Yes.'
- (33) *tá tà d-ày-lú tá skwì xàdà kày rá*  
COM IMPF cook-PO-UH OBJ thing there still Q  
'Do they still cook over there?'
- (34) *tà d-ày-lú*  
IMPF cook-PO-UH  
'They cook.'
- (34) *tà d-ày-lú é*  
IMPF cook-PO-UH Q  
'They do cook, don't they?'

- (35) *àmá ná sná-xən tá d-ày góngàgóngà*  
 but DEM know-3PL OBJ cook-PO well (Ful.)  
*wù*  
 NEG:Q  
 'But they do not know how to cook very well.'

- (36) *sná-xən wà*  
 know:NEG-3PL NEG  
 'They do not know.'

- (37) *sná-xən wá*  
 know:NEG-3PL NEG:Q  
 'They do not know?'

- (38) *èè*  
 'Yes.'

- (39) *àmá káy*  
 but INTERJ  
 'But well.'

## 7. Wives of a Chief

- (1) *mì-á m̀ghám*  
 wives-GEN chief  
 'Wives of a chief.'

- (2) *màmú sà̀n m̀ghám tá klá-f-tá mà̀ràkw xis*  
 exist certain chief COM take-UP-REF wife two  
 'There was a chief who married two wives.'

- (3) *tsá myí-xà yá ná tà d̀v-áy tá yà*  
 DEF wives-PL DEM COMP IMPF like-PO OBJ DEM  
*tùrtúk d̀và á tá yà tùrtúk-ù*  
 one like NEG OBJ DEM one-NEG  
 'Among these wives there, he likes one and does not like the other.'

- (4) *kà yà-tá tsá myí-xà yá tá zwán-i*  
 SEQ give birth-REF DEF wives-PL DEM OBJ child-PL  
 'And these wives gave birth.'

- (5) *tsá* [PAUSE] *tsá* *màràkw* *kùl* *ǀvǀá-tsí* *yá*  
 DEF DEF woman REL:NEG like -3SG DEM  
*tsá* *yá* *tá* *yà-tá* *zón* *kà* *mǀndú*  
 DEF DEM COM give birth-REF son SEQ man  
*yà* *dágálá*  
 DEM big  
 ‘The wife that he did not like gave birth to a son, and he became a great personality.’
- (6) *kà* *nzà-tá* *tsá* *zwáŋ-á-ní* *yá* *kà* *mǀghám*  
 SEQ be-REF DEF son-GEN-3SG DEM as chief  
 ‘Her son became a chief.’
- (7) *kà* *lá-ú-tsi* *dà* *sáni* *lúwá* *kà*  
 SEQ go-SO-3SG PREP certain village SEQ  
*nzà-tá-tsí* [*kà*] *mà* *tsá* *lúwá* *yà* *kà*  
 become-REF-3SG [error] in DEF village DEM as  
*mǀndú* *dágálá*  
 man important  
 ‘He went to a certain village and he stayed there as an important person.’
- (8) *kà* *zl-í-n-tá* *tsá* *mǀghám* *yá* [*tá*  
 SEQ chase-AWAY-3-REF DEF chief DEM OBJ  
*zón-á-ní*] *tá* *tsá* *màrkw-á-tàn* *yá*  
 child-GEN-3SG [error] OBJ DEF wife-GEN-3PL DEM  
 ‘The chief chased away his wife.’ (The use of the third-person plural possessive pronoun with low tone is a coding means to indicate that the term *màràkw* refers to his wife rather than, “their wife”, which would have been also socially impossible.)
- (9) *zl-í-n-tà-ní* *tá* *tsá* *màràkw* *yá*  
 chase-AWAY-REF:SUBJ-3SG OBJ DEF wife DEM  
*mán* *tsá* *mbàd* *ká-’á* *kà* *nzà-tá* *mándá*  
 like DEF then COMP-3SG SEQ become like  
*xáláwáy*  
 mad  
 ‘After he chased the wife, he became almost mad.’ (The original narrator translated the last clause as ‘she became almost mad’. Other speakers insist that the clause is ambiguous).

- (10) *mà sán fitík [kà sà-ghà tsì]*  
 N another time SEQ arrive-D:PVG 3SG  
*kà sá-ghá tsá zón-á-ní yà*  
 SEQ arrive-D:GO DEF child-GEN 3SG DEM  
 ‘One day that son of his returned.’
- (11) *gá mà-d’á nà ká á*  
 where mother-1SG Q COMP 3SG  
 ‘“Where is my mother?” he said.’ (The high tone on the possessive suffix *d’á* is a result of the interrogative coding on the penultimate syllable.)
- (12) *xá-d’á má-ghá tá mà ná xgá ná*  
 NEG mother-2SG COM IN DEM house DEM  
*wù ká-lù*  
 NEG COMP-UH  
 ‘“Your mother is not in this house,” he was told.’
- (13) *gá tsí nà ká-’á*  
 where 3SG Q COMP:3SG  
 ‘“Where is she?” he asked.’
- (14) *zl-í-n-zlá-lú [xá mà-ghà]*  
 chase-AWAY-3-chase-UH not to be mother-2SG  
*xà-d’ú gà íŋní wù ká-’á [xá-gì núù ká]*  
 not to be with 1PL NEG COMP-3SG  
 ‘“She has been chased away, she is not with us,” he was told.’
- (15) *ngà-ná-tà-ní kà zlày [ná] zwàn-á-d’á*  
 see-3-REF:SUBJ-3SG SEQ COMP DEM child-GEN-1SG  
*yà ká-’á ná*  
 DEM COMP-3SG DEM  
 ‘Having seen that it was his child’ (lit. ‘this is my child’)
- (16) *ká tsá m̀ghám yá kàyná dzà’á*  
 COMP DEF chief DEM therefore FUT  
*vrà-gá-nà tá tsá màrk-w-íín-yú ká-’á*  
 return-INN-3 OBJ DEF wife-1PL-1SG COMP-3SG  
 ‘The chief said: “I will make our wife return.”’



## 8. How a Bat Wooed a Girl

- (1) bat (variant) PREP pigeon SEQ go PURP woe  
*dà mákwà*  
 PREP girl  
 'I am going to tell a story about the loan that a bat got from a pigeon in order to woo a girl.'
- (2) *mà sán-à fitúk kà hlí'ya-f-tà kúkù dà*  
 PREP one-GEN day SEQ leave-UP-REF pigeon PREP  
*ghárbú kà hlí'ya-f-tà kà dzà'á dá tsá*  
 cuckoo SEQ leave-UP-REF SEQ go PURP borrow  
*ndzáwá*  
 loan  
 'One day pigeon got up and went to the bird [error] to get a loan.'
- (3) *kà hlí'ya-f-tá zvàxw kà d'áwá-f-tá ntfàn*  
 SEQ leave-UP-REF bat SEQ ask-UP-REF glue  
*d'áwá-f-xà-tá d'àwrà*  
 ask-UP-ALSO-REF cloth  
 'The bat left and asked for glue and also for clothing'
- (4) *d'áwá-f-xà tá múdúbí d'áwá-f-xà-tá*  
 ask-UP-ALSO OBJ eyeglasses (Hau.) ask-UP-ALSO-REF  
*bábàx ngá dzà'á dà mákwà*  
 shoes FOR go PREP girl  
 'He asked for eyeglasses and he also asked for shoes in order to go to a girl.'
- (5) *tà xúlá lá-ghà-tán dà mákwà dà jíjì ée,*  
 PREP after go-D:PVG-3PL to girl to in-laws [hesitation]  
*kà d'vá-ú-á-tá mákwà tá zvàxw kà*  
 SEQ like-SO-GO-REF girl OBJ bat SEQ  
*zlá-ná-vá-tá kúkù*  
 leave-DEM-APPL-REF pigeon  
 'After they went to the girl, to the in-laws, the girl chose the bat and she left the pigeon.'

- (6) *tà xúlá tsá mántsá kà d'áwà-ú-tá kúkù*  
 PREP back DEF that SEQ ask-SO-REF pigeon  
*tá índà xùzlà-xà-ní klá-ghú-tsí dà tsi*  
 OBJ all good-PL-3SG take-D:SO-3SG from 3SG  
 'Afterwards the pigeon asked for all his things that the bat had taken from him.'
- (7) *tà xúlá tsá mántsá ká kúkù mántsá*  
 PREP back DEF COMP COMP pigeon COMP  
*fitúk d'vá-fâ-á-ká tí-íí wù ndáná nà támá*  
 since like-UP-NEG-2SG OBJ-1SG NEG now DEM thus  
*grá zvàxw yàghá tá índà xùzlà-xà-d'á démdém*  
 friend bat give me OBJ all good-PL-1SG all  
*ká-'á*  
 COMP-3SG  
 'Afterwards, the pigeon said: "Since you did not like me, now, my friend bat, give me back all my things."'
- (8) *tà xúlá klá-ù-tà-ní kà tsírá-tá*  
 PREP back take-SO-REF:SUBJ-3SG SEQ defecate-REF  
*zvàxw tá tsírá-kú tà vghá kàbgà*  
 bat OBJ defecate-ABS PREP body because  
*tá xàdú ntfâŋ ngá núwá-f tá mndrá-ní wà*  
 COM lack glue FOR close-UP OBJ anus-3SG NEG  
 'When he took this, the bat defecated on himself because there was no glue to close his anus.' (Another speaker preferred *xlá-ùtá-ní* 'having gathered' instead of *klá-ù-tà-ní*.)
- (9) *tà xúlá tsá kà ghùbàsá-p-tá lá jíjì*  
 PREP back DEF SEQ laugh-OUT-REF COLL in-laws  
 'Afterwards the in-laws burst out laughing.'

## Notes

1. In many cultures of northern Cameroon, the father blesses members of the household at the beginning of the new year, i.e. the new agricultural cycle. The blessing takes the form of spitting into the face or hands of the person being blessed. For a description of the custom among Giziga, speakers of another Central Chadic language, cf. Jaouen 1995.
2. The sequence *pákáw-á ghúvì* 'hyena' ('leopard-GEN feces'), the only form to designate hyena in our data, is glossed in all examples in the grammar as "hyena."
3. The day when the bull raised in the compound is slaughtered. In some traditions, the festivities actually start before the day the bull is slaughtered.
4. The term *dghà mblám* refers to blacksmiths who make sacrificial pottery called *zíglá-zíglá, skwá zwáŋ* 'thing of child', a form of medicine to protect children. This group of blacksmiths does not differ in their social life from the rest of society. The term *dghà mbùdá* (we could not identify the meaning of the word *mbùdá*) refers to blacksmiths who make hoes, axes, and other iron tools. This group of people also takes care of all burials in the village. As of 1998, there was no intermarriage between the members of *dghà mbùdá* and the rest of the community, and there is also a prohibition on touching and eating from the same dish as members of *dghà mbùdá*.
5. Note that the genitive marker has low tone in the expression *gháŋ-à xiyá* 'about the corn'. The low tone indicates a phrasal boundary. High tone on the genitive marker in this expression would result in the meaning 'head of corn'.
6. The term *yàghí* 'intelligent, smart' is a nickname given to the squirrel in folktales. It is also used in similes:

<i>yàghí</i>	<i>tsá</i>	<i>m̀ndú</i>	<i>yà</i>
intelligent	DEF	man	COP
'the man is intelligent'			

The name *gáŋ* is used to refer to squirrel as a zoological species.

7. Hamayadzi is a Hdi version of the name Hamman Yaji, a Muslim ruler who, until the late twenties, repeatedly raided villages in northern Nigeria and northern Cameroon for slaves and cattle. He was arrested in 1927. The description of his activities from his point of view can be found in Vaughan and Kirk-Greene 1995.
8. The expression *nàsàrà-ngrá* 'white man-black' refers to a black educated person. The term *nasara* 'white man' has been borrowed from *Fulanasaara* 'white man'. The extension from the meaning 'white man' to 'educated man' occurs in other Chadic languages, e.g. Mupun (cf. Frajzyngier 1993).
9. Until relatively recently, guinea corn, the staple food of Xdi, was seldom sold. It constituted the guarantee of a food supply for the whole family for the whole year. The selling of guinea corn has been forced on the people by taxes, the need to pay for education, and so on.

10. The verb *phlà* means 'kill many; make something soft'. It is the plural equivalent of the singular verb *dzà* 'kill'. The meaning 'break into many pieces' for the verb *phlà* is obtained through the addition of the third-person *n* or the demonstrative *ná*.
11. The following is proposed as the etymology of the word *lázgláftà* 'God'. Every member of the family has one God, who resides in a special sacrificial piece of pottery. The God of each person is called *zəgláftà*. A morphological analysis of this word indicates that it could be the verb *zá* 'eat', followed by the extension *glá* 'again', extension *f* 'up', and the referential marker *ta*, something to the effect of 'eat up again'. Additional support for this etymology is provided by the fact that the verb always occurs in its root form before the 'again' extension *glá*. The tone before the extension *f* 'up' is always high. The form *lá zgláftà* is composed of the collective marker *lá* followed by the word God. Etymologically, *lázgláftà* thus represents a collective of personal Gods.
12. The form corresponding to 'how to do *x*' is widely used in Chadic and non-Chadic languages of northern Cameroon to mean 'what to do'.
13. *dzúlá*: 'a Moslem prayer', 'Islam', 'learning'.
14. *màrwà* in this usage is a borrowed word. The Hdi word *màrwà* means 'smallpox'.

## References

- Abraham, Roy C.  
1962 *Dictionary of the Hausa Language*. London: University of London Press.
- Barreteau, Daniel  
1988 *Description du Mofu-Gudur*. 2 volumes. Paris: ORSTOM.
- Barreteau, Daniel, and Yves le Bleis  
1990 *Lexique mafa*. Paris: Geuthner-ORSTOM.
- Bloomfield, Leonard  
1933 *Language*. London: George Allen and Unwin.
- de Colombel, Veronique  
1996 *La Langue ouldémé*. Paris: Association Linguistique Africaine.
- Dieu, Michel, and Patrick Renaud (eds.)  
1983 *Atlas linguistique de l'Afrique Centrale. Le Cameroun*. Yaoundé: ACCT-CERDOTOLA-DGRST.
- DuBois, John  
1985 Competing Motivations. In: Haiman 1985, 343–365.
- Eguchi, Paul Kazuhisa  
1971 Matériaux pour servir à l'étude de la langue hidé: Vocabulaire. T. Umesao (ed.), *Kyoto University African Studies* 6: 195-283.
- Frajzyngier, Zygmunt  
1977 The Plural in Chadic. In: Paul Newman and Roxanna Ma Newman (eds.), *Papers in Chadic Linguistics*, 37—56. Leiden: Afrika-Studiecentrum.  
1982 Indefinite Agent, Impersonal, and Passive: A Functional Approach. *Lingua* 58: 267–290.  
1984 Ergative and Nominative Accusative Features in Mandara. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 6: 35–45.  
1985a “Causative” and “Benefactive” in Chadic. *Afrika und Übersee* 68: 23–42.  
1985b Interrogative Sentences in Chadic. *Journal of West African Languages* 15 (1): 57–72.  
1985c Ergativity, Number, and Agreement. In: Mary Niepokuj, Mary VanClay, Vassiliki Nikiforidou, and Deborah Feder (eds.), *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistic Society*, 96–106.

- 1985d     Borrowed Logophoricity? In: Russell G. Schuh (ed.), *Precis from the 15th Conference on African Linguistics, Studies in African Linguistics, Supplement 9*, 114–118.
- 1985e     Logophoric Systems in Chadic. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics 7*: 23–37.
- 1985f     Truth and the Indicative Sentence. *Studies in Language 9.2*: 243–254.
- 1986     Propositional Characterization of Categories. In: Scott DeLancey and Russell Tomlin (eds.), *Papers from the First Pacific Linguistic Conference*, 108–119.
- 1987a     Encoding Locative in Chadic. *Journal of West African Languages 17* (1): 81–97.
- 1987b     Truth and the Compositionality Principle: A Reply to Palmer. *Studies in Language 11* (1): 211–217.
- 1987c     Ventive and Centrifugal in Chadic. *Afrika und Übersee 70* (1): 31–47.
- 1987d     From Verb to Anaphora. *Lingua 72*: 15–28.
- 1987e     Grammaticization Through Analysis. In: Scott DeLancey and Russell and Russell Tomlin (eds.), *Papers from the 2nd Pacific Linguistics Conference*, 125–140.
- 1989a     Three Kinds of Anaphors. In: Isabelle Haik and Laurice Tuller (eds.), *Current Progress in African Linguistics*, 194–216. Amsterdam: Foris.
- 1989b     Non-propositional Addressees. *Chicago Linguistic Society 25* (2): 41–49.
- 1991     The *De dicto* Domain in Language. In: Elizabeth C. Traugott and Bernd Heine (eds.), *Approaches to Grammaticalization*. Volume 1: 219–251. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- 1993     *A Grammar of Mupun*. Berlin: Reimer.
- 1995     Functional Theory of Complementizers. In: Joan Bybee and Suzanne Fleischman (eds.), *Modality in Grammar and Discourse*, 473–502. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- 1996     *Grammaticalization of the Complex Sentence: A Case Study in Chadic*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- 1997     Grammaticalization of Number: From Demonstratives to Nominal and Verbal Plural. *Linguistic Typology 1*: 193–242.
- 1997MS     Pragmatically Independent and Pragmatically Dependent Clauses: An Addition to Clausal Typology. Paper read at 2nd World Congress of African Linguistics, University of Leipzig.
- and Traci Curl (eds.).
- 1999     *Reflexives: Forms and Functions*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.

- and Robert Jaspersen  
 1991 That Clauses and Other Complements. *Lingua* 83: 133–153.
- Hetzron, Robert  
 1971 Presentative Function and Presentative Movement. *Studies in African Linguistics*, Supplement 2: 79–106.
- Hoffmann, Carl  
 1963 *A Grammar of the Margi Language*. London: Oxford University Press.  
 1971 Provisional List of Chadic Languages. *Chadic Newsletter*. Special Issue.
- Jungraithmayr, Herrmann (ed.)  
 1982 *The Chad Languages in the Hamitosemitic-Nigrific Border Area*. Marburger Studien zur Afrika- und Asienkunde, Serie A: Afrika, Volume. 27. Berlin: Reimer.  
 1994 “Zweite Tempora” in Afrikanischen Sprachen- Ägyptisch-Tschadische Gemeinsamkeiten. In: Manfred Bietak, Johanna Hlaubek, Hans Mukarowsky, and Helmut Satzinger (eds.), *Zwischen den beiden Ewigkeiten*. Wien: Institut für Ägyptologie der Universität Wien. Festschrift Gertrud Thausing.
- and Henry Tourneux (eds.)  
 1991 *Etudes tchadiques: La Phrase Complexe*. Paris: Geuthner.
- Maldonado, Ricardo  
 1999 Intensified Spanish Reflexives. In Frajzyngier and Curl 1999, 153–186.
- Mirt, Heide  
 1969/70 Zur Morphologie des Verbalcomplexes im Mandara. *Afrika und Übersee* 54: 1–76.
- Newman, Paul  
 1990a Chadic. In: William Bright (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
 1990b *Nominal and Verbal Plurality in Chadic*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Schuh, Russell G.  
 1982 Questioned and Focused Subject and Objects. In: Jungraithmayr 1982, 160–174.
- Stadler, Jürg  
 1993 Sociolinguistic survey among the Xedi-Lamang. Yaoundé: Société Internationale de Linguistique.
- Vaughan, James H. and Anthony H. M. Kirk-Greene (eds.)  
 1995 *The Diary of Hamman Yaji. Chronicle of a West African Muslim Ruler*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.

Wolff, Ekkehard

- 1981        *Le laamang*. In: Jean Perrot (ed.), *Les langues dans le monde ancien et moderne*, Première Partie: *Les Langues de l'Afrique subsaharienne*. Textes réunis par Gabriel Manessy, 437-441. Paris: Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.
- 1983        *A Grammar of the Lamang Language*. Glückstadt: Augustin.



# Index

NOTE: The index is designed to complement the table of contents. The primary reference(s) to a given topic are listed by heading or subheading in the table of contents and are not listed in the index. References to the same topic that occur under other headings are listed in the index.

- Abraham, Roy C. 26
- additional argument 170; 200; 218
- addressee 185; 445; 446; 448; 452;  
456; 460; 463; 466; 467
  - definite 187
  - imperative 274; 277
  - interrogative 357
- adjectives 71; 74; 77; 343; 501;  
502; 503
- adverbs 56; 73; 212; 228; 275;  
292; 293; 307
  - locative 125
  - reason 373
  - time 238; 301; 397
- affected
  - argument 320
  - object 249; 263
  - speaker 167
  - subject 7; 109; 115; 154; 155;  
171; 220; 433; 471
- anaphora 228; 350; 354; 394; 406;  
420; 421; 426; 433
- aspect 280; 337; 343; 426; 443;  
496
  - imperfective 113; 120; 121;  
134; 172; 173
  - in conditional clauses 495
  - in focus constructions 401
  - in negation 385; 392
  - in questions 355; 357; 358
  - in relative clauses 402
  - in sequential clauses 432; 433
  - object marking and 130; 142;  
143; 147; 162
  - perfective 106; 121; 125; 173;  
204; 404
  - progressive 434
    - stative 131; 164; 393; 408; 472
- associative marker 221; 324
- associative plural 32; 46; 358; 362;  
363; 368
- background marker 389; 392; 395;  
397; 449; 475
- backgrounding 399; 438; 442; 462;  
473; 485; 496
- Barreteau, Daniel 4; 26
- Bloomfield, Leonard 45
- causative 156; 167; 206
- clausal complement 150; 221; 446;  
450; 459
- clausal order 1; 6; 7; 271; 456;  
460; 469; 475; 477; 483; 499
- clause types 6; 119; 295; 302
- cognate object 130; 220; 422; 423;  
426
- color terms 71; 74; 75; 235
- comment marker 53; 61; 81; 302;  
303; 360
- comment-on-focus 6; 7; 151; 165;  
315; 316; 424; 478
- comparison 73; 76
- complementizer 7; 88; 96; 121;  
127; 132; 285; 421
- complements
  - de dicto 7; 446; 459; 465
  - de re 7; 446; 450; 451; 459
- concessive clause 438
- conditional apodosis 384; 485
- conditional protasis 127; 337; 384
- consecutive clause 439; 497
- controlling argument 7; 116; 159;  
320
- controlling subject 158; 171; 207;  
471; 491

- copula 70; 73; 349; 371; 373; 393;  
 394; 395; 412  
 dative/benefactive 112; 132; 135;  
 148; 204; 205; 208; 210; 216  
 de Colombel, Veronique 4  
 de dicto domain 61; 94; 95; 358;  
 359; 367; 378; 448; 455  
 de re domain 94; 358; 359; 373;  
 378; 448  
 definite  
   marker tsá 394; 397; 411; 426  
   object marker n 42; 139; 153;  
   181; 204; 219; 220; 472  
 deixis 119; 228; 335; 345; 349;  
 354; 394; 406  
 demonstratives 45; 52; 79; 89; 391  
   middle-distance 292; 367  
   proximate 53; 81; 236; 345;  
   346; 354; 358; 391; 452;  
   473  
   remote 51; 79; 81; 228; 345;  
   353; 354  
 Dieu, Michel 1; 2  
 DuBois 7; 120  
 Eguchi, Paul K. 1; 2; 13; 22; 62;  
 74; 110; 215; 349  
 epenthetic vowel 100; 101; 110;  
 139; 183; 184; 190; 204; 205;  
 280; 494  
 equational clauses 83; 343; 358;  
 367  
 ergative 108  
 focus 7; 90; 161; 295; 308; 339;  
 385; 397; 461; 480; 481  
 Fula (Fulfulde) 3; 26; 81; 115;  
 437; 512  
 gender 45; 83  
 genitive construction 50; 52; 74;  
 79; 314; 503  
 genitive marker 5; 45; 53; 81; 118;  
 215; 359; 502  
 Glavda 46  
 goal orientation 110; 112; 114;  
 154; 155; 170; 243; 280; 282  
 Hamman Yaji 256  
 Hausa 3; 26; 78; 81; 437; 512  
 Hetzron, Robert 302  
 Hoffmann, Carl 1; 26  
 honorific 56  
 interrogative  
   clause 40; 119; 298; 315; 442  
   interjection 237  
   marker 431  
   mood 271  
   rhetorical 498  
 irrealis 479; 482; 495  
 Jungraithmayr, Herrmann 295  
 Kanuri 3  
 kinship terms 56; 62; 68  
 Lamang 2; 4  
 le Bleis, Yves 4; 26  
 lexical categories 5  
 Mafa 3; 4; 26; 299; 385; 533; 534  
 Maldonado, Ricardo 112  
 malefactive 192  
 Mandara 2; 4; 5  
 Margi 26  
 Mina 2  
 Mirt, Heide 4  
 Mofu-Gudur 4; 46  
 mood  
   hypothetical 279; 479; 497; 498  
   imperative 191; 246; 295; 299  
   normative 162  
   prohibitive 162; 392; 497  
 negative auxiliary 30; 41; 70; 283;  
 336  
 negative clause 6; 7; 123; 308;  
 324; 334; 336; 431; 450  
 negative marker 36; 481; 488  
 Newman, Paul 1; 2  
 Ngosi 2  
 nominal classes 45  
 nominalized clause 480; 484; 499  
 non-verbal clause 337  
 non-verbal predicates 120  
 number coding 211  
   in pronouns 54; 83; 84; 123  
   nouns 109; 140  
   verbs 109  
 numerals 76; 79  
 object marker 6; 132; 133; 136;  
 141; 153; 197; 450; 472; 481  
 Ouldeme 4  
 phrase-structure rules 6  
 point of view 110; 111; 190  
 potential marker 150; 308; 374;  
 390; 392; 395; 433; 471; 486

- pragmatically dependent clause 83;  
 101; 113; 123; 127; 134; 151;  
 164; 165; 315  
 pragmatically independent clause  
 105; 121; 124; 127; 368; 486  
 prepositions 6; 67; 74; 81; 119;  
 163; 269  
   associative ndá 79; 225; 226;  
   234; 236; 320; 445; 452;  
   462; 493  
   gà 'inner space' 370  
   kà 'like' 74; 225  
   locative da 132; 216; 386  
   locative tà 58; 132; 221; 231;  
   234; 296; 305; 317; 361;  
   471  
   mà 'within' 67; 287; 293  
   ngá 'for' 195; 196; 232; 286;  
   368; 375; 413; 422; 491  
 presentative function 88; 302  
 pronoun 78  
   possessive 116  
 pronouns 241; 454  
   dative 240; 368; 396  
   independent 5; 46; 165; 346;  
   347; 389; 394; 396; 489;  
   502  
   object 239; 283  
   possessive 175; 214; 359; 360;  
   413; 417; 423; 485  
   resumptive 396  
   subject 6; 32; 124; 274; 414;  
   465  
 property concept 5; 81; 347; 501  
 purpose clause 198; 292  
 quantifier 47; 78; 79  
 quantifiers 78  
 question words 70; 78  
 questions 6; 40; 162; 237; 295;  
 300; 308; 315; 318; 338  
 realis 372; 433; 479; 482; 495  
 reason clause 315  
 reduplication 5; 75; 86; 99; 130;  
 233  
   adverb 273  
   associative 227  
   demonstrative 86  
   noun 5  
   numeral 5  
   preposition 317  
   property concept 5  
   verb 5; 104; 110; 242; 296;  
   404; 497  
 referential marker 122; 130; 290;  
 296; 368; 375; 381; 433; 497;  
 498  
 reflexive 111; 130  
 relative clause 6; 7; 295; 302; 384;  
 386; 387; 478; 481  
 Renaud, Patrick 1; 2  
 Schuh, Russell 367  
 semantic relations 6; 119; 130;  
 169; 182; 216  
 semantic roles 6  
 sequential clause 128; 134; 266;  
 490  
 sequential marker 238; 279; 283;  
 375; 489; 495; 497; 499  
 source orientation 110; 111; 112;  
 114; 115; 135; 138; 154; 155;  
 207  
 spatial specifier 228; 258  
 stative marker 221; 324  
 subject-to-object raising 7; 469;  
 471; 475; 477; 480; 482  
 syllable structure 4; 100; 139; 204;  
 494  
 temporal apodosis 384; 385; 436  
 temporal protasis 315; 331; 495;  
 496  
 tense 121; 299; 304; 307; 343;  
 461; 496  
   future 295; 381; 435; 442; 499  
   referential past 272; 290; 493;  
   497  
 thematic vowel 115; 211; 380; 389  
 tone 4; 15; 26  
   and lexicon 43; 132  
   and modality 271  
   and point of view 245  
   and syntax 124  
   of epenthetic vowel 139; 205  
   of verb 100; 148  
   of verbs 192; 204  
 topic 90; 92; 97  
 topicalization 7; 164; 295; 308;  
 316; 401; 423; 426  
 toponym 1; 212

- Tur, Turu (Hdi) 1  
 unspecified argument 59; 94; 123;  
 152; 163; 187; 287; 324; 330;  
 487  
 verbal extensions 5; 6; 119; 169;  
 189; 290; 332; 333; 418  
 and negation 382; 384  
 b (movement out) 25; 26  
 dá (allative) 37; 38; 113; 142;  
 183; 184  
 g (inner space) 24; 142  
 g (inward movement) 139  
 gá (inner space) 25; 183; 184  
 gh (distal) 112; 138; 229; 372;  
 472  
 ghá (distal) 190  
 i (movement away) 139  
 í (movement away) 138  
 í-n (away) 107  
 kú (absolutive) 172; 433  
 p (out) 39; 107; 191  
 s (inverse) 42  
 xà (down) 140  
 verbal noun 305; 307; 313; 428;  
 488; 493  
 verbal nouns 63  
 verbless clause 73; 386  
 verbs  
 citation form 306  
 directional 213; 231  
 intransitive 120; 133; 142; 147;  
 150; 200  
 labile 147  
 of perception 147; 179; 321  
 of saying 334; 459; 467; 488  
 stative 160; 229; 418  
 transitive 144; 150; 160; 162;  
 177; 179; 180; 181; 186;  
 222  
 Wolff, Ekkehard 2; 4  
 word order 5; 119; 182; 343; 355



